A Solution for Ice Accretion Detection on Wind Turbine Blades
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Abstract: This paper proposes a solution for ice accretion detection on wind turbine blades. The solution involves an active deicing device that uses a conductive polymer paint to heat relevant surfaces of the blade under electric potential difference. This deicing system is used here to perform a dynamic thermal characterization of the blade for various operating conditions (with or without ice, with or without wind). The dynamical behavior differences highlighted are then exploited using a dynamic observer to detect ice accretion through the control signal produced by the observer. Tests carried out in a climatic chamber showed the validity and the accuracy of the proposed method.

1 INTRODUCTION

Cold areas are often attractive regions for wind turbine installation for two main reasons:
- they are well exposed to wind,
- the low temperatures increase air density, thus increasing the kinetic energy of the wind and consequently, the power captured by the wind turbine.

However, their wind turbine blades are subjected to icing which can lead to serious consequences for the production, maintenance and durability of the whole turbine (Jasinski et al., 1998) (Hochart et al., 2008).

Ice accretion can be caused by freezing rain, drizzle, freezing fog, or frost when the wind turbine is installed near water bodies. It usually appears on the intrados (to a lesser degree) and extrados, and / or on the leading and trailing edges (Kraj and Bibeau, 2010).

Icing reduces the aerodynamic efficiency of the blades as it changes the blade geometrical profile. This leads to production losses (Jasinski et al., 1998), (Ronsten, 2003). The mass of accumulated ice can significantly increase vibrations and also the radial loads on the blades due to centrifugal force. The system fastening the blades to the hub must be specifically sized to support the extra stress and avoid mechanical failure. Such a situation may require stopping the turbine during severe frost events.

From a safety point of view, chunks of ice can be detached during the turbine shutdown or can be projected during operation, causing lethal risks to maintenance operators or any other person in the vicinity of the wind turbine (Seifert, 2003).

Many solutions have been proposed in the literature to fight against turbine blade ice accretion (Parent and Ilinca, 2011), such as passive technologies, which aim to prevent the formation of ice on the blades (Kimura et al., 2003), (Dalili et al., 2009), or active technologies which operate when ice is detected. Most of these technologies come from the field of aviation and are based on mechanical deformation or the use of a heater for the leading edge (Botura and Fisher 2003) (Laakso and Peltola, 2005).

Active technologies require a means to detect ice accretion. According to (Homola et al., 2006) and (Parent and Ilinca, 2011), icing can be detected either directly or indirectly. Direct methods detect a change in a physical property caused by ice
accretion such as (and not cited in Homola et al., 2006) mass (Skrimpas et al., 2016), reflective properties (Berbyuk et al., 2014), electrical or thermal conductivity, dielectric coefficient and inductance (Owusu et al., 2013). Indirect methods are based upon detecting the weather conditions that lead to icing (humidity and temperature).

In this paper, a direct method is proposed. It involves a de-icing device built by the authors and recently published (Sabatier et al., 2016). This de-icing device uses a conductive polymer paint to heat relevant surfaces of the blade under potential difference. It is used here to perform a dynamical thermal characterization of the blade for various operating conditions (with or without ice, with or without wind). The dynamical behavior differences highlighted are then exploited using a dynamic observer to detect ice accretion through the control signal produced by the observer.

2 PROTOTYPE AND DEICING DEVICE PRESENTATION

The proposed ice accretion detection method involves an active de-icing device recently published (Sabatier et al., 2016). This de-icing device is based on heating relevant surfaces of the blade with conductive polymer paint under electric potential difference (Rescoll, 2011). Current flow through the paint film causes heating by the Joule effect that is proportional, among other things, to the film surface and thickness. The paint strip power supply is ensured by electrodes connected to the wind turbine auxiliaries from the hub.

For dynamical modeling and to evaluate the ice accretion detection method, prototypes of the blade root and the blade tip were constructed (figure 1). They integrate different layers of paint (grey parts) and thermocouples. They were used in a climatic wind tunnel at the “Centre Scientifique et Technique du Bâtiment (CSTB)” in Nantes (France) to learn more about how frost develops on a blade and especially on what parts of the blade. These prototypes were also used to obtain a dynamical model linking the voltage applied on the blade to the temperature at various points of the paint strips and also to validate the temperature control system.

3 THERMAL MODELING

3.1 Thermal Model with or without Ice

To obtain a thermal model of the blade heating system, the simplified representation of figure 2 was used. It comprises a blade (fiberglass and epoxy resin) having a large size (in the longitudinal direction, not shown here) fitted with two electrodes and then partially covered with paint. A sensor measures the temperature at the center of the paint.
strip. The assembly is protected and separated from ambient air by a layer of gelcoat.

As shown in (Sabatier et al., 2016), the thermal resistance and capacity of the blade (epoxy resin) can be neglected due to the high thermal resistance value of the blade. Without ice, the resulting thermal model is thus represented by figure 3, where $T_{\text{paint}}$ and $T_{\text{amb}}$ are respectively the paint & gelcoat temperature and the ambient air temperature. $R_{\text{paint}}$ and $C_{\text{paint}}$ are respectively the thermal resistance and capacity of the paint & gelcoat. $P_{\text{elec}}$ is the thermal power produced by the paint and $h$ is the paint-air convection coefficient. In view of the low respective thicknesses of the paint and the gelcoat, they were considered as the same material.

With ice, an RC cell representing the ice layer must be added between the paint & gelcoat and ambient air, as shown by figure 4, where $R_{\text{ice}}$ and $C_{\text{ice}}$ are respectively the thermal resistances and capacities of the ice.

With ice, an RC cell representing the ice layer must be added between the paint & gelcoat and ambient air, as shown by figure 4, where $R_{\text{ice}}$ and $C_{\text{ice}}$ are respectively the thermal resistances and capacities of the ice.

From figure 3 in the Laplace domain, the following equation linking the temperature of the paint to the electrical power and the ambient temperature can be obtained:

$$T_{\text{paint}}(s) = \frac{s C_{\text{paint}} + \frac{1}{R_{\text{paint}}}}{1 + s \frac{1}{\omega_{c}}} + T_{\text{amb}}(s)$$

and

$$T_{\text{ice}}(s) = \frac{T_{\text{paint}}(s) + T_{\text{amb}}(s)}{R_{\text{paint}} + R_{\text{ice}} + 1/h}$$

or after simplification

$$T_{\text{paint}}(s) = K_1 \left( \frac{1 + s}{\omega_{c}} + \left( \frac{s}{\omega_{2}} \right)^2 \right)$$

$$P_{\text{elec}}(s) + \frac{K_2}{1 + s \frac{1}{\omega_{3}}} T_{\text{amb}}(s)$$

with

$$K_1 = R_{\text{paint}} + R_{\text{ice}} + 1/h, \quad \omega_{c} = \frac{R_{\text{paint}}}{R_{\text{paint}} + R_{\text{ice}} + 1/h}$$

and

$$\omega_{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{R_{\text{paint}}(R_{\text{ice}} + 1/h)C_{\text{paint}}C_{\text{ice}}}}.$$
\[ z = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{R_{\text{paint}}(R_{\text{ice}} + \frac{1}{h})C_{\text{paint}}C_{\text{ice}}} \left( 1 + \frac{R_{\text{paint}}}{(R_{\text{ice}} + \frac{1}{h})} \right) C_{\text{paint}} + C_{\text{ice}} \]  

(6)

\[ K_2 = \frac{1}{R_{\text{paint}} + R_{\text{ice}} + \frac{1}{h}} \]  

(7)

\[ \omega_3 = \frac{R_{\text{paint}}(R_{\text{ice}} + \frac{1}{h})C_{\text{ice}}}{R_{\text{paint}} + R_{\text{ice}} + \frac{1}{h}} \]  

(8)

The thermal power applied by the paint, denoted \( P_{\text{elec}} \), is produced by an electronic dimmer controlled by a voltage \( u(t) \) such that:

\[ u(s) = \frac{P_{\text{elec}}(s)}{G_r} \]  

(9)

in which the gain \( G_r \) that characterizes the dimmer is defined by

\[ G_r = \frac{P_{\text{max}}}{10} \]  

(10)

### 3.2 Parameter Identification

Numerical values of the parameters in relations (1) and (3) were determined using measures recorded at 31 locations on the prototype as shown on figure 5.

To obtain the measures, a pseudo random binary sequence (PRBS) shown in figure 6 (top) was used for the control input \( u(t) \).

![Figure 5](image5.png)

Figure 5: Locations of temperature sensors (red dots) and heating paint strips (black) on the prototype.

This dynamic characterization was repeated for all the thermocouples on the heating strips and for different wind and ice conditions. As an example, the frequency responses of the models obtained for sensor #6 are shown in figures 7 and 8. Similar results were obtained for the other sensors.

![Figure 6](image6.png)

Figure 6: PRBS used for identification and a comparison of the model response with the measured temperature from sensor #6 (readjusted at 0°C) with ice \( (T_{\text{amb}} = -10^\circ\text{C}) \) and without wind.

![Figure 7](image7.png)

Figure 7: Comparison of the frequency response of the models obtained for sensor #6, without wind \( (T_{\text{amb}} = -10^\circ\text{C}) \) and with or without ice.
Comparisons of the model frequency responses reveal that:
- ice on the blade reduces the static gain and the corner frequency of the frequency responses;
- wind increases the corner frequency of the frequency responses and in accordance with relation (1) reduces the static gain through the variation in the convection exchange coefficient $h$.

It can be concluded from this comparison that:
- the dynamic behavior variations induced by ice can be exploited to detect ice accretion,
- wind also creates dynamic behavior variations that are linked to the convection exchange coefficient $h$.

4 ICE DETECTION SOLUTION

The differences in the dynamic behaviors observed with or without ice and highlighted in the previous section were next exploited with an observer to detect ice accretion. The feedback configuration of the observer means that the control signal, which is used to deduce ice accretion, can be immunized against noise and disturbances, while revealing the significant dynamical behavior differences due to ice accretion.

4.1 Observer-based Ice Accretion Detection

The observer-based detection proposed is described in figure 9. To detect ice, a control input $u(t)$ is applied to the dimmer that controls the heating of the paint strips. The resulting signal $T_{\text{blade}}$ measured by a temperature sensor is recorded. The same signal $u(t)$ is applied to the model obtained for the same sensor without wind and without ice. The estimated temperature $\hat{T}_{\text{blade}}$ thus obtained is compared to $T_{\text{blade}}$ to produce an error $\varepsilon_T$. The error is the input of a controller that modifies the input $u(t)$ to force the output of the model to cancel the error $\varepsilon_T$. The higher the output $v(t)$ of the controller, the greater the difference between the model and the blade ice accretion state. Signal $v(t)$ after filtering by filter $F(s)$ can thus be used to decide whether icing occurs or not.

Figure 9: Observer-based ice accretion detection.

4.2 Validation in a Climatic Chamber: without Wind

Let $G_6(s)$ be the transfer function linking the control voltage $u(t)$ to the temperature measured by sensor #6 with

$$G_6(s) = \frac{0.013}{0.0067 + s}. \quad (11)$$

The numerical values of parameters in relation (11) are computed to fit time responses in figure 6 through an optimization program. The Z-transform of $G_6(s)$ with a sampling period of 1s is thus

$$G_6(z^{-1}) = \frac{0.01296 - 0.9933z^{-1}}{1 - 0.9933z^{-1}}. \quad (12)$$

A controller $C(z^{-1})$ was designed to impose a gain crossover frequency at least 10 times greater than the corner frequency of $G_6(z^{-1})$ on the feedback
connection of $G_6(z^{-1})$ and $C(z^{-1})$. This controller is given by:

$$C(z^{-1}) = \frac{5.2619 + 0.1045z^{-1} - 5.1574z^{-2}}{1 - 1.3318z^{-1} + 0.3318z^{-2}}.$$ (13)

The following low pass filter

$$F(z^{-1}) = \frac{0.00995z^{-1}}{1 - 0.99z^{-1}}.$$ (14)

was then tuned (after a Fourier transform analysis) to attenuate the control input noise. For each test presented in the sequel, temperature was initially regulated at -1°C (initial condition) using the system designed in (Sabatier et al., 2016). A 10V control is sent to the dimmer to use maximum power to engage the melting ice very quickly. The time responses from the sensor (process), the output of the model (estimated temperature) and at the output of the controller $v(t)$ in the cases without or with ice are represented respectively in figure 10 and figure 11.

Figure 10: Case without ice: comparison of the temperature measure from sensor #6 (process) and from the corresponding model (top) and control signal $v(t)$ (bottom).

For the test with ice shown in figure 11, a large part of the power produced by the paint is absorbed by the state change in the ice/water and does not cause an increase in temperature. This leads to a small static gain for the system linking the dimmer control voltage and the temperature (thus a mismatch of the model to the system). Therefore a negative correction is produced to force the model to behave like the process. The control signal $v(t)$ at the output of the controller now exceeds the threshold. The temperature peaks that occur at times $[\approx 2000s]$ and $[4500-5000s]$ correspond to movements of water and air bubbles in the space between the ice and the plate. This phenomenon confirms that the ice is melting. In conclusion, the detection system of figure 9 produces a control signal whose level becomes large enough to make it possible to detect the presence of ice.

4.3 Validation in a Climatic Chamber: with Wind

With wind, thermal convection needs to be modeled precisely. The convection coefficient of $h$ (in W °C$^{-1}$) which appears in figure 4 has to be computed to parameterize the model in figure 9 as a function of the wind, and in particular the corner frequency $\omega_c$ defined by relation (2). To define the dependence of $\omega_c$ on the wind speed (to correctly detect the ice-free case), a series of characterization tests with a PRBS dimmer control voltage was carried out for winds up to 18 m/s. For each test, a model was identified leading to an estimation of parameter $\tau = 1/\omega_c$. 

Figure 11: Case with ice: comparison of the temperature measure from sensor #6 (process) and from the corresponding model (top) and control signal $v(t)$ (bottom).
Variations of the time constant $\tau$ with respect to wind speed are shown on figure 12 that highlights the model dependence on the wind speed. This figure also shows the approximation used in the model.

![Figure 12: Impact of the wind speed on the parameter $\tau = 1/\omega$ and approximation used in the model.](image)

Given the previous analysis, the corner frequency of the model $G$ in figure 9 can be adjusted to take wind conditions (or blade rotation speed) into account. The results obtained with this strategy are shown on figure 13 and 14. In figure 13, in spite of wind conditions and without ice, the control signal $v(t)$ remains small (less than the 1V threshold) thus leading to the conclusion of no ice accretion. Conversely, with ice accretion, figure 14 shows that the control signal $v(t)$ required to force the model to behave like the real process is larger than the 1V threshold. Thus ice accretion can be deduced.

![Figure 13: Case without ice and with wind (10 m/s): comparison of the temperature measure from sensor #6 (process) and from the corresponding model (top) and control signal $v(t)$.](image)

These results validate the efficiency of the proposed method, and especially the relevance of using an observer to detect the presence of ice. With ice, the control signal becomes large enough to make a decision.

5 CONCLUSIONS

Using a de-icing device recently proposed by (Sabatier et al., 2016) that involves a conductive polymer paint to heat relevant surfaces of the blade under electrical potential difference, an ice accretion detector is proposed. The differences in the dynamical thermal behavior of the paint with or without ice accretion are exploited with an observer to determine whether icing occurs or not. The proposed strategy was evaluated on a blade prototype in a climatic chamber. These tests showed the efficiency of the method both with and without wind.
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