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Abstract: Multiview techniques to reconstruct an animation from 3D video have advanced in leaps and bounds in recent years. It is now possible to synthesise a 3D animation by fusing motions between different sequences. Prior work in this area has established methods to successfully identify inter-sequence transitions of different or similar actions. In some instances however, the transitions at these nodes in the motion path would cause an abrupt change between the motion sequences. Hence, this paper proposes a framework that allows for smoothing of these inter-sequence transitions, while preserving the detailed dynamics of the captured movement. Laplacian based mesh deformation, in addition to shape and appearance based feature methods, including SIFT and MeshHOG features, are used to obtain temporally consistent meshes. These meshes are then interpolated within a temporal window and concatenated to reproduce a seamless transition between the motion sequences. A quantitative analysis of the inter-sequence transitions, evaluated using three dimensional shape based Hausdorff distance is presented for synthesised 3D animations.

1 INTRODUCTION

3D human motion synthesis is increasingly an important part of motion animation, especially in movie, games, tele-medicine and broadcasting industries. It is laborious work for an animator to manually edit an animation between different movements, i.e. motion compositing. As such, reusing captured motion sequences to create a new animation saves time as well as money. In (Huang et al., 2009), a 3D temporal shape similarity measure was used to automatically find the closest match between different motion actions. Although not clearly visible, sometimes the inter-sequence dynamic deformation causes an unintentional discontinuity in the motion. As such, we build on the work of (Huang et al., 2009), (de Aguiar et al., 2008) to obtain a realistic motion deformation that preserves the dynamic motion shape and appearance at each surface transition point.

The framework presented in this paper is outlined as follows. Figure 1(a) shows an example database of 3D video sequences obtained from either (Starck and Hilton, 2007) or (Vlasic et al., 2008). In Figure 1(b), a surface motion graph is constructed using 3D temporal shape similarity measures as proposed in (Huang et al., 2009). This in turn yields an adaptive temporal window of length, $N_t$ which depicts the number of frames before and after each inter-sequence transition node to be used. If a fixed window is used, the abrupt change would still occur in some transitions because of the differences in speed of motion between the action sequences.

Figure 1(c) shows surface feature matching performed on inter-sequence pairs within the window. Appearance based multiview correspondences are detected using SIFT (de Aguiar et al., 2008), (Lowe, 2003) in the 2D image domain and using MeshHOG (Zaharescu et al., 2009) from the 3D surface geometry. This combination of features has proved to be most consistent for 3D surface feature matching and tracking. Robust matching is then obtained between pairs of frames between the sequences within the transition window.

Matching correspondences are used as soft constraints for a volumetric mesh deformation which tetrahedralises the source mesh and then warp to fit the target mesh, as shown in Figure 1(d). The main purpose of using volumetric mesh deformation is to obtain a consistent mesh structure for the inter-sequence frames. Figure 1(e) shows a synthesised interpolated 3D mesh extracted between the source and target meshes based on the corresponding blending weight that fit within the framework. Finally, a collection of linear interpolations between the sequences within the window is concatenated to obtain a dynamic smoothed motion between the sequences.
Figure 1: Different consecutive steps of the smoothed motion path framework: (a) input 3D video database; (b) surface motion graph; (c) surface feature matching from SIFT and MeshHOG; (d) mesh deformation; (e) mesh interpolation; (f) new 3D animation with blended meshes in red.

Prior work as presented in Section 2 suggests that synthesising a new 3D video sequence from a database of available 3D video sequences is possible. However, the inter-sequence transitions in the video remain choppy especially with significant dynamic change of the surface geometry and would need user intervention to generate smooth transitions. The purpose of this paper is to present a novel framework of post-processing surface geometry that combines the best of 3D human motion synthesis, surface feature matching, mesh deformation and interpolation (Section 3) to obtain a smooth 3D video sequence that preserves the dynamic movement of the surface. Results and quantitative analysis is presented in Section 5 with conclusions drawn from this work highlighted in Section 6.

2 RELATED WORK

Concatenating physically simulated and scripted motion is a tricky task, even for an experienced animator. Hence, motion editing of temporal and dynamic unstructured mesh sequences remains an open and
challenging problem. Similar to the 3D motion compositing, (Schödl et al., 2000) used temporal similarity based metrics between still frames to subsequently create a new video sequence. They suggested that it is not possible to extend 2D similarity based metrics to 3D deformable surfaces.

Starck et.al. (Starck et al., 2005) proposed an animation control algorithm based on motion graph and spherical matching method to optimise mesh blending. Their motion blending approach incorporates a coarse-to-fine optimisation algorithm which is dependent on multiview surface correspondences. In addition, Kircher and Garland (Kircher and Garland, 2008) discusses the virtues of absolute, linear and relative blending methods for dynamic meshes in their method. Their proposed method is based on using triangles instead of the standard vertex based blending, which has been shown to yield excellent results.

Interestingly, Baran et.al. (Baran et al., 2009) suggested using semantic based correspondences to deform a mesh based on its relative movement. In contrast, Xu et al. (Xu et al., 2009) proposed using modified Dijkstra algorithm in the motion graph to generate new animation, though their method does have the limitation that they allow large discontinuities between transitions, as long as it is visually acceptable. Hsieh et al. (Hsieh et al., 2005) proposed using a skeletal correspondences to help in the blending of transition nodes. The workflow that they presented is based on using user-defined skeletal information. Their method reduces the surface geometry to metaskeletons which they are able to match between poses of different action sequences. Similarly, Arikan et al. (Arikan and Forsyth, 2002) proposed motion synthesis of articulated data by concatenating frames from a database. They also use similarity measures for matching skeletal data. Other prior work that uses skeletal data for concatenative synthesis of human motion is presented in (Kovar et al., 2002).

Mesh deformation using volumetric based methods is actually quite a common thing to do (de Aguiar et al., 2008; Zhou et al., 2005), since this maintains the volume of the mesh and preserves fine details of the surface geometry. A typical technique is using the graph Laplacian method. However, when applied to large deformations with insufficient constraints, facet pinching and intersection artifacts become apparent. Hence, the motivation to perform mesh interpolation based on feature correspondences to ensure non-rigid shape and dynamic preservation.

3 HUMAN MOTION SYNTHESIS

Transition points between 3D video sequences are identified without temporal correspondences using a 3D shape similarity metric. The motion synthesis is dependent on two stages. The first is construction of the surface motion graph which is used to identify possible inter-sequence transitions and the second, a motion path optimisation algorithm that satisfies user defined constraints.

Motion synthesis is obtained by minimising the transition cost between keyframes whilst observing spatial and temporal constraints. The transition cost is dependent on three measures, i.e. total transition cost, \( C_t(F) \) which is the sum of all dissimilarities of transitions concatenated for the path \( F \), distance cost, \( C_d(F) \) defined as the difference between target distance and travelled distance along the path and time cost, \( C_t(F) \) defined as difference between user specified target time and actual travelled time. Hence, the transition cost is

\[
C(F) = C_t(F) + w_dC_d(F) + w_tC_t(F) \quad (1)
\]

with \( w_d \) and \( w_t \) being weights for distance and time respectively. The optimised path for the motion synthesis is found by minimising the cost function in Equation (1), i.e.

\[
F_{opt} = \arg\min_F \{C(F)\} \quad (2)
\]

Further details of solving Equation (2) using Integer Linear Programming (ILP) method can be found in (Huang et al., 2009).

4 SMOOTH MOTION TRANSITION

Figure 3 shows the process of obtaining smooth motion transitions between sequences. For example, A represents the Walk sequence and B represents the Stand2Walk sequence of a surface captured game character. The numbers in each node represent the frame position in the sequence. The star (*) in Figure 3 shows where the initial transition nodes are that would switch the sequence from A to B. The frames that represent each of these nodes is shown in Figure 2. It can be observed that when the transition occurs between frame 25 and 56, a discontinuity appears. Therefore, there is a need to obtain a blending path that is smooth and progressively propagates from sequence A to B.
by first tetrahedralising the source mesh, $M^d$ yielding a tetrahedral mesh, $M^1$ which is volumetric. This means that if $M^d$ is deformed either translationally or rotationally, the Laplacian deformation technique preserves the volume of the mesh.

Next, a volumetric Laplacian mesh deformation technique (Sorkine, 2006) is applied to obtain the synthesised target mesh $M^k$ following

$$\arg\min_v \|Lv - L\hat{v}_k\|^2$$

where $L$ represents the graph Laplacian operator constructed from source mesh vertices, $v$ is the vertex location of the target mesh, $\hat{v}$ is the vertex location of the source mesh and $\hat{v}_k$ are the sparse constraints (from previous section) to warp the source mesh to target mesh.

In order to obtain a smooth motion path between the sequences, it is pertinent that the dynamic meshes being warped are of consistent structures. With both the source and target meshes having the same structure, it is easier to perform interpolation. As per standard practice, a linear blending method as shown in Equation (6) is used to obtain interpolated meshes.

$$z_i = (1-\alpha_i)a_i + \alpha_i b_i$$

where $z_i$ denotes the blended frame and $\alpha_i$ is the blending weight for the corresponding source and target meshes.

Although the computation of blending weight is trivial, it remains an important step as this determines how much the source and target meshes are to be interpolated. The blending weight, $\alpha$ is set as the inverse of the optimised window length, $n_{opt}$. Hence, for each frame set, $\alpha$ is shown to be

$$\alpha_i = \frac{k_i}{2n_{opt} + 1} \quad \forall i = K - n_{opt}, \ldots, K + n_{opt}$$

with $K$ depicting the center frame of the dynamic window. Therefore, for the example shown in Figure 2(b), $n_{opt} = 4$. Hence, the blending weights for the meshes within the window in Figure 2(b) will be $\alpha_i = \{1/N_T, \ldots, N_T/N_T\}$, with $N_T = 2 \times n_{opt} + 1$ representing the total size of the window.

### 5 RESULTS & DISCUSSION

Synthesised character animations are created from a publicly available 3D video database (Starck and Hilton, 2007). The database consists of four character sets; (1) Character 1 - game character, (2) Fashion 1 - fully textured long flowing dress and (3) Fashion 2 - shorter, plain and tighter fitting dress, all of which are

---

1 SIFT source obtained from http://www.vlfeat.org
Table 1: Information on 3D video sequences used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3D Seq.</th>
<th>Action Seq.</th>
<th>Frames</th>
<th>Images</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Character</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>3536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fashion1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>3344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fashion2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>3456</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: Example frames from the 3D video database.

challenging for re-animation. The characteristics of the datasets used is presented in Table 1 with example frames for each dataset shown in Figure 4.

A total of over 3000 meshes (>100k vertices each) and over 30000 texture images (from 8 cameras) have been used to construct surface motion graphs. From the motion graphs, three test 3D animations have been synthesised for analysis.

The smoothness error, measured in centimetres is evaluated using the Metro (Cignoni et al., 1998) tool which calculates the Hausdorff distance between two surfaces in three dimensional space using

\[ H(S_i, \tilde{S}_j) = \max \{ h(S_i, \tilde{S}_j), h(\tilde{S}_j, S_i) \} \]  

where

\[ h(S_i, \tilde{S}_j) = \max_{v \in S_i} \min_{\tilde{v} \in \tilde{S}_j} \| v - \tilde{v} \| \]  

where \( v \) and \( \tilde{v} \) are vertices of the source surface geometry \( S_i \) and of reference surface \( \tilde{S}_j \), respectively within the synthesised animation sequence, and \( \| \cdot \| \) denotes the Euclidean distance between the vertices in spatial space. \( i \) and \( j \) are surface transition indices within the synthesised 3D video sequence.

The graph in Figure 5 shows the error between successive frames in the Fashion2 synthesised 3D video sequence. Because the female model is moving slowly in the beginning, the change between the surfaces is reasonably low. However, as she starts walking at approximately the 120th frame of the sequence, the error increases because of the differences between the surface geometry. The largest spike in the raw sequence, with error of 50.17 cm occurs at a point when the model is walking with larger footsteps. From the figure, sequential patterns of peaks and troughs is due to motion loops occurring. Smoothness errors for the blended sequences is highlighted in red. The difference in change of error is apparent between the raw and blended sequences.

Spikes in the blended sequence which do not have a corresponding spike in the raw sequence relate to large changes between the frames. It is worth noting that for mesh deformation to work properly, the surface correspondences has to be as accurate as possible. Even with minimal inaccuracies, the mismatches can cause abnormal deformations, i.e. facet self-intersections or collapsing faces. Another important fact is that if a geometry causes an irregular distribution of the correspondences, then it is likely that the output from the mesh deformation would also exhibit abnormal deformity in some regions of the surface. All these situations can cause 3D synthesis errors which in turn means large changes between the frames can occur. This can be related to the spikes in the blended sequence which do not have a corresponding spike in the raw sequence.

An error distribution snapshot between frames 292 and 299 of the graph in Figure 5 is presented in Figure 6. The colour distribution in the figure is highlighted as: < 3 cm → Red to Yellow; < 6 cm → Yellow to Green; < 9 cm → Green to Cyan; < 12 cm → Cyan to Blue and Blue for errors larger than 12 cm. It can be seen that large error occurs when there is large movement present. The motion in the sequences at these corresponding frames differ significantly, i.e. in Figure 6(a), the model is still walking and in Figure 6(b), the model is standing still. By using blended frames in the sequence, it is shown (Figure 5) that the model have been synthesised to stop walking earlier in the sequence and is standstill, whilst the model is about to stop walking in the raw sequence.

An error distribution snapshot between frames 292 and 299 of the graph in Figure 5 is presented in Figure 6. The colour distribution in the figure is highlighted as: < 3 cm → Red to Yellow; < 6 cm → Yellow to Green; < 9 cm → Green to Cyan; < 12 cm → Cyan to Blue and Blue for errors larger than 12 cm. It can be seen that large error occurs when there is large movement present. The motion in the sequences at these corresponding frames differ significantly, i.e. in Figure 6(a), the model is still walking and in Figure 6(b), the model is standing still. By using blended frames in the sequence, it is shown (Figure 5) that the model have been synthesised to stop walking earlier in the sequence and is standstill, whilst the model is about to stop walking in the raw sequence.
6 CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the process that have been presented provides a smooth motion path for concatenation of human motion synthesis from 3D video sequences. In contrast to using depth cameras (Microsoft Kinect) and annotated markers (Flagg et al., 2009), we have shown that in the absence of skeletal information, using automatically detected surface correspondences from SIFT and MeshHOG, an intermediate surface motion can be reconstructed to create a seamless motion transfer between sequences. The process includes using Laplacian mesh deformation and linear blending methods to preserve the non-rigid dynamics of the surface. Work is in progress to include additional coarse correspondences for filling in regions without any features to facilitate greater flexibility in the re-use of motion sequences. Further emphasis is being placed on making surface feature matching temporally consistent, similar to that of (Budd et al., 2013) which uses patch mesh, to allow reliable estimation of a consistent structure.
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