Indonesian Language Interference in Mandarin Writing Discourse of Chinese Literature Student

Niza Ayuningtias¹, Vivi Adryani Nasution¹

¹Departement of Chinese Literature , Universitas Sumatera Utara, Jl. Universitas No. 19 Kampus USU, Medan, Indonesia

Keywords: Language Interference, Syntactical Interference, Language

Abstract:

This study dealt with Indonesian interference in Mandarin Writing discourse of Chinese Literature's student. It aimed at describing syntactical interference which found in Mandarin writing discourse of Chinese Literature's student in Universitas Sumatera Utara and describing interferences which were the most dominant syntactical interference There were 101 including phrases and sentences collected as primary data. All these data were analyzed by using interference theory and sociolinguistic perspective. This research also used qualitative descriptive method and applied Miles and Huberman theory for the analysis. The research findings showed that the Interference on sentences is prominient. The highest frequency is sentence (83%) and followed by phrase (16,83%).

1 INTRODUCTION

The development of Mandarin language has experienced a significant increment in Indonesia. This is evidenced by the number of educational institutions both formal and informal which organize Mandarin teaching. One of universities that sees the importance of organinizing Mandarin language in this global trade period is the Universitas Sumatera Utara. The Universitas Sumatera Utara established the Department of Chinese Literature in 2007.

Mandarin language learners in Department of Chinese Literature of Universitas Sumatera Utara are from various cities and regions in Indonesia and their first language must be Indonesia language. The first language will affect the second language (foreign language) because the first language is the language that is first known and learned by the speakers, while the second language is learned after mastering the first language. This is language contact which probably occur language interference. The language interference is a phenomenon of one's failure in acquiring the second language.

Mandarin is used by bi/multilingual people commonly influenced by the first language. According to Harmer (1990:215), the first language acquisition commonly influences the students' ability in acquiring the second language. The way it

influnces in the process of acquiring the second language may be indirect, in which learnes cannot differ the patterns and rules of those language (Troike 2006:35).

Although Weinrich (1970), Mackey (1970), Haugen (1978) and Apple & Muysken in (Fauzianti, 2016:97) said that language interference occurres commonly in speech (oral), but it can possibly occurr in writing. The language interference can be a positive transfer and negative transfer. But in this research dealt with the negative transfer of language interference.

Weinrich (1953:14-47) in Chaer (2004:67) divided the form of interference into three parts. phonological interference, lexical interference, and grammatical interference (morphology and syntax).

Grammatical interference consists of two elements. This elements are morphology interference and syntactical interference. In the syntax field consists of sentence and phrase. The following are examples of interference which found in Department of Chinese Literature's students from Indonesian to Mandarin:

1) Syntactical interference on phrase 老师 Budi X Lǎoshī Budi (teacher) Budi Budi 老师 Budi lǎoshī Budi (teacher)

This phrase above meant to "Mr. Budi". The correct stucture of the prase above is "Budi lǎoshī". But due to the influence of the first language (Indonesian) structure, many students had made mistakes.

2) Syntactical interference on sentence 老师 对不起,今天 我 不 能 来 上课, 因为 我 生病。 X Lǎoshī duìbùqǐ, jīntiān wǒ bù néng lái shàn gkè, yīnwèi wǒ shēngbìng。

(Sir, I am sorry, today I can not attend class, because I am sick.)

老师 对不起,因为 我 今天 生病, 所以 今天 不 能 来上课。✓ <u>lǎoshī duìbùqǐ, yīnwèi wǒ jīntiān</u> <u>shēngbìng, suǒyǐ jīntiān bù néng lái shàngke</u>。

(Sir, I am sorry, because I am today sick, therefore today can not attend class.)

This sentence above meant to "Sir, i'm sorry, because today i am sick, therefore i can not attend your class". This sentence expressed "cause and effect" by using "因为 yīnwei.....所以 suŏyǐ.....". The patterns of sentence should first mention the reason or cause statement then followed by the consequences statement. The pattern of sentence should be "因为"+ reason/jīn tiān wǒ shēng bìng "今天我生病+"所以"+ bù nén lái shàng kẻ "不能来上课". But because it was influenced by the first language (Indonesian) habits, the use of the words yīnwèi ... suŏyǐ "因为 ... 所以" were reversed. Many sentences come to the consequences first and then followed by the reasons.

3) Syntactical interference on sentence 我们 打算 吃饭 在 印尼 饭馆。 X Wŏmen dǎsuàn chīfàn zài yìnní fànguǎn (We plan to eat in Indonesia restaurant.) 我们 打算 在 印尼饭馆 吃饭。 ✓ Wŏmen dǎsuàn zài yìnní fànguǎn chīfàn. (we plan in Indoneisa restaurant eat)

This sentence above means "we plan to eat in Indonesian restaurants". The adverb zài "在" has the meaning "di (in)". In Mandarin, the adverb zài "在" was placed after the subject, because many students who put adverbs zài "在" after the predicate still followed the structure of Indonesia language.

Because of several factors such as habits, level of students and length of study of Mandarin, students of the Department of Chinese Literature still brought and interfered with the elements of Indonesian into Mandarin. If this continues to occur, habits of the mother tongue will confuse the mastery of good and true Mandarin.

Based on phenomena above, the researcher did this study to figure out the form of syntactical interference and the most dominant of the syntactical interference was.

2 METHODOLOGY

This type of research was field research. The data were collected in the environment of the Departement of Chinese Literature, Faculty of Cultural Sciences, University of North Sumatra. Qualitative descrptive method was used as the reserch method. Descriptive research is a study of certain phenomena or populations obtained by researcher and the results of the analysis were presented through informal and formal methods.

Data collection was divided into primary data and secondary data. Primary data consisted of phrases and sentences that contain interfaces in the discourse. Primary data was collected through the assignment of writing discourse that had been determined by the researcher. The number of words in one writing discourse was 300 words. There were five themes that had been given and students could choose one of them. Discourse's themes were, (1) "爱好", (2) "家人", (3) "朋友", (4) "旅游", (5) "学校生活". Secondary data was obtained through journals, books, prior research and the internet.

2.1 Data Analysis

The data of grammatical interference that had been collected then come to the stage of data analysis. According to Miles and Huberman (1992:15) there are three stages of data analysis, there are:

1.Reduction

The data that had been through the collection process by using note-taking techniques were classified based on the grammatical interference of the syntactic field in the form of phrases and sentences.

2. Presentation of Data

The information obtained through the informant were presented sequentially starting from the data from the essay and the type of syntactic interference.

3. Conclusion / Verification Conclusion

The results of data that had been reduced and presented were evidence for drawing conclusions to get the truth verified.

Data analysis calculation method suggested by Halliday and Hasan (1976: 201) was used to calculate language interference. The number of language interference is divide by the total number of language interference then multiplied by one hundred.

 $\frac{\text{The number of language interference}}{\text{The total of language interference}} \times 100\%$

2.2 Data Persentation and Technique

The results of the analysis were presented through informal and formal methods. According to Sudaryanto in (Mahsun, 2005: 116) informal methods are formulations using ordinary words, including the use of technical terminology. Formal methods are formulations using signs or symbols.

In this grammatical interference study, researcher used two colors as markers. Green was used to mark phrases and red was used to mark sentences.

In this study, researcher also used the procedure for marking the examination of Mandarin writing discourse. He Lirong (2003: 22-24) divided the markers for examining essays/discourse in Mandarin.

- 1. 删减符号 shān jiǎn fúhào is a marker used to delete words or sentences that are not needed. This marker uses a sign
- 2. 增添符号 Zēngtiān fúhào is a marker used to add words or the sentence needed. This marker is used at the top of words or sentences that need addition by writing words or sentences that need to be added. This marjer uses a sign
- 3.填到符号 tián dào fúhào is a marker used to move the position of a word or sentence from <u>front</u> to back or vice versa. This marker uses a sign
- 4. 复原符号 fùyuán fúhào is a marker that is used to maintain the previous one that is wrong and has used the 删减符号 shān jiǎn fúhào sign to be deleted. But by using the sign 复原符号 fùyuán fúhào under the word or sentence it is considered correct. This marker uses a sign
- 5. 错字符号 cuòzì fúhào is a marker used to mark grammatically incorrect sentences. This marker uses an X sign.
- 6. 别字符号 biézì fúhào is a marker used to mark wrong words or pronunciation. This marker uses two signs, namely a sign placed under the wrong word, and can also use a sign by circling the wrong word or pronunciation.

- 7. 好词句符号 hǎo cíjù fúhào is a marker used to express the use of phrases or sentences that are very good and true. This marker uses two signs, 〇〇 or ~ which is used under the phrase or sentence
- 9. 前移符号 qián yí fúhào is a marker used to direct a sentence to move the position to the front. This marker uses a sign
- 10. 后移符号 hòu yí fúhào is a marker used to direct a sentence to move position to the back. This marker uses a sign
- 11. 另起行符号 Ling qǐ háng fúhào is a marker used to direct a sentence to move in a new line. This marker uses a sign______

3 DISCUSSION OF THE RESULT

The result of this research showed that there were language interference on sentence and phrase. This research found 101 data consisted of indonesian interference in the use of Mandarin writing discourse on phrase and sentence.

3.1 Syntactical Interference on Phrase

1) 韩国 语言 hánguó yǔyán

(Korean) (language)



韩 语 <u>Hán yǔ</u> (Korean)

Mandarin interference was found on the phrase " 韩国语言 hánguó yǔyán". In Indonesia language, this phrase means "Korean". The students were influenced by the Indonesian language pattern by translating the words "language and country" directly into Mandarin.

The right pattern in Mandarin is "country name (without 国) + 语". Therefore the right phrase should be "韩语".



Mandarin interference was found on the phrase " 男韩国 nán hán guó". In Indonesian language this phrase means "Korean guy". The students were influenced by the noun phrase pattern in Indonesia language "explained and explain (diterangkan menerangkan)" but the other hand, the pattern of noun phrases in Mandarin is "explain and explained (menerangkan diterangkan". While the word "孩 hái" was placed after the word "男 nán" to emphasize the purpose was "guy" (young man). Therefore the correct phrase to replace the noun phrase above is " 韩国男孩 hán guó nán hái".

3) 和其他

Khinese interference was found on the phrase "和 其他 Hé qī ta". In Indonesian language this phrase is referred to "and others / etc.". The students were influenced by the Indonesian language phrase pattern where the word "and another" translated word by word into Chinese.

The use of the word "和 其他 Hé qī ta" in Mandarin does not sound natural (自然 语言 zíran yǔyán) In Mandarin to express "and so on or etc." The right phrase is "等等 děng déng or 什么 的 shénme de.

4) 低学校 dī xuéxiào

(basic) (school)

Mandarin interference was found on the phrase " 低 学校 dī xuéxiào". In Indonesian language this phrase is referred to "elementary school (SD)". The students were influenced by the Indonesian phrase pattern where the word "basic" was translated as "低 dī" which means a "basic / low".In Chinese the right phrase for referring to elementary school level (SD) is "小学校 xiǎo xuéxiào"

Chinese interference was found on the phrase "读书 三级 dú shū nián jì". In Indonesian language this phrase referred to "grade 3". The students were influenced by the Indonesian language pattern where the word "school" was translated "读书 dú shū" and "grade 3" was translated "三级 sānjí".

The phrase pattern above did not match the pattern in Mandarin. The right word used is "年级 nián jì" while the word "书 shū" does not need to be placed after "读 dú". Therefore, the correct phrase to replace the phrase above is "读 三 年级 dú sān jì".

3.2 Syntactical Interference on Sentence

1) 但是我的姐姐不参加旅游跟我们。X dān shì wǒ de jiějie bù cānjiā lǔyóu gēn wǒmen. (but) (my sister) (did not) (take a vacation with us)

但是我的姐姐不跟我们参加旅游。

但是 我的姐姐 不跟 我们 一起 参加 旅游。 ✓

dān shì wǒ de jiějie bù gēn wǒmen yīqǐ cānjiā lǔyóu.

(but my sister did not have a vacation with us)

Mandarin interference was found on the sentence "但是我的姐姐不参加旅游跟我们 dān shì wǒ de jiějie bu cānjiā lǔyóu gēn wǒmen". In Indonesian language this sentence means "But my sister did not had a vacation with us". The students were influenced by the Indonesian language pattern. Although this sentence is acceptable in Indonesian, but the pattern is not acceptable in Mandarin.

" tidak ikut liburan dengan kami (did not have a vacation with us)" was not in accordance with the Mandarin language pattern. In Indonesian language pattern, the word "不 bù (tidak)" was placed before the word "参加 cānjiā (ikut)". The other hand, the nagation sentence pattern in Mandarin commonly used together with the word "跟 gēn" or the pattern is "不 bù + 跟 gēn + ……… + (一起 yī qǐ)". Therefore, the right sentence should be 但是我的姐姐不跟我们一起参加旅游。dān shì wǒ de jiějie bù gēn wǒmen yīqǐ cānjiā lǔyóu.

2) 我们学到了很多关于中国的知识在中文系。 X

wŏmen xuédao le hěn duō guānyǔ zhōngguó (de) zhīshi zai zhōngwénxi.

(We) (learn) (have) (a

lot) (about) (China) (knowldage) (in Departement of Chinese Literature)

我们 在 中文系 学到 了很 多 关于 中国 的 知识。 ✓

wŏmen zài zhōngwénxi xuédaò le hěn duō guānyǔ zhōngguó (de) zhī shi.

(We have learnt about China a lot at Departement of Chinese Literature.)

Mandarin interference was found on the sentence "我们 学到 了 很 多 关于 中国 的 知识 在 中文 系 wŏmen xuédao le hén duō guānyyǔ zhōng guo (de) zhī shi zài zhōng wénxi". In Indonesian language this

sentence means "We have learnt about China a lot at Departement of Chinese Literature". The Indonesian sentence pattern above was different from the Chinese sentence pattern. The adverb in Indonesian sentence above was placed at the end of the sentence while in Chinese the adverb was placed after the subject.

The sentence pattern in Mandarin should be Attributive (díng yǔ) + subject (主语 zhǔyǔ) + Kt. Description (状语 zhuǎngyǔ) + Predicate (谓语 wèyǔ) + complement (补语 bǔyǔ) + attributive (dìng yǔ) + object (宾语 bìnyǔ). Therefore, the correct one is 我们 在中文系 学到了很 多关于中国 的知识。wǒmen zài zhōngwénxi xuédaò le hěn duō guānyǔ zhōng guó (de) zhī shi.

3) 我 十二 点晚上完做 的 作业。 X Wǒ shí ér diǎn wǎn shàng wan zuò de zuòyè.

(I) (12 o'clock) (in the night) (finish) (do) (auxilary) (homework)

我 十 二 点 晚 上 完 <u>做</u> 的 作 业。**X**

<u>Wǒ shí ér diǎn wǎn shàng wan zuò de zuòyè</u>

我晚上十二点做完作业。✓ <u>Wǒ wǎn shàng shí ér diǎn zuò wan zuoỳe</u>. (I finished doing my homework at 12 o'clock in the night.)

Chinese interference was found on the sentence "我十二点晚上完做的作业Wŏ shí ér diǎn wǎn shàng wan zuò de zuòyè". In Indonesian language, this sentence means "I finished doing homework at 12 o'clock ". From the sentence structure above, it looked like that the students were influenced by the Indonesian language pattern "finished doing(selesai mengerjakan)" became "完做" wan zuò (完wan (finished),做 zuò (do) and "12 o'clock in the night (jam 12 malam)" became "十二点晚上shí ér diǎn wǎn shàng ".

In Mandarin the predicate must be followed by the word "完 wan (finished) or others result complement's words. Except 完 wan, there are other results complement such as 懂 dòng, 开 kāi, 见 jiàn, 到 dào and so on. The right sentence structure in Mandarin is "Subject + Predicate (verb) +

Complementary results (结果 补语 jiē guǒ bǔyǔ)". Example:

a. 我 听 懂 了 老师的话。

<u>Wǒ tīngdòng le laǒ shī de huà.</u>
Subject Predicate (auxilary) Object
(I listened to the teacher)

Therefore, the correct sentence to replace the sentence's pattern above is "我晚上十二点做完作业Wǒ wǎn shang shí ér diǎn zuo wan zuo'ye.

4) 我 一直 做 我 妈妈 骄傲。 X wǒ yīzhí zuò wǒ māma jiāo ào.
(I Always make) my mother proud)

我 一直 让 我妈妈 骄傲。 ✓ wǒ yīzhí ràng wǒ māma jiāo ào (I always make my mother proud of me.)

Mandarin interference was found on the sentence "我 一直 做 我 妈妈 骄傲 Wǒ yīzhí zuò wǒ māma jiāo ào". In Indonesian language this sentence means "I always make my mom proud of me ". It looked like the students were influenced by the Indonesian language element where the word "membuat (make)" was translated directly into "做 zuò" which means doing or making. In Indonesian language, the word "membuat (make)" could mean "produce; make (influence someone). Therefore the use of word "做 zuò " in this sentence was wrong, because this word referred "produce; become; make/doing something (no indication to influence someone) ". But the word "makes" which was referring to was "influential activity (引起 动作 的 施 动 者 yǐnjìn dòngzuò de shī dòng zhě). Therefore the right word to replace the word "做 zuò" is" 让 ràng "(我 一直 让 我 妈妈 骄 傲 wǒyīzhí ràng wǒ māma jiāo ào).

5) 从 Marsela, Putri 岛 坐 船 了。 X 10分钟 到 就 cóng Marsela, <u>Putri</u> dăo bù yuán <u>zuo`</u> chuán 10 fen zhong jiù daò le. (from Marsela, Putrri island not far by boat 10 minutes then arrive (auxilary)). 从 Marsela , Putri 岛 不 远 。 坐 分 钟 就 到了。 船 10

从 Marsela 到 Putri 岛 不 远。 坐 船 10分 钟 就 到了。 ✓ Cóng Marsela dào Putri dǎo bù yuán . zuo chuán 10 fēn zhong jiù dao le. (From Marsela island to Putri island is not far. It is only 10 minutes by boat.)

Mandarin interference was found on the sentence "从 Marsela, Putri 岛 不远 远 船 10 分 钟 就 就 了 cóng Marsela, Putri dǎo bù yuán zuò chuán 10 fēn zhong jiù daò le". In the Indonesian language this sentence means "From Marsela island to the Putri island is not far. It is only 10 minutes by boat". From the sentence structure above, it looked like that the students were influenced by the Indonesian language sentence pattern "from Marsela, the Putri island is not far ". In Indonesian language this expression is still acceptable where the word "to" is changed to a punctuation mark ", (comma)". But in Mandarin the word "从 cóng (from) must be followed by the word" 到 dào ", therefore the correct pattern to fix the sentence pattern above is"从 cóng + 到 dào ... ". The right sentence is 从 Marsela 到 Putri 岛 不 远。坐船 10分 钟 就 到了。Cóng Marsela dào Putri dǎo bu yuán . zuo chuán 10 fēn zhong jiu dao le".

6) 我 很 爱他们 都。 X
Wǒ hěn ài tāmen dōu.
(I) (very) (love) (them) (all (particle))
我 很 爱他们 都。

我 都 很爱他们。 ✓
Wǒ dōu hěn ài tāmen.
(I love them.)

Mandarin interference was found on the sentence "我 很爱 他们 都。wǒ hěn ai tāmen dōu." In Indonesian language this sentence means" I love all of them". It looked like the students were influenced by the Indonesian language element where the word "semua" was translated directly became "都 dōu" which means "all".

The particle "都 dōu" in the sentence above was not appropriate with the mandarin structure. It only can use before the object. Therefore the use of "都 dōu" in this sentence was wrong. The sentence should be "我 都 很爱他们" (Wǒ dōu hěn ài tāmen).

4 CONCLUSIONS

The research result showed that the total number of Indonesian interferences in the use of Mandarin writting discourse were 101 data. The sytactical interference occured on sentence and phrase. Of the two elements observed, syntactical intereference on sentence was prominent. The highest frequency was sentence (83%) and phrase (16,83%). It shows that the majority of the third year students need to learn more intensively Mandarin so that they can master the Mandarin very well.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This reserch was funded by Universitas Sumatera Utara, based on "Kontak Pelaksanaan Penelitian TALENTA Universitas Sumatera Utara Tahun Anggaran 2018 Nomor:. 2590/UN5.1.R/PPM/2017 tanggal 16 Maret 2018".

REFERENCES

- Chaer, A. 2004. Sosiolonguistik Pengenalan Awal (Jakarta: PT Rineka Cipta) p 67
- Fauzianti, E. 2016. Interferensi Gramatikal Bahasa Indonesia Dalam Bahasa Inggris: Kasus Pada Buku LKS Bahasa Inggris Untuk SLTP. Di Surakarta CALLS 17 97
- Haliday, MA., and Hasan, R. 1976. *Cohesion in English* (New York: Longman) p 201
- Harmer, JP., 1990. *Billingualty and Billingualism* (New York: Cambridge University Press)p 215
- He, L. 2003. Liuxuesheng Hanyu Xiezuo Jinjie (Beijing:Peking University Press) pp 22-24
- Mahsun. 2005. *Metode Penelitian Bahasa* (Jakarta: PT Raja Grafindo Persada) p 116
- Miles, MB., and Huberman, AM., 1992. Analisis *Data Kualitatif* (Jakarta: UI Press) p 15
- Troike, MS. 2006. Indtroducing Second Language Acquistion (New York: Cambridge University Press) p 35