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Abstract: An important aspect in improving public transport efficiency is collecting information regarding travelers’ routes, usually represented as an Origin Destination (OD) matrix. Most public transportation systems implement fare collection systems that can provide the accurate origins of travelers’ routes but not accurate destinations. In this paper we look at Wi-Fi, more specifically 802.11 data-link layer, as a candidate to provide OD matrix estimations. We present a system and an algorithm capable of collecting information, complemented with positioning and time, regarding Wi-Fi capable devices inside a bus. A system is also presented to implement this concept using minimal requirements. An implementation of this system was deployed in a public bus to collect data for several months. This resulted on over 71929 traveler routes collected in 127 different days. This data was contextualized and mapped to an OD system in order to demonstrate how it can be used to generate OD matrix estimations.

1 INTRODUCTION

To better understand the necessities of the end-user it is crucial for the providers of transportation services to have access to data regarding the use of their services, typically represented as Origin Destination (OD) matrices (Ashok and Ben-Akiva, 1993). In some systems part of this data can be easily acquired (by the purchase of tickets for example), however in most cases, particularly in transports that do not control when users leave them, it is difficult to accurately know how end users actually exploit the services.

Transportation systems tend nowadays to use tokens that can be used to purchase several services. For example, Radio-Frequency Identification (RFID) cards can be used to purchase several trips to different locations. The wide variety of possible destinations requires the system to generalize the services, such as grouping possible origin and destinations by area.

This poses a problem, since users will always use their card on entering a transportation vehicle, in order to pay for the trip, but they usually do not need to use it on exit (except in some rare cases), making therefore difficult to accurately know where they left the vehicle. This is particularly relevant on public bus transportation systems, which are required to provide a wide variety of possible origins and destinations.

This work attempts to solve this issue by proposing a system that is able to provide accurate OD matrices by indirectly gathering the routes used by bus travelers using a nowadays popular communication technology, Wi-Fi.

The system that we developed collects all kinds of Wi-Fi communications, in all channels, that occur in the vicinity of a bus. It uses the MAC (Medium Access Control) addresses of Wi-Fi communications to identify potential travelers. Each collected communication is first analyzed, in order to evaluate its suitability, and suitable samples are recorded together with the time and location of their collection. Traveling paths are formed by two collected samples, one where the device first appeared, the other where the device was last detected, the other where the device was last seen. The collected paths are then uploaded to a central repository, upon a proper anonymization. Therefore, no tracing of people is possible using the data stored in the central repository.

Since the system is prone to several types of false positives (i.e., to include people standing outside the bus), we developed many strategies for filtering them out. One of them was to stop the collection process.
whenever the bus speed is below a given threshold (because otherwise it would enable people outside the bus to listen for a long time). The other strategy consisted in filtering the collected paths in order to discard paths too short in time or distance (which could yield sporadic proximities of cars and buses). Still, we have no means to prevent a person with more than one Wi-Fi enabled device to be counted as more than one, nor can we count people not carrying a Wi-Fi enabled device.

The system also included a strategy, Fake Network Advertisement (FNA), which was meant to force the discovery of otherwise undetectable devices (i.e., devices with an enabled Wi-Fi interface but not sending any frames). In the real deployment scenario it proved to work, but its benefits are small (about 2% more devices were discovered because of FNA).

A prototype of the collecting system was developed, using a Raspberry Pi and an external GPS sensor. The collector was deployed on a Porto city bus for some months. From the collected data, and upon their filtering, we could find some similar occupancy levels on some week days, which enables us to conclude that the results obtained are probably legitimate, i.e. in average they yield a percentage of the exact population traveling in the bus. We tried to get the ticket validation data for further asserting the quality of our observations, but it was not possible.

2 RELATED WORK

Abedi et al. (Abedi et al., 2013) performed a study to evaluate Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) technologies, Wi-Fi and Bluetooth, as a way of detecting devices used by people. The authors performed studies regarding discovery time and popularity of use. Wi-Fi surpassed Bluetooth on both metrics, registering an average discovery time of 1.4 seconds, while Bluetooth registered 10.6 seconds. On the popularity test, Wi-Fi was responsible for 92% of the total amount of devices detected by both WLAN technologies.

Musa et al. (Musa and Eriksson, 2012) used similar concepts to track devices inside moving vehicles. Their approach included interesting techniques to increase the amount of data received. These techniques are mostly aimed at increasing the rate of frames received from devices as opposed to our objective, increasing the amount of devices detected. A variation of one of those techniques, Popular SSID AP Emulation, is the FNA implemented in our system. While they implemented their with a fully functional AP, our system only emitted beacon frames to tease otherwise silent devices.

Kostakos et al. (Kostakos et al., 2010) proposed a solution to obtain the Origin Destination (OD) matrix by using Bluetooth technology. This solution could accurately determine a user’s origin and destination on a trip. However, the percentage of detected passengers was low, approximately 9.7% travelers were detected. The authors state that the low amount of travelers detected is due to the fact that a traveler is required to have a device with Bluetooth active and set to discoverable mode, which according to (O’Neill et al., 2006) only 7.5% of individuals do.

Bullock et al. (Bullock et al., 2010) deployed a tracking system at the new Indianapolis international Airport to measure passenger transit times between security checkpoints. This work is also based on Bluetooth, and it also exhibited a low success rate: only 5% to 6.8% of individuals were detected.

Abedi et al. (Abedi et al., 2013) discuss some practical challenges in the collection and monitoring of crowd data, but they were concerned with people moving in open areas, and not with people traveling inside a transportation vehicle.

Shlayan et al. (Shlayan et al., 2016) proposed a system using Bluetooth and Wi-Fi technology in order to estimate the OD matrix and wait-times. The authors performed 2 pilot tests of the system in New York, one at the Atlantic Avenue Subway Station (aimed at subway systems) and another at the Port Authority Transit Facility (aimed at pedestrian flows). The approach chosen by the authors was different than ours: they relied on positioning Bluetooth and Wi-Fi sensors in stations, and not in transportation vehicles as in our system. Similarly to (Kostakos et al., 2010), the results show a small amount of devices detected by Bluetooth: less than 4% of all detected devices in 2 separate tests. Therefore, they concluded that Wi-Fi is a far more viable alternative.

This particular study only considered network probing requests in Wi-Fi (which can limit the sample size of obtained results) and encryption was necessary to anonymize the records (due to the nature of the implemented system architecture). On the contrary, we used all kinds of Wi-Fi communications to infer the presence of a personal device and our records are not encrypted, since they are fully anonymized once they leave the collecting device.

3 PROPOSED SOLUTION

The proposed system architecture aims to create a client-server system in which the client is a collector module responsible for collecting data regarding trav-
eler routes in a public transportation system, along with the module’s geographic position history to facilitate data analysis. The collector is also responsible for relaying this information to a central server. The server is responsible for processing, storing, exporting and presenting such data.

The Data Collector is formed by three major modules:

- **Capture Module**: it implements the capture process, being responsible for capturing frames and generating traveler routes using this information.
- **Control Module**: it is responsible for assessing the status of the vehicle in which the Data Collector is deployed, and controlling the execution of the Capture module.
- **FNA Module**: it is responsible for advertising fake networks, in order to detect otherwise silent devices.

The server is also formed by two major modules:

- **Storage Module**: it implements the reception of data gathered by several Data Collectors and its storage in a persistent repository.
- **Analysis Module**: it implements a data analysis interface, in order to extract relevant information and conclusions from the collected data.

### 3.1 Capture Algorithm

The proposed solution is centered on a capture algorithm, implemented by the Capture module, that is able to detect devices with 802.11 Wi-Fi capabilities and use that information, along with Global Positioning System (GPS) information, to produce travelers’ route records.

The algorithm relies on detecting devices with an enabled Wi-Fi interface by capturing frames in all of the available Wi-Fi channels (by sequentially hopping through them all) and identifying them by MAC address (either source or destination addresses). Devices’ detection is coupled with a time-stamp which allows the algorithm to estimate when a device has entered and left the bus (first and last detections, respectively).

This detection algorithm is complemented by another one, implemented by the Control module, which is also able to suspend the capture of frames to prevent the detection of devices outside the bus. The capture process is suspended during situations in which we is likely to detect an high amount of devices outside of the bus (e.g. when the bus speed is below a set threshold). With this strategy we are able to avoid a massive detection of people around bus stations, or otherwise close to the bus when it is stopped in a traffic jam, stopped in a traffic light or when it moves at a speed close to the one of pedestrians.

When a device is no longer detected for a set amount of time, if it fulfills a set of requirements (see Section 3.1.1) then a traveler route record is generated containing the time and GPS position of first and last detections. Otherwise, it is discarded.

In order to describe the capture algorithm two concepts must be defined:

- **Iteration**: One iteration of the algorithm represents the capture and processing of frames in a single Wi-Fi channel;
- **Run**: One run of the algorithm represents several iterations of the algorithm being performed (along different Wi-Fi channels) followed by a memory update. A run can be classified as a complete run or a partial run. A complete run implies that an iteration was performed for every Wi-Fi channel, while a partial run implies that one or more iterations were performed (this happens when a stop order is received). If a stop order is received midway through an iteration, it is completed, but no further iterations will be performed on the current run.

The algorithm uses the following set of tables:

- **Candidates**: This table stores records that represent devices that have not yet been deemed to have left the bus by the capture algorithm (ongoing routes);
- **Exclusion**: This table stores records that represent Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) networks (and their advertising Access Point (AP)) collected in a recent amount of time. The records contained in this table have a Time To Live (TTL) constraint, meaning that they are only valid for a period of time.

The capture algorithm was designed as a finite state machine, as represented by Figure 2, with the following relevant states:
3.1.1 Validation Rule

The validation rule refers to the decision process, during the Memory Update stage, used to decide if a device has left the bus. Upon such decision, the rule also decides if the device’s record is not a potential false positive, in which case it should be discarded. If not, which means it is worth keeping it, its MAC address is removed for protecting the privacy of the device’s owner and the record is queued to be uploaded to the server for being stored.

The validation rule is based on the elapsed time since the last detection of a device. However, we cannot use directly the real elapsed time. In fact, if the detection process is halted for some time due to the slow traveling speed of the bus (e.g. while in a traffic jam), a device could wrongly be considered to have left the bus. In such case, single travelers’ paths could be decomposed in many, smaller paths (possibly disjoint) just because of the interference of the bus speed on the collection algorithm. To solve this problem, we use a corrected real time, which is the real time subtracted by an amount equal to the sum of all intervals during which the Capture module remained stopped. Or, on another perspective, the corrected real time is the total execution time of the Capture module executed.

The complete decision process taken by the validation rule, using this corrected real time, is displayed in Figure 3.

To rule out false positives, the validation rule is also based on the likelihood of the record being genuine or relevant for transportation planning. A short traveling distance for a device is a relevant hint for considering the device has being a false positive, i.e. a device that is outside the bus. Furthermore, short traveling distances are usually not critical for transportation planning, since they represent a use of the bus that can easily be replaced by a walk (except if considering disabled or otherwise impaired people). Therefore, the validation rule measures the linear distance between the two locations of the record, the one where it was first created and the one where it was updated for the last time, and deletes it if the distance is below a given threshold.

Finally, the validation rule discards all records that contain an amount of detections below a given threshold. A natural minimum for this threshold is 2, because we cannot establish a path with a single point. We could not find any reasonable scenarios for using thresholds higher than 2.
3.2 Control Module

The capture algorithm supports suspension in order to disable the capture of frames in situations in which a relevant share of the devices detected are outside of the bus. This happens when the bus is stopped or traveling at low speeds; therefore, the Control module controls the execution of the Capture module based on the bus’ current speed, obtained by GPS, as depicted in Figure 4.

3.3 Fake Network Advertisement (FNA) Module

Fake Network Advertisement (FNA) defines a strategy developed to capture frames from passive devices. These are devices that do not pro-actively search for known networks (i.e., for networks preset in the devices) and that, because of such behavior, are likely to remain silent until listening for the advertisement of those networks. This strategy consists on advertising a set of predefined authentication-free Wi-Fi networks which represent hot-spots that can be found in many places. Users tend to have these networks’ configurations saved in their devices due to previous associations on different APs of the same networks (advertising the same Service Set Identifier (SSID)). Therefore a passive device which stays silent, but has previously been associated to those networks, will tend to send a probe request or authentication request to those specific SSIDs when they are advertised and result in being detected by the collector, which otherwise would not happen. Upon the reception of the probe request or authentication request, the collector will not respond to the device.

Table 1 displays some of the networks that can be advertised using FNA, representing popular hot-spots in Portugal.

This strategy cannot interfere with legitimate APs advertising hot-spot networks, therefore the advertisement of a network is only performed if there is no record of an AP advertising the same network in the Exclusion table.

The FNA module advertises these networks in parallel with the execution of the capture algorithm; the networks are advertised using the same interface used to capture frames. This increases the chances to detect passive devices, while slightly decreasing the total time devoted to capturing frames.

This FNA module is also responsible for the maintenance of the records in the Exclusion table. This mainly consists on deleting records that have surpassed a given lifetime threshold.

In order to implement the FNA, it is required to use an 802.11 Wi-Fi adapter that is capable of sending frames while in monitor mode (the one that needs to...
be used for capturing all frames transmitted in a single channel).

4 IMPLEMENTATION

4.1 Data Collector

We developed a prototype Data Collector using a Raspberry Pi Model b+, a GPS receiver, a power converter and a couple of Wi-Fi Universal Serial Bus (USB) dongles. One of the Wi-Fi interfaces will be used to capture 802.11 frames and the other will be used to connect to an AP, responsible for providing Internet connectivity to travelers, in order to send the data to the server.

In order to create a Data Collector that encapsulates all of the requirements and modules described, we developed a concurrent system in which the several required activities cooperate. A simplified version of the Data Collector’s software architecture is displayed in Figure 5.

Figure 5 represents the software architecture of the collector module. The rectangles represent modules that operate concurrently (each with an execution thread), the solid ovals represent record tables which are accessed by multiple modules and require multiple exclusive access properties in order to maintain data consistency. The dashed oval represent tables of records only accessed by one module, which therefore do not require synchronized accesses.

Not represented in Figure 5, but still present in the system, is the availability of the current GPS position for all of the entities of the system.

In our implementation we also created a separate module to send the data collected to the central server. Records ready to be sent are placed into a buffer, and the buffer is flushed to the server upon a minimum set of records present on it. In our Data Collector we defined the time interval for the capture of 802.11 frames as 1 second, which, in most cases, is enough to capture beacons from nearby APs and traffic from active station devices.

Our implementation of the validation rule uses the values displayed in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value set</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>600 seconds (10 minutes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>1 detection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>100 meters</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our implementation also uses a lifetime of 5 minutes for the records in the Exclusion table.

4.2 Server

Our system relies on a central server to store the data collected. The data from a Data Collector is received by a server daemon and then stored in a PostgreSQL database, as displayed in Figure 6.

In order to capitalize on the data generated by Data Collector, a Web interface developed with Django on top of an Apache HTTP server was also developed and deployed on the central server.

This interface connects with the database and is able to use its data to present several views relatively to the information gathered.

One of the functions of the Web interface is the ability to represent the course that the bus performed in a defined period of time, as displayed in Figure 7. This course is generated by uniting some of the GPS records obtained from a Data Collector into a line, and displaying them on a street map.

Another function of the Web interface is presented in Figure 8. It consists on the graphical representation of the bus load in a given defined period of time. The bus load for a time instant is calculated by adding the amount of routes with an origin time before such instant and a destination time posterior to such instant.
5 CONTEXTUALIZATION OF COLLECTED DATA

To be able to generate Origin Destination (OD) matrices we must first develop a strategy to contain the geographical parts of the data collected to a finite coordinate system, the bus networks’ stops. To do this, there must be established a mapping of which bus lines the bus has performed during time intervals. This information can then be used to generate an OD matrix for every time the bus has performed the full length of a bus line. These matrices can then be manipulated using simple algebra to fit the bus network planners’ requirements.

To generate an OD matrix for a given run, we look at each traveler route record whose origin and destination times are contained within the time interval in which the bus has performed a complete line. For each of these records an estimation of origin station and destination station is performed, using a strategy that is graphically presented in Figure 9.

To do this estimation we first have to infer, from the collected records, the time at which the bus was at each stop of the bus route during the run. For this inference we used the GPS positions of all the stops used in the bus network. Then, from the time of the first detection we assume that the traveler’s origin is the stop that was last passed. Similarly, from the time of the last detection we assume that the traveler’s destination is stop that was passed next.

This allows us to map the origin and destination of every detected traveler to this specific bus network stops and ultimately generate a contextualized OD matrix.

6 RESULTS OBTAINED IN A REAL DEPLOYMENT

The Data Collector was deployed on a bus of the Porto Public Transport Society (STCP) (http://www.stcp.pt/) public bus transportation network. A total of 71356 traveler routes were collected in the time period between June 22, 2017 and October 28, 2017. From the collected data we verified that probably not all of its records represented devices inside the bus (at times the bus load was much superior to the bus capacity), so filtering was applied.

6.1 Filter Analysis

After an analysis of the data collected we verified that in some instants an absurd amount of individuals were detected in the bus, mostly likely representing devices outside the bus. This is due to the capture algorithm’s inability to differentiate devices inside the bus and outside the bus with complete certainty. The capture algorithm just assumes that if a device is detected long enough while some distance has been traveled, then the device is inside the bus.

Filtering was used as an attempt to discard those records. In light of this two types of filtering were used:

Distance Filtering: records with a straight line distance between origin and destination below $D$ are not considered;

Time Filtering: records with a time difference between origin and destination below $T$ are not considered.

This filtering is similar to the one already performed by the Data Collectors, but in this case we were able to experiment with higher thresholds.

Upon this decision, we decided to assess the impact that different values of time and distance filters would have in the data collected. Table 3 represents the impact that some selected filter values have on the total amount of traveler routes obtained.

We can see a big decrease in the total amount of traveler routes detected when a filter of 1000 meters and 300 seconds is applied. This indicates that there is a high amount of detected devices that were outside the bus. These are mostly detected during short periods of time and have a small distance between origin and destination points.

The usage of this technique can also result in discarding some devices that were inside the bus, but we considered that those records do not have much relevance to the information we want to acquire. A traveler that will use a bus to travel less than 1000 meters
can cover that distance by foot if necessary. In any case, the information still exists if it is considered to be valid, we just provide the means to selectively discard it in different views.

The values in Table 3 can also be used to have information regarding the amount of time that travelers spend in the bus, and the amount of distance travelers will use the bus for their needs.

As a speculation, we can say that as the amount of distance between origin and destination and time spent on the bus increases for a given device, the chances that the device represents an actual traveler on the bus increases.

We decided to use a 1000 meters distance filter along with a 300 seconds time filter to filter out devices outside of the bus to generate Origin Destination (OD) matrices. This can result in the exclusion of some legitimate records, however records with values lesser than the ones considered will not have a big impact on the bus network’s planning.

Different daily profiles were identified, and the amount of passengers in a day varied between 72 and 4431 passengers. These records have allowed us to successfully generate OD matrices, such as the one presented in Figure 10.

The data obtained can also be used to generate bus occupation charts. These display the bus load for every line segment performed between consecutive stations in a bus line. An example is displayed in Figure 11.

### 6.2 Assessment of the FNA Impact

Our Data Collector is able to distinguish stations detected only because of FNA (because they are detected when using exclusively frames to get in contact with our fake beacon producer). This information was kept in the records sent to the server, so we can assert the relevance of FNA using the stored records. Ana-
In these graphs we can observe similar load patterns in similar situations on different week days and months. These similarities provide some credibility to the data collected by this system and to the overall solution.

7 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper we presented a Wi-Fi based system that is able to collect travelers routes in public transportation vehicles, namely on buses. The system works without the cooperation of travelers other than having the Wi-Fi interface activated in their personal devices. The system is mainly passive, in the sense that it does not interfere with existing communications, except in the case of the FNA strategy, deployed for detecting otherwise silent devices. Nevertheless, the FNA strategy does not introduce any disruption on existing communications, since the fake hot-spots are not announced when there is one real in the vicinity of the Data Collector.

The system conceived was fully implemented and deployed in a bus for collecting real data. The Web interface developed for analyzing the data provided by the Data Collector and stored by the server allowed us to perform multiple analysis in order to validate it and conclude about its correctness.

The amount of results obtained is considerably higher than results obtained in different works using other technologies. This amount also indicated that devices outside the bus were still being detected, which resulted on the development of additional filtering strategies to be applied to the stored data.

The data collected was filtered and contextualized in order to generate Origin Destination (OD) matrices. The analysis on the generated OD matrices allowed us to assess the plausibility of the solution and to identify some behaviors and typical traveler routes, which can then be used to improve the service offered by the bus network.

Considering the results obtained we can say that Wi-Fi is a promising prospect regarding OD matrix estimation, a powerful resource for public bus networking planning.

For future work we want to validate our data with ticketing records obtained in buses, in order to assess the relationship between our occupancy loads and the number of passengers’ entries on buses.

6.3 Data Quality Assessment

To assess the quality of the data collected, we compared similar situations in different days, but for the same week day. This comparison was based on bus load by line segment between consecutive stations. Figure 12 represent comparisons between September 26, 2017 (Tuesday) and October 18, 2017 (Wednesday) during similar periods during rush hours.

Lyzing the data collected, we determined that the Fake Network Advertisement (FNA) strategy is responsible for 2.25% of all traveler routes obtained without filtering and 0.65% using the previous filters. These values refer to devices that were detected exclusively due to FNA.

Figure 12: Comparison of bus loads by route segment on line 500, obtained for the exact same route, for a similar hour (during rush hours) in two week days (Tuesday and Wednesday).
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