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Abstract: Enterprise architecture is periodically changed. The visualization of changes is demanded for communication of the teams implementing changes. In this paper, we use the modern cases of transformation of ERP (Enterprise Resource Planning) systems to the Best of Breed solutions and the popular modeling language ArchiMate with its extensions to propose a method for visualization of changes. The method covers changes within the application and technology layers and their link to the business layer. It includes artifacts, principles and means for visualization. The method is tested with real cases of changes of ERP using the Best of Breed strategy.

1 INTRODUCTION

Visualization of changes in enterprise architecture languages is not a simple task as such languages reflect the static structure of an enterprise. However, enterprise architecture is periodically changed. Changes are usually implemented by several teams. For communication of teams, the changes need to be visualised.

In this paper, we use the modern cases of changes of ERP (Enterprise Resource Planning) systems using the Best of Breed strategy and the popular modeling language ArchiMate (The Open Group, 2013) to show the needs for change visualization and to explore the difficulties during the visualization process and abstractions needed for it. As a result of our exploration, we propose a visualization method and visualization means.

We have chosen the cases of changes from ERP to Best of Breed because these cases are representative enough to cover different modifications within the application and technology layers.

Our choice of the ArchiMate modeling language is explained by several reasons.

- Firstly, ArchiMate is related to the TOGAF (the abbreviation of “The Open Group Architecture Framework”). It is a standard for enterprise architecture (The Open Group, 2016).
- Secondly, ArchiMate is based on a metamodel that contains a layered structure in which the business, application and technology architectures are covered and the modeling of relations between the different layers is supported. Having means to model different domains of the same business, ArchiMate fills the gap between the different domain architectures and the missing relationships between these architectures, as they are most of the time created by separate architects in different modeling languages (Lankhorst et al., 2009).

- Thirdly, the ArchiMate language is used in industry, and it is supported with some open source tools, for example, Archi 2.4. (Institute of Educational Cybernetics, 2012)

We investigate the ArchiMate and its extensions. As a result, we propose a visualization methodology based on the ArchiMate and its extensions and motivate our suggestions with the cases of transformation of ERP using the Best of Breed strategy.

The structure of the paper is the following:

Section 2 describes some characteristics of ArchiMate relevant to the visualization of changes.

Section 3 provides information about our experimental approach for development of the visualization methodology in ArchiMate.

Section 4 presents our method for visualization of changes in ArchiMate and its known extensions.

Section 5 discusses the principles, means and abstractions used for visualization and the scalability is-
Section 6 concludes the paper.

2 ARCHIMATE

Archimate is one of the popular tools for visualization of enterprise architecture (Fritscher and Pigneur, 2011), (Lankhorst et al., 2009). Mostly it is because it supports visualization of three related layers of the enterprise architecture:

1. the business layer (products, services, actors, processes);
2. the application layer (application components, application functions and data objects) and
3. the technological layer (infrastructure services, hardware, system software).

There are also Archimate extensions (The Open Group, 2013) that cover high level concepts used in business implementation. Among them are the motivation extension and the implementation and migration extension.

The visual elements of Archimate within the application and technology layers are mostly concepts and relations (Lankhorst et al., 2010):

- Active elements performing behavior (i.e. application service, application component).
- Elements describing behavior (i.e. infrastructure service, application function).
- Passive elements on which behavior is performed (i.e. data object).
- Relations between the elements, depicted as connecting lines between elements or boxes.
- The visual elements can be included into other elements by aggregation, composition and grouping relations.

In the motivation, implementation and migration extensions, there are:

- Elements describing motivation: goals, requirements, principles;
- Elements describing migration: plateaus and gaps.

The elements used in this paper are listed in Figure 1.

Our research questions were the following. Are the visual elements of Archimate and its extensions sufficient to visualize changes of architecture? What is a method of application of visual elements for visualization of architecture changes?

3 DEVELOPMENT OF A VISUALIZATION METHOD

We apply the experimental approach to propose a visualization method for changes of an enterprise architecture. The experiment is set on the ERP implementation within Royal Vopak (https://www.vopak.com/) modified using the Best of Breed strategy.

3.1 Best of Breed

Business processes often contain some parts of functionality that are well supported by standard solutions. The Best of Breed strategy is directed to use the most suitable standard software and to develop only the parts that are not supported by standard software (Light et al., 2001). This approach promises flexible application implementation, low costs of maintenance and changes (Cardoso et al., 2004).

Many companies have ERP systems that provide all the applications for an enterprise and integrate them in a superior solution where every module may not be the best of its class. If a company has an imple-
Figure 2: From ERP to Best of Breed: The ERP implementation of functions (A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H) are decomposed into the best applications available on the market (A, B, (D, F, G), C, E and H).

The company needs to visualize, examine and change its architecture. Ideally, the transformation is the decomposition of the application functionality and the data, as it is shown in Figure 2. In reality, the elements are not only decomposed, but also removed, added and changed. Because of that, we have studied several cases of architectural changes.

### 3.2 Cases of changes

We consider the following business situations that cover the transformation from ERP to Best of Breed:

1. The business process remains unchanged, but the changes should be made in the application and the technological layers by adding, changing and removing elements.
2. The relations between applications may change.
3. The relations between technological elements and applications may change.
4. The relations between business functions and applications may change.

For each of the situations, we analyse what should be visualized. Doing the experiment, we have discovered the repeated steps, artifacts, principles and means useful for visualization of changes in ArchiMate and also some missing relations that are needed to visualize changes.

### 4 VISUAL ARTIFACTS

The set of visual artifacts depends on the chosen strategy and on the given requirements. Therefore, we need a goal/requirements view.

Our strategy is the Best of Breed, where the decomposition of existing application functions, new functions and obsolete functions should be shown on architectural views.

Then the question of the technological support of application functions should be solved. The key difference between ERP and Best of Breed is the way of data/information integration via a service bus (Figure 2). This means, that at least one view should examine the communication between application functions.

At the end, it is good to remind that the changes are implemented for business. Therefore, the relations of the new and decomposed functions with the business layer should be visualized.

In all cases of the transformation from ERP to the Best of Breed solutions, we have found that visualization of changes consists of visual artifacts produced in the order presented below.

1. Strategy of changes; business strategy, IT principles and requirements - the motivation behind the IT transformation.
2. Transition between the As-Is and To-Be architecture, including the gap of changes and the goals, requirements and principles related to the architecture states and changes.
3. As-Is and To-Be architectures at the application layer without specific indication of changes.
4. Gap of changes, presented at the application layer. The focus is on changed elements and on relations between the obsolete and new elements.
5. Gap of changes, combining the application and technology layers and the motivation.
6. Gap of changes at the application and technology layers with the focus on communication elements.
7. Gap of changes, at the application and business layers with the focus on relations between business steps and the applications supporting these business steps.

### 4.1 Illustration of Visual Artifacts

We illustrate the proposed visualization method with one of our cases “Replacement of an Order Management Component in the Order Management and Invoicing service”.

---

**Figure 2: From ERP to Best of Breed: The ERP implementation of functions (A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H) are decomposed into the best applications available on the market (A, B, (D, F, G), C, E and H).**
4.1.1 Strategy

Figure 3 shows an example of the visual artifact (1), the requirements for changes. In the To-Be architecture, the orders should be taken via e-mail and B2B channels. The functions of validation and updating of the taken orders should be preserved. The motivation extension of ArchiMate is used for visualization of requirements in Figure 3.

4.1.2 Transition

The migration extension is used to draw the transition from one architecture to another (artifact (2)). Plateaus are used to represent As-Is and To-Be architectures and a gap visualizes the actual changes. Figure 4 represents the transition between As-Is and To-Be.

4.1.3 As-Is, T-Be

Figures 5 and 6 represent the visual artifacts (3), the As-Is and To-Be architectures at the application level. As the reader may see, the time consuming and error prone comparison of the As-IS and To-Be architectures should be done in order to find the changes. So, to ensure the understanding of changes, the views on the gap of changes should be provided.

4.1.4 Views on a Gap of Changes

In the case of decomposition of application functions (Best of Breed strategy) with new functions, we need to focus first on application layer, application functions and on relations between the obsolete and new functions. Figure 7 is an example of the artifact (4), being a view on the gap of changes with the focus on application functions and on the relation between the obsolete and new application functions. Figure 7 shows that the set of standard ArchiMate elements should be extended with new relations <Extended-by>, <Replaced-by> to enable visualization of relations between the obsolete and new elements.

The Best of Breed strategy includes changes...
within the technological layer, because the implemented applications are taken from the market. Therefore, the artifact (5) (Figure 8) presents the application and technology layers with motivation and still keeps the focus on changes. It shows that the messaging service, implemented on the SaaS platform, has been chosen for the e-mail and B2B order taking.

Because the Best of Breed application functions are differently organized, the communication of them
is also changed. The next artifact (6) has the focus on communication. Figure 9 presents the gap of changes, but abstracted from the application functions and focused on the communication elements. Two groups of application functions implement two services: the Communication Service and the Order Management Service. The Order Management Service publishes messages to the Enterprise Service Bus. The Communication Service subscribes for these messages.

Figure 10 shows the artifact (7), i.e. the relations of the application layer and the business layer. We can see that the process step Contact relevant party of the process Order Intake, planning and scheduling is supported by the Communication Application.
5 DISCUSSION

5.1 Visualization Principles

Our visualization principles are the minimum visual elements and the focus on changes. In order to follow these principles, we sought for means for separation the changes and unchanged elements and for visualization of relations between unchanged elements and new elements at the business, application and technology layers. So, we explored available means of visualization in ArchiMate.
5.2 Means of Visualization

5.2.1 Changed Services. Using Colors and Labels <new>, <changed> and <obsolete>

First, we decided to use colors and show
- new objects in green;
- changed objects in orange;
- obsolete objects in grey.

However, we have found, that when a model contains a large number of objects and even objects over multiple layers, the use of colors can be distracting and can make the visualization unclear, due to the fact that the layers in ArchiMate are distinct by color. So, we suggest to include the labels <new>, <changed> and <obsolete> in the objects name. Obsolete objects also may be crossed, but this demands new extensions of ArchiMate.

5.2.2 Large Number of Changed Objects or Objects Related to the Changed Objects. Using Grouping, Aggregation and Composition

In the case of large amounts of changed elements and thus a large amount of colors, the elements belonging to a specific layer can be grouped together, indicating a specific layer, using the group relation.

Let us remind that "the grouping relationship is used to group an arbitrary group of model objects, which can be of the same type or of different types. In contrast to the aggregation or composition relationships, there is no "overall" object of which the grouped objects form a part. Unlike the other language concepts, grouping has no formal semantics. It is only used to show graphically that model elements have something in common. Model elements may belong to multiple (overlapping) groups." (The Open Group, 2013)

Figure 8 demonstrates that the functions E-mail Communication and B2B Communication form the group Communication Application. At Figure 8 we also see the group Order Entry that includes the functions Order Updating and the obsolete function External Communication.

The application functions are visualised within the group Application layer; the motivation elements are presented within the Motivation group and the technological elements are situated in the group Technology layer.

Aggregation and composition in ArchiMate have the formal semantics inspired by the UML. When elements belong to other elements by an aggregation or composition relation, elements can be rolled up to the aggregated or composed element. In our example, the requirements in Figure 3 can be rolled up to the top requirement Requirements for Order Management.

5.2.3 Omitting Unchanged Elements

The unchanged elements can be sometimes omitted from the model. When a model contains unchanged elements and those elements are part of a more generic element (that is required for clarity in the model), the elements can be left out and only the generic element can be a part of the model, using the generalization method.

Figure 10 shows the changes of the relations between the application and business layers: the relation between the function External Communication and the Contact Relevant Party is obsolete. The function Order Updating is omitted from the Order Entry Module, because the omitted function does not have any changed relations with business layer.

5.2.4 New Relations Between Changed Elements and Motivation

We have found it very useful that the core elements of ArchiMate can be related to motivational elements (goals, principles, requirements etc). (The Open Group, 2013) We associate the changed architectural elements with motivation elements to visualize the reasoning behind the changes.

Figure 8 shows that the motivating requirement Order Entry must contain order updating functionality is related to the Order Updating function. The principles SaaS Unless Policy, Reuse before Buy before Build and Fit for Purpose and domain dedicated applications motivate the Online messaging platform vendor X (SaaS).

5.2.5 Relations < Extended-by>, < Replaced-by >.

The core of the transformation of ERP using the Best of Breed strategy is the decomposition of functionality and data, as it is shown in Figure 2. However, in reality, this pure transformation is rare. Usually, even when following the Best of Breed strategy of changes, some functions are deleted; the new functions replace the old ones, and the new functions are often added. Therefore, we have found it useful to introduce the relations between the new and old functions and groups of functions.

Relations like <Extended-by> and <Replaced-by> are definitely needed for the visualization of changes. Figure 7 shows such relationships. As the
current ArchiMate language does not have such relation types, we reuse the directed relations of types `<used-by>` or `<triggering relationship>` and replace their labels by `<Extended-by>`, `<Replaced-by>`.

### 5.3 Scalability of Visualization

In all cases, the visualization of changes is a very creative activity that demands good abstraction and generalization skills. The comprehensible views of a system with large amount of elements cannot be produced without abstractions. In particular, the ability to make groups of elements and the ability to responsibly omit elements are the key techniques for visualizing of changes in a comprehensible way.

Three types of abstractions have been found useful for visualization of changes:

1. the abstractions from the unchanged elements;
2. the abstraction from the elements that are out of focus of particular view;
3. the abstractions from relations and elements in a chain using the derived relationships of ArchiMate.

The abstractions from relations and elements in a chain using the derived relationships of ArchiMate need some explanation. The matter is that the structural relations in ArchiMate are divided into four categories of strength, where “association is the weakest structural relationship; composition is the strongest. Part of the language definition is an abstraction rule that states that two relationships that join at an intermediate element can be combined and replaced by the weaker of the two.” (The Open Group, 2013)

Using this abstraction rule of derived relations, a view may abstract from the intermediate elements of a chain of related elements (make some intermediate elements invisible). Elements can be rolled up, using the derived relationship of ArchiMate, where the chain of related elements can be generalized by relating two elements in the chain using the “weakest” relation in the chain.

For example, this derived relationship rule allows us to show in Figure 9 only the access relations between the services the Order Entry Module and the Communication Application and the massages (data objects) via the Enterprise Service Bus. All other technological components are omitted.

Another way of making the visualization of changes scalable is showing a sequence of gaps. As changes within a system are often implemented in steps, the visualization of each step as a gap of changes may restrict the number of changed elements and relations and make the visualization of each gap comprehensible.

### 6 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

This paper presents a method for visualization of changes in ArchiMate with its motivation, migration and implementation extensions.

It was found that this combination of ArchiMate and extensions supports visualization of changes. We identified a set of views, and abstractions needed to visualize the changes in cases of transformation of ERP using the Best of Breed strategy. However, we also found a small set of new elements needed in ArchiMate to specify the relations between the obsolete and the new elements and to support abstraction from the obsolete and unchanged elements.

The reproducibility of the proposed visualization method was tested on several cases of transformation of ERP using the Best of Breed strategy. We expect that different strategy of changes may extend our method with new views on the gap of changes, but the core of the proposed method and discussed abstractions should remain the same.

In the future work, we are going to apply this method of visualization of changes in new projects. We plan to focus on the cases of application of derived relationships for visualization. Moreover, we plan to look at the methods for the separation of changes into sequential steps making the visualization of architectural changes scalable. Potentially, the proposed method may become a basis of a tool support for visualization of changes in ArchiMate.
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