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#### Abstract

A mathematical method was developed in this study to determine tandem repeats in a DNA sequence. A multiple alignment of periods was calculated by direct optimization of the position-weight matrix (PWM) without using pairwise alignments or searching for similarity between periods. Random PWMs were used to develop a new mathematical algorithm for periodicity search. The developed algorithm was applied to analyze the DNA sequences of A.thaliana genome. 13997 regions having a periodicity with length of 2 to 50 bases were found. The average distance between regions with periodicity is $\sim 9000$ nucleotides. A significant portion of the revealed regions have periods consisting of 2 nucleotide, 10-11 nucleotides and periods in the vicinity of 30 nucleotides. No more than $\sim 30 \%$ of the periods found were discovered early. The sequences found were collected in a data bank from the website: http://victoria.biengi.ac.ru/cgiin/indelper/index.cgi. This study discussed the origin of periodicity with insertions and deletions.


## 1 INTRODUCTION

Periodicity is one of the structural regularities of sequences and is widely represented in DNA sequences (Korotkov et al. 2003). A periodicity is considered as latent, if the similarity between any two periods is not statistically significant or if it belongs to the twilight zone (Durbin et al. 1998). Perfect periodicity can become latent periodicity, if it accumulates over 1.0 mutation per nucleotide in the studied DNA sequence (Suvorova et al. 2014). The distinctive property of latent periodicity is that it cannot be detected by pairwise comparisons of nucleotide sequences. However, latent periodicity can be found if a mathematical method is applied to directly detect the multiple alignment of nucleotide sequences without constructing pairwise alignments. The periods of a sequence with latent periodicity are sequences for multiple alignment and this multiple alignment may be the statistically significant without the statistical importance of any pair alignment. The aim of this study was to develop a mathematical method which allows finding the periodicity of DNA sequences as well as latent periodicity.

At present, there is a significant gap in the mathematical approaches developed in search for
periodicities in symbolic and numeric sequences (sequence-based methods). Spectral approaches enable the finding of adequate "fuzzy" periodicity in nucleotide sequences without the insertion(s) or deletion(s) of nucleotides. Fourier transform, Wavelet transform, information decomposition and some other methods can be attributed to the number of spectral methods (Lobzin \& Chechetkin 2000; Kravatskaya et al. 2011; Korotkov et al. 2003; Meng et al. 2013; Afreixo et al. 2004; Kumar et al. 2006). However, these approaches have a significant limitation - they do not allow the detection of a periodicity with insertions and deletions.

On the other hand, methods based on pairwise alignment can accurately find insertions and deletions (Benson 1999; Parisi et al. 2003). However, these methods cannot detect a latent periodicity, in a situation where the statistical significance of similarity between any two periodic sequences is small (Korotkov et al. 2003; Turutina et al. 2006). This is due to the fact that the periodicity of DNA sequences (with the number of periods greater than or equal to 4) is detected by pairwise similarity between periods. In the absence of statistically significant pairwise similarity, these approaches are incapable of finding latent periodicity. First, it involves algorithms and
programs, such as TRF (Benson 1999), Mreps (Kolpakov et al. 2003), TRStalker (Pellegrini et al. 2010), ATRHunter (Wexler et al. 2005), T-REKS (Jorda \& Kajava 2009), IMEX (Mudunuri et al. 2010; Mudunuri \& Nagarajaram 2007), CRISPRs (Grissa et al. 2007), SWAN (Boeva et al. 2006) and some others (Lim et al. 2013; Moniruzzaman et al. 2016), because the similarity between different periods is very low in the case of latent periodicity. It is true for algorithmic methods too (Domaniç \& Preparata 2007; Sokol \& Tojeira 2014). This leads to lack of seeds and identical short strings. Therefore, this study proposes a mathematical method that considers this gap and finds the latent periodicity of any symbolic sequence in the presence of insertions and deletions (in unknown positions of the analyzed sequence) and in the absence of a known positionweight matrix (PWM).

Any periodicity of the sequence $S$ with length $N$ can be characterized by either the frequency matrix (E. V. Korotkov et al. 2003) or created on its base, the PWM $M$ (Shelenkov et al. 2006). Each row of the matrix is associated to a nucleotide and the signs of the columns are the positions of the period. The element of this matrix $m(i, j)$ indicates the weight $m(i, j)$ which has the nucleotide $i$ in position $j$ of the period. The positions of the period vary from 1 to $n$. The sequence $S_{1}$ of length $N$, which is an artificial periodic sequence $1,2, \ldots, n, 1,2, \ldots, n, \ldots$ is introduced. Here, the numbers are treated as symbols and columns in the matrix $M$ are consistent with them. For period equal to $n$, the sequence $S$ corresponds to a certain frequency matrix and PWM $M(4, n)$. The problem is formulated as follows: This study has a sequence $S$ with length $N$. It is necessary to find such optimal PWM $M_{0}$, where the local alignment (Durbin et al. 1998) of sequences $S_{1}$ and $S$ have the greatest statistical significance. Under the statistical significance, the probability $P$ is that $F_{r}>m F_{\max }$, where $m F_{\max }$ is the maximum weight of a local alignment of sequences $S$ and $S_{1}$, using the optimal matrix $M_{0}$. Here, $F_{r}$ represents the maximum weight of a local alignment randomly mixed sequence $S$ and sequence $S_{1}$, using the optimal matrix $M_{r}$. The search is for matrix $M_{0}$, which has the lowest probability $P$. It is always possible to set the threshold level of the probability $P_{0}$ and if the probability $P\left(F_{r}>m F_{\text {max }}\right)$ will be less than $P_{0}$, then the local alignment found of sequences $S$ and $S_{1}$, using the optimum matrix $M_{0}$ can be considered as statistically significant. It is possible to use a local alignment algorithm for alignment of the nucleotide sequence $S$ and an artificial periodic sequence $S_{1}$, relative to the known PWM (Smith \& Waterman 1981). It is necessary to
find the optimal PWM $M_{0}$ by any means. Therefore, the aim of this study was to develop a mathematical approach for finding the matrix $M_{0}$, as well as a method for assessing the probability $P$. To determine the optimal PWM, an optimization procedure was used, as well as a local alignment algorithm in order to account for insertions or deletions. To estimate the probability $P$, the Monte Carlo method was used. Instead of $P_{0}$ we used $F_{0}$ for which $P\left(F_{r}>F_{0}\right) \leq P_{0}$.

A mathematical method was developed in this study to find more than 4 tandem repeats in the DNA sequence. The multiple alignment of periods was calculated by direct optimization of the PWM without using pairwise alignments or a search for similarity between periods. This means that for each $n$, a matrix $M_{0}$ was found, the probability $P$ was estimated and the alignment of the sequences $S$ and $S_{1}$ was built using the $M_{0}$ matrix. It is not the goal of this study to analyze all the known DNA sequences, since the developed method requires large computer resources. The developed algorithm was applied to search for periodicity with insertions and deletions in the A.thaliana genome. This study showed the presence of periodicity with insertions and deletions in the A.thaliana genome regions for which the presence of periodicity was not previously known.

## 2 METHODS AND ALGORITHMS

In this study, a window which equals 630 base pairs was used to search for periods in the chromosomes of A.thaliana genome. This window moved with step equal to 10 base pairs from the beginning to the end of each chromosome of A.thaliana. The DNA sequences in the window were denoted as $S$. To search for periodicity with insertions and deletions in sequence $S$, the algorithm shown in Fig. 1 was used. As seen from the algorithm, firstly, a set of random matrices $Q_{n}$ (Fig. 1, step 2) of size $4 \mathrm{x} n$ was generated, where $n$ is the length of the period, and 4 is the alphabet size of the studied sequence. Then, the matrices were optimized since the distribution of the similarity function $F_{\max }$ for each of the matrices in the set of all random sequences (set $S r$, paragraph 2.5 ) ought to be similar. Then, a local alignment of the studied sequence $S$ was built relative to each optimized random matrix (Fig. 1, step 4). Local alignment was used to determine the similarity function $F_{\max }$ for each optimized matrix. The optimized matrix having the highest value of the similarity function $F_{\max }$, with the studied sequence $S$, was chosen. Thereafter, this matrix was optimized to achieve the highest value of the similarity function
$F_{\max }\left(m F_{\max }\right)$ with the studied sequence $S$ (Fig. 1, step 5) and the optimized matrix was called $M_{0}$.


Figure 1: The main stages of the algorithm used for calculation $m F_{\max }(n)$ for analyzed sequence $S$.

If $m F_{\max }(n)$ is more than the cutoff level $F_{0}$ then the sequence $S$ contains the region with periodicity equal to $n$. In this study, periodicity in the interval from 2 to 50 base pairs was evaluated. If several periods have $m F_{\max }(n)>F_{0}, \quad n$ which has the maximum value of $m F_{\max }(n)$ was selected (Fig. 1, step 6). Selection of the level of $F_{0}$ is considered in paragraph 2.6. Subsequently, the window was moved for 10 base pairs along the A.thaliana chromosome and the calculations were repeated (Fig. 1, step 7). As a result of the algorithm, the dependence of $m F_{\max }$ on $n$ was obtained for sequence $S$ with help of a local alignment. This means that the boundaries of the regions with $m F_{\max }(n)$ may differ from the beginning and end of the sequence $S$. It also means that the values of $m F_{\max }(n)$ for different $n$ can be obtained for different fragments of the studied sequence $S$. The boundaries of the fragments, obtained for relevant values of $m F_{\max }(n)$ are shown. Subsequently, each step of the algorithm shown in Fig. 1 was examined in more detail.

### 2.1 Creation of a Set $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ of Random Matrices with Length $N$

Random matrices $Q_{n}$ with dimension $4 \mathrm{x} n$ were used, where $n$ is the length of the period (Fig. 1, step 2). Each matrix can be viewed as a point in space $4 \mathrm{x} n$ and elements of a matrix are real random numbers.

A set of random matrices $Q_{n}$ was created when the distance between them in the space $4 \mathrm{x} n$ was not less than a certain value. To calculate the differences between the two matrices $m_{1}(i, j)$ and $m_{2}(i, j)$, the information measure was used (Kullback 1997):

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{j}\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{20} m_{1}(i, j) \ln \left(m_{1}(i, j)\right) \\
+\sum_{i=1}^{20} m_{2}(i, j) \ln \left(m_{2}(i, j)\right) \\
-\sum_{i=1}^{20}\left(m_{1}(i, j)+m_{2}(i, j)\right) \ln \left(m_{1}(i, j)+m_{2}(i, j)\right)  \tag{1}\\
+\left(s_{1}(j)+s_{2}(j)\right) \ln \left(s_{1}(j)+s_{2}(j)\right) \\
-s_{1}(j) \ln \left(s_{1}(j)\right)-s_{2}(j) \ln \left(s_{2}(j)\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $s_{k}(j)=\sum_{i=1}^{20} m_{k}(i, j) .2 I_{\mathrm{j}}$ has an asymptotic chisquare distribution with 3-th degrees of freedom (Kullback 1997). Then we calculated:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} I_{j}\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $2 I\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)$ has an approximate $\chi^{2}(d f)$, and $d f$ equal to $3 n$ since $I_{1}\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right), I_{2}\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right), \ldots$, $I_{n-1}\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)$ are independent and $I_{n}\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)$ is completely determined by $I_{1}\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right), I_{2}\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)$ ,..., $I_{n-1}\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)$ (Kullback 1997). Then the chisquare distribution was approximated by means of the normal distribution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)=\sqrt{4 I\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)}-\sqrt{2 d f-1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value $x\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right) \sim N(0,1)$, где $N(0,1)$ is the standard normal distribution. $N(0,1)$ is very useful as a measure of the differences between matrices $m_{1}(i, j)$ and $m_{2}(i, j)$. The probability $p=P\left(x>x\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)\right)$ shows that differences between the matrices $m_{1}(i, j)$ and $m_{2}(i, j)$ are determined by random factors. If the difference between the matrices $m_{1}(i, j)$ and $m_{2}(i, j)$ increases, then $x\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)$ becomes larger. The difference between matrices $L=x\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)$ not less than 1.0 was chosen.

Here, an algorithm was used to generate the matrices. Each element of the matrix $m(i, j), i=1, \ldots, 4$, $j=1, \ldots, n$ was randomly filled with equal probability of either 0 or 1 . The matrix was then compared with all matrices that were already included in the set $Q_{n}$. If at least one matrix has a difference less than $L=1.0$, than the generated matrix was not included in the set $Q_{n}$. If the difference was greater than $L=1.0$ for all matrices from the set $Q_{n}$, then the matrix is included in the set $Q_{n}$. The $10^{6}$ of such matrices were created for each period length $n$.

### 2.2 Optimizing of Random Matrixes

For every matrix $M$ from the set $Q_{n}$, the values $R$ and $K_{d}$ were calculated as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
R^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{n} m(i, j)^{2}  \tag{4}\\
K_{d}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{n} m(i, j) f(i) t(j) \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $f(i)=b(i) / N, b(i)$ is the number of nucleotides of type $i$ in the sequence $S, t(j)$ is the probability symbol " $j$ " in the sequence $S_{1}$. In this case, $t(j)=1 / n$. $N$ is the total number of nucleotides in the sequence $S, N=630$. To calculate the alignment, a optimized matrix $M^{\prime}$ is needed. Calculations of $M^{\prime}$ was described early in (Pugacheva, V., Korotkov, A. and Korotkov 2016; Pugacheva V.M. et al. 2016).

### 2.3 Alignment of Nucleotide Sequence with Optimized Random Matrices

A local alignment of sequences $S_{1}$ and $S$ (Durbin et al. 1998) was conducted using the PWM (Sinha 2006) and affine function penalty for insertions and deletions to search for $F_{\max }$ and the matrix $M_{0}$ (Durbin et al. 1998). To construct the alignment, the matrices for similarity functions $F, F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ were filled for each matrix $M$ from the set $Q_{n}$. The matrix $M$ changed and turned into a optimized matrix $M^{\prime}$. The principles of this optimization are shown in paragraph 2.2 and local alignment was described in (Pugacheva, V., Korotkov, A. and Korotkov 2016; Pugacheva V.M. et al. 2016).

### 2.4 Optimization of a Random Matrix with the Largest Value of Similarity Function

For all matrices from the set $Q_{n}$, the modified matrix $\max \left(m^{\prime}\right)$, which had the highest value of the similarity function $F_{\max }$ was determined. Let call this value as $m F_{\text {max }}$. Thus, the alignment was calculated and the coordinates of the alignment were determined (Fig. 1, step 5). However, despite the use of a very large number of matrices, the matrix $\max \left(m^{\prime}\right)$ may have the value $m F_{\max }$, which is not the largest for a sequence $S$ and for length of period $n$. This indicates that the largest value can be achieved for matrix $M_{0}$, which lies at some distance from the matrix $\max \left(m^{\prime}\right)$, that is less than the chosen threshold $L=1.0$ (paragraph 2.1). Therefore, approximately $10^{6}$ matrices were created, having distance $L$ from the
matrix $\max \left(m^{\prime}\right)$ from $1.0-0.1 * i$ to $0.9-0.1 * i($ for $i=0)$. These matrices were also used as indicated in paragraph 2 and a new matrix $\max \left(m^{\prime}\right)$ was chosen which had the highest value $m F_{\max }$. This procedure was repeated for $i$ from 1 to 9 and $\max \left(m^{\prime}\right)$ for $i=9$ was chosen as $M_{0}$ matrix.

### 2.5 Generation of Random Sequences and Selection of $\boldsymbol{F}_{\mathbf{0}}$

A set $S r$ of random sequences was created by random shuffling of the sequence $S$ and the set $S r$ containing 200 sequences. To generate one random symbolic sequence, a random number sequence of length $N=630$ was generated by the random number generator. Then, a random number sequence was arranged in ascending order, storing the generated permutations. The produced permutations were used to mix the sequence $S$, and as a result of this mixing, the random symbolic sequence from the set $S r$ was created.


Figure 2: Length distribution of the periods found in genome A.thaliana. $N p$ is a number of periods, $n$ is a period length.

In this study, threshold $F_{0}$ was determined as follows: Firstly, the sequences of A.thaliana chromosomes were obtained and mixed randomly as carried out during the creation of set Sr . Thereafter, using the algorithm illustrated in Fig. 1, we determined the number of sequences $\operatorname{Hr}(F)$, which have $m F_{\max }(n)>F$ for every $n$ in the range of 2 to 50 bases. $F$ runs from 200.0 to 500.0. The length of the window, as in the case of the analysis of A.thaliana chromosomes, was equal to 630 nucleotides. Simultaneously, the number of sequences $H(F)$, which have $m F_{\max }(n)>F$ for sequences of the A.thaliana chromosomes was determined. After that, $F_{0}$, which has the ratio $\operatorname{Hr}\left(F_{0}\right) / H\left(F_{0}\right) \leq 0.05$, was
chosen. This choice of $F_{0}$ gives the number of false positives (errors of the first kind) less than $5 \%$. In this study, $F_{0}=390.0$ and it provides $\operatorname{Hr}\left(F_{0}\right) / H\left(F_{0}\right) \leq 0.05$, for analysis of the A.thaliana genome.

This study did not analyze the period which had 3 nucleotides. This means that each window was checked for the presence of a period which equals 3 nucleotides. To do this, the mutual information between the sequence $S$ and artificial periodic sequence $S_{2}=\{123\}_{200}$ was calculated. Thereafter, the matrix of the triplet periodicity was calculated and with the help of this matrix, the correlation between $S$ and $S_{2}$ sequences was determined as shown previously (Frenkel \& Korotkov 2008). For the measurement of correlation, the argument of normal distribution $X$ was selected. The higher value of $X$ corresponds to higher correlation between sequences $S$ и $S_{2}$. It was identified that if $X<3.0$, it indicates the absence of a period equal to 3 bases in the sequence $S$ and the search for periods was carried out using this study's algorithm (Fig. 1). However, $X \geq 3.0$ indicated that the sequence $S$ was not analyzed and the window was shifted by 10 nucleotides.

## 3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In general, 5 chromosomes with a total length some more 116 million bases were analyzed in this study. Sequences were obtained from the website $\mathrm{ftp}: / / \mathrm{ftp} . n c b i . n l m . n i h . g o v / \mathrm{genomes} /$ archive/old_genb ank/A_thaliana/OLD/. The calculations were performed at the supercomputer cluster of the Russian Academy of Sciences (http:// www.jscc.ru/eng/index.shtml). In A.thaliana genome, 13997 regions having a periodicity with length of 2 to 50 bases were found. On the average, a periodicity of $\sim 9000$ nucleotides was found to be associated with each region. The sequences found were collected in a data bank from the website: http://victoria.biengi.ac.ru/cgi-in/indelper/index.cgi. It is interesting to consider the distribution of the lengths of periods found in A.thaliana. This distribution is shown in Fig. 2. From this figure, it is obvious that the distribution is very nonuniform and a significant portion of the revealed regions have lengths of periods equal to $2,11,30$ and 31 nucleotides. The small peak represents a period equal to 35 bases. Fig. 2 also shows the absence of a significant number of regions with period equal to 3 bases. This is due to the fact that DNA with period equal to 3 bases was not analyzed because it related with coding regions. In this study, some number of
regions with triplet periodicity were determined in a situation in which the original $X$ was less than 3.0, and the period equal or multiple to 3 bases arose after the creation of alignment with insertions or deletions.

Also, the repeatability of regions with periods in A.thaliana genome was studied using the Blast program. To do this, there was a search for similarity in the regions found with the A.thaliana genome sequences having e-value equal to $10^{-6}$. It was found that the 5287 regions represent a single copy, 2957 regions had a copy number which ranged from 2 to 5 , and 8244 regions had more than 5 copies. We observed maximum number of copies equal to 1585 . This shows that a significant part of the detected sequences belongs to the dispersed repeats(Mehrotra \& Goyal 2014).


Figure 3: $m F_{\max }(n)$ spectrum for fragment of the sequence NC_003074.1 from chromosome 3 of the A.thaliana genome. The coordinates of fragment are: 1390571213906329.

In this study, one region with period were considered as examples. The region has a period length equal to 4 nucleotides, and this period can be detected only in the presence of deletions or insertions. The spectrum of $m F_{\max }(n)$ is shown in Fig. 3. This region was found in the third chromosome of the A.thaliana genome, in sequence NC_003074.1. $m F_{\max }(4)=660.52$. This period was not detected by TRF (Benson 1999), T-REKs (Jorda \& Kajava 2009) programs. These programs revealed an insignificant periodicity equal to 13,30 and 40 bases. TRF found 2.9 periods while T-REKs found 3 periods equal to 30 nucleotides. Mreps (Kolpakov et al. 2003) found three periods equal to 5 bases In this sequence, the program ATR hunter (Wexler et al. 2005) found 3 periods with length of 30 bases and 2 periods with length of 26 bases and completely did not see a period equal to 4 bases. Program TRStalker (Pellegrini et al. 2010) found 3 repeats with length
of 13 bases and 2.5 repeats with length 60 bases but did not find 4 base repeats. The program Repfind (Betley et al. 2002) found 10 dispersed perfect repeats TCGG, 9 GATC and 11 GGAT. But these repeats had a lower level of statistical significance. The BWT program (Pokrzywa \& Polanski 2010) found no repeats in the sequence. According to this study's estimates, $m F_{\max }(4)=660.3$, it corresponds to $P\left(m F_{\max }>660.3\right)<10^{-30}$, because the average value of $m F_{\max }$ for random sequences Sr is about 136.8 and $\sigma$ $\sim$ 54.2. The resulting alignment and the resulting matrix $M_{0}$ can be received from http ://victoria.biengi.ac.ru/cgi-in/indelper/index.cgi. A consensus period with length equal to 4 nucleotides is (T/C)CGA. This period was repeated more than 140 times in the region found and the period equal to 4 bases had the highest statistical significance.


Figure 4: Influence of base changes on $m F_{\max }(20)$ for sequences 400 and 600 base pairs. $X$ is the number of base changes per 1 nucleotide. The period length equals to 10 b.p.

In this study, the influence of random base substitutions on the $m F_{\max }$ level was evaluated. To do this, sequences with lengths 600 and 400 nucleotides long and period equal to 20 nucleotides were used. Random positions were selected in these sequences and random replacements of the nucleotides were made on any of $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{c}$, and g with equal probability. Thereafter, $m F_{\max }(20)$ was calculated. The resulting function is shown in Fig. 4. It can be seen that $F_{0}=390$ is equal to approximately 1.6 and 1.0 random substitutions per nucleotide, for sequences with lengths equal to 600 and 400 nucleotides, respectively. This result shows the upper boundary of the accumulation of random substitutions in the discovered regions and this bound is 1.6 substitutions per nucleotide.

The results of this study were compared with that of the T-REKs program. To this end, intervals
were introduced: 500-600, 900-1000, 1400-1500, 1900-2000, 2400-2500, 2900-3000. For these intervals, all the sequences with periods found in this study were chosen. For each sequence, the period length $n$ was found. Thereafter, the periods in these sequences were searched by the program T-REKs. T-REKs is one of the best tools for finding tandem repeats in DNA sequences. It is believed that the TREKs program reveals the same period, if it detects a period length which has a difference of no more than $\pm 1$ base from our period. This interval was chosen, due to the fact that we have developed a method which may make insertions, deletions and closed periods to have statistically important $m F_{\max }$. It was also felt that the program T-REKs, finds the same period, if the number of detectable periods is not less than $L / 2 n$, where $L$ is the length of the sequence with period equal to $n$. As a result, the proportion of regions detected by the program TREKs for different intervals was calculated. This function is shown in Fig. 5. From this graph, it is clear that before $m F_{\max }=1500$, the program T-REKs can find less than $30 \%$ regions and only for $m F_{\max }>2200$ did the program reveal more than $50 \%$ of the regions.

There is a natural question about the biological significance of the periods found. It applies primarily to periods of 10 and 11 nucleotides long, as well as to the nucleotides of multiple periods. There are earlier suggestions that the periodicity length of 10 and 11 nucleotides has a relationship with the $\alpha$-helices in proteins, as well as with the processes of DNA compaction (Herzel et al. 1999; Larsabal \& Danchin 2005). In this study, sequences without period equal to 3 bases were analyzed which is specific for the protein-coding regions. This means that most parts of the detected regions could be linked with DNA compaction (Schieg \& Herzel 2004; Kumar et al. 2006). Also, this study identified regions with periods (with insertions and deletions) which are impossible to detect by the methods of searching for correlations in DNA (Herzel et al. 1999; Larsabal \& Danchin 2005). It is very likely that work regions with periods ranging from 9 to 11 bases and associated with the formation of chromatin loops, are found in this study. If we take into account that the number of these regions is about $1,4 \times 10^{3}$ (Fig. 2) and we have analyzed about $1,16 \times 10^{8}$ bases, the average distance between these regions (having periods in interval from 9 to 11 nucleotides) is about $9 \times 10^{4}$. This is consistent with the size of 30 nm chromatin loops (Kadauke \& Blobel 2009). These regions could be "hot spots" for chromosomal rearrangements also (Kantidze \&

Razin 2009). At the same time, regions were found with periods which could be micro- and minisatellite sequences (Richard et al. 2008). In this case, classic micro and mini minisatellites were identified with insertions and deletions of nucleotides which have $m F_{\max }>2000$. According to Fig. 4, in this case the number of substitutions is not more than $50 \%$ per nucleotide. When $m F_{\max }<2000$, ancient copies of micro- and minisatellite sequences were discovered that have accumulated a considerable number of nucleotide substitutions, insertions and deletions of nucleotides.


Figure 5: Comparison of developed algorithm with the program T-REKs (Jorda \& Kajava 2009). ID shows the part of periodicities regions which can find the T-REKs. We can assume that the results are the same if the T-REKs detects at least $50 \%$ of the number of periods and the period length differs not by more than one base.

It is also interesting to estimate the part of the A.thaliana genome which has period regions. The average length of the region which was found with the periods is 400 bases and the number of regions found is 13997. This corresponds to a total length equal to about $6,6 \times 10^{6}$ nucleotides, which is $\sim 5 \%$ of the total length of the A.thaliana genome.

There are the limits of applicability of the method developed in this study. As was noted earlier (paragraph 2.2.1), an average value, $\bar{l}=150$, was chosen using the random sequences. This means that micro and mini satellite sequences less than this length are detected as not very good by this method. The fact is that these lengths can not overcome the threshold $F_{0}=390.0$;thus, these sequences can be missed by this study's method. This means that even perfect micro- and minisatellites may be skipped, if they have a length equal to or less than 150 nucleotides. On the basis of this limitation, a comparison can be made between the earlier work on the search for micro and minisatellite and the results of this study. Previously, micro- and
minisatellite sequences from A.thaliana genome were investigated (Richard et al., 2008; Tóth et al., 2000) and mathematical methods for finding the micro and mini satellites sequences shown in Moniruzzaman et al. (2016.).

Above, the approach of this study was compared with the main methods used, when searching for micro and minisatellite sequences (Moniruzzaman et al. 2016). The programs used included TRF (Benson 1999), T-REKs (Jorda \& Kajava 2009), Mreps (Kolpakov et al. 2003), BWTRs (Pokrzywa and Polanski, 2010), ATR hunter (Wexler et al. 2005), Repfind (Betley et al. 2002). Therefore, it can be assumed that the developed approach misses perfect micro and minisatellite sequences which have a length of less than 100 bases. However, the method used in this study was able to find a highly diverged periodic region which have a considerable length (200 or more bases) and which passed by previously developed approaches. This study's method is suitable when it comes to searching for highly divergent tandem repeats, having a total length of more than 200 nucleotides.
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