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Abstract: One particular characteristic of software-intensive systems is that software is a fundamental component together with other components. For the software design counterpart, both for structural and dynamic views, UML is one of the most used modeling language. However, UML is weak in modeling elements of a software-intensive system that are not software. This is the main reason why the Systems Modeling Language (SysML), a UML profile, was introduced by OMG. One objective of this article is to combine the SysML Block diagram and the UML Class diagram to design the structural view of a software-intensive system architecture. A meta-model describing the relationship between the two diagrams and an automatic model-driven transformation using the ATL language are proposed. The evaluation was performed by applying the meta-model in practice to develop software-intensive systems in the field of road traffic management, as shown in the case study.

1 INTRODUCTION

Software-intensive systems (Tiako, 2008) (Hinchey et al., 2008) are large, complex systems in which software is an essential component, interacting with other elements such as other software, systems, devices, actuators, sensors and with people. As software is an essential part of these systems, it influences the design, deployment, and evolution of the system as a whole (ISO-IEC, 2007). Examples of software-intensive systems can be found in many sectors, such as manufacturing plants, transportation, telecommunication and health care.

Designing software-intensive systems is a challenging activity for many reasons. The proper environment in which software-intensive systems act poses great challenges. Software-intensive systems are frequently used to control critical infrastructures in which any error, non-conformance or even response delays may cause enormous financial damage or even jeopardize human life. Designing and creating models are important activities to improve communication between teams and to significantly diminishing natural language ambiguities (Ludewig, 2003). Typically, in Systems and Software Engineering, an artifact is considered to be a model if it has a graphical, formal or mathematical representation (Bézivin, 2006).

Currently, there are a variety of modeling languages, methods, and techniques applied to all phases of software systems development. An extensive list of techniques for software design activities is presented in (Jiang et al., 2008). For instance, the structural elements of software have long been modeled using the Entity-Relationship model or simple block diagrams with unclear semantics (Edwards and Lee, 2003). There is no doubt that UML (OMG-UML, 2010) has been widely applied to the development of software in industry. Despite its relative success, the language has been heavily criticized (Bell, 2004) (France et al., 2006) (Andrè et al., 2007) (Soares and Vrancken, 2009). The most relevant criticism of UML regarding this article is that UML is weak in modeling elements of a software-intensive system that are not software. This is the main reason why SysML (OMG-SysML, 2010) was proposed. SysML is a systems modeling language that supports the specification, analysis, design, verification and validation of complex systems. The language is derived from UML, taking into account systems aspects such as hardware, information, processes and personnel.

The focus of this article is on the design phase of software-intensive systems, more specifically the design of the structural view of a software-intensive
system architecture. Therefore, it is of utmost importance that not only the software part of these systems are modeled, but also the system elements are modeled. This article has two main objectives. The first one is to describe the introduction of SysML as a modeling language in the development process of distributed real-time software-intensive systems. More specifically, the SysML Block diagram is applied in practice to describe the structural architecture in the field of road-traffic management. SysML has been applied to a number of projects (Viehl et al., 2006) (Laleau et al., 2010) in various fields, such as large telescopes (Karban et al., 2008), car manufacturing (Balmelli et al., 2006), industrial process control applications (Hastbacka et al., 2011), and road traffic management systems (Soares et al., 2011).

The second objective is to propose a metamodel to describe the relationship between the SysML Block and the UML Class diagrams, which was not well-described in the SysML specification (OMG-SysML, 2010). This relationship is then implemented using a model-driven approach. An automatic transformation using the ATL language (Jouault and Kurtev, 2005) is performed based on the described metamodel.

Other model-driven approaches combining SysML and ATL are not frequent in the literature, because of the novelty of these languages. One example can be found in (Colombo et al., 2012), in which the transformation based on ATL is performed considering only the SysML metamodel, i.e., the authors proposed a transformation from analysis models to design models by refining the SysML diagrams. ATL was chosen in this research because it has been successfully applied for transformations in real applications as described in the literature (Jouault et al., 2008) (Kim et al., 2012) (Goknil et al., 2014). In addition, ATL provides an adequate tool support, as the language is part of the Eclipse project. The approach is applied to a practical application in the field of road-traffic management, in which important software-intensive systems are implemented in order to support modern life.

2 BASICS ON SysML BLOCK DIAGRAMS

SysML is considered both a subset and an extension of UML. As a subset, UML diagrams considered too specific for software (Objects and Deployment diagrams) or redundant with other diagrams (Communication and Time Diagrams) were not included in SysML. Some diagrams are derived from UML without significant changes (State-Machine, Use Case, Sequence, and Package Diagrams), other diagrams are derived with changes (Block, Activity, Internal Block Diagrams) and there are two new diagrams (Requirements and Parametric Diagrams). As a matter of fact, SysML is compatible with UML, which can facilitate the integration of the disciplines of Software and System Engineering. Nevertheless, there is still lack of research on using both languages together, and the boundaries and relationships are not yet clear.

![Figure 1: A SysML Block.](image)

The SysML Block (Figure 1) extends the UML Class by including additional elements and constraints. A SysML Block can be divided into named compartments, which can be defined specifically for each type of system. For instance, a compartment can represent properties, operations, or parts. A property can represent a role in the context of its enclosing block. A part belonging to a block defines a local usage of its defining block within the specific context to which the part belongs. Operations describe the behavior of a system.

SysML Blocks provide a general-purpose capability to describe the architecture of a system (OMG-SysML, 2010). The SysML Block diagram provides the ability to represent a system hierarchy. It can also represent parts of software-intensive systems at many levels of abstraction. Elements of a software-intensive system such as hardware, procedures, data, and persons are modeled with the SysML Block diagram.

The design of the system architecture is described by means of blocks, with focus not only on the software structure of each system element, but also on the general structure, including parts of each block, constraints and properties not necessarily related to software. With the SysML Block diagram, system’s ele-
ments are identified, together with their relationships, properties, and operations.

3 RELATING SysML BLOCKS WITH UML CLASS DIAGRAM

Once the structural view of the system has been defined from the systems engineering point of view, then software engineers have to map the system elements modeled as SysML Blocks to software classes and objects. The choice here is to use the UML Class diagram to represent the model of software classes. This choice is natural given that SysML and UML are modeling languages with roots on the same metamodel, the MOF (OMG, 2006). Therefore, physical elements of a system, modeled as SysML Blocks, are later implemented in a software system as a corresponding software object, once the physical element is included into the software architecture.

SysML Blocks are candidates to be refined into one or more UML Classes during the software design and implementation phases. However, the refinement is not automatic. This is a modeling activity that, by its own nature, has no strict rules. Some useful guidelines and a metamodel (Fig. 2) for the relationship are proposed in this section.

Properties, operations and constraints are compartments proposed in the SysML specification. Properties are mapped as attributes of a class, if they are related to states, or to methods, if they are related to operations. Within SysML, all properties are public. For the mapping, good design practices of the object-oriented paradigm shall be applied (e.g., information hiding). Therefore, properties are most often mapped as private or protected attributes of a class.

Operations are mapped into at least one software method, normally as a public element of the class interface. The reason is that the system operation can actually be implemented using a group of software methods instead of only one. Systems and software engineers have to work together in order to decide the best solution for this mapping.

SysML Blocks are related to each other through associations. These can be normal associations, meaning that there is a relationship between the associated blocks, or stronger relationships, in this case composition or aggregation. The semantic choice of the former two types of association depends on strong ownership and coincident lifetime of the part and the whole.
Within the SysML Block diagram, properties, operations, receptions, parts, references and values are compartments of a block. In the proposed transformation, properties and values are mapped as attributes of a UML class. Operations and receptions are mapped as at least one method of a class, almost always as a public member, but may be mapped as a group of methods. Systems engineers and software engineers must work together with the purpose of deciding the solution to this mapping.

Parts and references are represented in the model as associations between blocks. In the SysML Block diagram, parts represent that the block to be implemented is composed of other blocks. This characteristic is represented in the UML Class diagram using the composition kind of association. References indicate that the block to be mapped uses other blocks, but is not composed of other blocks. This characteristic is mapped in the UML Class diagram using the aggregation kind of association. For all mapping, the associations and their cardinalities are kept unchanged.

All properties are public in SysML, but this is not wise in UML. According to the concept of information hiding of the object-oriented paradigm, a differentiation between private and public elements is essential.

4 RULES SPECIFIED WITH ATL

ATL (Atlas Transformation Language) (Jouault and Kurtev, 2005) was chosen as the language for implementing the transformation from SysML Block diagrams to UML Class diagrams. ATL is a model-to-model transformation language. The choice was taken considering many aspects. ATL is part of the M2M Eclipse project with an active discussion group and many examples and case studies applied even in industry (Selim et al., 2012). As an Eclipse project, ATL proposes to the community a complete Eclipse IDE (Integrated Development Environment) coming along with the ATL language and core components. The language is one of the most mature technologies in the model-driven field of research (Brunelière et al., 2010). The Eclipse editor provides standard resources for the implementation, including syntax highlighting and debugger.

In order to create and execute the ATL transformation, two metamodels were used: the SysML Block diagram and the UML Class diagram metamodels. These metamodels are exactly the same as proposed in OMG specifications. Using these metamodels, ATL rules were defined with the purpose of transforming blocks into classes. Overall, ten ATL rules were implemented to create the transformation (see Table 1). Due to lack of space in this article, only four of these rules implemented in ATL are presented in the article as follows.

![Figure 3: ATL Rule ‘Block2Class.](image)

![Figure 4: ATL Rules’ operation2operation and reception2operation.](image)

The purpose of the Block2Class rule (Fig. 3) is to transform each SysML Block into a corresponding UML Class. The elements of a Block are transformed as well. Properties and values in a Block are transformed into class attributes, and operations and receptions are transformed into class methods. Specific rules for transforming operations and receptions are presented in Fig. 4.

Rules Operation2Method and Reception2Method (Fig. 4) have the objective of transforming operations and receptions into class methods. Operations and receptions are simple elements of a SysML Block, containing for their specifications only name, visibility, and parameters. Therefore, the transformations were quite simple, with only an additional transformation named ParameterAttribute2Parameter in order to transform parameters of operations into param-
Table 1: Rules in ATL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rules</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model2Model</td>
<td>Transforms a SysML Block diagram into a UML Class diagram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block2Class</td>
<td>Transforms each SysML Block into a UML Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property2Attribute</td>
<td>Transforms Block properties into Class attributes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation2Method</td>
<td>Transforms Block operations into Class methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reception2Method</td>
<td>Transforms Block receptions into Class methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ParameterAttribute2Parameter</td>
<td>Transforms parameters of operations and attributes of receptions into parameters of methods of a Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AssociationPartReference2Association</td>
<td>Transforms parts, references and associations into Class associations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DataType2DataType</td>
<td>Transforms a DataType of a Block into a DataType of a Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enumeration2Enumeration</td>
<td>Transforms an Enumeration of a Block into an Enumeration of a Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EnumerationLiteral2EnumerationLiteral</td>
<td>Transforms an EnumerationLiteral of a Block into an EnumerationLiteral of a Class</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Elements of the Software Architecture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Geographic element</th>
<th>Monitoring</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Network</td>
<td>Network Route</td>
<td>OD-matrix</td>
<td>ODMGR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link</td>
<td>Link merge/choice point</td>
<td>Route travel time</td>
<td>Route Mgr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point</td>
<td>sensor/actuator position</td>
<td>Avg. speed, turn fractions</td>
<td>Junction Mgr.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5: ATL Rule Association2Association.

5 STRUCTURAL ARCHITECTURE OF THE CASE STUDY

The SysML Block diagram is applied in this article to represent the structural view architecture of systems. The case study shown in this section is of an architecture for a road traffic management system (RTMS) (Almejalli et al., 2008) (Almejalli et al., 2009), which are software-intensive systems used in activities such as controlling, predicting, visualizing, and monitoring road traffic. The structural view architecture describes which elements (see Table 2) cooperate with each other in a high level manner, without concerns about how this interaction is done. These network elements are:

- **Origin-destination Managers**. (ODMGR) represent the relation between an origin and a destination and comprise one or more routes.
- **Route Managers**. control the set of routes from one origin to one destination.
- **Links**. come in two types, Main links and Accessor links. The Main link is the link from the merge point to the choice point and the Accessor link is the link from the choice point to the merge point.
- **Junctions**. comprise the outgoing Main link and the incoming Accessor links of a crossing or motorway junction. A junction is a location where traffic can change its routes, directions and sometimes even the mode of travel.
- **Control Schemes**. are coherent set of measures triggered by recurring patterns in the traffic state, such as the morning rush hours or the weekend exodus.
Figure 6: Logical view using SysML Block diagram.

Figure 7: Logical view using UML Class diagram.
The representation of the structural architecture view is shown in Fig. 6 using a SysML Block diagram. The distributed components have to communicate with each other, as they work in cooperation. They continuously measure the traffic state and communicate about it to other links in real-time. For instance, links have to communicate with other links in order to achieve a traffic state. Routes participate in at least one link, but they can participate in more links.

The initial SysML Block model was designed using the Papyrus tool, which is integrated into the TopCased tool. Papyrus offers all support to create SysML models, including the automatic generation of an xmi file, which is the entry model to create ATL transformations. After execution of the transformation, an xmi file is generated with the UML Class. This file is then ready to be presented in a graphical manner. The final result is presented in Fig. 7. With this UML Class diagram, a Model-to-Code transformation can be performed. In this research, the TopCased tool allowed the generation of Java source code automatically.

In terms of the system example presented in this section, sensors and actuators objects only exist because they belong to a junction object. The same holds to sensor objects related to link objects. As a result, they are all represented with the composition relationship. Other compartments of a SysML Block do not have a straightforward mapping, in particular the ones specific to the domain. Thus, each case is taken into account carefully. For instance, the Control Scheme is actually refined to a control class, responsible to implement the proposed scenarios. Each scenario presented in the “scenario compartment” is designed as a Use Case, and implemented in software through the UML Classes.

The proposed meta-model which is the basis for the mapping and further implementation using ATL is depicted in Fig. 2, and the final result of the mapping from SysML Blocks to UML Classes using the proposed meta-model is presented in Fig. 7.

6 CONCLUSIONS

After more than a decade of use in a variety of domains, both in academia and industry, the number of legacy systems modeled using UML is considerable. Therefore, even with its well-known problems, the language has been considerably applied to new projects. As a matter of fact, the introduction of a completely different language would be a challenge for many reasons. New modeling tools would have to be integrated into the development methodology. The learning process by the development team has to be considered, and training has to be taken into account. For this reason, the added value of a new modeling language must be clear.

It is difficult to find a single modeling language that is capable of modeling both software and system elements. The objective of this article is to describe a research and its further practical application in which the SysML Block diagram is introduced to create models of software-intensive systems in combination with the UML Class diagram. As the SysML Block diagram is useful to model components of a system, such as hardware and its parts, it can be applied to model other elements besides software. A meta-model describing the relationship between SysML Blocks and UML Classes is presented. A model-driven approach using the ATL language is used to implement the metamodel in order to transform a SysML Block diagram into a UML Class diagram. This approach brings improved separation of concerns during system design, and provides a straightforward way to trace systems elements to software elements. In addition, knowing the mapping from system elements to software elements may bring together the work of systems and software engineers. The evaluation was based on the practical application to develop software-intensive systems in the field of road traffic management.

Future research will focus on evaluating the dynamic behavior of software-intensive systems modeled using the SysML Activity diagram, which is an extension to the UML Activity diagram and offers additional modeling possibilities when compared with the UML version, as for instance, the support to model continuous flow as well as discrete flow. In addition, other transformation languages are being applied to this same case study with the purpose of comparing different approaches to create the transformation.
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