Calibration of a Different Field-of-view Stereo Camera System using an Embedded Checkerboard Pattern
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Abstract: Knowing the correct relative pose between cameras is considered as the first and foremost important step in a stereo camera system. It has been of the interest in many computer vision related experiments. Much work has been introduced for stereo systems with relatively common field-of-views; where a few number of advanced feature points-based methods have been presented for partially overlapping field-of-view systems. In this paper, we propose a new, yet simplified, method to calibrate a partially overlapping field-of-view heterogeneous stereo camera system using a specially designed embedded planar checkerboard pattern. The embedded pattern is a combination of two differently colored planar patterns with different checker sizes. The heterogeneous camera system comprises a lower focal length wide-angle camera and a higher focal length conventional narrow-angle camera. Relative pose between the cameras is calculated by multiplying transformation matrices. Our proposed method becomes a decent alternative to many advanced feature-based techniques. We show the robustness of our method through re-projection error and comparing point difference values in 'Y' axis in image rectification results.

1 INTRODUCTION AND PREVIOUS WORK

The process of camera calibration has been of interest in computer vision field for many a year. Many advanced methods have been introduced not only for mono camera calibration but also for stereo camera setups. A majority of these introduced stereo camera calibration methods benefited from the idea of having relatively common or overlapping field-of-views. Conventional stereo cameras with similar specifications, such as identical focal lengths are used to fulfill this criterion.

On the other hand; the popularity of wide-angle lenses such as fish-eye cameras have started to increase as they hold wider field-of-views compared to conventional cameras. Barreto and Daniilidis have proposed a factorization approach without non-linear minimization to estimate the relative pose between multiple wide field-of-view cameras (Barreto and Daniilidis, 2004). As mentioned in (Micusik and Pajdla, 2006) a RANdom SAmple Consensus (RANSAC (Fischler and Bolles, 1981)) based polynomial eigenvalue method was introduced to estimate the relative pose of wide field-of-view cameras. Lhuillier presented another similar approach (Lhuillier, 2008) to the method mentioned in (Micusik and Pajdla, 2006), where a central model was first applied to estimate the camera geometry, which followed by applying a non-central model and a decoupling orientation-translation to identify the transformation. Antipodal epipolar constraint was used in the method introduced by Lim et al. (Lim et al., 2010) to estimate the pose of such wide field-of-view cameras. Apart from that, some optical flow estimation approaches have been introduced as cited in (Scaramuzza et al., 2006).

The drawback of these approaches is that they heavily depend on point features. Proper detection of these sensitive features is hard due to the irregular resolutions and lens distortions, particularly available in wide field-of-view cameras. Once these wide field-of-view cameras are combined with conventional narrow field-of-view cameras, estimating relative pose using rich feature points could no longer be a feasible approach.

In this paper, we present a flexible stereo calibration method for a different or partially-overlapping field-of-view heterogeneous stereo camera system. The system consists of a lower focal length wide-
Figure 1: Example of how conventional checkerboard pattern is visible in two different field-of-view cameras. (a) Left camera represents the wide field-of-view fish eye lens camera, where right camera represents the narrow field-of-view conventional camera. Since the field-of-views are different, visible areas of the checkerboard pattern are also different. (b) Example of real image sequence.

angle (fish eye lens) camera and a higher focal length narrow-angle camera. As in conventional camera calibration methods ([Zhang, 2000; Wei and Ma, 1993; Yu and Wang, 2006; Kwon et al., 2007]), our proposed method also requires the cameras to observe a planar checkerboard pattern in different orientations. Since the field-of-views between two cameras are different, using the conventional black-white checkerboard pattern was not feasible, and we used a specially designed embedded checkerboard pattern.

The structure of the paper is as follows: Section 2, consists of overall preliminaries of the proposed method. This includes information about the formation of the embedded planar checkerboard pattern we used. Section 3 presents our proposed camera calibration method. In section 4, we describe some validation experiment results using real image sequences taken in different scenarios, and make Comparisons between rectification results. We draw conclusions and future work in section 5.

2 PRELIMINARIES

The conventional method of showing a black-white planar checkerboard pattern is shown in Figure 1. Due to different field-of-views of stereo cameras, finding common areas of the checkerboard pattern in image sequences becomes more difficult. If the checkerboard pattern images are captured in a very close distance to cameras, many straight forward stereo camera calibrating systems become more hardly possible. Considering it as a fact, we decided to develop an embedded type checkerboard pattern by combining two different sized planar checkerboard patterns (Figure 2).

The embedded pattern consists of an 7 × 10 outer checkerboard pattern (colored in red-blue checkers)
Figure 4: Two different field-of-view cameras see the embedded color checkerboard pattern. (a) Left is the wide field-of-view fish eye lens camera, right is the narrow field-of-view conventional camera. Even though the view ranges are different, full independent checkerboard patterns are visible to both the cameras in continuous image sequences. (b) An example of how full independent checkerboard patterns are seen in both cameras. Left image is the full image seen by the left camera, right image is the full image seen by the right camera. Even though the right image is only a certain part of the left image, but still the whole inner checkerboard pattern is visible. This is the idea of using two different checkerboard patterns.

Figure 5: The heterogeneous camera system used in our proposed method. Left camera is the wide field-of-view fish eye lens camera (focal length \( \sim 3.5 \) mm). Right camera is the conventional narrow field-of-view camera (focal length \( \sim 8 \) mm). Both cameras are mounted on a steel panning bar.

3 THE STEREO CAMERA CALIBRATION METHOD

The new stereo camera calibration method is described in the upcoming sections. In our method, we first perform individual mono calibrations of both the cameras. There, we first calculate camera matrices and distortion coefficients. We generate undistort images using these calculated parameters and provide those undistort image sequences as input images to our proposed stereo calibration method.

3.1 Experiment Setup

The experiment setup we used is depicted in Figure 5. Heterogeneous cameras are mounted on either side of a panning bar. For outdoor experiments, we firmly attach this setup to the front mirror of a general-purpose vehicle. Left is the wide field-of-view fish eye lens camera (focal length \( \sim 3.5 \) mm); where right is the conventional narrow field-of-view camera (focal length \( \sim 8 \) mm). Images are captured with a resolution of 1280 \( \times \) 1440.

3.2 Individual Mono Camera Calibration

We start our proposed stereo camera calibration by performing individual mono camera calibrations. These mono calibrations are done separately to generate undistort images for distorted input image sequences. As in conventional methods, we show the embedded checkerboard pattern to both the cameras and capture images in different orientations separately. Simultaneous acquisition of good calibration images in a closer distance is unfeasible due to different view angles of the cameras (as described in Section 2).

After capturing image sequences, we split them into their respective R, G, B channels. The fish eye camera sees both the outer pattern and the inner pattern. Similarly, the narrow angle camera sees the full
Figure 6: Input images are split into $R$, $G$, $B$ channels to distinguish outer pattern from the inner pattern. (a) Wide field-of-view camera image contains both outer and inner patterns, and we only wanted the outer pattern. Only the $R$ channel is extracted and given as the left input image. (b) Narrow field-of-view camera image sees the full inner pattern and a part of the outer pattern. We wanted this camera to see the inner pattern only, since the inverted $G$ channel is extracted and given as the right input image.

Figure 7: The stereo camera calibration method. Method is based on performing individual camera calibrations first. The estimated extrinsic parameters are then used to calculate for the relative pose between two cameras.

inner pattern and a partial area of the outer pattern. In our method, we wanted to let the fish eye camera to see only the outer pattern, whereas the narrow angle camera to see only the inner pattern.

Once we extract the $R$ channel from left input images; we managed to get images which contain only the outer pattern (Figure 6a). Similarly, we first extracted the $G$ channel from the right input images, and inverted it to get images which only contain the inner pattern (Figure 6b).

Once the images are properly extracted, we performed individual calibrations according to the well-known Zhang’s camera calibration method.

3.3 Stereo Camera Calibration

After individual calibrations of the cameras; we follow simple steps mentioned in Figure 7 to perform stereo camera calibration. As individual calibrations, stereo calibration method also depends on Zang’s method. The first corner position of the outer pattern is considered as the origin of the world coordinate system. The origin of the inner pattern is normally the first corner position, but since the view points of both cameras are different, this origin is shifted toward the origin of the outer patterns origin. This is depicted in Figure 8. Intrinsic calibration gives the transformation of both the cameras with respect to the world coordinate system. In stereo calibration, we determine to know the transformation between two cameras (the pose). Here keeping the left-wide angle lens as the reference camera, we simply multiply two
transformation matrices to obtain the relative pose between two cameras. Equations 1 to 4 show the process of stereo calibration.

\[
[R|t]_{LR} = ([R|t]_{WL})^{-1} \times [R|t]_{WR} \tag{1}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} & t_1 \\
  r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} & t_2 \\
  r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} & t_3 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \tag{2}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} & t_1 \\
  r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} & t_2 \\
  r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} & t_3 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \tag{3}
\]

\[
s_x = \| r_{11}, r_{21}, r_{31} \| \\
s_y = \| r_{12}, r_{22}, r_{32} \| \\
s_z = \| r_{13}, r_{23}, r_{33} \| \tag{4}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
\text{Conventional} & \text{Proposed} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
\text{Set 1} & 2.55957 & 1.61847 \\
\text{Set 2} & 2.66457 & 1.74390 \\
\text{Set 3} & 3.25659 & 1.90601 \\
\text{Set 4} & 3.44579 & 2.03619 \\
\hline
\text{Average} & 2.98161 & 1.82614
\end{array}
\]

4 EXPERIMENT RESULTS

We have performed two different experiments to evaluate the accuracy of our proposed calibration technique. Image sequences are captured in outdoor environments. Additionally, we performed image rectifications ((Papadimitriou and Dennis, 1996), (Loop and Zhang, 1999), (Fusiello et al., 2000)) to evaluate the robustness of our method. Image sequences are captured using the system setup mentioned in Figure 5.

Table 1 summarizes individual calibration results of the cameras. The average pixel errors for both cameras determine the success of individual calibrations. Similarly, Table 2 and 3 summarize stereo camera calibration results for two experiment setups. Re-projection errors are also summarized beneath, which affirms the robustness of our proposed method. Figure 9a represents an example of image rectification result created using our proposed method; where Figure 9b shows a rectification canvas image created using calibration parameters obtained from a conventional black-white calibration pattern. Pixel wise error comparison between two output results affirm that our proposed calibration method is a proper alternative for conventional calibration methods. Some additional rectification results are depicted in Figure 10. The green lines drawn depict the epilines. Average ‘Y’ value difference for 4 rectified images drawn using our method was about 1~2 pixels, where it was around 2.5~4 pixels in the conventional method. These experiment results affirm that the proposed method is a good alternative to already experimented calibration methods when the field of views are different. Rectification comparison results are summarized in Table 4.
Figure 9: Comparisons between stereo rectification results. (a) An example of rectification performed using stereo calibration results obtained from our proposed method. Epipolar lines are drawn to see the accuracy of the rectification. A part of the rectification canvas is magnified, and it determines corner locations lie on the same epipolar line. (b) Rectification result done using stereo camera calibration results obtained using a conventional black-white checkerboard pattern. Magnified area shows $2 \sim 3$ pixel error in rectified result.

Figure 10: Examples of rectification results created using proposed stereo camera calibration parameters. Epipolar lines pass through similar point locations with a considerably low error precision. These rectification results affirm the robustness of our proposed stereo calibration method.
5 CONCLUSIONS

This paper presented an easy-to-use stereo camera calibration method for a heterogeneous camera system. The heterogeneous camera system consists of two different and partially overlapping field-of-view wide angle camera and a narrow angle camera. Due to the deformities of viewing angles of cameras, we could not apply the conventional stereo camera calibration methods. Some of the methods require the cameras to see a white-black checkerboard pattern, which can be heavily dependent on extracting very sensitive corresponding feature points. Performing stereo calibrations based on these methods becomes more unfeasible for a heterogeneous system.

To overcome different field-of-view problem, we developed a special checkerboard pattern by combining two differently colored planar checkerboards. We defined the big pattern as the outer pattern, and the small pattern overlaid on the outer pattern as the inner pattern. Wide angle camera sees both the inner pattern and outer pattern, where the narrow angle camera sees the full area of the inner pattern (with a partial area of the outer pattern). We used basic channel splitting method to distinguish inner pattern area from the outer pattern area. We extracted $R$ channel from the left image and $G$ channel from the right image. The relative pose between two cameras is calculated using transformation matrices between left and right cameras with respect to checkerboard pattern. We evaluated the accuracy of the proposed calibration method by doing stereo rectification experiments. The average re-projection error and rectification result comparisons affirmed the robustness of our method.
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