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Abstract: Cloud infrastructure is increasingly used for the provision of sophisticated multimedia services, such as cloud gaming or Desktop as a Service, with stringent Quality of Service demands. Serving these service demands results in the need to cost-efficiently select and capacitate data centers. In the work at hand, we introduce the corresponding Cloud Data Center Capacitation Problem and propose two optimization approaches. Through a quantitative evaluation, we demonstrate that an exact solution approach is only practically applicable to small problem instances, whereas a heuristic based on Linear Program relaxation achieves significant reductions in computation time of about 80\% while retaining a favorable solution quality, with cost increases of approximately 5\% or less.

1 INTRODUCTION

Since the term was first coined in the mid-2000s, cloud computing has received increasing attention by both IT practitioners and researchers. In this context, a focus has often been on Infrastructure as a Service, given that it is the most flexible among the three cloud computing service models (Briscoe and Martinos, 2009) and that its successful application has been widely documented in the literature, e. g., (Armbrust et al., 2009). However, with the increasing maturity of cloud computing, the focus is shifting toward the cloud-based delivery of sophisticated multimedia services. Such software-oriented services include, for example, cloud gaming / Games as a Service (Chang, 2010) or Dekstop as a Service (Erdogmus, 2009). Due to their nature, such multimedia services pose high demands concerning Quality of Service (QoS) attributes. Unfortunately, past empirical research has shown that the current cloud infrastructure is partially insufficient to meet those demands, most notably due to the latency that arises from the massive centralization of cloud data centers in few geographical locations (Choy et al., 2012).

Accordingly, in the work at hand, we examine how cloud data centers can be appropriately selected and capacitated in order to serve QoS-aware multimedia services. In our previous work (Hans et al., 2013), we have addressed the selection of cloud data centers for single service types and time-invariant service demands. The work at hand expands our past research through the consideration of multiple service types, as well as fluctuating service demands, and also regards the resulting distinction between variable costs for operation and reservation of cloud infrastructure. In this context, we propose an exact solution approach, based on an Integer Program (IP) formulation, as well as a heuristic approach based on Linear Program (LP) relaxation.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: In Section 2, we briefly explain the specific problem that is addressed in this paper. In Section 3, we introduce formal notations, based on which we specify two optimization approaches. These approaches are quantitatively evaluated in Section 4. An overview of related work is given in Section 5. Section 6 concludes the paper with a brief summary and outlook on future work.

2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

In this work, we assume the role of a service provider, who aims to deliver multimedia services to a distributed set of users. Specifically, we consider a set of so-called user clusters, each of which represents a predefined number of users in a certain geographical...
3 OPTIMIZATION APPROACHES

In the following, we first introduce a set of notations to formally represent the CDCCP (cf. Section 3.1). Subsequently, we introduce two optimization approaches, namely an exact approach based on Integer Programming (cf. Section 3.2) and a heuristic approach based on LP relaxation (cf. Section 3.3).

3.1 Formal Notations

In order to represent the CDCCP in the form of a mathematical model, a few formal notations are required. To begin with, we formally define the basic entities within the CDCCP using the following symbols:

- \( D = \{1,2,\ldots,D^u\} \): Set of (potential or existing) data centers
- \( U = \{1,2,\ldots,U^u\} \): Set of user clusters
- \( S = \{1,2,\ldots,S^u\} \): Set of available services
- \( Q = \{1,2,\ldots,Q^u\} \): Set of considered QoS attributes
- \( T = \{1,2,\ldots,T^u\} \): Set of discrete time slots within the planning period

Based on the previously introduced basic entities, the parameters that are associated with the individual entities can be defined as follows:

- \( SD_{u,s,t} \): Service demand of user \( u \) for service \( s \) at time \( t \)
- \( K_{d}^{\min} \in \mathbb{R} \): Minimal capacity of data center \( d \)
- \( K_{d}^{\max} \in \mathbb{R} \): Maximal capacity of data center \( d \)
- \( CF_{d} \in \mathbb{R} \): Fixed cost of selecting data center \( d \)
- \( CVO_{d} \in \mathbb{R} \): Variable cost for operating one server unit for one time unit in data center \( d \)
- \( CVR_{d} \in \mathbb{R} \): Variable cost for reserving one server unit in data center \( d \)
- \( CP_{u,s,t} \in \mathbb{R} \): Penalty cost per service unit not provided to user \( u \) w.r.t. service \( s \)
- \( QG_{d,u,q} \in \mathbb{R} \): QoS guarantee of data center \( d \) w.r.t. user \( u \) for QoS attribute \( q \)
- \( QR_{d,u,q} \in \mathbb{R} \): QoS requirement of user \( u \) w.r.t. service \( s \) for QoS attribute \( q \)

Lastly, in order to model the CDCCP as optimization problem, we use the following decision variables:

- \( x_{d} \): Selection of a data center \( d \)
- \( y_{d,u,s,t} \): Capacity provided by data center \( d \) concerning service \( s \) at time \( t \)
- \( y'_{u,s,t} \): Penalty-bound capacity not provided to user cluster \( u \) concerning service \( s \) at time \( t \)
- \( z_{d} \): Capacity reserved in data center \( d \)

3.2 Exact Optimization Approach

CDCCP-EXA.KOM

Based on the notations from the previous section, the CDCCP can be modeled as an optimization problem in an intuitive manner. The result is provided in Model 1 and will be explained in detail in the following. To begin with, Equation 1 defines the objective function, aiming at a minimization of total costs, depending on the values of the decision variables. Equation 2 ensures that all service demands will be satisfied or that corresponding penalties will accrue. Equation 3 links the decision variables \( y \) and \( z \), ensuring that only the reserved capacity in each data center may be used in each time slot. Equations 4 and 5 make sure that the capacity constraints for each data center are held. Equation 6 ensures that the QoS requirements of each user cluster are matched by the corresponding data center guarantees, depending on the value of the auxiliary variable \( p \) from Equation 7. Lastly, Equation 8 defines the decision variables as binary and natural.
As can easily be seen, Model 1 constitutes an IP. Such problems can be solved using off-the-shelf algorithms, most notably the branch-and-bound algorithm (Domschke and Drexl, 2004). However, despite its efficiency in many application scenarios, branch-and-bound is based on the principle of enumeration (Hillier and Lieberman, 2005). Hence, in the worst case, the time complexity of computing a solution to a given problem instance grows exponentially with the number of decision variables, i.e., the number of entities in the model. Accordingly, the practical applicability of CDCCP-EXA.KOM is likely limited to smaller problem instances and situations where the computation time requirements play an inferior role.

3.3 Heuristic Optimization Approach CDCCP-REL.KOM

The brief qualitative analysis from the previous section indicates a potentially high computational complexity for the exact approach CDCCP-EXA.KOM. Based on this notion, we introduce a heuristic approach that is based on the common concept of LP relaxation (Domschke and Drexl, 2004). Specifically, the binary and integer decision variables in the initial model (cf. Equation 8) are substituted by corresponding natural variables (cf. Equation 9).

The resulting LP formulation of the initial problem can be solved using another set of off-the-shelf algorithms, such as interior point methods. In contrast to branch-and-bound, such algorithms are characterized by polynomial, rather than exponential worst case time complexity (Hillier and Lieberman, 2005). This renders them potentially applicable to larger problem instances, even under relatively rigid time constraints. From the LP-based solution, a final solution can simply be deduced by rounding all natural values of the decision variables to the next-highest integer.

4 EVALUATION

4.1 Setup

In order to assess the applicability of our proposed optimization approaches, we prototypically implemented them in Java 7. As solver framework, we used IBM ILOG CPLEX 12.5.1, which was accessed through the JavaLP middleware2.


http://javailp.sourceforge.net/

In accordance with Silver (Silver, 2004), our evaluation focuses on two dependent variables, namely computation time and solution quality (i.e., total cost associated with the computed solution). As independent variables, we considered the number of data cen-

Model 1. Cloud Data Center Capacitation Problem.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Min. } C(x,y,z) = & \sum_{d \in D} x_d \times CF_d \\
+ & \sum_{d \in D, u \in U, s \in S} y_{d,u,s,t} \times CVO_d \\
+ & \sum_{d \in D, u \in U, s \in S} y_{d,u,s,t}^{'} \times CP_{u,t} \\
+ & \sum_{d \in D} z_d \times CVR_d \\
y_{d,u,s,t}^{'} + & \sum_{d \in D} y_{d,u,s,t} \geq SD_{u,s,t} \\
\forall u & \in U, \forall s \in S, \forall t \in T
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\sum_{u \in U, s \in S} y_{d,u,s,t} \leq z_d \quad \forall d \in D, \forall t \in T
\]

\[
z_d \leq x_d \times k_d^{\text{max}} \quad \forall d \in D
\]

\[
z_d \geq x_d \times k_d^{\text{min}} \quad \forall d \in D
\]

\[
y_{d,u,s,t} \leq p_{d,u,s} \times k_d^{\text{max}} \\
\forall d \in D, \forall u \in U, \forall s \in S, \forall t \in T
\]

\[
p_{d,u,s} = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } QG_{d,u,q} \leq QR_{u,s,q} \forall q \in Q \\
0 & \text{else}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
x_d \in \{0,1\} \quad \forall d \in D
\]

\[
y_{d,u,s,t} \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall d \in D, \forall u \in U, \forall s \in S, \forall t \in T
\]

\[
y_{d,u,s,t}^{'} \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall u \in U, \forall s \in S, \forall t \in T
\]

\[
z_d \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall d \in D
\]
4.2 Results and Discussion

The results of our evaluation are presented in Figures 1 through 3. As can be seen in Figure 1, the observed mean absolute computation times strikingly confirm the different computational complexity of CDCP-EXA.KOM and CDCP-REL.KOM. Even for the smallest considered test cases, the computation time for CDCP-EXA.KOM ranges in the order of magnitude of 1 s, quickly growing to 10 s or even 100 s with an increasing size of the problem instances. In contrast, the mean computation times for CDCP-REL.KOM remain in the order of magnitude of 10 s, even for the largest problem classes. These findings are also confirmed by the macro-averaged ratios of computation times, as given in Figure 2. Except for the smallest problem classes, CDCP-REL.KOM consistently reduces the computation time by about 80% or more to CDCP-EXA.KOM. The reduction is statistically significant across all test cases at the assumed confidence level of 95% (i.e., α = 0.05).

On the downside, Figure 3 indicates that the application of LP relaxation in CDCP-REL.KOM comes at a certain amount of additional cost, i.e., degradation in solution quality. Compared to CDCP-EXA.KOM, the increase ranges between approximately 0.4% and 4.3%; however, it does not exceed 1.5% for all considered test cases except one. Thus, while the slight increase is statistically significant for practically all test cases at the 95% confidence level, it can be considered quite marginal and most likely acceptable in practical applications. In addition, as can be seen from the given sample sizes, CDCP-REL.KOM is able to provide valid solutions to essentially all considered problem instances, except in six test cases, where one instance respectively could not be solved.

In conclusion, we find that the exact optimization approach CDCP-EXA.KOM is associated with high computational complexity and hence, its practical application is limited to small problem instances. However, the approach can also serve as a benchmark for the assessment of alternative solution approaches, such as CDCP-REL.KOM. The latter has presented a much more favorable performance in our experiments with respect to computational demands. Nevertheless, the development of custom-tailored optimization approaches for the CDCP that do not rely on LP formulations may provide further improvements concerning the trade-off between computational requirements and solution quality.

5 RELATED WORK

In recent years, there has been vivid research in the area of cloud computing. In the following, we briefly discuss selected works that are most closely related to our research.

(Goiri et al., 2011) present an approach for efficient data center placement based on several factors, e.g., available network backbones and proximity of population centers. To find a solution for the placement problem, the authors use a combination of exact and approximate approaches. Thereby, Goiri et al. focus on design time, i.e., construction planning for new data centers. In contrast to our work, they do not...
consider time-variant service demands.

(Choy et al., 2012) study the current cloud infrastructure with respect to cloud gaming. The authors demonstrate that the current Amazon EC2 data centers could only serve 70% of the US population with adequate latency. Based on this finding, they propose the use of so-called edge servers to extend the current existing infrastructure, and validate their proposal using simulation approaches. In contrast to us, Choy et al. do not propose an exact approach for data center capacitation, and do not consider time-variant service demand.

(Larumbe and Sansò, 2012) present an optimization approach that addresses three distinct, yet inter-
linked problems: the geographical location of data centers, the location of software components that are hosted in network nodes and routing. Because the authors see a close connection between these problems, they integrated them in one mathematical framework using an optimal approach. Similar to the two aforementioned papers, this work only considers static service demand. Also, it exclusively provides an exact, but not a heuristic solution approach.

In summary, to the best of our knowledge, our work is the first to address the cost-efficient capacitation and placement of cloud data centers for QoS-aware services under consideration of time-variant service demand. In this context, this paper not only provides the exact solution approach CDCCP-EXA.KOM but also an initial heuristic solution, CDCCP-REL.KOM, which features substantially reduced computation times.

6 SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

Cloud-based delivery of multimedia services, such as cloud gaming or Desktop as a Service, offers great economic potential. However, the adequate design of the underlying cloud infrastructure is a challenging task that has been only insufficiently addressed in research so far. In this work, we introduced the according Cloud Data Center Capacitation Problem (CDCCP). We proposed an exact solution approach, named CDCCP-EXA.KOM, based on Integer Programming. We further proposed a basic heuristic, called CDCCP-REL.KOM, which is based on the principle of Linear Program relaxation. Based on a quantitative evaluation, we showed that CDCCP-EXA.KOM is only practically applicable to smaller problem instances due to its exponential computational complexity. In contrast, CDCCP-REL.KOM features polynomial time complexity, thus significantly reducing the required computational effort for solving individual problem instances by 80% or more. At the same time, the heuristic maintains a favorable solution quality, with cost increases generally amounting to less than 5% compared to an exact solution.

Our future work primarily aims at the development of further heuristic approaches, which provide an even more favorable tradeoff between computational complexity and solution quality. Furthermore, we will extend the proposed approaches to account for stochastic, rather than just deterministic parameters, e.g., uncertain service demands or QoS properties.
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