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Abstract: Decision Support Systems (DSSs) are systems that supports decision-making activities. Their application in medical domain needs to face the critical issue of retrieving information from heterogeneous existing data sources, such as Electronic Health Records (EHRs). It is well-known that there exists a huge problem of standardisation. In fact, EHRs can represent the same knowledge in many different ways. It is evident that the applicability of DSSs strongly relies on the availability of homogeneous collections of data. On the other hand, the gap between DSSs and different EHRs can be bridged by exploiting middleware technologies.

In this paper, we tested CSL, a technology designed for working as a middleware between DSS and EHRs, which is able to combine data taken from different EHR sources and to provide abstract and homogeneous data to DSSs. Moreover, CSL has been used for implementing three Clinical Guidelines, in order to test its capability in representing complex work-flows. The performed analysis highlights strengths and limitations of the proposed approach.

1 INTRODUCTION

Decision Support Systems (DSSs) are software systems designed to improve decision making. They are widely exploited in a range of real-world domains, such as business, military and economics. In the medical domain, DSS are mainly used to support healthcare workers in one or more specific procedures, like exams, investigations, diagnosis or therapies according to the state-of-the-art of the specific domain of practice. They are also commonly used for supporting clinical research (i.e. clinical trials).

In order to provide support for a decision, a DSS needs to match a reasoning work-flow with a set of information take from available and relevant data sources. Information can be provided manually by operators but, usually, data are automatically taken from existing Electronic Health Records (EHR): this in order to limit errors and double data entry.

Consensus, Clinical Guidelines (CGs) and Internal Protocols and Procedures (IPP) are usually the most common decision work-flows adopted in a clinical environment. With the exception of the Consensus, which normally are just a general agreement about relevant clinical variables (and are not very detailed from the procedural point of view), CG and IPP are very similar and they describe the specific best practice according to the clinical assessment of the patient. Actually, they mainly differ from the legal point of view: a physician tends to be more constrained to follow IPP rather than CG. This is because IPP can be seen as a contextualisation of the more general CG for the specific hospital. However, from a mere Computer Science perspective, in the following we will refer to both CG and IPP as Clinical Guidelines.

Clinical guidelines are usually written in natural language with the exception of a few flow charts. Since the knowledge codified in natural (and semi-structured) language cannot be accurately exploited by any existing automatic approach, a translation into a formal language is required. The result of the translation, that comes into the form of an algorithm, is usually referred to as Computer Interpretable Guide-
line (CIG). A well-known formal language used for encoding CIGs, also adopted by the HL7 consortium, one of the major standard in the world of Medical Informatics, is the Arden Syntax (Hripcsak, 1994); it is based on the ideas of composing CG as a clinical work-flow of several Medical Logic Modules (MLMs). Arden Syntax, like other existing languages designed for addressing similar issues (e.g., (Ohno-Machado et al., 1998; Quaglini et al., 2001; Shahar et al., 1998; Poikonen, 1997)), focuses on tasks that need to be done. This in order to follow a pathway of clinical procedure, and the control structures needed to do it. A good comparison between existing languages is presented in (Peleg et al., 2003; Mulyar et al., 2007).

Remarkably, in the context of the comparison it has also been highlighted the problem of retrieving existing patients from the different data sources. Due to this reason, a complete system able to process CIGs could need a large number of other technologies, in order to get the required data stored in the different data sources. Beside all the related issues, such as differences in the granularity of stored information or different encoding for the same sort of data, the adoption of an intermediate software layer able to standardise the way for representing a specific clinical meaning is required.

To standardize the knowledge representation in the clinical domain, a major contribution (in particular for anatomical issues and their relationships, diseases and relations between them or the anatomical regions involved, etc...) comes from languages like Resource Description Framework Schema (RDFS) or Web Ontology Language (OWL). These approaches, mainly derived from the work done on the Semantic Web topic, have spawned the growth of many other formalisms like query languages (e.g. SPARQL) or languages for rule engines (e.g. OWL-S) (Ye et al., 2009; Roldán-García et al., 2009). However, the aforementioned technologies are more oriented to propose a way for representing the generic knowledge of the medical domain rather than describe workflows in an efficient and compact manner.

At the state of the art, even though a number of approaches have been proposed (Sordo et al., 2003; Sujansky and Altman, 1996), retrieving data from existing EHRs in an automatic and affordable manner is still considered a very complex task, due to the heterogeneity of EHR encodings. Moreover, existing EHRs rely on different architectures in terms of Data Bases (DBs), operating systems and servers. This represents a critical barrier to the further diffusion of DSSs in hospitals, because it forces the user to a double data entry, which inevitably leads to mistakes and updating issues, or to employ very expensive ad-hoc solutions.

One of the most interesting proposal is i2b2 1 but it still has few applications and is more tailored to solve problems of data acquisition and presentation for data statistical analysis, rather than in the medical domain. Nevertheless, i2b2 represents a promising first proposal in an emerging scenario.

In this paper we focus on CSL (CSide Language). The project CSide, now closed, aimed at building a monolitic, advanced, multi-centric EHR. Specifically, CSL has been thought as a language for representing CIGs. Differently from existing similar languages, CSL uses a different perspective. It sacrifices the expressivity of the language –from the point of view of the clinical work-flows– in order to simplify the link to available EHR and the real format of data. The general idea of CSL relies on the fact that the most interesting CIGs are not those written between specialists of the same area, but guidelines written for specialists of different areas (e.g., guidelines written by cardiologists in order to be used by General Practitioners). Evidently, due to the significant gap of knowledge, the suggested work-flows are usually simpler than those designed to be exploited by specialists within the same topic, and the expressivity of the language can therefore be reduced in order to make easier the access to the data.

In this paper we shortly introduce the CSL language, and we propose a first experimental investigation of such technology. Specifically, we tested CSL on three different CGs, with a medium level of complexity and very different structure (workflows vs truth tables vs time role in the guidelines) in the real clinical context of the EHR in a medium-size center for studying Thyroid diseases. Moreover, we tested CSL on a different EHR, in use in the Cardiovascular Diagnostic Center (CDC) to build, from available data, more abstract concepts, i.e. the Circumferential and Systolic Stress (cESS).

The remainder of this paper is organised as follows. Firstly, we describe the CSL architecture. We then provide the results of the performed analysis. Finally, discussions and conclusions are given.

2 CSL

The architecture of CSL includes two layers, which exploit different languages. The two level structure has been designed for de-coupling EHR and DSS interfaces. In CSL it is possible to modify the EHR

---

or DSS structure (and interface) without changing all the CSL code. The language used in the first level is the Lower Level Language (LLL). It allows to create, given the structure of database (tables), some entities and relations between them, according with the Entity Relationship (ER) paradigm. This level works as a logical driver with respect to the data repository: it hides the complexity of the EHR database schema, and presents to the upper level more abstract and manageable knowledge, that is useful for executing algorithms and retrieving information. From this point of view, it share some similarities with (Sujansky and Altman, 1996) and an ORM (Object-Relational Mapping) tool.

The language exploited in the second layer is the High Level Language (HLL) and it is used for building concepts based on the knowledge described in the lower level. In order to implement an Object Oriented (OO) paradigm, the HLL language inherits structure and definitions from what has been defined in the lower level using LLL. This can be done by interpreting the Entities as classes and the Relations as methods. In the following sections we will present a running example generated by using LLL and HLL languages.

2.1 Lower Level Language

LLL uses simple primitives for building the entities, and it works in a similar way as ORM languages does. The definition of an entity can include one or more tables and the relationships between them can be defined using a SQL-like syntax. At runtime, the SQL-queries are built automatically by the LLL engine.

Figure 1 shows part of an entity-relation schema.

![Figure 1: Example of a part of an ER schema.](image)

This is actually a subset of the EHR used in the examined Thyroid Research Center of the Brescia City Hospital. The translation of the two main entities shown in Figure 1, namely Patient and Clinical Event, and their relation, using the LLL language is the following:

```plaintext
define entity Patient based on table A01_PAT as
  firstName as #IL{field:A01_NAME};
  lastName as #IL{field:A01_LASTNAME};
  birthDate as #IL{field:A01_BIRTHDATE};
  IdPatient as #IL{field:A01_PATIENTID};
  sex as #IL{field:A01_SEX};
  firstName as #IL{field:A01_NAME};
  with IdPatient as primary key;
  with source testDB;
enddefine

define entity clinicalEvt base on table A02_CLINICALEVENT as
  IdClinicalEvent as #IL{field:A02_CLIEVID};
  IdPatient as #IL{field:A01_PATIENTID};
  fromDate as #{field:A02_FROMDATA};
  toDate as #{field:A02_TO_DATE};
  with IdClinicalEvent as primary key;
  with source testDB;
enddefine

define left relation listOfClinicalEvent;
  between Patient and clinicalEvt
  on Patient.IdPatient and clinicalEvt.IdPatient;
  with source testDB;
enddefine
```

It should be noted that, in the provided LLL example, also fields are defined. They are not shown in Figure 1 for the sake of readability.

2.2 High Level Language

HLL has been designed with a strong focus on being “human readable”, even if it is a typed language. There are two crucial points regarding HLL:

- **a.** Entities and relations defined in LLL are encoded, respectively, as classes and methods in HLL. Methods are typically assigned to the master entity in the case of a 1:n relation in LLL. By exploiting this definition of classes, it is possible to refer to an instance of an object by using the Primary Key defined for the associated entity: the CSL system automatically resolves the queries for correctly handling the data on the Database.

- **b.** Using HLL it is possible to write complex algorithms, called procedures, for managing methods and attributes. The written procedure can then become a new method for a chosen class. In this way, starting from the few classes and methods provided by LLL, through HLL it is possible to
design and develop a more complex and abstract set of methods. This allows to implement methods that do not suffer the high level of granularity of the database, and that are closer to the abstract semantic of the clinical domain.

An example of the HLL syntax is shown below. It builds a method called 'BNAll' for the class 'Patient', derived from the entity Patient previously described in LLL, to calculate the biggest nodule’s dimension measured in all the patients’ clinical events. To perform this task, it uses a method able of retrieving the biggest dimension of the nodule described in a singular clinical event (the method 'BN' working on class 'clinicalEvt'). It puts all the retrieved dimensions into an array and returns the max value stored into the array.

```csharp
define procedure BNAll as method for entity 
  Patient on source testDB

set listToCheck = Patient.clinicalEvt

foreach listToCheck as PKclinEvent do
  set nodDim = clinicalEvt(PKclinEvent).BN
  put nodDim into array ArrayNodules;
endforeach

set values = Tools.getMaxArrayVal(diameters);
return(values);
```

2.3 Features of CSL

For improving the usefulness of CSL, the following features have been designed and implemented.

- **Time**: HLL offers several instructions to manage temporal data and temporal relations, such as between, before and after.
- **Domain**: The domain can be applied both at the LLL and at the HLL, and plays the same role of the namespace in the well-known XML language. Specifically, it defines the scope where the entities, the relations or the procedures live.
- **Type Declaration**: HLL does not require an explicit type declaration for the variables. However, HLL allows the developer to declare explicitly the type, in order to avoid a wrong interpretation of its content.
- **Parameters**: HLL can manage information from various sources, and not only the considered database. This is because, sometimes, not all the relevant information are already stored within the EHR; therefore, other input possibilities has to be considered (external GUI, .csv file loading, ...). In these situations HLL is able to receive input data through command line parameters or other input options.

3 METHODS

In this section we evaluate the CSL architecture using two real EHRs. The CSL has been used for implementing three clinical guidelines regarding thyroid diseases, and for building abstract data starting from the available raw data.

3.1 The Testing Environment

A preliminary version of the CSL engine has been made available. The engine for parsing and executing scripts written in LLL and HLL has been developed in PHP, and it exploits a MYSQL RDBMS for saving concepts, entities, etc. This engine has been installed on a Ubuntu 10.04-14+ server compiled with Sybase driver and OCI driver, for giving the engine the capability of interact, respectively, also with SQLServer and Oracle RDBMSs.

This preliminary experimental analysis is designed for a first evaluation of the effective capability of CSL to be used in a real clinical context for building useful and abstract concepts like CGs. For evaluating the adaptability of CSL, we have involved the Thyroid Center (CST) of the Brescia City Hospital (Italy), which has recruited nowadays more than 9,600 patients, and the Cardiovascular Diagnostic Center (CDC), which has data for 12,500 patients.

For evaluating the capability of CSL to generate abstract concepts, we implemented three CGs with a similar level of complexity, but that exploit different representation, using information from the CST. The first CG is fully represented by a flowchart and heavily involves temporal aspects; the second one is half represented by a flowchart and half by a truth table, and the third considered CG is only represented by a truth table. The first and the second CGs chosen have the same level of complexity of the CGs considered in (Peleg et al., 2003).

Data from the CDC has been used to implement two new abstract data: starting from the raw data available in the EHR, we implemented the Circumferential and Systolic Stress (cESS) abstract notion, and notion of the changing of the percentage in volume of the ejection fraction between the first and the last ultrasound examination. The CST database includes more than 9,600 patients, and information from more than 28,000 clinical events, 12,000 thyroid ultrasound analysis and data describing more than 23,000 nodules are included; the CDC EHR includes...
Table 1: The considered EHR described in terms of architecture, number of recruited patients, number of clinical events and other biometric measures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CG1</th>
<th>CG2</th>
<th>CG3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Branches</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truth table</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exits</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Importance of Time</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

more than 12,500 patients and considers data from around 16,000 cardiac ultrasound investigations.

When using EHRs form the Thyroid Center, according to the requests of the physicians, we built the entities and the concepts about the indication for Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology (FNAC) under the suspicious of thyroidal carcinoma, the patients are classified according to their pharmacological therapy and on indications about the evolution of the follow up.

For evaluating the capability of CSL to implement CIGs, we developed three procedures to check if a patient clinical pathway agrees with three different guidelines. Specifically:

- **CG1**: These CGs evaluate if a patient should receive a Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology procedure to rule out thyroidal carcinoma (Pacini et al., 2006);
- **CG2**: evaluate if follow up after 131I and/or surgery treatment as indicated (Pacini et al., 2006);
- **CG3**: suggest ablation versus surgical therapy for the management of Thyroid nodules and Differ entiated Thyroid Cancer (Cooper et al., 2009).

Before the implementation in CSL, the three CGs were translated in a semi-structured way (a flow-chart and/or a truth table) as described in the following table. Figure 2 shows the mixed data-flow/truth table structure of CG2.

The data from the Cardiovascular Diagnostic Center has been used for generating two more manageable and abstract notions. In fact, their implementation using CSL was straightforward. This is because both of the concepts derives from quite simple computations that do not consider (or consider a very limited set of) temporal aspects; the construct provided by CSL made quite trivial their representation and computation. Nevertheless, CSL is capable of effectively support the implementation of such sort of notions, too.

### 3.2 Discussion

This section is devoted to discuss results and interesting observations about the use of the CSL in our testing scenarios.
computation?).

In general, the process of coding in CLS was quite simple and, with the exception of few bugs due to the prototype of the engine, it was immediately exploitable. We also observed that the code is easy to read and understand: this is a pivotal element for allowing and effective maintenance of the system. Nevertheless, it is clear that CSL is still in a very preliminary shape, and many improvements would be suggested: for example a Graphical User Interface would be very helpful for managing concepts. Also, the link to the database it is not easy to be set and the domains part should be better implemented.

We also identified potential improvements on the time handling side of CSL even if, in general, the available constructs was enough to solve our problems. A major improvement is required in the interaction between CSL and other IT infrastructure, a point that in the prototype is very weak because it forces the user to program in PHP and eventually to write a wrapper function to make CSL to be able to interact via web services: we think that this interoperability should be provided directly to the engine.

According to the observed results and to the experience gained, we believe that the proposed architecture can have very practical applications in commercial EHRs. This is mainly due to the fact that CSL merges the most diffused modelling and design paradigms (ER schema, OOP) with the simplicity of the script language. Moreover –but this will require some language and engine improvements—CSL could give an interesting contribution to face the well known “curly braces” problem. However, this goal also requires an industry-wide standardisation. Therefore, this direction can be explored in association with vendors, in order to allow a larger analysis.

3.2.2 CDC

CSL made the process of describing the abstract data notions very easy and smooth. According to received feedback, it is suitable to be used also for such purposes. From this point of view, CSL could really represent a valid middleware between the RDBMs and more specialised software like DSSs and or CIGs process environments. Nevertheless, further improvements in the interaction process with other IT architecture should be provided and the engine should be made more stable.

4 CONCLUSION

DSS are effectively and widely exploit in many domains, but in the medical domain their diffusion and exploitation is slowed by the very different technologies used by existing EHRs. In this paper we described and tested CSL, a middleware system for bridging the gap between DSSs and data sources in the medical domain.

The proposed technology has been tested by using data and involving experts of the Thyroid Research Center and the Cardiovascular Diagnostic Center of the Brescia City Hospital. The Thyroid Research Center, in particular, has a complex EHR architecture and different CIGs has been implemented: in this real world environment CSL has shown a good aptitude for handling data from SQL-like databases in order to build, according with the knowledge expressed by HLL scripts, abstract concepts and present to the upper level (i.e. to a DSS) an homogeneous view of the data stored in the databases. CSL demonstrated to be able to represent CIGs and to perform complex reasoning processes on the retrieved data. Despite this, we still suggest to consider CSL as a technology for retrieving data from different EHRs and presenting them to DSSs; more tests are needed before considering CSL as a language for developing DSSs.

Future work include a thorough investigation of the capabilities of CSL for handling complex reasoning on the extracted data, as well as a larger experimental analysis on EHRs from different medical departments. Finally, we plan to further improve the usability of the CSL by exploiting feedback received from medical experts.
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