Procedural City Model using Multi-source Parameter Estimation
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Abstract: Most current digital 3D city modelling procedures have either a low degree of automation or require specialized skills. Moreover, the construction process is the result of an equilibrium between the desired level of detail on the one hand and modelling performance on the other hand. Although environmental 3D models and 3D city models in particular are essential for a wide range of applications and disciplines, these difficulties are substantial bottlenecks for the availability of the models. In this paper, initial steps and ideas behind a novel approach for the construction of 3D city models are presented using an Airborne Laser Scanning (ALS) point cloud and standard digital 2D data. The first step involves point processing and feature detection for an ALS point cloud, resulting in the separation of building and ground points from vegetation and other points in the point cloud. Secondly, the detected building features are described in more detail using the 2D data, allowing the distinction between roof points and façade points. A texture map is assigned to the detected features using image libraries. The 2D data are also used for the improvement of vegetation mapping. The novelty of this approach is the fact that the actual city modelling is performed using recently made available software. The used software allows the interpretation of conceptual rules for the automated modelling of real-world environments. The proposed workflow is illustrated by the construction of a city model of some part of Geraardsbergen (Belgium).

1 INTRODUCTION

Digital 3D models of urban environments and landscapes play an essential role in a large range of applications. Urban planning, city management, calamity control, solar panel potential mapping, noise mapping or the development of the 4G network require virtual models with various Levels of Detail (LoD), non-spatial attributes and spatial context. Especially for higher LoDs, the manual production of these models is common practice (Heo et al. 2013), whereas the automatic construction of 3D city models using airborne data is still a challenging task (Nguyen et al., 2012). It is often required to describe the geometry of the digital urban model by a limited number of features, while the study area is oversampled by a point cloud. In general, two types of 3D models can be developed starting from an urban point cloud. On the one hand, the simplest type contains a triangulation or series of tetrahedrons of the ALS point cloud, possibly after a point classification is performed (Penninga et al. 2006). This type of model is easy to construct, but is hard to handle because of the considerable computational requirements and difficulty to explicitly define real-world objects. On the other hand, 3D models built using geometric solid primitives, are very easy to describe, but they require complex extraction techniques and they result in a significant loss of detail.

Regardless of the kind of 3D model that is aimed at, 3D urban environmental models are mostly generated using multiple spatial data sources. 3D city mapping using aggregates of spatial data is based on a chain of multiple processes. These processes have been discussed for many decades and involve filtering, classification, detection, modelling and simplification of geometric features, as well as texture mapping and semantic enrichment of these features (Haala and Kada 2010). Map digitation, photogrammetric processing or ALS-based feature extraction are the most common techniques for 3D
city mapping (Gruen 2008). The modelling workflow proposed in this paper is based on the geometric reconstruction of a city model using ALS point clouds, repetitive texture mapping through image libraries and semantic enrichment using large scale 2D digital data. ALS is a common 3D data acquisition technique for the modelling of urban and rural environments (Doneus et al., 2008; Oude et al., 2011; Stal et al., 2013).

Although 3D city mapping is still a dynamic research topic, it appears that most contributions mainly focus on either data acquisition, data processing or data management as separated steps for city modelling. In this paper, an integrated approach on 3D city modelling is presented. Different governmentally acquired large scale data sets will be used for the construction of a 3D virtual model. The final objective of this project is the automated construction of 3D city models, defined by CityGML. CityGML is a standard for modelling and exchanging virtual 3D city maps (Kolbe et al., 2005). Interesting attempts to use such data in a national or state context are presented for the Netherlands (van den Brink et al., 2013) and Germany (Over et al., 2010). In a Belgian context, challenges on the implementation of CityGML for the Flemish large scale map (GRB: Grootschalig Referentie Bestand or Large Scale Reference File) are discussed (De Cubber and Van Orshoven, 2012). These authors mainly focus on the different approaches in defining relations between features and on the rather divergent ontology.

The proposed methodology is illustrated by a case study in the city of Geraardsbergen (Belgium). It will be clear that this procedure results in very good models in LoD2 and after some modifications in LoD3 as well. The workflow enables a smooth integration of city modelling projects in other projects, where spatial data are used for environmental studies, planning or management. For example, the data could efficiently be used in smart city projects, especially if the city models are further enriched with available data sets of a different kind, including, for instance, building information, public transport data, electricity grid data, and so forth.

2 USED DATA

The Flemish Geographical Agency (AGIV) has organized an ALS campaign over the city of Geraardsbergen in April 2012, aiming at updating the previous point cloud of the Flemish Region (Belgium). In contrast with the previously acquired data set in 2003, the new point cloud has a relatively high density (25 p/m² against 0.25 p/m²). For the new campaign, an IGI LiteMapper 6800 was used at a flying height of 390 m and having a measuring frequency of 266·000 Hz. The test area has a size of approximately 30 km² and has a great variability of rural landscapes (AGIV 2013). A sample of the ALS data is presented in Figure 1. The semantic data for the city models are gathered from the GRB, which is a Flemish kind of cadastre. OpenStreetMap (OSM) data is used for further modelling of infrastructure in the study area. For this research, it is assumed that the ALS data set, the GRB data set and the OSM data set are geometrically consistent (Stal et al., 2013).

![Figure 1: Bird’s eye view of the ALS point cloud.](image-url)
batch process or in a Python script in ESRI ArcGIS.
Based on generated messages during the point classification, the following pseudo-code can be formulated:

Input unclassified point cloud
Set units, step size, spike size and offset size (m, 5, 1+10, 0.05)
Find initial ground points  
Generate initial ground estimate
Refine ground points
Add terrain features
Integrate points higher than the threshold
Calculate elevation of non-ground points above the ground
Classify non-ground points
   If point in planar neighbourhood then roof
   Else if point in rough neighbourhood than vegetation
   Else set unknown

The resulting point cloud contains ground points and points classified as buildings (actually as roofs, since building façades are not explicitly detected) and vegetation. If no class can be assigned to a point with a certain probability, the class of this point is set to unknown. Ground points are converted to an equidistant terrain model, but all other points are used for the actual feature modelling. An example of a classified point cloud is presented in Figure 2.

Figure 2: Classified ALS point cloud with separated ground (green), building (red), vegetation (yellow) and unknown (blue) points.

3.2 Rule-based Geometric Modelling

For the succeeding modelling steps, Autodesk Infraworks (360) is used. This software allows the import of various spatial data sets and the unambiguous establishment of the behaviour of each feature in the model. Interesting scripting tools, based on JavaScript, are available to this purpose. The definition of buildings, roads and vegetation are described below.

3.2.1 Building Geometry

Points belonging to a building are classified in correspondence to the LAS specifications. Consequently, these points are actually corresponding to measured roof points and do not include façades. In order to reconstruct façades, an additional filter is required for all unclassified points situated inside a building polygon from the 2D data set. As all other points, the extracted façade points contain a RGB colour value. This value will be used to define the appearance of the features, corresponding with an image library. This procedure is not yet implemented in the current version of the workflow.

LASTools is also used to calculate the normalized height of each roof point (i.e. height above the ground). Instead of considering the maximum normalized elevation value for the height of a building, the maximum of the 95% lowest elevations is taken. This allows the elimination of outliers, assuming that the number of points per building is relatively large.

The shape of the roof is derived by calculating a slope map for each building. The slope of a building is then defined by the mean value of all pixels within the building polygon. In the current version of the workflow, only one roof type is considered with an equal upwards slope starting from each side of the building. Hence, more complex roof type detectors or geometrical reconstruction algorithms are required for further optimization.

3.2.2 Vegetation Geometry

For the determination of the location of trees in the study area, LASTools is used again. As for the building points, a normalized elevation is calculated for each vegetation point. Then, all points with an elevation between [1.5;2.5] m above the ground are extracted. It is assumed that these points correspond with isolated tree stems. Thereafter, a series of disjoint convex hulls is calculated. In the current version of the workflow, it is assumed that each centre point of these hulls corresponds with the actual coordinates of a tree. The centre point is defined by the centre of gravity for each polygon. Again, the maximum of the 95% lowest points is taken to define the height above the ground for each tree.

Additionally, very intuitive criteria are implemented for the final acception or rejection of a tree. Trees cannot be situated on roads, railway beds or in the water. Furthermore, detected trees inside building polygons are rejected as well. These
situations may occur with erroneously calculated centre points of very complex hulls.

The vegetation modelling steps are summarized in Figure 3, where the original point cloud (upper left) is classified (upper right) and boundary polygons are calculated based on the disjoint convex hulls of each clustered point cloud subsample (lower right), resulting in a final tree set within the model (lower left).

Figure 3: Modelling vegetation: original and classified point cloud (top), disjoint convex hulls (lower right) and resulting trees (lower left).

3.3 Model Appearance

3.3.1 Buildings and Vegetation

As with the geometric modelling of the roofs of buildings, the appearance of each building is simplified by the random selection of a standard texture map. The same holds for the detected trees in the model, where only the height above the ground is considered as a variable for each unique object. In both cases, the representation of each feature is determined by the creation of a relation between each feature and an entry in the internal style library of the software. In this context, a feature is an object or part of an object (for trees) or part of an object (for buildings, which contain a roof and a façade). As will be mentioned in the discussion, a more advanced representation selection will be implemented in the near future using texture correlation indices.

3.3.2 Infrastructure

The feature type attributes of the OSM data set are used to explicitly define the visual representation of roads, railways and waterways. A sample of a

JavaScript is presented below to illustrate this procedure, where a switch case statement is implemented.

switch(streetType) {
    case("primary"): ROADS.RULE_STYLE = "Street/Main road"; break;
    case("secondary"): ROADS.RULE_STYLE = "Street/Sidewalk and Greenspace"; break;
    case("residential"): ROADS.RULE_STYLE = "Street/Residential"; break;
    case...
    default:ROADS.RULE_STYLE = "Street/Cobblestone - Loose";
}

In this case, the attribute 'streetType' calls a specific representation from the style library (Figure 4). Both the definition of a list of relevant street types and the appearance of each style can be modified as a function of the requirements of the project.

Figure 4: Sample of different standard street type styles.

4 RESULTS

A sample of the results is presented in Figure 5, with approximately the same viewpoint as in Figure 1 and Figure 2. It must be mentioned that this view is pointing from south to north. Shading in these models are managed by the software and can be set as desired. Two additional close-ups are presented in Figure 6 and Figure 7, indicating the high visual quality of the 3D city models.

These models can easily be exported by the software to various 3D file formats, such as Collada (DAE) or Wavefront (OBJ). The conversion of these models to CityGML is straightforward, provided that the relation between the 3D features in the model and the attribute data from the 2D data are retained.
5 DISCUSSION

Despite the visually attractive results of the proposed city modelling workflow, some reflections and suggestions for further work are presented in this section. First of all, more complex geometric and appearance modelling definitions are required for buildings and vegetation. The outline of buildings is simply defined by polygons from the 2D data set. Neither these polygons, nor the modelling software take the actual roof shape into account (saddle roof, pyramidal roof, composite of complex structures…). Consequently, a geometric roof reconstruction algorithm has to be implemented, either model-based or data-based (Dorninger and Pfeifer 2008). This reconstruction is required prior to the data import in Autodesk Infraworks (360). An important challenge for such a classifier is the occurrence of complex building structures, such as a main building with a saddle roof and an annex with a flat roof. Furthermore, 3D information should be extracted from the ALS point cloud to model extensions in the façades (balconies, bay windows, …). Feature splitting is advisable for these buildings. A roof type library could be implemented in a comparable fashion as the road appearance protocol described above. Regarding the appearance of the buildings, the ability to use the RGB values from the ALS should be reiterated. Roof appearance generally has a low textural complexity, whereas (geometric and radiometric) façade information from ALS data is limited. An interesting approach to correlate building objects with the most appropriate texture map from a library, is therefore based on matching techniques and conceptual texture synthesis (Wei et al. 2009). In this case, the cumulative distribution of the (normalized) RGB-values of the separated roofs and façades are compared to cumulative distributions, calculated for a series of candidate texture maps. The resulting texture map is then draped over the geometry of the feature. Specifically for vegetation, the use of the tree height was the only unique parameter for each tree. In order to distinguish various trees based on species and object shape (height, crown shape, spatial distribution of ALS subsample), a more detailed descriptor is required (Holmgren and Persson 2004).

6 CONCLUSION

The proposed workflow is a good starting point for automated conceptual 3D city modelling. A combination of governmental data and open data is used for the construction of visually attractive but accurate 3D city models. Notwithstanding the huge number of degrees of freedom in the used software, reasonable automation is gained by the implementation of JavaScript code. Buildings, vegetation and infrastructure are processed in the workflow, but more sophisticated approaches are required for an increased accuracy. Hence, advanced
geometric feature detection algorithms and texture synthesis techniques will be implemented in the workflow in the near future.
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