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Abstract: Constraint-Based Local Search (CBLS) is an approach for quickly building local search solvers based on a declarative modelling framework for specifying input variables, constraints and objective function. An underlying engine can efficiently update the optimization model to reflect any change to the input variables, enabling fast exploration of neighbourhoods as performed by local search procedures. This approach suffers from a weakness when moves involve modifying the value of a large set of input variables in a structured fashion. In routing optimization, if one implements the optimization model by means of integer variables, a two-opt move that flip a portion of route requires modifying the value of many variables. The constraint on this problems are then notified about many updates, but they need to infer that these updates constitute a flip, and waste a lot of time. This paper presents this multi-variable limitation, discusses approaches to mitigate it, and proposes an efficient implementation of a variable type that represents sequences of integers to avoid it. The proposed implementation offers good complexities for updating and querying the value of sequences of integers and some mechanisms to enable the use of state-of-the art incremental global constraints.

1 INTRODUCTION

Constraint-Based Local Search (CBLS) is an approach for representing declarative optimization models for local search optimization where the optimization problem is represented by means of variables and invariants (Van Hentenryck and Michel, 2009). Invariants are directed constraints that have designated input and output variables and that maintain the value of these output variables according to their specification and to the value of the input variables. Decision variables are not the output of any such directed constraints. A local search procedure can explore neighbourhoods by modifying these decision variables and query the value of a variable that is maintained by the model and represents the objective function.

This is the approach implemented by the frameworks Comet, Kangaroo, OscaR.cbls, LocalSolver, and InCELL (Van Hentenryck and Michel, 2009; Newton et al., 2011; De Landtsheer and Ponsard, 2013; Benoist et al., 2011; Pralet and Verfaillie, 2013). In such frameworks, several variable types might be available, such as Boolean, Integer, Float, Set of Integer, and List of Integer. A key aspect that updating invariant should be as efficient as possible in order to provide fast neighbourhood exploration.

An important drawback of the CBLS approach is the potential loss of efficiency of the model compared to a dedicated model to evaluate structured moves involving several variables. We call it the multi-variable limitation. The multi-variable limitation occurs when a move requires modifying a large number of input variables altogether, and this move actually implements some structurally consistent modification of the model that could be captured in a more symbolic and global way with a \(O(1)\) sized representation. Such more symbolic representation of the move would typically enable efficient global reasoning of the impact of that move onto the constraint of the problem.

For instance, a routing problem, such as a Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP) (Schrijver, 2005), can be represented by a series of integer variables, called “next”, each of them being associated with a node of the routing problem, and specifying the node that must be reached when leaving the associated node. Evaluating a 2-opt move, that flips a section of a route, requires modifying each “next” variable associated with nodes included in the flipped section, thus requiring \(O(n)\) updates (Croes, 1958). An invariant maintaining the routed distance from a distance matrix is then notified about the change of each modified
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An important drawback of the CBLS approach is the potential loss of efficiency of the model compared to a dedicated model to evaluate structured moves involving several variables. We call it the multi-variable limitation. The multi-variable limitation occurs when a move requires modifying a large number of input variables altogether, and this move actually implements some structurally consistent modification of the model that could be captured in a more symbolic and global way with a \(O(1)\) sized representation. Such more symbolic representation of the move would typically enable efficient global reasoning of the impact of that move onto the constraint of the problem.

For instance, a routing problem, such as a Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP) (Schrijver, 2005), can be represented by a series of integer variables, called “next”, each of them being associated with a node of the routing problem, and specifying the node that must be reached when leaving the associated node. Evaluating a 2-opt move, that flips a section of a route, requires modifying each “next” variable associated with nodes included in the flipped section, thus requiring \(O(n)\) updates (Croes, 1958). An invariant maintaining the routed distance from a distance matrix is then notified about the change of each modified
variable and is therefore updated in $O(n)$ time, simply because it is notified about $O(n)$ updates. The cost of evaluating such move is therefore $O(n)$ time, because of the $O(n)$ updated variables, and the $O(n)$ notifications sent to the listening invariant. A routing optimization engine implemented with a dedicated algorithm could easily reason on the logical meaning of a 2-opt and evaluate the same neighbour in $O(1)$ time, especially if the distance matrix is symmetric (Glover and Kochenberger, 2003).

There are several ways to mitigate the multi-variable limitation, namely, change the order of exploration, transmit more symbolic information beside variables, use symbolic differentiation, or add more structured variable types. Let us review them in order to motivate our approach.

Choosing the Order of Exploration of the Neighbourhood (Glover and Kochenberger, 2003). In the case of the aforementioned 2-opt move, one can gradually widen the flipped route segment, so that each neighbour can be explored in turn, and going from one neighbour to another one requires moving two points. We call this exploration mode the circle mode, as opposed to the star mode. In star mode, the state of the model is rolled back to the initial state between each explored neighbour. Circle mode exploration is however hard to combine with heuristics such as selecting nodes among the k-nearest ones in vehicle routing, that allows one to suggest a few relevant neighbours among e.g. a 2-opt and explore these moves exclusively.

Transmitting additional symbolic information through the model, e.g. by proposing the notion of “aggregate of variables” such as “array of integer variables” and transmit the symbolic nature of the move to the invariants listening to the aggregate, so that they can update their output value efficiently using global algorithms. This approach would not fully solve the issue because the $O(n)$ variables involved in the move would be updated anyway since they exist, and their value might be queried by the invariants listening to the aggregate.

Performing symbolic differentiation of the model to automatically use the best algorithm for evaluating neighbours. This option is complex because it requires reasoning on the structure and global semantic of the model. It also requires to deploy additional reasoning tool, e.g. SMT-solvers like Z3 (De Moura and Björner, 2008).

Introducing structured variable types, so that complex moves are performed on the value of the variable and can be described in a more symbolic way as a delta on this value. Those moves can be implemented efficiently and then used as powerful primitives for writing efficient global algorithms.

This paper focuses on this last approach and proposes an implementation of a variable type representing sequences of integers, suitable for a CBLS solver. The goal is to achieve similar algorithmic complexity to the one achievable by a dedicated implementation, while still providing a high degree of declarativity as proposed by the CBLS approach.

We focus on this type of variable for two reasons. First, sequence of integers could be deployed to represent various optimization problems that have a notion of sequencing including car sequencing, routing, flow-shop scheduling, etc. Routing optimization is an area where local search is widely used; it can benefit from our sequence variable. Second, string solving is an active topic of research (Abdulla et al., 2015; Fu et al., 2013; Ganesh et al., 2011; Scott et al., 2015). Providing a variable of type “sequence of integers” supporting efficient global updates within a generic local search engine can constitute an opportunity to make the development inherent to such research easier (Björdal, 2016).

This paper is focusing a lot on the efficiency of the underlying data-structures for representing sequences of integers. It often uses the complexity notation $O(\ldots)$. It implicitly refers to the time complexity, unless otherwise specified.

The paper is structured as follows: Section 2 presents various frameworks for implementing local search and the way they support global constraints on sequences, it also introduces the OscaR.cbls engine with more details; Section 3 presents the requirements over an implementation of such sequence variable in a CBLS framework; Section 4 discusses the data-structure and the API of our implementation and concludes with complexity; Section 5 validates our approach by presenting how global constraints can easily be implemented based on our API (Application Programming Interface); Section 6 presents some benchmarks of our implementation; Finally, Section 7 concludes.

2 BACKGROUND

This section first presents several CBLS frameworks, and then introduces the necessary vocabulary of CBLS for the remaining of the paper, based on the OscaR.cbls engine.

2.1 Local Search Frameworks

Local search frameworks aim at making the development of local search solutions much simpler. To
this end, they provide different degrees of support for the modelling of the problem or the elaboration of the search procedure.

EasyLocal++ is a framework that requires a dedicated model to be developed from scratch using ad-hoc algorithms. It mainly provides support for declaring the search procedure (Di Gaspero and Schaerf, 2003). As such, it does not suffer from the multi-variable limitation, but it does not provide as much assistance in the development of a model as a CBLS framework would. Notably it does not allow the developer to package efficient global constraints that can be instantiated on demand.

There are a few tools supporting constraint-based local search, namely: Comet (Van Hentenryck and Michel, 2009), Kangaroo (Newton et al., 2011), OscaR.cbls (OscaR Team, 2012), LocalSolver (Benoist et al., 2011), and InCELL (Pralet and Verfaillie, 2013).

Comet, Kangaroo, and OscaR.cbls support Integers and Sets of Integers. InCELL supports a notion of variable that is a sequence of other variables. However, it does not offer a unified data structure for reasoning on the sequence itself, and no information is available about the added value of such sequence of variables (Pralet and Verfaillie, 2013). LocalSolver supports a variable of type list of integers, where each value can occur at most once (Benoist et al., 2011). No detail is given about how these lists of integers are actually implemented and it supports very few invariants and constraints related to this list variable.

Beside CBLS tools, there are many global constraint algorithms that have been developed, notably for routing optimization. The most classical example is the route distance invariants that computes the distance driven by a vehicle, given its route, and that quickly updates this distance when a routing move is performed such as a 2-opt or a 3-opt (Glover and Kochenberger, 2003). Another example is the travelling delivery man problem described in (Mladenović et al., 2013) that relies on pre-computation to update a complex metrics in $O(1)$ for a large proportion of classical routing moves. Such global constraints only require a high-level description of the move to perform their update efficiently. Implementing such algorithm therefore requires something in the vein of our proposed sequence variable. Our contribution is to propose such implementation within a generic framework, under the form of a dedicated variable with the appropriate underlying data structure, so that these global constraints can be implemented easily, and instantiated in a flexible way as done with other constraints in a CBLS engine.

2.2 CBLS, the OscaR Way

Since this contribution has been done in the context of the OscaR.cbls tool, we further introduce the basic concepts of CBLS using the vocabulary of OscaR.cbls. As usual in local search, solving a problem involves specifying a model and a search procedure.

The model is composed of incremental variables (integers and set of integers at this point), and invariants which are incremental directed constraints maintaining one or more output variables according to the atomic expressions they implement (e.g. Sum: the sum of inputs). Constraints are special invariants that maintain their violation as an output variable. They are Lagrangian relaxations of their specification. Besides they also maintain some information about which variable cause the violation.

The search procedure is expressed using neighbourhoods, which can be queried for a move, given the current state of the model, an acceptance criterion, and an objective function. Combinators are a set of operators on neighbourhoods that combine them and incorporate various metaheuristics, so that a complex search strategy is represented by a composite neighbourhood totally expressed in a declarative way (De Landtsheer et al., 2015). A library of combinators is available for specifying standard metaheuristics (e.g. simulated annealing, restart, hill climbing), for managing solution (e.g. when to save the current state, or restore a saved state), and for expressing stop criteria.

In order to set up the floor for the introduction of the new sequence variable, we give details on how the model is represented and it is updated during the search.

The data structure behind a model is a graph, called the propagation graph, which we can approximate to a directed acyclic graph, where the nodes are variables and invariants. Variables have an associated type and implement specific algorithms related to their type. Invariants have specific definitions, and implement this definition mostly through incremental algorithms. Edges in the graph represent data flows from variables to listening invariants and from invariants to controlled variables. The directed acyclic graph starts with input (a.k.a. decision) variables, and typically ends at a variable whose value is maintained to be the one of the objective function. Figure 1 illustrates a propagation graph for a simple warehouse location problem.

In such engine, propagation is about propagating updates along the propagation graph in such a way that a node is reached at most once by the update wave, and only if one of its inputs has changed and
if needed by the model update. OscaR.cbls manages this wave by sorting the nodes based on the distance from the decision variables. The propagation is coordinated through a dedicated heap that aggregates nodes at the same distance in a list. This offers a slightly better time complexity than the classical approach based on topological sort initially presented in (Van Hentenryck and Michel, 2009).

![Figure 1: Propagation graph on a warehouse location problem.](image)

The search for a solution starts from an initial solution and explores the specified neighbourhood. Each neighbour solution is examined by modifying the input variables, and querying the objective function of the model which is updated through propagation.

During propagation, variables notify each invariant listening to them about their value change. Such notifications carry the necessary information about the value change of the variable. For integer variables, it carries a reference to the variable, and the old and new value of the variable. For set variables, it carries a reference to the variable, the old value of the variable, the new value of the variable, and both the set of values that have been added and removed from the variable. All values transmitted by variables, through notification or through queries to the variables are immutable, to make the implement of algorithms in invariants easier.

### 3 REQUIREMENTS OVER A SEQUENCE VARIABLE

Our contributed sequence variable implements the following set of requirements, which have been identified from the way such variables are to be used in a CBLS engine, and from opportunities that they could open up, notably for supporting efficient global constraints:

- **speed-exploration** Sequence variables value should be updated very quickly in the context of neighbourhood exploration to reflect moves that are typically explored in routing, such as insert value, remove value, 1-opt, 2-opt, 3-opt.

- **symbolic-large-delta** Sequence variables should transmit the symbolic structure of such move that involve large modification of their value, so that invariants that derive values out of sequences of integer receive the high-level information of the delta that the variable has encountered.

- **pre-computation** There should be some kind of mechanism for invariants to know when they can perform pre-computation on the current value of a sequence variable, so that they can exploit such pre-computation in order to quickly update the neighbour values during neighbourhood exploration.

- **speed-move-taken** Sequence variables should be updated quickly to reflect move that are being taken, considering the same moves as the requirement speed-exploration. This requirement has a lower priority than speed-exploration since there are more neighbours explored than moves taken.

- **immutable-value** The value representing a sequence of integers should be non-mutable, that is: once transmitted to an invariant or saved, it should not be modified. A variable can of course change its value. This requirement is relevant because sequences are represented by complex and non-atomic data structures.

From the set of neighbourhoods mentioned in the speed-exploration and speed-move-taken requirements, we identify the following basic updates that our implementation must support:

- **insert** an integer value at a given position, and shift the tail of the sequence by one position upwards. The parameters of this update are: the position of the insert, and the inserted value.

- **delete** the integer value at a given position, and shift the tail of the sequence by one position downwards. This update has one parameter that is the position of the deleted integer.

- **seq-move** that moves a sub-sequence to another position, and optionally, flip it during the move. This update has four parameters: the start position of the moved segment, the end position of the moved segment, the position after which the segment must be moved to, and a Boolean specifying if the subsequence is flipped during the move.

These updates can be composed together to constitute a composite update, such as a two point move in Pick-up and Delivery Problems (PDP) (Savelsbergh and Sol, 1995), or a value assign in string optimization that is a composition of delete and insert.
4 IMPLEMENTATION

This section presents our implementation of a sequence of integers. It starts by a description of our dedicated data structures for representing immutable sequence values. Then, we introduce how sequence variables interact with sequence values, as well as our check-pointing mechanisms. We wrap up with a table presenting the complexity of all the queries and update operations of our sequence variable.

4.1 Sequences as Mappings

Sequences can be represented using several data structures. Typically, they can be represented using lists, arrays or mappings from positions to values. All these data-structures can be mutable or non-mutable. Mutable data structures are forbidden altogether in our approach because of the immutable-value requirement. Non-mutable lists and array have $O(n)$ complexity for insert, delete and seq-move operations. Besides, lists do not enable accessing their elements by positions efficiently.

Our approach is to represent sequences of integers as a continuous mapping from positions to values, where positions are integer values ranging from zero to the length of the sequence minus one.

Exploring such relations for consecutive values is therefore costly because they are typically implemented through $O(\log(n))$ balanced trees data structures. Our implementation provides a standard mechanism for speeding up sequence explorations. An explorer is a temporary non-mutable object representing a certain position in a given sequence. It can be queried for the position in the sequence and the value at its position. Besides, an explorer can be queried for the explorer at the next or at the previous position in the sequence. For instance, an explorer on a red-black tree supports the value and position queries in $O(1)$ and the next and prev operation in $O(1)$, amortized.

4.2 Stacked and Concrete Updates

Thanks to the representation as a map, we can implement the speed-exploration requirement through stacked updates: when a move is explored, a dedicated non-mutable class is created that offers the same API as a sequence, and behaves according to the value it represents by translating and forwarding queries it receives to the original non-modified sequence. Such dedicated non-mutable class representing modified sequences can be instantiated in $O(1)$ and are called stacked updates because they constitute a stack of updates, starting at the concrete sequence. For each of the three update classes (insert, remove, seq-move), a dedicated class implementing a sequence modified according to this move class can be implemented.

For instance, considering the remove operation, the query that gets the value at a given position is implemented as follows:

```java
class RemovedPointSequence(
    originalSequence : IntSequence,
    positionOfRemove : Int
) extends IntSequence {

    def getValueAtPosition (pos : Int) =
        if (pos < positionOfRemove) pos
        else pos+1
}
```

Each forwarding performed by the stacked updates adds up to the complexity of such queries, generally a $O(1)$ term, which can have a more-less important constant weight. Stacked updates are designed for neighbourhood exploration, provided the exploration is performed in a star mode, and provided each move is performed on the initial value of the sequence. They are however not adapted to exploration performed in circle mode, nor to committing moves when they are actually taken, because they would accumulate and the overhead would make them impractical. Such updates are therefore performed on the concrete representation of sequences.

The concrete representation of a sequence represents the map from positions to values through a double mapping that maps positions to an internal position and then maps the internal position to the actual value.

The first mapping is a piecewise affine bijection, where each affine piece has a slope $+1$ or $-1$ and an offset. Each of these affine transformations apply within a given interval of value taken from the range of positions in the sequence. A red-black tree maps the starting value of the interval of each affine transformation to the actual transformation. Given a position in the sequence, the corresponding internal position can thus be identified in $O(\log(k))$ where $k$ is the number of affine transformations in this mapping.

The reverse transformation is also available with the same representation. This mapping is illustrated in Figure 2.

The second mapping is made of two red black trees, one maps the internal position to the actual value, and the other is the reverse; it maps a value to the set of internal positions where it occurs.

The purpose of the double mapping is that the first mapping can be efficiently updated in $O(k \times \log(k))$ to reflect the three update operations considered here. Applying such update can increase or decrease the
number of affine functions in the first mapping. The update procedure of this mapping ensures that the number of affine functions is minimal, in most cases. This is how the requirement speed-move-taken is implemented in our system.

To avoid a significant increase of the number of affine segments in the first mapping, it is bounded at start-up to a maximal value. Whenever this value is reached, a regularization operation is performed to simplify the first mapping to the identify function, and correct the two potentially large red black trees of the second mapping accordingly. The choice of this maximal k value is a key choice and will be investigated in more detail later in this paper, both from the theoretical point of view of the resulting complexity and from the practical point of view through some benchmarks.

The concrete representation and the stacked updates are all implemented through non-mutable data structures exclusively to comply with the requirement immutable-value.

An illustration of the object actually created in shown in Figure 3 in the case of a sequence with a stacked delete update. It shows the internal structure of the concrete sequence, with its bijection and the two red black trees, and the stacked update representing the sequence where the value at a given position has been deleted. They both implement the API of IntSequence.

4.3 Checkpointing

Sequence variables support a notion of checkpoint that serves the following purposes:

- notify invariants about the possibility to perform pre-computation that they can exploit in order to evaluate neighbour solutions in the context of neighbourhood exploration. Neighbourhoods operating on a sequence variable are therefore required to notify to the variable when they start a star-mode exploration and register the current value as a checkpoint, and must release the checkpoint after the exploration is completed.
- provide an operation “roll back to checkpoint” to neighbourhoods, so that a neighbourhood can simply invoke this update operation, and the variable can reload the previous value in O(1) by reverting to the previous value (which is still stored in the internal structure of the variable).
- provide a notification message that the sequence variable can send to the invariant to notify that the value has been reverted to the latest declared checkpoint. Invariants might be able to update their output and/or internal state efficiently, knowing this high-level information.

The OscaR.cbls framework supports the cross-product of neighbourhoods (De Landtsheer et al., 2015). It is implemented by nesting one neighbourhood into another, with optional, user-specified pruning. The check-pointing mechanism proposed here must therefore support stacked checkpoints, so that the outer and the inner neighbourhoods can both declare their checkpoint and interact with the variable as if they were operating alone.

We can distinguish three strategies for communicating checkpoints between neighbourhoods and invariants. First, a transparent-checkpoint approach can be used, where all checkpoint definition and release are communicated to the listening invariant. Second, only the topmost checkpoint is communicated, third, only the latest checkpoint is communicated; additional release instructions are inserted in this last communication by the sequence variable to ensure a coherent presentation of the checkpoint definition and release. So far, Our system so far incorporates the latest-checkpoint strategy.

To use this mechanism, neighbourhoods must first notify that they will explore around the current value of the variable, setting it as a checkpoint, so that invariants are notified that they should perform their pre-computations on this value. Then, the neighbour-
hood can perform its exploration by repeatedly moving to a neighbour solution, evaluating the objective function, and performing a roll-back to the declared checkpoint. When the exploration is completed, the neighbourhood must release its checkpoint through a dedicated method call.

This mechanism of checkpoint is not to be mixed up with the one presented in (Van Hentenryck and Michel, 2005). The checkpoints presented here aim at performing pre-computation, making it possible to reach the efficiency of what Comet calls differentation (Van Hentenryck and Michel, 2009).

4.4 The Sequence Variable and its Delta

To modify a sequence variable, neighbourhoods use the update operations supported by the sequence value. They can also specify a checkpoint to the variable, and call a rollBackToCheckpoint to this defined checkpoint. These operations are available through the API of sequence variables.

Upon propagation, a sequence variable notifies its update to all its listening invariants through dedicated data structures representing the succession of updates that have been performed on it since its previous propagation, namely: a succession of insert, delete, seq-move, and checkpoint definitions, starting up with a roll-back to a defined checkpoint, an assignment, or a marker denoting the previously last notified value.

4.5 Complexity of Operations on Sequence Values

The complexity of the main queries implemented on sequence values are summarized in Table 1, where \( k \) is the maximal number of affine functions in the first mapping of the concrete sequence, and \( n \) is the length of the sequence. It shows the cost on the concrete sequence as well as the additional cost for each stacked update. Computing value at position if logarithmic both in \( n \) and \( k \) while computing position at value is less efficient. Moving to the next value using an explorer is \( O(1) \) in amortized cost.

The complexity of the main update operations are summarized in Table 2. The first column shows the complexity of quick updates. The second column represent the complexities of the concrete updates, considering the amortized complexity of regularization. The regularization operation has a complexity of \( O(n \times \log(k)) \), takes place at most every \( \Omega(k) \) updates, so it adds \( O(n \times \log(k)/k) \) amortized complexity. The regularization is not \( O(n \times \log(n)) \) although it requires rebuilding red black trees of size \( O(n) \) because the trees are built in a batch mode on already sorted keys, thus in \( O(n) \). To compare with, the complexities of these updates on a concrete representation made of a single mapping through red black trees is \( O(n) \) for each of these updates.

Concretely, in our implementation, the user can control the value of \( k \) through a percentage of the ratio \( k/n \) with a default value of 4%.

The overall run time of a full-fledged local search solver is dominated by the cost of exploring neighbours, and in a much smaller way, by the cost of performing the moves and performing some pre-computations. Pre-computations being performed by the invariants, they are not considered here. If we exclusively focus on the cost incurred by the data structures, these amount to \( O(1) \) per neighbour, and \( O(\log(x) + \log(k) \times (k + n/k)) \) (with \( x \) being \( n \) or \( k \), depending on the move), respectively.

### Table 1: Time complexity of queries on a sequence value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>value at position</th>
<th>positions of value</th>
<th>explorer</th>
<th>explorer.next</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>concrete sequence</td>
<td>( O(1) )</td>
<td>( O(#positions + \log(k) + \log(n)) )</td>
<td>( O(1) ) amortized</td>
<td>( O(1) ) amortized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>added cost for each stacked update</td>
<td>( O(1) )</td>
<td>( O(#positions) )</td>
<td>( O(1) )</td>
<td>( O(1) ) amortized</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Time complexity of updates on a sequence value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>quick update</th>
<th>concrete update without regularization</th>
<th>concrete update with amortized regularization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>insert</td>
<td>( O(1) )</td>
<td>( O(\log(n) + k \times \log(k)) )</td>
<td>( O(\log(n) + \log(k) \times (k + n/k)) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete</td>
<td>( O(1) )</td>
<td>( O(\log(n) + k \times \log(k)) )</td>
<td>( O(\log(n) + \log(k) \times (k + n/k)) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seq-move</td>
<td>( O(1) )</td>
<td>( O(k \times \log(k)) )</td>
<td>( O(\log(k) \times (k + n/k)) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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5 VALIDATING THE CONCEPT OF SEQUENCE VARIABLE

This section validates our concept of sequence variable by explaining how a few representative global constraints or objective functions can be modelled and benefit from our state-of-the-art algorithms. We focus on three examples, two of them are taken from the context of vehicle routing problem: symmetric constant routing distance, and node-vehicle restrictions. The last example is more generic: sequence flipping.

The routes of \( v \) vehicles are represented as a single sequence of integers where each integer is present at most once, and represent a node of the routing problem. Their start nodes are 0 to \( v - 1 \) and should always be in the sequence, and in this order. A vehicle implicitly comes back to its start node at the end of its route. Figure 4 shows this encoding for a problem with 3 vehicles and 9 nodes. Also, there is a convention that a subsequence that is moved by a seq-move cannot includes a start node. All our routing neighbourhoods have such behaviour.

Figure 4: Encoding of a routing problem with three vehicles using a single sequence.

The rationale for this representation is that moves involving two vehicles can be performed efficiently using our efficient data structures since there is no need to transfer data between two sequences.

5.1 Symmetric Constant Routing Distance

Symmetric constant routing distance is an invariant that maintains the total distance driven by all vehicles, based on a distance matrix specifying the distance between each pair of nodes, knowing that the matrix is symmetric. This metric is very frequent at least in academic literature. It is also a classic example where a global constraint can update its value in \( O(1) \) run time against the classical routing neighbourhoods (1-opt, 2-opt, 3-opt) (Glover and Kochenberger, 2003). We illustrate it on the 2-opt only for conciseness and consider a single vehicle.

Upon a two-opt move, the global constraint is notified about a mode update, which is a simple flip. This update specifies a start position and an end position in the sequence that are the start and end of the flipped segment. From these value, it is able to compute the delta on the global distance driven by the considered vehicle since it only is impacted by the changes at the extremities of the flipped segment.

5.2 Node-vehicle Restriction

Given a number of atomic constraints specifying forbidden couples (node/vehicle), a global constraint for node-vehicle restrictions maintains a degree of violation, i.e. the number of such couples that occur in the current routes. A fundamental observation is that the violation degree only changes when nodes are inserted, deleted or when a segment of route is moved from one vehicle to another. We focus on the last move exclusively as the two others are trivial.

This invariant relies on pre-computation to evaluate such moves efficiently. The pre-computation process examines the routes at the checkpoint, and decorates each step of each route with a map relying each vehicle to number of nodes since the start of the route that cannot be reached by this vehicle, according to given individual constraints. Evaluating a move that moves a segment from one vehicle to another one requires counting the number of nodes within the moved segment that cannot be reached by the vehicle from which the segment is removed, and the number of such nodes that cannot be reached by the vehicle to which the segment is moved. These two values can be obtained in \( O(\log(v)) \) from the pre-computed values, since we need to identify the vehicles involved by the moves.

5.3 Sequence Flipping

This invariant maintains an output sequence variable to be the flipped value of an input sequence variable. It is not specifically related to routing and clearly demonstrates the flexibility of our framework. This invariant is implemented by translating the moves on the input sequence variable into moves operated on the output sequence variable. It mainly requires translating all indices \( i \) appearing in notification messages received from the input sequence variable by transforming them into length \( -i \) when the message are forwarded to the output sequence variable.

6 BENCHMARKING

This section presents a benchmark to illustrate the efficiency of sequence variables and the impact of the \( k \) factor of our sequence of integers.

The benchmark exclusively focuses on this variable; run times are to be considered with the greatest
care since they are heavily dependent on the search procedure in use. The latter is under the responsibility of the OR practitioner.

The benchmark is a VRP with 100 vehicles and various numbers of nodes on a symmetric distance matrix and no other constraint. The total distance driven by all vehicles must be minimized. The problem roughly declares as follows, using various bricks of our framework:

```plaintext
val routes = CBLSSeqVar(m, 0 to v-1, n-1, KNFactor)
val totalDistance = ConstantRoutingDistance(routes, v, symmetricDistanceMatrix)
val obj = totalDistance + 10000*(n - Size(routes))
```

It starts with no node routed, and uses a mix of insert point, one point move, two opt and three opt with various parameters. An important parameter of these neighbourhood is a \(w\) factor; when considering neighbourhood nodes, only the \(w\) nearest one are considered by the neighbourhood. For the sake of completeness, the search procedure is given here below. It is instantiated using neighbourhood combinators (De Landsheer et al., 2015). It combines different classical VRP neighbourhoods using a variant of hill climbing, and ends up with tree opt neighbourhood with a larger \(w\) factor.

```plaintext
val search = BestSlopeFirst(
    InsertPointUnroutedFirst(w=10),
    InsertPointRoutedFirst(w=10),
    onePointMove(w=10),
    twoOpt(w=20),
    threeOpt(w=10))
exhaust threeOpt(w=20)
```

Figure 5 shows the run time of the optimization engine for various values of the \(k/n\) percentage. Each curve reports on a set of benchmark performed with a given value for \(n\), ranging from 1k to 11k by step of 2k. The runs have been performed three times, and the median value is reported. The benchmarks have been executed on a laptop with Intel Core i7 2.3GHz with 16Go of RAM, and 4 Gb allocated to the Java Runtime Environment.

On this diagram, we clearly see the impact of the \(k/n\) percentage on the run time. A value of zero is clearly suboptimal; it actually disables the system of piecewise affine bijection presented in Section 4. Above 1, the impact of this factor on the run time reaches a plateau. Efficiency decreases again if the \(k/n\) ratio gets too large; a sample value of 20 is illustrated. Another phenomenon to be noted is that the impact of this mechanism grows with the size of the considered problem; this is probably due to the non-linear nature of the complexities, as presented in Section 4.5. A last phenomenon that is clearly visible on the figure is that all curves seem to experience the same behaviour at the same value, although this is possibly due to the coarse steps used in the benchmark. This is an indication that controlling the \(k\) via a ratio \(k/n\) is an adequate approach.

7 CONCLUSION

This paper presented an implementation of variables of type “sequence of integers” that is suited for declarative local search frameworks that manipulate concepts such as variable and invariants, also known as Constraint-Based Local Search. The goal is to efficiently represent and apply global moves such as the ones applied in vehicle routing, and to communicate such moves in a concise way to invariants, so that they can apply efficient global algorithms.

A very important open issue to validate our work is to perform comparative benchmark between our approach and other implementations. Such benchmark is however not easy to set up since similar tools mentioned in the background use different models and different search procedure with different trade-offs between seed and optimality. The efficiency of such tool is somehow the product of the efficiency of the model and the efficiency of the search procedure.

Having defined the possible updates to sequence values, and a few global invariants, our next step will be to extend our library of invariants with additional global invariants operating on sequences. The travelling delivery man metrics defined in (Mladenović et al., 2013) is an example of relevant global constraints that can be added to our framework. Such
extension will be of course tailored to our API, which will force us to have quite generic implementations that can operate on any neighbourhoods, since they express their moves through the sequence API. Similarly, an appropriate set of generic neighbourhoods operating on sequences must also be proposed to make this sequence variable fully usable. So far, only routing neighbourhoods have been implemented.

Our sequence variable features a checkpoint mechanism that is useful for global constraints to perform pre-computations. As discussed above, there are several policies on how to manage such checkpoints. Our framework only implements one of these policies, but other policies can be added to the engine. Besides, this mechanism is restricted to sequence variables. It should be made pervasive in the model, so that invariants with other type of variables could also perform such pre-computations.

This new variable type will be included in the CBLS engine of OscaR 4.0 to be released in Spring 2017 (OscaR Team, 2012). With this additional type of variable, we hope that OscaR.cbls will be even more appealing both to users that benefit from highly efficient global constraints in a declarative local search engine, and to researchers who aim at developing new global constraints and will benefit from the whole environment of OscaR.cbls, so they can focus on their own contribution. This implementation will also offer a common benchmarking environment to compare the efficiency of e.g. global constraints within a standard setting.
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