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Abstract: In this paper, we present the recursive disk metaphor, a glyph-based visualization for software visualization. The metaphor represents all important structural aspects and relations of software using nested circular glyphs. The result is a shape with an inner structural consistency and a completely defined orientation. We compare the recursive disk metaphor to other state-of-the-art 2D approaches that visualize structural aspects and relations of software. Further, a case study shows the feasibility and scalability of the approach by visualizing an open source software system in a browser.

1 INTRODUCTION

Software is known to be complex, intangible, and invisible (Gračanin et al., 2005). A major challenge in the field of software visualization is to give the abstract artifact software a shape in order to explore and to understand it. We present a glyph-based approach to make structural software entities and eventually the whole software system visible. Glyph-based visualization is a form of visual design where a data set is represented by a collection of visual objects referred to as glyphs (Borgo et al., 2013). In more detail,

\begin{itemize}
\item "[...] a glyph is a small visual object that can be used independently and constructively to depict attributes of a data record or the composition of a set of data records;
\item each glyph [...] can be spatially connected to convey the topological relationships between data records or geometric continuity of the underlying data space; and
\item glyphs are a type of visual sign that can make use of visual features of other types of signs such as icons, indices and symbols." (Borgo et al., 2013)
\end{itemize}

To assemble the shape of software from scratch, we start with the basic structural entities of software, i.e., system, namespaces/packages, classes, methods, and attributes. Further, relations should be shown on demand to avoid visual clutter. Common visualization techniques to represent structure and metrics of software in 2D are node-link diagrams, Cartesian, Voronoi, or circular treemaps, and Sunburst (Caserta and Zendra, 2011). We map the entities to circular glyphs. The spatial location of each glyph is predetermined by the underlying structure of the software, i.e., by the containment relations of the entities. As glyphs may contain other glyphs, they are constructed recursively. For these reasons, we call this approach recursive disk metaphor. We decided to dismiss global space-efficiency for local space-efficiency allowing a complete representation of namespaces/packages, classes, inner classes, methods, and attributes. While the resulting visualization is not space-filling as other types of treemaps, it still uses space efficiently by avoiding empty space between the glyphs and by omitting the links. The empty space supports the formation of characteristic patterns that can easily be perceived.

We believe that the application of glyphs holds benefits for software visualization, as one major strength of glyphs is that patterns involving multiple data dimensions may be more easily perceived (Ward, 2008):

1. We get a complete shape for the whole software system representing all important structural entities and their relations. This leads to visually differentiable class glyphs.
2. Design flaws may be easily detectable through certain visual anti-patterns during software quality assessment.
2 RELATED WORK

Glyphs have been successfully applied in 2D software visualization. (Chuah and Eick, 1998) map software management data to timewheel and infobug glyphs. (Pinzger et al., 2005) map structural and evolutionary software metrics to Kiviat diagrams. (Bocuzzo and Gall, 2007) map structural software metrics to well-known glyphs, such as houses, tables, and spears. The final shape looks either well-shaped or mis-shaped and allows conclusions concerning the software design. Besides the unique visual patterns, all approaches use the benefit of glyphs to view many dimensions of the data simultaneously.

According to (Caserta and Zendra, 2011) current state-of-the-art techniques to visualize static aspects of software in 2D are Treemap (Shneiderman, 1992), Circular Treemap (Wang et al., 2006), Sunburst (Andrews and Heidegger, 1998; Stasko et al., 2000), Dependency Structure Matrix (Sangal et al., 2005), Hierarchical Edge Bundles (Holten, 2006), Treemap metrics (Holten et al., 2005), Class Blueprint/Polymetric Views (CodeCrawler) (Lanza, 2003; Ducasse and Lanza, 2005), Voronoi Treemap (Balzer et al., 2005), UML (Gutwenger et al., 2003), UML MetricView (Termeer et al., 2005), UML Area of Interest (Byelas and Telea, 2006), and SHriMP Views (Rigi) (Storey et al., 1997). However, all of these techniques do not support all structural entities and relations. A comparison of the completeness between the recursive disk metaphor and these 2D software visualizations of static aspects is shown in Table 1. It shows that the recursive disk metaphor is the only technique that visually represents inner classes and relations.

Although, there are applications of radial layouts (Stasko et al., 2000; Barlow and Neville, 2001; Wang et al., 2006; Fischer et al., 2012), they are not very widespread because of some drawbacks (Burch and Weiskopf, 2014). First, they are not as space-efficient as Cartesian treemaps (McGuffin and Robert, 2010). Second, it is more difficult to estimate and compare areas of circles (Cleveland and McGill, 1984). As stated in the introduction, using a circular, glyph-based approach uses space efficiently while allowing the formation of patterns that facilitate the comparison of the structure.

3 THE RECURSIVE DISK METAPHOR

In general, the recursive disk metaphor is applicable to visualize software written in procedural and object oriented languages. However, due to their popularity, we focus on object-oriented languages. Hence, we use Java as reference language to explain the metaphor.

3.1 Glyph Design

A glyph consists of a graphical entity with components, each of which has geometric attributes and appearance attributes (Ward, 2002). For the recursive disk metaphor, we use the geometric attributes shape, size, orientation, position, and direction as well as the appearance attributes color and transparency.

3.1.1 Geometric Attributes

For each software entity, i.e., attribute, method, class, and package as well as the system as a whole circular glyphs are used. The circle for classes is divided into one or more inner circles surrounded by rings. From inside to outside, inner classes, attributes, and methods are mapped to these elements. If one of these entities is missing, it is simply omitted. Attributes and methods are represented by circle or ring segments. The outermost ring of a class forms its border to distinguish it from other classes. In Java packages have
neither methods nor attributes. For this reason, they are only represented by the border ring.

Attribute glyphs are all of the same size. The size of a method glyph is estimated using its number of statements. The size of a class glyph is determined by the sum of the number of its attributes, the sizes of its methods, and, if present, the sizes of its inner classes\(^1\). All values are accumulated and represented by area. Consequently, a class with a large size covers a large area. This area reflects the expense to read and understand the source code of a certain class. As the radius of the rings for packages and classes depends on their elements, it is defined by the minimum bounding circle.

### 3.1.2 Appearance Attributes

The default color mapping is chosen according to the opponent process theory (Ware, 2004). As most people with color deficiency view have problems distinguishing red and green, the combination of these colors has been avoided. Consequently, the glyphs for attributes are yellow, methods are blue, classes purple, and packages are gray. An example of the appearance of the different glyph types is shown in Figure 1 (a).

Relations between glyphs can be explored interactively. They are visualized using opacity. Only glyphs participating in a relation are opaque while all other, unrelated glyphs are transparent. To visualize relations, a glyph has to be selected and the type of relation has to be chosen. A selected glyph is marked red. There are different types of relations depending on the type of the glyph. For class glyphs there are supertypes and subtypes, for method glyphs there are callers and callees, and for attribute glyphs there are accessors. An example of showing the supertype of a class glyph is illustrated in Figure 1 (b).

### 3.2 Placement Strategy

The layout of the glyphs is structure-driven combining a hierarchical and an ordered circular positioning pattern (Ward, 2002). Hence, the class and package glyphs are arranged according to their hierarchy level and their net area. The net area is the actual area of a glyph derived from its containing elements. On the contrary, the gross area includes additional empty space due to hierarchical placement. The applied layout is a derivation of the classical circle packing algorithm (Wang et al., 2006). The difference is that the glyph with the largest net area is placed in the center of the visualization and the remaining glyphs are ordered descending by their net area and arranged clockwise around the largest glyph in the center. This is done recursively for all class and package glyphs on every hierarchy level. Additionally, the method glyphs in a class glyph are ordered clockwise descending according to their area. Attribute glyphs are arranged in the same manner depending on the size of their type. The result is a shape with an inner structural consistency and a completely defined orientation. The extension of the classical circle packing algorithm with the described placement strategy facilitates the comparison of areas of different glyphs. An example of the arrangement of one package, five classes, and three inner classes is shown in Figure 1 (a).

### 3.3 Implementation

The underlying technical approach for generating the recursive disk metaphor combines the generative and the model-driven paradigms (Müller et al., 2011). The whole visualization pipeline and the applied implementation techniques are summarized in Figure 2.

The information needed for the visualization is extracted from software systems and stored in Famix (Nierstrasz et al., 2005). During the analysis, these models are checked for syntactic and semantic
validity. They must conform to their meta-model and fulfill some predefined rules, e.g., each entity must have a unique identifier.

There are two types of filtering. The first one is applied at build time. Here, the user can specify the desired packages that should be visualized. Currently, this is realized by a properties file. This will be replaced by a wizard in a future version. The second one is applied at runtime and described in Section 4.1.

The mapping is realized by model transformations and model modifications using the Eclipse Modeling Framework (EMF, 2014). It is divided into two parts. First, the valid and filtered entities from the input model are mapped to a platform independent model. Then, the layout of these entities is computed providing sizes and positions for the visualization. Second, the platform independent model is mapped to a platform specific one, here, Extensible 3D (X3D). Finally, the X3D model is optimized for the web and converted to X3DOM (Behr et al., 2012). The resulting visualization is rendered by a browser.

4 CASE STUDY: FINDBUGS

Findbugs is an open source software that uses static analysis to look for bugs in Java code (Findbugs, 2014). According to our analysis, version 3.0.0 has 61 packages, 1425 classes, 10541 methods, and 5413 attributes. Altogether, there are approximately 200K LOC.

4.1 Navigation and Interaction

As depicted in Figure 3, currently the following interaction techniques are supported to explore Findbugs:

- **Overview/Zoom**: The navigation mode turntable allows to zoom in and out, to rotate, and to pan.
- **Filter**: The entities can be hidden and unhidden as well as searched for.
- **Details-on-demand**: For each entity exists a detailed view.
- **Relate**: Relations between entities can be shown.

From Shneiderman’s visualization mantra (Shneiderman, 1992), only history and extract are currently not supported.

4.2 Visual Patterns

The glyph design and the placement strategy lead to a specific appearance of glyphs on class level and on system level forming unique visual patterns.

Hence, a visual differentiation of the kind of classes is possible based on patterns. In Findbugs, the following patterns occur. A general class with attributes and methods has a yellow circle in its center surrounded by a blue ring (Figure 4 (a)). A class with only attributes is yellow (Figure 4 (b)). If it is not a data class, it is an enumeration. A class with only methods is blue (Figure 4 (c)). A class with neither attributes nor methods results in a purple disk (Figure 4 (d)). The ring with a blue circle in its center or the purple disk may be an abstract class or an interface. Nested elements, such as inner classes or classes in packages, lead to some empty space in the resulting figure producing further recognizable visual patterns (Figure 4 (e)).

The recursive disk metaphor can be used to assess the quality of software by exploring visual pat-
terns. Design flaws can be identified by anti-patterns. Lanza et al. (Lanza et al., 2006) introduced several anti-patterns, such as god class and brain class. An example for each anti-pattern occurring in Findbugs is shown in Figure 4 (e) and (f). Obviously, these two classes have a different appearance and they are bigger than the other classes. Additionally, they tend to appear in the center of their hierarchy level. Consequently, they are readily detectable. We believe that the recursive disk metaphor is ideally suited to detect anti-patterns in software systems. While these anti-patterns could in principle be detected automatically (Lanza et al., 2006), the parameters for these detection algorithms have to be established empirically. Using visualization, no parameters are needed and combinations of anti-patterns can be spotted (Wettel and Lanza, 2008).

All these glyphs form the visualization in Figure 5. It contains two screen-shots of Findbugs visualized with the recursive disk metaphor in a browser. The left screenshot shows the structure of the whole system and the right screenshot represents a detailed view of a part of the system.

5 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

We presented the recursive disk metaphor using glyph-based visualization for software visualization. The metaphor focuses on the structure of software including all important entities from package to attribute level as well as their relations. Additionally, it has an inner structural consistency and a completely defined orientation. Hence, the glyph-based approach gives the per se intangible and invisible software a shape. It produces unique visual patterns for class structures and for anti-patterns. We compared the recursive disk metaphor to related work and discussed design decisions. Further, we outlined implementation details and presented the interface. Its feasibility and scalability has been shown with a case study.

In the future, we intend to cover additional languages, such as C/C++ and .NET. Additionally, we plan to compare our approach with established approaches for visually detecting anti-patterns (Wettel and Lanza, 2008). Finally, a series of controlled experiments is planned based on the approach by (Müller et al., 2014) to empirically evaluate the metaphor.
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