A Novel Dictionary Learning based Multiple Instance Learning Approach to Action Recognition from Videos
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Abstract: In this paper we deal with the problem of action recognition from unconstrained videos under the notion of multiple instance learning (MIL). The traditional MIL paradigm considers the data items as bags of instances with the constraint that the positive bags contain some class-specific instances whereas the negative bags consist of instances only from negative classes. A classifier is then further constructed using the bag level annotations and a distance metric between the bags. However, such an approach is not robust to outliers and is time consuming for a moderately large dataset. In contrast, we propose a dictionary learning based strategy to MIL which first identifies class-specific discriminative codewords, and then projects the bag-level instances into a probabilistic embedding space with respect to the selected codewords. This essentially generates a fixed-length vector representation of the bags which is specifically dominated by the properties of the class-specific instances. We introduce a novel exhaustive search strategy using a support vector machine classifier in order to highlight the class-specific codewords. The standard multiclass classification pipeline is followed henceforth in the new embedded feature space for the sake of action recognition. We validate the proposed framework on the challenging KTH and Weizmann datasets, and the results obtained are promising and comparable to representative techniques from the literature.

1 INTRODUCTION

Action recognition is an active field of research, given the huge amount of video data captured from diverse sources and the wide range of applications in domains such as surveillance, health-care monitoring, robot navigation, etc. (Poppe, 2010). However, the recognition of human action and activity is a challenging task given the intra-action variability, problems due to occlusion and scene clutter, and background effects. The aforementioned issues necessitate the design of intelligent machine learning techniques to efficiently extract informative concepts from the videos in order to recognize the human actions and activities depicted in the videos.

The traditional action recognition pipeline is based on three major stages: 1) extraction of local features; 2) dictionary learning followed by feature encoding, and 3) action classification (Laptev, 2005). Local features including space-time interest points (Laptev, 2005), dense and improved trajectories (Wang et al., 2011), optical flow based features (Brox and Malik, 2011) are very popular since they highlight local changes in the spatio-temporal domain. Alternatively, local feature descriptors are also pooled to obtain video-level representations (Wang et al., 2012). In addition to local interest point feature descriptors, mid-level features in terms of body parts (Zhou et al., 2015) or deep features (Tran et al., 2015) are used frequently to discover abstract representations from videos. A detailed discussion on different video-based feature extraction techniques (both shallow and deep features) can be obtained in (Weinland et al., 2011) and (Negin and Bremond, 2016).

One inherent problem to the aforementioned approaches is that it is implicitly very hard to highlight the discriminative class-specific local descriptors since many of them contain little information regarding the underlying semantic classes. Some ranking strategies are generally adopted to highlight such potentially discriminative local descriptors in order to build a robust dictionary (Kreutz-Delgado et al., 2003). However, instead of detecting discriminative local interest points, another line of research proceeds with extracting all local descriptors while filtering out the effects of the un-representative ones during
learning process. In particular, the Multiple Instance Learning (MIL) paradigm (Zhou, 2004) assumes the videos as bags of instances (local descriptors) without being concerned about their discriminative capabilities. However, in the MIL formulation it is required that a given bag contains at least one class-specific descriptor. Several MIL paradigms are proposed in the literature including Citation-KNN (Wang and Zucker, 2000), MISVM (Andrews et al., 2002), MILBoost (Zhang et al., 2005), which have been applied in diverse domains like image and video recognition and retrieval (Ali and Shah, 2010). However, since it is known beforehand that the extracted local interest point based descriptors from videos may refer to both the positive as well as negative classes, it is possible to convert the MIL problem into the equivalent discriminative dictionary learning based classification problem. To this end, first step is performing a pre-processing stage to highlight the discriminative codewords, and to further project the bags in an embedding space in such a way that the effects of the uninteresting bag level instances are reduced to a possible extent.

Following the above intuition, in this paper we propose a discriminative dictionary learning based formulation to the MIL problem for action recognition. Considering videos as bags of spatial-temporal feature points, we initially introduce a discriminative codeword learning strategy by exhaustively and randomly exploring the feature space. Specifically, we employ the multiclass SVM classifier at the instance (local descriptor) level and mark the instances with high classification scores to be discriminative. We divide the local descriptors of the training videos into several non-overlapping train-test pairs and construct the multiclass SVM. Since we know the class labels of such instances (which are similar to those of the corresponding videos), it is possible to validate the results of SVM stage for each train-test pair. For all such pairs, we select the descriptors in the test sets which lie far from the corresponding SVM hyperplanes and further consider them to be class-specific. All such potentially effective class-specific local descriptors are accumulated and further clustered separately to define the codewords for the classes. Once we obtain them, the videos (bags) are subsequently projected in a probabilistic embedding space with respect to the selected codewords. This essentially represents each bag as a fixed size feature vector. We use the Gaussian Radial Basis Function (RBF) distance measure to find the distance between the bag level instances and the selected codewords. As a consequence, the bag level instances which are closer to the corresponding class level codewords are assigned higher weight than the ones with little correspondence to that semantic class.

Finally, the multiclass SVM classifier is employed for the task of action recognition in the new embedding feature space. In contrast to the traditional MIL based methods which account for the distance between the bags in a Gram matrix as a distance between all pairs of the instances, the proposed method is devoid of such extensive calculations. We can summarize the main contributions of the work as follows:

- We propose a dictionary learning based formulation of the MIL problem and use it for the task of action recognition from videos.
- We validate the results on the challenging KTH and Weizmann datasets and observe that the proposed framework sharply outperforms the standard bag of words based action recognition pipeline, MISVM and MILBoost based MIL approaches.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we report a number of related works from the literature, Section 3 details the proposed action recognition framework which is followed by the experimental evaluations in section 4. We conclude the paper in Section 5 with references to future endeavours that can further be carried out.

2 RELATED WORK

We primarily focus on two complementary aspects of MIL strategy here and discuss relevant papers from the literature: the dictionary learning paradigm and the application of dictionary learning to MIL.

2.1 Dictionary Learning

Dictionary learning strategies can be supervised or unsupervised in nature. Traditional dictionary learning techniques are primarily based on vector quantizing the local features using a sophisticated clustering technique like k-means. There are several inherent issues to the dictionary learning problem: the size of the codebook, the discriminability of the selected codewords and the clustering technique adopted for vector quantization. Several publications speak about methods for handling the aforementioned issues for tasks including image and video recognition (Jurie and Triggs, 2005). Apart from the vector quantization based approaches, sparse dictionary learning is also very popular in the computer vision literature.

A class of unsupervised dictionary learning strategies compute over-complete sparse bases consider-
ing the idea of alternate optimization (Olshausen and Field, 1997). Such techniques iteratively update the dictionary components and sparse coefficients for the input samples using $k$-SVD and matching pursuit based methods. (Wang et al., 2010) proposes the LLC where a locality constraint was added to the loss function of sparse coding. (Lee et al., 2006) introduces an $l_1$-norm based sparse coding algorithm where feature-sign search algorithm is applied for encoding and Lagrange dual method for dictionary learning. Effective sampling strategies for the BoW model is the focus of discussion in (Nowak et al., 2006) where several aspects including the codebook size, clustering techniques adopted etc. are exhaustively studied.

On the contrary, the supervised approaches include the class support in building the dictionary. Label consistent SVD (Jiang et al., 2011), logistic regression based sparse coding (Mairal et al., 2009) explicitly consider the class discrimination in designing the sparse bases for dictionary learning. Two different clustering based approaches for keypoints selection are introduced in (Lin et al., 2016) for the purpose of dictionary learning based generic scene recognition. Distance measures among the keypoints are modelled in an online fashion to filter out keypoints with low capability.

2.2 Dictionary Learning based MIL Approaches

The MIL approaches consider the feature set in a video as a feature bag and the video label as the bag label. In order to properly classify the bags, several distance measures including bag to bag, class to bag or bag to class (Verma and Jawahar, 2016) are introduced.

The straightforward way to employ MIL for dictionary learning is first to learn a classifier for each semantic class and further use the classifier to select positive instances. This is followed by the dictionary learning stage for encoding the bags. (Sapienza et al., 2014) proposes an MIL framework which trains one discriminative classifier for every action category by mi-SVM algorithm for action detection. In contrast, $M^3IC$ formulates a robust maximum margin multiple instance clustering problem for the MIL task (Zhang et al., 2009). This paradigm is further extended to $M^4IC$ (Zhu et al., 2013) in terms of a two-layer structure for action recognition to automatically exploit a mid-level action representation. These weakly-supervised actions are learned via a max-margin multichannel MIL framework, which can capture multiple mid-level action concepts simultaneously.

Our framework is closely related to that of (Wang et al., 2013) and (Li et al., 2016) in the sense that it employs a cross-validation stage in tagging the bag level instances as discriminative or non-discriminative. We, instead, propose a novel randomized search strategy to explore the feature space and highlight potentially discriminative instances in terms of a multiclass classification. Further, a probabilistic embedding space is introduced to project the videos in a new space which is largely dominated by class-specific instances.

3 PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

As aforementioned, the main objective of this work is to learn a discriminative dictionary for an MIL based approach to action recognition from videos. A key observation in action recognition based on ad-hoc spatial-temporal interest points is that, not all the extracted local keypoints possess inherent discriminative capabilities. Keeping this in mind, our framework is broadly consisted of three stages: Discriminative dictionary learning by concatenating discriminative local descriptors of all the classes; a probabilistic embedding to project the video bags in a new feature space; multiclass SVM based classification of the
videos in the new space. A flowchart of the proposed framework is presented in Fig. 1.

For notional convenience, let us consider that we are provided with a training set \( \{X_i,Y_i\}_{i=1}^N \), where \( X_i \) represents a video (bag) consisting of multiple local keypoints and \( Y_i = \{1,2,\ldots,L\} \) denotes the corresponding class label of \( X_i \). Each \( X_i \) is a bag of \( N_i \) local spatio-temporal keypoints \( X_i = \{x_{i1},x_{i2},x_{i3},\ldots,x_{iN_i}\} \) which are represented by the concatenation of the histogram oriented gradients (HOG) and histogram of optical flow (HOF) histograms \( (x_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^{162}) \).

We elaborate the stages in the following.

### 3.1 Dictionary Learning based Formulation of MIL

In a normal MIL binary classification setting, each training sample is a bag containing a number of instances. A bag is positive (label +1) if there is at least one positive instance otherwise the bag is tagged as negative one. In the current setup, the STIP keypoints which effectively demarcate a given action class from the rests are termed positive instances for a the class. One such positive instances are identified for all the classes, we initiate a dictionary learning stage by vector quantizing the instances separately for all the classes and further model an embedding space to project the instances of all the videos into a fixed size vector.

In order to precisely identify them for all the action categories, we employ a two-stage framework as follows. In the first step, we formulate a multi-class SVM based discriminative codeword selection framework by randomly exploring the instance space and further build a class-specific dictionary. Furthermore, we create an embedding of each video based on the similarity between instances constituting the video bags and the codewords in the constructed dictionary.

Both the stages are detailed in the following.

### 3.2 Discriminative Class-specific Codeword Learning

The discriminative dictionary learning stage is solely based on randomly exploring the instance space. In contrast to the previous ranking based approaches for discriminative codewords selection, we randomly select a subset of instances from all the classes and train a multiclass SVM subsequently. Another random disjoint subset of instances is selected in order to evaluate the trained SVM. Since , the labels of the instances is identical to the video label, it is directly possible to assess the performance of the SVM model.

From the test set of the instances, we separate the ones residing far from the hyperplane and mark them to be discriminative. It is reasonable to assume this since the instances placed closer to the hyperplane are most likely to be shared by multiple classes and are thus ambiguous. In addition, as we select the training and test sets of instances randomly, we can focus at disjoint areas in the feature space at each run. This process is iterated a large number of times with training-test pairs of different sizes and all such potentially discriminative codewords (instances residing far from the hyperplane) are accumulated (Fig. 2).

Moreover, since instances from a given area in the feature space are likely to occur multiple times across videos of same action, it is rhetorical to use all the selected instances from all the videos for building the dictionary. For practical convenience, we apply a k-means clustering with a sufficiently large cluster number on the selected set of potentially discriminative instances of all the classes identified previously and the set of cluster centroids are accumulated to formulate the final dictionary. Let the set of codewords be denoted as \( \mathcal{C} = \{\mu^l\}_{l=1}^M \).

### 3.3 Probabilistic Embedding from Constructed Dictionary

The distance \( (p) \) between a given instance \( x_{ij} \) of the video bag \( X_i \) to the \( l^{th} \) is computed in terms of the Gaussian RBF distance as:

\[
p_{ij}^l = \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma}{2}||x_{ij} - \mu^l||_2^2\right) \tag{1}
\]

where \( \gamma \) is the hyper-parameter associated with the RBF kernel. \( p_{ij}^l \) varies between 0 to 1 and is inversely proportional to the distance between \( x_{ij} \) and \( \mu^l \).
We calculate the similarities of Equation 1 between all the instances of the video bag $X_i$ and the selected set of codewords. Further, we retrieve an embedding $\phi_i^j$ of $X_i$ for the $l^{th}$ ($1 \leq l \leq L$) dimension by max-pooling the distance measures between all the instances of $X_i$ and the $l^{th}$ codeword as follows:

$$\phi_i^j = \max(p_i^l)$$

and furthermore $\phi_i \in \mathbb{R}^L$ represents an embedding of the video bag $X_i$ which signifies a vector of all similarity scores between the instances and the $L$ discriminative codewords. As it can be inferred from the aforementioned technique for feature embedding, it is understood that we highlight the best bag level instance candidates given $M$ codewords in terms of the similarity measures. Additionally, this guarantees a fixed size representation of a video irrespective of number of interest points initially extracted.

Finally, the multiclass dual SVM formulation is adopted in constructing the classifier in the new embedding space for the sake of action recognition. (Chang and Lin, 2011).

![Figure 3: Example of video embedding into concept space: C1, C2 and C3 are codewords (concepts). S1,S2 and S3 are interest points from a video. Similarities are calculated according to equation 1. Column-wise max-pooling operation gives the embedding $\phi$ of the corresponding video.](image)

4 EXPERIMENTS

To establish the empirical validation of our proposed method, we compare out results on two different challenging action recognition datasets and compare our results with other standard MIL techniques.

4.1 Datasets

**KTH Dataset.** The KTH dataset (Schuldt et al., 2004) contains 6 types of actions (boxing, hand clapping, hand waving, jogging, running and walking) performed by 25 subjects in 4 different scenarios including indoor, outdoor, changes in clothing and variations in scale. Each video clip contains one subject performing a single action. Each subject is captured in a total of 23 or 24 clips, giving a total of 599 video clips. Each clip is sampled at 25Hz and lasts between 10 to 15 seconds. Some Example frames are shown in Fig. 41.

**WEIZMANN Dataset.** The Weizmann (Blank et al., 2005) contains 90 video clips from 9 different subjects. Each video clip contains one subject performing a single action. There are 10 different action categories: walking, running, jumping, gallop sideways, bending, one-hand-waving, two-hands-waving, jumping in place, jumping jack, and skipping. Each clip lasts about 2 seconds at 25 Hz (Fig. 5).

4.2 Experimental Setup

For dictionary learning as well as final action classification, we use multi class SVM. Matlab toolbox of standard libSVM (Chang and Lin, 2011) is used. For all experiments, linear kernel is used with a high value of parameter $C$ (in the range of $10^{-2}$ to $10^{4}$). For class-specific codebook generation, we chose value of fraction of data to selected for validation ($\alpha$) in the range of $10^{-2}$ to $10^{-4}$. This ensures disjoint selection of training and testing instances as well as make the process fast. We ran 500 fold cross validation for KTH and 300 fold cross validation for Weizmann dataset. Number of local codewords are experimentally determined. In this work, 400 class wise codewords are used for KTH, giving a dictionary of size $400*6 = 2400$ whereas 200 class wise codewords are used for Weizmann, resulting in a $200*10 = 2000$ worded dictionary. It should be noted that final multi class SVM classification is carried out using Leave-One-Out Cross-Validation (LOOCV). Clips from a single subject in a dataset is used as the testing data, and the remaining clips as the training data. This was repeated so that each group of clips in the dataset is used once as the testing data. More specifically, for the KTH dataset the clips of 24 subjects were used for training and the clips of the remaining subject were used for validation. For the Weizmann dataset, the training set contains 8 subjects. Final results are shown in the next subsection.

4.3 Results

Results are encouraging for both KTH and Weizmann datasets. In particular, we obtain recognition of 92.1% with KTH and 84.33% with Weizmann. As pointed out before, codebook sizes are 2400 (400 class-specific codewords from each of the class) for

---

1The examples are taken from http://www.nada.kth.se/cvap/actions/actions.gif
KTH and 2000 (200 class-specific codewords from each class) is for Weizmann respectively. These values were established after empirical cross validation. In order to validate the worth of our method, we compare our results with two different types of approaches. First, we compare with Bag of Word (Bow) based models with several spatio temporal features, namely, Dense STIP, HOG, HOF, 3D gradient spatio temporal descriptor and local spatio temporal volumetric features. We also compare our results with two standard multi class multi instance learning techniques namely MISVM and MILBoost. Our approach outperforms all the mentioned works. It is observed that results with spatio temporal features with BoW are somewhat comparable with our approach while the MIL approaches provide are much worse, especially in case Weizmann data where recognition is below 50% with both MIL approaches. This is a strong indication of failure of conventional MIL techniques, with this multitude of interest points and intricate class structure. In a multi class complicated setting such as this, classes tend to share common instance subspace amongst themselves and discriminative power of such shared subspaces are naturally less. A classifier built on direct distance metric between the bags treats all instances equally in terms of their discriminative ability and is severely prone to error due to noise and outliers. Whereas in our approach we build an initial dictionary based discriminating instances thus minimising the effect of instances in the shared subspace as well as noise and outliers. Also, distance calculation between bags with high number of instances is time consuming and renders these MIL approaches slow. Using a codebook and the subsequent probabilistic embedding not only reduces the size of data to be processed immensely but also makes the process faster. Comparison with other results are shown in Table 1. Values in each box indicate the recognition accuracy in percentage (%).
Table 1: Experimental results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>KTH</th>
<th>Weizmann</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spatio-temporal Volume (Liu et al., 2008)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dense STIP (HOG+HOF) (Wang et al., 2009)</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOG (Laptev et al., 2008)</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOF (Laptev et al., 2008)</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D gradient spatio-temporal descriptor + BoW (Klaser et al., 2008)</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>84.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISVM (Andrews et al., 2002)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>41.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MILBoost (Zhang et al., 2005)</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>35.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our method</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td>84.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 CONCLUSIONS

We propose a dictionary learning based MIL paradigm for the purpose of action recognition from videos in this paper. In contrast to the traditional MIL framework which consider the presence of some positive instances in the positive bag and the absence of the same in the negative ones, we propose a two stage algorithm which first identifies discriminative class-specific local features using a randomized exhaustive search strategy. Based on the selected set of effective codewords, we project the videos (bags) in a probabilistic embedding space. The standard classification paradigm is followed henceafter using for action recognition. We introduce a novel SVM based cross-validation technique to identify the discriminative keypoints which reduces the bias substantially. Our class-specific discriminative local feature selection uses a randomized SVM approach, which, in contrast to the usual vector quantization method, can handle both convex and non-convex classes. Further, the use of an embedding space highlights the effects of class-specific instances and attenuates the non-interesting keypoints from further processing. We obtain enhanced classification performance with the proposed paradigm in comparison to the standard dictionary learning based action recognition strategy and two standard MIL strategies for the KTH and Weizmann datasets. We further plan to extend the proposed framework by introducing efficient bag level distance measures in building the kernel matrix for bag classification.
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