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Abstract: We propose an exclusive data allocation method and evaluate the storage I/O response time with this method between a solid state drive (SSD) for a tiered volume and an SSD for cache in a storage system that uses both an SSD and hard disk drive (HDD). With the proposed method, the SSD cache function with exclusive data allocation caches only data allocated on the HDD tier. This enables more data to be allocated on the SSD, which reduces storage I/O response time. The simulation results show that the proposed method reduces the storage I/O response time in high I/O locality workload or low I/O locality workload with large SSD capacity. It also reduces the storage I/O response time by up to 23% compared to a combination of SSD/HDD volume tiering and SSD cache methods with no exclusive data allocation.

1 INTRODUCTION

With recent improvements in information technology, the amount of data retained by companies has increased exponentially. The capacity of hard disk drives (HDDs) has continued to increase; however, the performance of these devices has not improved significantly. Therefore, HDDs can potentially become bottlenecks. Solid state drives (SSDs), which are much faster than HDDs, are currently attracting attention. When HDDs become bottlenecks, replacing them with SSDs could increase performance. Because SSDs are generally more expensive, it is important to store frequently accessed areas on an SSD and rarely accessed areas on an HDD.

The following three methods are used for storage systems having both an SSD and HDD.

(1) Method for dividing and locating data onto the SSD or HDD
(2) Method for locating all data onto the HDD and copying partial data onto the SSD
(3) Combination of these two methods

We call the first method, SSD/HDD volume tiering, the second method, SSD cache, and the third method, combination.

Only frequently accessed areas are stored on the SSD with the volume tiering method. On the other hand, the area that was accessed one time is immediately copied to the SSD with the SSD cache method. With the combination method, the SSD is divided into two areas. One is used for the SSD tiered volume and the other for the SSD cache. The volume tiering and SSD cache methods have been evaluated (Strunk, 2012; Chen et al., 2011; Faibish et al., 2010), as well as the combination method (Hayashi and Komoda, 2013).

When using the combination method, data stored on the SSD for the tiered volume is copied to the SSD cache. The performance of access to the data allocated on the SSD is already high; therefore, the response time is not further reduced.

We propose an exclusive data allocation method with which only the data allocated on the HDD are placed onto the SSD cache and the data allocated on the SSD for the tiered volume are not placed onto the SSD cache. We assume that the effectiveness of the proposed method may differ on the basis of SSD capacity and I/O characteristics such as the number of I/Os, read-write ratio, and I/O locality. Therefore, we evaluate the proposed method assuming multiple situations through I/O simulation and clarify conditions under which the proposed method is effective.

The paper is structured as follows. In Chapter 2, we present an overview of the target storage system and how to leverage an SSD. In Chapter 3, we
discuss our proposed method. We explain the simulation conditions for evaluating the propose method in Chapter 4 and the simulation results and present discussions in Chapter 5. In Chapter 6, we give concluding remarks and give a brief outline for future work.

2 SSD/HDD VOLUME TIERING AND SSD CACHE METHODS

In this chapter, we give an overview of the target storage system that uses an SSD and HDD, discuss how to leverage an SSD to improve storage I/O performance, and explain related work.

2.1 Overview of Storage System

Figure 1 gives an overview of the target storage system we use. The storage system consists of virtual tiered volumes, volume tiering function, dynamic random access memory (DRAM) cache function, SSD cache function, DRAM, SSD, and HDD. The virtual tiered volumes are controlled by the volume tiering function and accessed from servers. The virtual tiered volumes consist of areas called pages. The SSD for the tiered volume, as an SSD tier, or the HDD for the tiered volume, as an HDD tier, are assigned to each page.

A storage system using several tiers that have different response times is referred to as a tiered storage system. The volume tiering function manages the mapping information and reads from the SSD or HDD tier or writes to the SSD or HDD tier on the basis of access from applications on servers to the virtual tiered volumes. All data on the virtual tiered volumes should be thus allocated to the SSD or HDD tier.

2.2 SSD/HDD Volume Tiering Method

The volume tiering method is designed to reduce I/O response time by allocating the SSD to frequently accessed page. The tier control function measures the number of I/Os \( n_i \) in page \( i \) for a certain period, which is defined as an I/O measurement period \( p \) [hours], ranks the pages in order of the number of I/Os \( 1 \leq r_i \leq N \), and determines \( NewTier_i \) of page \( i \) on the basis of Formula (1), where \( 1 \) denotes the SSD tier, \( 2 \) denotes the HDD tier, \( N \) denotes the number of pages in the storage system, and \( h \) denotes the rate of the SSD tier capacity to the HDD tier capacity (SSD tier capacity rate).

\[
NewTier_i = \begin{cases} 
1 & (r_i \leq Nh) \\
2 & (r_i > Nh) 
\end{cases} \tag{1}
\]

Following tier determination, when \( NewTier_i \) is different from the current tier \( \text{Tier}_i \), a page migration function migrates the data from \( \text{Tier}_i \) to \( NewTier_i \). We call this process data migration between tiers. Since the SSD tier is more expensive, all areas of this tier are allocated to pages. The volume tiering function measures I/Os then determines the page tier, and the page migration function controls the migration between tiers.

2.3 SSD Cache Method

The SSD cache function stores accessed data to the SSD, which has short response time media to accelerate the subsequent I/Os. The SSD cache function caches the data with a smaller unit called a segment.

When the storage system receives a read request from the server, the SSD cache function refers to an SSD cache table to determine whether requested data are on the SSD. If the data exist on the SSD, it reads the data from the SSD and sends a result to the server. Otherwise, it sends the read request to the server.
SSD or HDD tier then sends a result to the server and stores the data onto the SSD. If there is no free space on the SSD to cache the data, the SSD cache function purges some data. Several algorithms, such as least recently used (LRU), have been proposed to determine which data are to be purged from the SSD. We define an SSD cache hit as requested data existing on the SSD when the SSD cache function receives a request from the server. We also define an SSD cache miss as requested data not existing on the SSD.

When the storage system receives a write request from the server, the SSD cache function stores the data into a free space on the SSD and sends the completion of the write command to the server. If there is no free space on the SSD, the SSD cache function purges some data from the SSD before the process. The SSD cache function records a state in which the data are not yet written onto the SSD or HDD tier. When the SSD cache function purges from the SSD, it writes the cached data onto the SSD or HDD tier. Since the storage system has redundancy for data protection, we discuss the write-back cache.

2.4 Combination of SSD/HDD Volume Tiering and SSD Cache Methods

The volume tiering and SSD cache functions can be combined (Chen et al., 2011; Hayashi and Komoda, 2013). We call these combined functions the combination method. In this case, the SSD cache function resides between the DRAM cache and volume tiering functions. The DRAM cache function receives and processes I/Os from the server. It transfers the I/O in case of DRAM cache miss or purge from the DRAM cache to the SSD cache function. The SSD cache function processes the I/Os and transfers I/Os to the volume tiering function in case of SSD cache miss and purges from the SSD cache.

2.5 Related Work

Hystor (Chen et al., 2011) is an implementation of the combination method. It has two SSD areas, one is used to cache the frequently accessed area within a certain period and the other is used as a write-back cache.

Hayashi and Komoda (2013) evaluated the volume tiering, SSD cache, and combination methods through I/O simulation. They showed the appropriate capacity rate of SSD for the tiered volume and cache differs on the basis of I/O characteristics such as I/O locality and read-write ratio.

3 EXCLUSIVE DATA ALLOCATION METHOD

To reduce storage I/O response time by using limited SSD capacity, it is necessary to allocate many frequently accessed areas to the SSD. When using the combination, volume tiering, and the SSD cache method, the data stored in the SSD tier are copied to the SSD cache. The performance of access to the data allocated to the SSD is already high; therefore, the response time is not further reduced. Since the SSD cache is limited in capacity, there is a high possibility that data allocated on the HDD tier will be purged from the SSD cache. As a result, the response time will increase.

We propose a method with which only the data allocated on the HDD tier are allocated to the SSD cache and the data allocated on the SSD tier are not allocated to the SSD cache. We call this method exclusive data allocation between the SSD for tiered volume and the SSD for cache. Figure 2 gives an overview of the proposed method. The proposed method uses the SSD cache function with exclusive data allocation as a substitute for the SSD cache function explained in Chapter 2. We now explain the SSD cache function with exclusive data allocation.

The SSD cache function with exclusive data allocation refers the tier mapping information and determines on which tier the I/O destination area is located. When the I/O goes to the SSD tier, it transfers the I/O to the volume tiering function. When the I/O goes to the HDD tier, cache control is done in the same manner as with the SSD cache function discussed in Section 2.3. However, the method for determining the segment that purges the data from the SSD cache is different from the SSD cache function with no exclusive data allocation. When data are purged, it confirms the tier where cached data are stored and purges data on the SSD tier on a priority basis. This prevents the increase in temporary workload to purge cached data. Therefore, there is no need to purge the data at a time allocated on the HDD tier and migrated to the SSD tier when migrating pages on the HDD tier to the SSD tier. The proposed method allows only the data allocated on the HDD tier to be placed on the SSD cache. This enables more data to be allocated on the SSD, which leads to short storage I/O response time.
4 EVALUATION

We evaluate the proposed method through I/O simulation. This chapter describes the evaluation items, simulator, and simulation conditions.

4.1 Evaluation Items

The volume tiering and SSD cache methods have different advantages, which depend on I/O characteristics such as the number of I/Os, read and write ratio, and I/O locality. We evaluate the effects of these two methods by simulating I/Os using I/O trace logs captured in a real production environment. These two methods can be applied simultaneously. We also evaluate these effects by adjusting the SSD capacity for a tiered volume or cache as a parameter.

Information systems must provide performance regulated by the service level agreement (SLA) to information system users. The SLA regulates what the system response time should be, for example, within 300 milliseconds for 99.9% of its requests (DeCandia et al., 2007; Cooper et al., 2008). An information system provider sets the service level objective (SLO) to meet the SLA. If the system response time is regulated by the SLA, the provider regulates the storage I/O response time by the SLO, thus, we consider the storage I/O response time as storage I/O performance by simulating I/Os.

4.2 Simulator

We developed a simulator using Perl language to compute storage I/O response time. It simulates a DRAM cache process, SSD cache process, and the data migration between the SSD and HDD tiers.

Figure 3 shows the simulation model, which simulates I/Os on the basis of the I/O trace logs. An I/O trace log includes I/O time stamps, I/O address, I/O size, and I/O type (read or write). The SSD and HDD have their own queue, and their response times depend on the I/O type.

On the basis of the I/O trace log, the DRAM cache function handles the DRAM cache process. The DRAM cache is a write-back cache. Cached data in DRAM are managed using the fully associative method, and the LRU algorithm is used for data replacement. In case of a DRAM cache hit, the DRAM cache function accesses the DRAM and the simulator records the response time. In case of a DRAM cache miss, the I/O is sent to the SSD cache function.

Explanation of the SSD cache function is given in Section 2.3. The SSD cache is a write-back cache. Cached data in the SSD are managed using a fully associative method, and the LRU algorithm is used for data replacement. In case of a SSD cache hit, the SSD cache function identifies the device that contains the data and enqueues the I/O. The simulator then records the response time. In case of a SSD cache miss, the I/O is sent to the tier function. The tier function then identifies the device that contains the data on the basis of the page mapping table and enqueues the I/O. The simulator then records the response time.

The simulator also enqueues I/Os for purging the unwritten data from the DRAM or SSD cache to the SSD or HDD tier and I/Os for migration between the tiers. We define the storage I/O response time from when the storage system receives an I/O from the server until when the storage system responds to the result of the I/O to the server.

4.3 Simulation Conditions

Table 1 gives an overview of the I/O trace logs (UMass Trace Repository, 2007) used in this simulation. These I/O trace logs (Financial 1 and
Financial 1 and 2 are from online transaction processing (OLTP) applications running at two large financial institutions. Financial 1 contains a number of write requests and Financial 2 contains a number of read requests.

Figure 4 shows the distribution of the I/O trace logs. In Financial 1, for example, 57% of I/Os to total I/Os concentrate on 20% areas to total areas. In Financial 2, 84% of I/Os to total I/Os concentrate on 20% areas to total areas. Figure 3 indicates that Financial 2 has higher locality than Financial 1. To simulate a write-intensive workload with high locality and a read-intensive workload with low locality, we also simulate a condition in which read requests are swapped for write requests.

We measured the DRAM, SSD, and HDD response times in a Linux environment and use the measured values as the response time of each device. Table 2 lists the number of devices and loads in the simulation. We define the rate of the number of SSDs to that of HDDs as the SSD rate. We adjust the SSD rate to 10%, 20%, and 30%. The numbers of SSDs and HDDs are listed in Table 2. The maximum number of devices in this simulation is 1,500 on the basis of the maximum number of devices (Hitachi Data Systems Corporation, 2013). To protect the data, two devices configured to a RAID 1, and one I/O trace log is simulated per HDD RAID group. When the number of HDDs is 100, for example, 50 I/O trace logs are simulated to each RAID group simultaneously. We define the SSD cache rate as that of the number of SSDs for the SSD cache method to the total number of SSDs. The other SSDs are controlled using the volume tiering method. In this simulation, we adjust the SSD cache rate from 20% to 80% in increments of 20%.

The page size is 10 or 100 MB with the volume tiering method and the segment size is 8 KB with the SSD cache method. The I/O measurement period is 1 hour. Because data migration between tiers affects the I/O response time, the page migration function takes a data migration interval between tiers. It sets the transfer rate of migration between tiers to the HDD maximum transfer rate to 10% instead of migrating target pages in sequence.

5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We discuss the simulation results under the simulation conditions explained in the previous chapter.
5.1 Simulation Results of Each I/O Trace Log

Figures 5 to 8 show storage I/O average response time under each condition when the page size is 10 MB. The vertical axis indicates normalized storage I/O average response time, which is set to 100% when the SSD and SSD cache capacity rates were 10% and 100%, respectively. The horizontal axis is the SSD cache capacity. The terms F1 and F2 denote the simulation conditions in Financial 1 and Financial 2, respectively, and “rw” denotes the condition under which read requests are swapped for write requests. The percentages are the SSD rates. “F1-10%”, for example, means the I/O trace log is Financial 1 with a 10% SSD rate, “F2-rw-30%” means the I/O trace log is Financial 2 under the condition that read and write are interchanged with a 30% SSD rate. “Existing” and “Proposed” in Figures 5 to 9 mean application of the combination method and the proposed method, respectively. When the SSD cache capacity rate is 0%, the volume tiering method is applied, when it is 20% to 80%, the combination method is applied, and when it is 100%, the SSD cache method is applied.

We now explain the simulation results under the F1 condition. The shortest storage I/O average response time with a 10% or 20% SSD rate is when the proposed method is applied. With a 30% SSD rate, applying the combination method shortens the storage I/O average response time.

The proposed method reduces the average storage I/O response time compared to the combination methods when the SSD cache capacity rate is low. When applying the combination method with low I/O locality and low SSD cache capacity rates, data are purged with high frequency. There is a high possibility that once-accessed data on the HDD tier will be purged from the cache without secondary access. In this case, the response time does not decrease when the second access to the data because it becomes a cache miss. On the other hand, when applying the proposed method, it is believed that data are rarely purged, since the frequently accessed area is arranged on the SSD tier, and cached data purging does not occur when accessing the area. The proposed method, therefore, provides shorter response time when the SSD cache capacity rate is low.

The combination method shortens the storage I/O average response time compared to the proposed method when the SSD and SSD cache capacity rate are high. The data that have high I/O frequency are allocated on both the SSD tier and SSD cache. This does not lead to long response time since high I/O frequency data remain allocated on the SSD cache even if the page allocated on the SSD tier is migrated to the HDD tier. On the other hand, because data on SSD tier are not allocated on the SSD cache when applying the proposed method, the response time becomes long when this page is migrated to the HDD tier. The frequency of data being purged becomes minimal when the SSD cache capacity rate is higher. This indicates that the combination method shortens the average storage I/O average time when the SSD cache capacity rate is higher under the F1 condition.

Next, we explain the simulation results under the F1-rw condition. The shortest average storage I/O response time is acquired when the volume tiering method is applied under the condition of a 10% SSD rate, when the proposed method is applied under a 20% SSD rate, and when the combination method is applied under a 30% SSD rate. This F1-rw has low I/O locality and read-intensive workload. It is important to note that when the SSD rate is 10%, the SSD should be used as a tier because SSD cache miss occurs frequently. This was reported in a previous study (Hayashi and Komoda, 2013).

No significant difference is observed when the combination and proposed method are compared with both 10% and 20% SSD rate. This is because the SSD rate is low, I/O locality is low, and it is rare that data are allocated on both the SSD tier and SSD cache in the case of a large number of reads. When the SSD rate is 30%, there is no significant difference between the proposed and combination methods. However, the SSD cache capacity rate is different when the average storage I/O response time is minimal with each method.

The following explains the simulation results under the F2 condition. The shortest average storage I/O response time is when the proposed method is applied with a 10% or 30% SSD rate. With a 20% SSD rate, applying the cache method shortens the
average storage I/O response time. No significant difference is observed between the proposed method with 80% SSD cache rate and the SSD cache method. When the proposed method and the combination method are compared with each SSD cache capacity rate, the proposed method produces shorter average storage I/O response time under all conditions. This result shows the advantage of the proposed method since most areas stored on the SSD tier are also allocated on the SSD cache with the combination method.

Next, we explain the simulation results under the F2-rw condition. Regardless of the number of SSDs, the proposed method provides the shortest average storage I/O response time. The proposed and combination methods are compared with each SSD cache capacity rate, and the proposed method produces shorter average storage I/O response time under all conditions.

### 5.2 Discussion of Simulation Results

Figure 9 compares the proposed and combination methods when the page size is 10 MB. The vertical axis indicates the average storage I/O response time reduction rate with the proposed method where the combination method is 100%. The horizontal axis indicates the SSD rate. Regardless of the SSD and SSD cache capacity rates, the proposed method shortens the average storage I/O response time compared to the combination method under F2 and F2-rw conditions. There is a high possibility that the data allocated on the SSD tier will also be allocated on the SSD cache with high I/O locality workload. Thus, the proposed method will shorten the average storage I/O response time. The proposed method provides better storage I/O performance compared with the combination method except under low I/O locality and large SSD capacity conditions. Therefore, it is effective under high I/O locality condition regardless of SSD capacity or low I/O locality condition with small SSD capacity. It is the most effective with F2 and a 30% SSD rate and reduces the average storage I/O response time by up to 23%. This condition has high I/O locality and read-intensive workload with large SSD capacity.

The combination method provides the shortest response time when the SSD rate is 30% under the F1 and F1-rw conditions. This suggests that the combination method is best suited to only low I/O locality with large SSD capacity.

Figure 10 compares the proposed and combination methods when the page size is 100 MB. Although the page size is larger, the proposed method also provides better storage I/O performance compared with the combination method, except under low I/O locality, write-intensive, and large SSD capacity conditions.
CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

We proposed an exclusive data allocation method and evaluated the storage I/O response time with it between an SSD for tiered volume and an SSD for cache in a storage system using an SSD and HDD. With the proposed method, the SSD cache function with exclusive data allocation cache only data allocated on the HDD tier. This enables more data to be allocated on the SSD and leads to short storage I/O response time. The simulation results suggest that the proposed method reduces the storage I/O response time in high I/O locality workload regardless of SSD capacity or low I/O locality workload with large SSD capacity. The proposed method reduces the storage I/O response time by up to 23% compared to the combination method without exclusive data allocation.

Future work will be to (1) improve the proposed method, (2) implement the proposed method with different SSD cache algorithms, and (3) run several workloads, for example, not only OLTP but also online analytical processing (OLAP) and other benchmarks.
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