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Abstract: E-Learning technologies focus on methods to replicate entities such as white board, presentation screen and other teaching aids in remote classrooms. Often, a high detail video stream of the whiteboard or presentation screen (board-stream) is streamed to the remote classroom along with the instructor’s video stream. However, remote participants find it difficult to correlate between the two displayed streams to find out the area focused by the instructor’s gestures such as finger pointing over the board. This paper deals with a method to overlay the instructor's contour-extracted frames from the instructor-stream over the board-stream for remote participants. Since the board-stream and instructor-stream have different camera perspectives, a transformation from the instructor’s video perspective to the board-stream perspective has to be made before overlay. The process includes finding the Homography-matrix, warping and overlay. Our performance results indicate that remote participants are able to discern the area focused by the instructor on the board with much greater accuracy and speed.

1 INTRODUCTION

Gesture-based cues tend to convey a lot of information and are vital for effective communication especially in a dynamic environment like a classroom. When this environment is adapted to an e-Learning scenario, a lot of the vicarious information such as gestures, facial expression is lost and due to this, the remote students are often disadvantaged as compared to students present in the teachers location. In this paper, we have developed a system that enhances the instructor’s presence in the remote classroom, providing a more immersive experience.

1.1 Problem Description

In a remote classroom, the video of the instructor is often shown while the details of the whiteboard or presentation screen in the video are obscure due to lossy compression during encoding, and packet losses during transmission. To resolve this, most E-Learning solutions today such as Adobe Captivate (Huettner, Brenda, 2008), AView (Bijlani, Kamal, et al. 2010) etc. display a high definition video of the board captured through screen-cast methods or a good quality video-capture device. The instructor however is not captured in this stream. In this scenario, the instructor’s gestures on the board are rendered meaningless for the remote students. They have to discern the area of focus on the board from audio sources or other contextual means.

To circumvent this problem, both streams i.e. instructor’s video (instructor-stream) and the board-stream are often transmitted to the remote locations. The video streams are then decoded and displayed on two display areas. However, the remote students now have to discern the area of focus by looking at the instructor-stream and then finding the corresponding location which the instructor is pointing at on the board-stream. Over time, this adds to a lot of strain for the remote students, impeding the learning process.

1.2 Our Contribution

To solve this problem, we propose a method to extract the instructor’s contour from the instructor-stream by removing the background data and retaining only the instructor’s body profile from the video stream, and then overlaying it on the board-stream. Since the instructor-stream and the board-stream have different camera perspectives, direct
overlay of the instructor’s contour-extracted images on the board-stream would result in no correlation between the actual area of focus on the board and the area that the instructor is pointing to in the overlayed video stream. Direct overlay would result in misalignment of the physical positioning of the instructor’s contour over the board; the overlayed-stream in the remote classrooms would not reflect the actual area on the board that the instructor is pointing to in the classroom that he/she is physically present.

The following steps are involved in the instructor-contour extraction and overlay process.

1) Instructor-contour Extraction
To extract the instructor-contour, we employ Microsoft’s Kinect Sensor with OpenNI2 and NITE (Davison., Andrew., 2012) API frameworks. The output of this step is a sequence of frames with only the instructor’s body profile, henceforth referred to as Instructor-Contour-Extracted frames or ICE-frames. These frames are of Kinect camera’s perspective.

2) Calibration of the Transformation Matrix
Since the ICE-frames and board-frames (individual frames from the board-stream) have different perspective, the ICE frames cannot be overlayed on the board frames without transformation. This process of translating an image from one perspective to another is called Image-Registration, and is achieved through a transformation matrix called the Homography-Matrix. Details of obtaining the Homography-Matrix are discussed in section 3.1.

3) Image-registration of the Instructor-contour-extracted Frames
Reshaping of an image to align perspectives with another image is done by the process of warping. The ICE-frames are warped using the Homography-Matrix and the obtained frames correspond to the perspective of the board-frames (Wolberg, George., 1990). Thus they are henceforth called Instructor-Contour-Extracted-Warped frames or ICEW-frames.

4) Encoding and Transmission of Board-frames and ICEW-frames
Board-frames are high resolution frames (Lavrov, Valeri. 2004) and they are encoded and transmitted as board-stream over the network to the remote location at 3 frames per second. The ICEW-frames contain the instructor’s contour and are highly dynamic. They are thus encoded and transmitted at 30 frames per second. The ICEW-frames are encoded with instructor’s audio and transmitted as ICEW-stream.

5) Decoding and Overlay
The ICEW-stream and the board-stream are decoded at the receiving end to obtain frames. The frames are buffered appropriately to match for time stamps of network packets before the overlay process. Ten ICEW-frames are overlayed on one board-frame and these are henceforth called overlayed-frames. The overlayed-frames are played-back at 30 frames per second at each of the remote participants locations.

To evaluate the system, 20 participants were subjected to an experiment. The experiment involved an array of numbers on the board with the instructor pointing at random numbers on the board. The remote students had to identify the numbers in the shortest time possible. The experiment was conducted over two sessions; 1) with two screens - one displaying the instructor-stream and the other displaying the board-stream and 2) with one screen – displaying the instructor’s contour extracted frames. Results were calculated based on both accuracy and average time required for identification. Objective and subjective results are presented in section 4.

2 RELATED WORK
People detection is the first step involved in the extraction of people’s body contour. From the depth map of the scene provided by the Kinect’s infrared sensor, the 3D contour of a body is extracted. Work done by Krishnamurthy, Sundar Narayan delves into the intricacies of contour extraction (Krishnamurthy, Sundar Narayan, 2011).

Work done by Lowe, David G on scale invariant feature transforms describes methods to find the matching features for image correspondences. This is one of the seminal works in computer vision wherein he describes the computation of high frequency keypoints and their associated descriptor vectors for each of the images. The paper also covers feature matching between keypoints after comparing the descriptors. Strong matches are found and the algorithm calculates the best matching keypoint pairs between the images (Lowe, David G., 2004).

Ramponi, G describes methods for interpolation of image pixels facilitating warping for better perceptual rendition of images. His technique involves a linear approach to bilinear interpolation thus saving largely on computation costs (Ramponi, Giovanni., 1999).

3 PROPOSED SOLUTION
The proposed solution involves enhancing the presence of the instructor in the remote classroom.
The disparity in connectedness between the two screens in solutions hitherto is removed by extracting the instructors contour and displaying it on a high detail board stream. The process flow is divided into two parts viz. 1) Calibration and 2) Warping and Overlay. The first part is described in section 3.1 and the flow diagram is show in figure 2. Warping and Overlay is described in section 3.2.

### 3.1 Calibration of Homography-matrix

The first step is to perform image registration (transforming from kinect camera’s coordinate system to board-frame’s camera system). This is done in two steps

#### 3.1.1 Extracting Matching Keypoints

To do this we use an algorithm called the Scale Invariant Feature Transform (Lowe, David G., 2004). At the start of the experiment we project high detail image on the board. We then extract a sample board-frame and a frame from kinect camera (instructor’s-frame) to be analyzed using the SIFT algorithm. SIFT extracts a list of high frequency points from the image called the keypoints from both the frames. A keypoint is a point or a pixel on an image that has a radical change in pixel colour or light intensity as compared to the neighbouring pixels. Thus they are easily detectable by the compute. From the list of keypoints obtained for each image, SIFT then computes the descriptor vector for each of the keypoints; a descriptor vector is a list of 128 numbers that describes the pixels around the keypoint pixel. Descriptor describes the variation of colour intensities, gradient, orientation of the gradient etc. Thus each keypoint is associated with a 128-length descriptor vector. We find the list of descriptors for each of the keypoints for each frame and then we find the correlation between the list of descriptors of instructor’s-frame to the list of descriptors of the board-frame to find out the keypoint correspondences between both the frames. Here we use FLANN based feature matching algorithm to obtain strong matches between the descriptors. Weak matches are rejected. Matches between descriptors are tabulated. Figure 1 shows a high resolution board-frame and a kinect frame appended side by side into a single image. Lines are drawn connecting the matching keypoints between the two frames. The green dots represent keypoints. The matching keypoints indicate locations on board-frame that correspond to the same location on the kinect frame. Upon trial we found that SIFT often fails when the matching images that do not contain sufficient detail in terms of changes in frequency and colour intensities. Hence for this step we project images with large amount of details and contrasting colours.

![Figure 1: Keypoints matched in appended image (Kinect frame and board frame).](image)

#### 3.1.2 Calculation of Homography-matrix from Matching Keypoints

Once the list of matching keypoints viz. the board frame keypoints and kinect frame keypoints are extracted, we calculate the homography-matrix. Direct-Linear-Transformation \([DLT]\) computes the points \(h_{11}, h_{12}, ..., h_{33}\) (equation 1) given the board frame keypoints and kinect frame keypoints by resolving them into a set of linear equations and finding the best fit solution for the linear equations system. Here we use the RANSAC algorithm which computes the same faster.

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
 h_{11} & h_{12} & h_{13} \\
 h_{21} & h_{22} & h_{23} \\
 h_{31} & h_{32} & h_{33}
\end{bmatrix} \cdot
\begin{bmatrix}
 i_{11} & i_{12} \\
 i_{21} & i_{22} \\
 i_{31} & i_{32}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

![Figure 2: Flow diagram for Feature extraction and computation of homography matrix.](image)
3.2 Warping and Overlay

This section describes the various steps involved in instructor contour extraction, warping and overlay. In addition encoding, streaming and decoding is also discussed. The flow diagram for the same is described in figure 6. These steps are performed iteratively from the start to the end of the teaching session.

3.2.1 Instructor Contour Extraction

To obtain the ICE-frames from the kinect frames we use the libraries OpenNi2 and NiTe. OpenNi2 is a framework for extracting the depth and colour streams from kinect sensor. NiTe packages the user tracking and user contour extraction framework. Using NiTe we extract the mask image containing the instructor’s contour and that is then compared with the kinect’s colour stream. The colour image contains only the instructor and the background is subtracted. The output of this step is a sequence of Instructor-Contour-Extracted frames (ICE frames) as shown below in figure 3.

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    f(0,0) & f(0,1) \\
    f(1,0) & f(1,1)
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
    1 - x \\
    y
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Figure 3: ICE frame after extraction.

3.2.2 Warping of the Instructors-contour-extracted Frames

The determined homography-matrix is then used to perform warping on the image for registration. This ensures that the ICE-frames have the same perspective as the board-frames. The kinect frames are converted to the board-frames by multiplying with the homography-matrix. This results in holes or aberrations in the image. A simple Bilinear Interpolation is performed to fill up the holes as described by equation 2. Bilinear interpolation finds the average value to be filled in the hole. Thus in effect the output of the transform is a smoothened image. The output of this step is Instructor-Contour-Extracted-Warped frames of ICEW-frames as shown in figure 4 below.

\[
f(x, y) = [1 - x] \begin{bmatrix}
    f(0,0) & f(0,1) \\
    f(1,0) & f(1,1)
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
    1 - y \\
    y
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Figure 4: ICEW frame after extraction and warping of instructor contour.

3.2.3 Encoding and Transmission of Board Frames and ICEW Frames

The board-frames and ICEW-frames are then encoded for compression and transmitted over the network to remote locations. Since the board-frame is relatively static and high detailed, MJPEG compression is used. The encoding is done at 3 frames per second. The ICEW-frames and the audio data from the instructor’s microphone are encoded and multiplexed at 30 frames per second using the H264 encoder.

3.2.4 Decoding and Overlay

At the receiving ends (each of the remote locations), the board-stream and ICEW-stream are decoded to obtain the frames and audio data. The packet delays are accounted for by sufficient buffering. The ICEW-frames are overlayed on the board frames at a ratio of 10:1 and played back at the rate of 30 frames per second. The audio data is synchronously played back according to the time stamping. Below image shows an overlayed-frame.

Figure 6 shows the flow diagram of the execution of each step. These steps are performed iteratively from the start to the end of the teaching session.
4 PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

For the performance evaluation about 20 participants are made to identify the right number from the board containing an array of numbers randomly pointed to by the instructor. The experiment was conducted over two sessions. The first session involved displaying the instructor’s-stream and the board-stream on separate screens. The second session was conducted with one screen displaying the overlayed-stream. The participants were made to identify the number that the instructor is pointing to and press a button on the keyboard indicating identification. Upon key-press the instructor then points to a new number and the procedure is repeated for 30 identifications. The system clocks the time taken for each identification. In addition to this each student has to write down the identified number just before key press. Statistical analysis on the accuracy and the time taken are calculated and presented below in figures 7 and 8. Results indicate a marked difference in the accuracy and speed with which the participants are able to discern the area of focus identified by the instructor’s gestures. Objective analysis shows the speed of identification is approximately 3 seconds when Overlayed video is played back as compared to 7 seconds when the participants are made to correlate between 2 screens. Accuracy has a mean of 23.85 correct responses with a variance of 16.344 for the first session. The second session had a mean of 30 correct responses with 0 variance.

Furthermore, subjective analysis of the participants were taken, were they were asked to rate their experience in a scale of 5 in terms of “ease of discerning the area of focus by the instructors gestures”. Session 1 obtained an average score of 1.6 while session 2 obtained an average score of 4.8.
5 CONCLUSIONS

Results indicate a marked improvement in the speed and accuracy with which the participants are able to discern the area of interest on the board. This shows the remote participants are able to follow the instructors gestures over the board with ease.
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