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Abstract: This paper is concerned with a method for designing improved Linguistic Model (LM) using Conditional Fuzzy Clustering (CFC) with two different Interval Type-2 (IT2) fuzzy approaches. The fuzzification factor and contexts with IT2 fuzzy approach are used to deal with uncertainty of clustering. This proposed clustering technique has characteristics that estimate the prototypes by preserving the homogeneity between the clustered patterns from the IT2-based contexts, and controls the amount of fuzziness of fuzzy c-partition. Thus, the proposed method can represent a nonlinear and complex characteristic more effectively than conventional LM. The experimental partial results on coagulant dosing process in a water purification plant revealed that the proposed method showed a better performance in comparison to the previous works.

1 INTRODUCTION

A considerable number of researches have been performed on fuzzy models during the past few decades. Such fuzzy models are simply divided into two types depending on the particular structure of the consequent part: linguistic fuzzy model and TSK (Takagi-Sugeno-Kang) fuzzy model. In the linguistic fuzzy model, Mamdani model was proposed as the first attempt to control a steam engine and boiler combination by a set of linguistic control rules obtained from experienced human operators. TSK fuzzy model is designed by a systematic approach to generating fuzzy rules from a given input-output data set.

On the other hand, we enhance a Linguistic Model (LM) (Pedrycz, 1999) constructed by the use of fuzzy granulation performed by Conditional Fuzzy Clustering (CFC) (Pedrycz, 1996). For this purpose, we develop the improved clustering approach based on conventional LM. Although the superiority of this model has demonstrated in the previous literatures, this model has a poor approximation and generalization capability. In order to enhance this performance, we use Interval Type-2 (IT2) fuzzy concept (Karnik and Mendel, 1998) to estimate efficient cluster centers. Furthermore, we deal with knowledge discovery and linguistic modeling based on three different uncertainties: fuzzification factor, linguistic contexts, and both. The proposed method is constructed by conditional fuzzy clustering with three different uncertainty types. Finally, we apply to coagulant dosing process in a water purification plant. The partial results produced by the proposed method show a better performance in comparison with conventional LM.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the architecture and context-based fuzzy clustering for LM. In Section 3, we present the three different uncertainty types for IT2 fuzzy concept. Experimental results and comments are covered in Section 4. Finally, conclusion is given in Section 5.

2 CONVENTIONAL LINGUISTIC MODEL

The conditional fuzzy clustering is realized by individual contexts. Each context (fuzzy set) has defined semantics that can be interpreted as a small, medium, large in the design of LM. Let us consider a certain fixed context Wj described by some membership function. The data point in the output space is associated with the corresponding membership value. Let us introduce a family of the partition matrices induced by the context and denote it by U as follows.
\begin{align*}
U & = \left\{ u_{ik} \in [0,1] \sum_{i=1}^{c} u_{ik} = 1 \ \forall k \text{ and } \forall i \right\} \\
\text{The underlying objective function is as follows} \\
J & = \sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{k=1}^{N} u_{ik}^m \left\| x_k - v_i \right\|^2 \\
\text{where } v_i \text{ denotes the } i\text{-th cluster center. The minimization of objective function is realized by iteratively updating the values of the partition matrix and the clusters. The updates of the partition matrix are completed as follows} \\
u_{ik} & = \frac{w_{ik}}{\sum_{j=1}^{c} \left( \frac{\| x_k - v_i \|}{\| x_k - v_j \|} \right)^{m^{-1}}} \\
\text{where } i = 1,2,\ldots,c, \ k = 1,2,\ldots,N \text{ and } u_{ik} \text{ is the partition matrix induced by the } l\text{-th context. The cluster centers are as the follows} \\
v_i & = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N} u_{ik}^m x_k}{\sum_{k=1}^{N} u_{ik}^m} \\
\text{In the design of the LM, we consider the contexts to be described by triangular membership functions being distributed in the output space with the 1/2 overlap occurring between two successive fuzzy sets. The automatic generation of linguistic contexts is obtained by the output data density and probabilistic distribution. We denote those contexts by } W_1, W_2, \ldots, W_p. \text{ The output type of LM is granular presenting the triangular form of the contexts. The triangular fuzzy number } E \text{ is expressed as} \\
E & = W_1 \otimes \xi_1 \oplus W_2 \otimes \xi_2 \oplus \ldots W_p \otimes \xi_n \\
\text{We denote the algebraic operations by } \otimes \text{ and } \oplus \text{ to emphasize that the underlying computing operates on a collection of fuzzy numbers. As such, } E \text{ is completely characterized by its three parameters that are a modal value, the lower, and upper bounds.}
\end{align*}

\section{IT2-CFC APPROACHES}

The procedure of the conditional fuzzy clustering with three IT2 fuzzy approaches (IT2-CFM) is described. The estimation method of cluster center is similar to the procedure of CFCM clustering except for considering uncertainty of contexts and fuzzification factor on the basis of Interval Type-2 fuzzy set.

\subsection{Uncertainty of Fuzzification Factor (m)}

The uncertainty of fuzzification factor in the design of IT2-CFC is described. This method can control the amount of fuzziness of fuzzy $c$-partition through the variation of this factor (Rhee, 2007). This factor exhibits a significant impact on the character of nonlinearity. Fig. 1 shows the architecture of the proposed LM based on conditional fuzzy clustering with uncertainty of fuzzification factor on the basis of IT2 fuzzy concept.

![Figure 1: Architecture of the proposed LM.](image)

The IT2-CFC approach considering uncertainty of fuzzification factor is performed by the following steps:

[Step 1] Select the number of context and cluster per context, respectively.

[Step 2] Produce the contexts with triangular membership function using equally partitioning method in the output space. Each context is generated by a 1/2 overlap between successive fuzzy sets.

[Step 3] Compute upper and lower partition matrices by Eq. (6) and (7). The fuzzification factor $m$ is replaced by $m_1$ and $m_2$ which represent upper and lower fuzzifier values.
\[ u_{ik} = \max \left\{ f_k \left( \sum_{j=1}^{c} \left( \frac{\| x_k - e_i \|}{\| x_k - e_j \|} \right)^{2m_k^{-1}} \right) \right\} \]

\[ u_{ik} = \min \left\{ f_k \left( \sum_{j=1}^{c} \left( \frac{\| x_k - e_i \|}{\| x_k - e_j \|} \right)^{2m_k^{-1}} \right) \right\} \]

[Step 4] Update the cluster center. The individual values of the left and right cluster boundaries in each dimension can be computed by sorting the order of patterns in particular dimension and then applying Karnik-Mendel (KM) iterative procedure (Karnik, 2001)(Mendel, 2010). Here KM algorithm is used to update the interval set of cluster centers. The new cluster center is computed by a defuzzification method as follows

\[ e = \frac{e_L + e_R}{2} \]

[Step 5] Compute distance measure between the updated clusters and the previous ones. Stop if its improvement over previous iteration is below a certain threshold.

[Step 6] Compute a new membership function based on average of lower and upper bound as type-reduce step Eq. (9). Go to Step 3.

\[ u_{ik} = \frac{u_{ik} + u_{ik}^{c}}{2} \]

3.2 Uncertainty of Contexts (\( p \))

The uncertainty of linguistic contexts produced in the output space is focused. Fig. 2, 3, and 4 show T1 context with general fuzzy set, IT2 context with lower and upper bound, and the vertical slice of output value, respectively. Fig. 5 shows IT2 contexts produced in the same manner as in conditional fuzzy clustering. The estimation method of cluster center is similar to the procedure of CFC algorithm. IT2-CFC is performed by the following steps.
[Step 3] Compute upper and lower partition matrices as the following Eq. (10) and (11). Here fuzzification factor is set to $m=2$.

\[
\begin{align*}
-\frac{\bar{u}_{ik}}{u_{ik}} &= \max_j \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{c} \left( \frac{x_k - e_j}{x_k - e_j} \right)^{2 m^{-1}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{c} \left( \frac{x_k - e_j}{x_k - e_j} \right)^{2 m^{-1}}} \right), \\
\frac{\bar{u}_{ik}}{u_{ik}} &= \min_j \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{c} \left( \frac{x_k - e_j}{x_k - e_j} \right)^{2 m^{-1}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{c} \left( \frac{x_k - e_j}{x_k - e_j} \right)^{2 m^{-1}}} \right),
\end{align*}
\]

[Step 4] Update the cluster center. Firstly, the individual values of the left and right cluster boundaries in each dimension are computed by sorting the order of patterns in particular dimension. And then KM procedure is used.

[Step 5] Compute distance measure between the updated clusters and the previous ones. Stop if its improvement over previous iteration is below a certain threshold.


4 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We apply the proposed method to coagulant dosing process in a water purification plant (Kwak, 2012).

The field test data of a coagulant dosing process to be modeled is obtained at the Amsa water purification plant, Seoul, Korea. We use the 346 samples among jar-test data. The input consists of four variables. Each variable is the turbidity of raw water, temperature, pH, and alkalinity. The output variable to be predicted in terms of the preceding input attributes is PAC (Poli-Aluminum Chloride) widely used as a coagulant.

In order to evaluate the resultant model, we divide the data sets into training and checking data sets. Here, we choose 173 training sets for model construction, while the other test sets are used for model validation. In the case of uncertainty by fuzzification factor (case 1), this factor is used from $m=1.1$ to 5. The experiments were performed with the range of $p=2$ to 6 and $c=2$ to 6. In the case 2, we are currently researching regarding the method to determine lower and upper bounds of each context. Thus, we shall focus on the experiment and the results regarding the only first case in this paper. Fig. 6 shows the prediction performance of the proposed model. Table 1 lists the mean of RMSE (root mean square error) results regarding approximation and generalization capability, respectively. It has experimented for $p=c=6$ in which case it has achieved a sound balance between the granularity of information formed in the output and input space.

Figure 6: Prediction performance for training and testing data set.

In the design of LM, six contexts and six clusters in each context are used. Although the LM has a structured knowledge representation in the form of fuzzy if-then rules, it lacked the adaptability to deal with nonlinear model. As listed in Table 1, the experimental results revealed that the proposed model yielded good prediction performance in
comparison to the previous works such as Linear Regression (LR), Neural Networks (NN) of multi-layer perceptron, Radial Basis Function Networks (RBFN) (Pedrycz, 1998), and LM(Pedrycz, 1999). Fig. 7 visualizes the data distribution and cluster centers estimated in each context for pH(x-axis) and alkalinity(y-axis).

Figure 7: Data distribution and cluster centers estimated in each context.

Table 1: Comparison results of RMSE and the number of rule (* number of node).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>no. rule</th>
<th>RMSE (training)</th>
<th>RMSE (test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LR</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.508</td>
<td>3.578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN</td>
<td>45*</td>
<td>3.191</td>
<td>3.251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBFN</td>
<td>45*</td>
<td>3.048</td>
<td>3.219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM (p=c=6)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.401</td>
<td>3.455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The proposed method (case 1) (p=c=6)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2.832</td>
<td>2.989</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 CONCLUSIONS

We have developed the design method of the improved LM based on two IT2-CFC algorithms with uncertainty of fuzzification factor m. The proposed clustering algorithm includes the characteristics both uncertainty of fuzzification factor and the homogeneity between the clustered patterns, and controls the amount of fuzziness of fuzzy partitioning. For further research, it will focus on the uncertainty of both fuzzification factor and linguistic contexts. Furthermore, the genetically optimization to determine the number of cluster and context in the design of LM using IT2-CFC algorithm will be considered.
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