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Abstract: ICT energy efficiency is a growing concern. Large effort has already been spent making hardware energy aware and improving hardware energy efficiency. Although effort is devoted to specific software areas like embedded/mobile systems, much remains to be done at software level, especially for applications deployed in the Cloud. In order to help Cloud application developers to learn to reason about how much energy is consumed by their application on the server-side, we propose a framework composed of (1) a Goal-Question-Metric analysis of energy goals, (2) a UML profile for relating energy requirements and associated KPI metrics to application design and deployment elements, and (3) an automated Cloud deployment of energy probes able to monitor those KPI and aggregate them back to questions and goals. The focus of this short paper is on the development of the UML profile. We detail the profile metamodel design and its implementation based on the Open Source Papyrus modeller. We also report about the application of our profile to a case study.

1 INTRODUCTION

ICT expansion both at professional and personal levels induces increasingly larger amounts of data exchanged (high resolution pictures, videos) and processed (Big Data), increasing connectivity of all devices (mobile devices, Internet of Things) and higher penetration (on business domains, emerging countries). This evolution raises the energy required to run ICT to a level that would be dramatic if ICT energy efficiency was not improving simultaneously. Between 2007 and 2012, global ICT consumption raised from 3.4% to 4.6% of the overall energy consumption and the ratio for data centres also grew from 1% to 1.3% of the global energy consumption hence a 30% increase (Internet Science NoE, 2013).

A reason for the slower increase between 2007 and 2012 is the use of more efficient hardware. Virtualisation techniques also enable data centres to operate hardware at higher load. The average Power Usage Effectiveness (PUE) metric of data centers currently ranges from from 1.7 to 1.1 for the most efficient ones. This metric compares the amount of energy spent by servers against the overall energy consumed by the whole infrastructure, thus the best theoretical PUE measurement is 1.0. In order to reach another level of energy saving, it is now required to consider the software layer. While several initiatives have already studied how to reduce energy consumption of mobile or embedded devices, little has been done for improving the energy performance of the service-side computation part of application, in particular, in the context of Cloud computing. To bootstrap the process of energy based pricing model for Cloud service at infrastructure, platform or application level, it is necessary to develop a Cloud stack capable to record energy consumption at each layer and to facilitate negotiations between a customer and a provider where energy consumption is one of the factors. In a second step, self-adaptation capabilities in the Cloud stack middleware or in the Cloud applications can then enable dynamic energy savings. Tools are also needed to help development teams to learn how their applications consumes energy and how to refactor these applications to achieve additional energy savings. These development tools must therefore encompass all development phases including requirements, design, workload testing, and deployment. Work in this direction is actively progressing in the scope of several EU projects, e.g. (ASCETIC, 2013), (ECO2Cloud, 2012), or (ENTRA, 2013).

Assuming such an energy-aware stack is available, it is necessary to help developers to learn how much energy is consumed by their application on the server-side. Unlike certain performance or se-
curity characteristics already understood by users and developers, energy consumption behavior of server-side components is often completely unknown. Rare are those who could state quantifiable requirements on the energy consumption behavior on the server-side of particular features of their application. The aim of this paper is to provide the developer with tools that will ease the following key steps to move to an energy-aware cloud application development:

**At Requirements Level** - To structure the approach, the Goal-Question-Metric (GQM) paradigm is used (Basili et al., 1994). In particular, it can be used to propose generic goals and questions that developers will often want answers to in order to gain a more precise knowledge of the energy consumed by various features or components of their application. It also makes the link with a number of already identified energy-related metrics (Bozzelli et al., 2013).

**At Design Level** - To capture the information on how to measure energy consumption of a feature or component in a way that follows the traditional modelling approach used by analysts and eases further processing, the design model language must be augmented with annotations to connect design element to energy requirements (goals and questions). This will enable the automated deployment of measurement probes to monitor the specified KPI and report them in terms of the questions and goals of the GQM identified at requirements level. This short paper targets more specifically this step.

**At Runtime Level** - Probes collect the specified data and report them to a monitoring infrastructure part of the energy-aware Cloud stack. This monitoring itself is efficient in terms of data collection strategy (frequency of sampling, data transmission, data aggregation). Application monitoring occurs at the SaaS level but relies on data from the lower PaaS and IaaS layers, for example, for collecting Watt-hour of a blade or CPU percentage time of a process running in a virtual machine (VM).

For easing adoption by developers, it is also very important to propose a practical tool that will seamlessly integrate with current development habits and mainstream development environments. In this respect the Unified Modelling Language (UML) is now universally known by developers and supported by development environments (OMG, 1997). Standard extensions mechanisms, based on stereotypes, are available to enrich the existing diagramming notations, in our case with energy-related information. Such approaches have been quite successful in the past, e.g. the MARTE profile for embedded systems (OMG, 2009). The profile can be "plugged" into an existing model of a target application. If no model exists, a simplified energy-oriented model can be built only for the concerned part of the application. It will naturally help to capture all the relevant energy-related elements and in a possible later step be used as a basis to drive the application refactoring. In this short article, we present a UML-based approach similar to the one followed by MARTE, but applied to energy related requirements and design decisions. Our work is structured as follows. Section 2 details the design of the profile by explaining its meta-model. It shows how energy goals and questions are captured and how to add design annotations to specify energy consumption measurements able to answer the given questions. It also presents a reference implementation. Section 3 illustrates the profile applied to a Photo Album web-application. Section 4 discusses some related work. Finally, section 5 draws some conclusions about our experience so far and identifies further work.

## 2. PROFILE DESIGN

To gather energy requirements, the design annotation and mapping with deployment time probes, we augmented UML with two stereotypes at different level of granularity. A first stereotype, preparedForMeasurement, provides information to prepare a UML model for a measurement session while a second stereotype, forMeasurement, provides information on each application elements relevant to measure (e.g. a method, a class, a deployment element such as a service, a VM,...). The definition of those two stereotypes also relies on a number of auxiliary DataTypes and Enumerations. In the rest of this section, we will use italic font to refer to concepts in the metamodel diagrams.

### 2.1 Stereotype for Measurement Session

The preparedForMeasurement stereotype is used to specify global information related to monitoring goals. It is depicted in Figure 1 Users can provide general information on a model for specifying monitoring needs. Notably, Information provided at the model level relate to

1. the explicit specification of MonitoringGoal and associated QualityQuestions to answer. Stereotyped KPI modeled by user will then have to explicitly identify and define the questions (QuestionID and QuestionText) they help to answer.
2. a set of information (GlobalNPIDefInput) to define globally:
2.2 Stereotype for Measured Element

The `forMeasurement` stereotype can be attached to an UML element on which measurement can be conducted (statically or dynamically). The top of figure 2 shows the standard UML element to which it can be attached (operation, class, component, etc). To address the need of dynamic measurements for those type of elements, it is necessary to define the `forMeasurement` facet which is mainly composed of a list of KPI definition and some technology specific information that will be useful at deployment time. Each KPI associated to a UML element is captured by the `KPIDefInput`. In addition to a identifying `KPIName` and `KPIRepositoryURL`, it contains a link to relevant questions it addresses (at least one) and the following defining fields:

- **MeasurementDef** (mandatory): defines on what to perform the measurement as `KPIMeasureDefInput`. This scope can be finely specified using strategies related to the container level (package, class, method, process,...) or inheritance/call level (self, self+children, self+children+called)
- **WorkloadDef** information (mandatory): needed to conduct dynamic test sessions on an execution environment to capture the desired measurement for relevant workload categories, defined using the `KPIWorkloadDefInput`.
- **VisualizationDef** data (optional): to further precise dashboards and other visualization widgets useful to present and to interpret measurement results. Note it is optional because all required information may already be present in the global `GlobalVisualizationDefInput`.

2.3 Reference Implementation

Our reference implementation was developed on Papyrus, an Open Source Eclipse-based UML tool (Eclipse Foundation, 2007). Papyrus supports the definition of profiles through `.profile` projects that can be specified with the tool itself. They can then be
applied to normal UML projects which will then benefit from the specified extension. Papyrus automatically generates all the input forms required to captures the structured and typed information specified in the profile. Subsequently, different query technologies can be used to retrieve the energy-related information encoded in an instantiated model. In our reference implementation, we used (Eclipse Foundation, 2006) which provides a nice declarative language to transform the source UML model into some target such as the monitoring deployment descriptor in an OVF format for instance. Finally, the visualisation and reporting currently rely on (BIRT, 2005).

3 PHOTO ALBUM CASE STUDY

3.1 Case Study Description

Photo Album is a 3-tier web application that is designed to be desktop-like on-line photo manager (Tsebro et al., 2009). It provides social services for uploading photos, storing and previewing them, creating albums and sharing them with other users. The visualisation layer is implemented in JavaScript while the business logic in Java runs on the server-side and a database for storing issue data can run on the same server or on a different machine. It is very representative of applications that can be deployed in SaaS mode on a Cloud and that can benefit of the PaaS and IaaS layers elasticity/reconfigurability features.

3.2 GQM Energy Analysis

We restrict ourselves to a simple goal together with related questions as described in Table 1. Those elements are encoded into a preparedForMeasurement entry which is directly attached to the Photo Album UML design project.

3.3 Use Case Annotation

The UML Use Case diagram is the simplest to use because it easily relates to business level services typically in the application server. Figure 3 shows the two identified features of our GQM analysis.

Table 1: Goal and question definition for the Photo Album.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Goal | EE  | Study the impact of executing the following features and components of Photo Album on the energy consumption to determine if a refactoring effort is worth undertaking:  
  * Upload a multimedia item in an album  
  * Compile an album |
| Questions | EE_TQ1 | What is the overall energy consumed when exercising the given feature or component for each workload category? |
| Questions | EE_SQ1 | How does the energy consumed every second varies when exercising the given feature or component for each workload category? |

The first use case "Upload a multimedia item" is annotated with a forMeasurement stereotype. This was done using the KPIDefInput partly shown in Figure 4. It is worth noting that such appropriate dialogue windows are automatically generated by Papyrus from information described in the meta-model.

![Figure 4: KPI Definition for photo upload.](image)

For the PhotoAlbum Use Case, the recommended measurement strategy is coarse grained, i.e. measuring all the contained elements and following both the inheritance and call graphs. Note that the fullName field can accept a specific language for specifying the deployment target to monitor with some facilities like regular expression. It is used to specify a upload entry-point method and a samba process performing the file upload.
3.4 Deployment Annotations and Monitoring Process

Figure 5 shows a deployment view. It should normally only show the photo album related VM, i.e., the application VM PA-APP-VM and the database VM PA-BD-VM along side the Test VM is used to inject specific workloads in a controlled way on the application under energy monitoring. However, to give some insight on the monitoring process, Figure 5 also represents the infrastructure VMs managing the energy monitoring: the SaaS Modelling VM offers developer front-end tools such as Papyrus, aggregation, reporting and visualisation tools and the PaaS Infrastructure VM runs a global efficient monitoring service.

Regarding the deployment process, information for generating the probe descriptor and the test load specification is extracted from the UML model using Accelero. Those elements are then passed respectively to the probe deployment and load generator services.

Beside application features of components, as illustrated earlier, a UML model can also use annotated application VMs with forMeasurement information, for example to capture VM level monitoring and measure the impact of specific Cloud architectural components, in our case, it could be used to determine the energy consumption of a load balancer which distributes the load to keep good response times and therefore identify explicitly a time-energy trade-off that could take place.

3.5 Reporting the Results

Figure 6 shows a typical report generated from BIRT. It shows historical data gathered from the monitoring service on virtualised components such as CPU, I/O, memory access. Those are transparently translated into Watt history and total Joule consumption based on an energy model. The link with the related question and goal is also reported. The data can then be analysed, for example to correlate the Watt consumption with some element (CPU, network access...) and/or some specific load event. Based on this measurement information, bottlenecks could be identified and improvements to a specific service or more globally to an architecture refactoring can be triggered.

4 RELATED WORK

As already mentioned, much work has been devoted to energy efficiency in the embedded domain given the limited resource available. The MARTE profile is capturing a lot of resource categories, including energy (OMG, 2009). In a SysML context, they can be related to requirements however the profile does not support our richer traceability to KPI and based on a systematic GQM approach. Further extensions dealing more specifically with energy have been developed (Shorin and Zimmermann, 2013). Their aim is more directed towards design time energy estimation rather than runtime monitoring and evolution as ours.

Concerning energy requirements and goals, there is little room for them in the current analysis framework: standards structuring non-functional requirements like the ISO2910 and even the more recent SQuaRE essentially captures them from a perfor-
Performance efficiency point of view and do not enable any reasoning on them. As pointed by a Microsoft report, most of the time energy consideration are simply not present in software specifications except for specific systems like embedded systems and fail to address fundamental issues such as the available power budget, the impact of energy in the selection of a specific design, or the resolution of conflicts with other requirements. At organisation level, the situation is different with a current trends to help organisation in their energy management strategies, for example using goal-oriented techniques (Stefan et al., 2011). Although this work seems farther away from the context presented in this article, the framework presented could well be used to collect measurement data needed as evidence for compliance to (ISO 50001, 2011) on Energy Management.

5 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

In this short paper, we presented a UML profile for the energy-aware design of Cloud application both in relation with a well defined energy management strategy defined in terms of goals and questions but also in relation with well-defined and monitorable KPI. We illustrated our work on a typical 3-tier application. So far, we were able to perform some partial deployment and data collection experiments on a realistic case study. Although those are not yet fully automated, we could perform an aggregation of the collected data as measure of KPI satisfaction and link it to the question and goal levels.

We are currently validating our KPI profile on a large real world application: a end-to-end multimedia cross-channel solution for sharing information across news agencies, broadcasters and publishers (ATC, 2014). This case study will allow us to better evaluate the ability of our KPI profile to capture all the energy-relevant aspects, especially going beyond CPU consumption, e.g. communication and storage. From there, we will also be able to reason on some possible design trade-offs. We also plan to improve the automation of probe deployment and formalise some part of the profile in order to provide easy mapping on popular automation frameworks such as Chef. Concerning the reporting, we will replace our current static BIRT-based prototype by a more interactive web-based framework that will ease our analysis. A later step will be to further enrich our profile with self-adaptation strategies than can preserve energy goals even when the execution context is evolving due to evolution in load, network throughput, infrastructure costs, etc.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was partly funded by the European Commission under the FP7 ASCETiC project (nr 610874). Many thanks to the ASCETiC partners for their feedback and support.

REFERENCES