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Abstract: In this paper we present a novel way of applying Zernike moments for image matching. Zernike moments are obtained from projecting image information under a circumscribed circle to Zernike basis function. However, the problem is that the power of discrimination may be reduced because hand images include lots of overlapped information due to their shape characteristic. On the other hand, in the pose discrimination shape information of hands excluding the overlapped area can increase the power of discrimination. In order to solve the overlapped information problem, we present a way of applying subtraction masks. Internal mask R1 eliminates overlapped information in hand images, while external mask R2 weighs outstanding features of hand images. Mask R3 combines the results from the image masked by R1 and the image masked by R2. The moments obtained by R3 mask increase the accuracy of discrimination for hand poses, which is shown in experiments by comparing conventional methods.

1 INTRODUCTION

One of the most popular human computer interaction (HCI) techniques is based on the vision system, which can be used easily in various environment. Among various vision based gesture recognition methods, a hand gesture method is widely used due to the superiorit in representative ability.

For a hand gesture interface based on the vision, following steps must be undergone: First, from an input image we should extract hand region aginst background. However, for an image in actual environment it is difficult to perfectly extract the hand region from background, because of noises originating from illumination or color (Yun, 2010). Second, the extracted image must be recognized perfectly by the shape of a hand. However, many related works are focused on the hand gesture recognition based on the number of fingers than the shape of a hand. Third, on recognizing the hand gesture an extracted image should be recognized robustly against noise and the recognition method must be invariant to rotation, translation and scale changes. In order to succeed those three steps, the depth information by Kinect camera is used to allow us to extract a hand region easily and robustly. For recognizing hand shape, rotational invariant Zernike moment (Khotanzad, 1990) is used. Zernike moments’ superiorities are proved on noise characteristics, little redundant information, and the ability of presenting image (Teh, 1988). In general, Zernike moments are obtained by projecting hand image information onto a circumscribed circle by Zernike basis functions. However, the images of various hand shapes are overlapped in the center area. So it will not be possible to get the differences of poses from this point of view, and this similarity of poses reduces the power of discrimination. On the other hand, the shape of outer region can increase the power of discrimination for hand poses.

In this paper, we propose masks that eliminate overlapped image information and emphasize important shape information on Zernike moments, which improve the accuracy of the pose detection with Principal Component Analysis (Swets, 1996).

2 ZERNIKE MOMENTS

Zernike moments are rotation invariant descriptors and can be scale and translation invariant through normalization. A method by the moments is robust to noise and can represent image information effectively by a few values, which are widely used in the pattern recognition and image representation. Zernike values are considered to be the result of projection of an image under the basis function.
Equation (1) shows the basis function of Zernike moments with repetition m.

\[ V_{nm}(x, y) = V_{nm}(\rho, \theta) = R_{nm}(\rho) \exp(jm\theta), \]  

where, \( V_{nm}(x, y) \) is orthogonal function and each order and repetition presents unique characteristic of an image. The order \( n \) is a non-negative integer and the repetition \( m \) is an integer satisfying \( n - |m| = \text{even} \) and \( |m| \leq n \). \( \rho \) is the distance from origin to \( (x,y) \) and valid on \( 0 \leq \rho \leq 1 \). \( \theta \) is the magnitude of angle between \( x \) axis and \( (x,y) \), and valid on \( 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi \).

\[ R_{nm}(\rho) \] is Zernike radial polynomial and defined as

\[ R_{nm}(\rho) = \sum_{s=0}^{(n-|m|)/2} \frac{(-1)^n(n-s)!}{s!(n+|m|-s)!}\rho^{n-2s} \]  

Note \( R_{n,m}(\rho) = R_{nm}(\rho) \), and Zernike moment of order \( n \) with repetition \( m \) is defined as

\[ Z_{nm} = \frac{n+1}{\pi} \int_{x^2+y^2 \leq 1} f(x,y)W_{nm}^*(x,y)dxdy, \]  

where, \( V^* \) is the complex conjugate. For a digitized image, the integrals are replaced by summations:

\[ Z_{nm} = \frac{n+1}{\pi} \sum_{x} \sum_{y} f(x,y)W_{nm}^*(x,y), x^2 + y^2 \leq 1. \]  

3 HAND REGION EXTRACTION AND NORMALIZATION

3.1 Hand Region Extraction

For the extraction of hand region, the depth information from Kinect camera is used and processings like noise reduction, obtaining centroid, and normalization of scale are performed.

![Figure 1: Input Image from a depth camera and histogram for the depth information.](image)

Depth information from Kinect camera is presented in the left of figure 1 and the depth histogram of the image is presented in the right of figure 1. The arrow in the histogram image represents a peak that corresponds to the body of a human. The hand region is presented with the red rectangle in the figure 1. The hand region is extracted by

\[ P(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } D(x,y) > D_{\text{peak}} \times T \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \]

where \( D(x,y) \), \( D_{\text{peak}} \) and \( T \) are the depth in \( (x,y) \), the peak of a histogram and a threshold, respectively. The region that satisfies \( P(x,y) = 1 \) is classified as the parts of the hand region. The region is extracted on two constraints. A human hand has to be in front of body, and there must be no object between a camera and a hand.

![Figure 2: Hand region extraction according to the thresholds of histogram peak.](image)

The results of hand region extraction according to the threshold of the depth histogram are presented in figure 2. When the threshold \( T=0.1 \), the best result is obtained by experiments.

3.2 Pose Normalization

After extracting the region of a hand, the noise elimination is performed by the median filtering and the centroid of a hand is calculated to normalize a hand image. The radius of the outer circle of a hand region is computed by finding the distance of the farthest pixel from the center of mass, which is defined as

\[ D_{\text{max}} = \arg \max_{x,y} \sqrt{(C_x - l_x)^2 + (C_y - l_y)^2}, \]

where \( (C_x, C_y) \) and \( (l_x, l_y) \) are \( x, y \) coordinate of the center of mass and the coordinate of contour pixel, respectively. We can normalize a detected hand region to fit a unit circle to have the same size for all input images and equation is defined as

\[ U = S_I / 2D_{\text{max}} \times S_N / 2, \]

where \( S_I \) and \( S_N \) are the size of an input image and the size for normalization, respectively.

The hand images before and after normalization are shown in figure 3. In order to recognize real-time gestures using the normalized hand images, the hand has to be tracked. In this paper, to recognize gestures we only track the center of a hand, because we
focus on recognizing the pose of a hand without trajectory information.

4 MASKED ZERNIKE MOMENTS

4.1 Elimination of Redundancy Information

Zernike moments obtained from all of image pixels are frequently used for the image classification. However, much image information is overlapped in the specific area due to the characteristic of the hand image. Therefore, in order to improve the power of discrimination on the Zernike moments, we use internal mask R1 which eliminates redundant information. The size of R1 mask has to be small enough to fit the inner circle of a hand. The equation of calculating radius of the inner circle is defined as

$$D_{min} = \arg \min_{D} \left\{ D = \sqrt{(C_x - i_x)^2 + (C_y - i_y)^2} \right\}$$

We use the contour image of a normalized image, to calculate equations (6) and (8) simultaneously. The value obtained from equation (8) represents the radius to bound R1 mask. The ratio between inner and outer radius of the masks is set to 0.25. The reason why we used a ring mask instead of a circle mask is that the size of an inner circle is different with distances, even though same people makes the same posture. The sample of hand image masked by R1 ring is presented in figure 4.

There is an exceptional case when R1 ring mask and R2 ring mask are overlapped (see figure 5) and the condition to detect the exception is defined as

$$R1(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } D_{min} < U \times 0.5 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(9)

the other hand, in case of the rock pose the outer boundary of R1 ring is over 0.5 ratio of the unit circle. So, we use the ratio in range 0.375 - 0.625 of unit circle for the boundary between R1 ring and R2 ring, and an exceptional hand image is presented in figure 5.

4.2 Weighting of Important Feature

We eliminated overlapped information of hand image using internal mask R1 ring in the previous section. External mask R2 is to weigh importance that is able to improve power of discrimination. In this paper, we define the inner boundary size of R2 ring as 0.5 of the unit circle, since the ratio between inner circle and outer circle is 0.44 from the geometrical characteristics of fingers. Figure 6 shows inner boundary circles for R2 ring presented in bright gray.

When using the ratio of 0.5, since the lengths of fingers are different, inner boundary circle crosses above the finger joints.

For a similar reason, we define the outer boundary of R2 ring as 0.75 ratio of the unit circle. In case of the thumb, it has large degrees of freedom than other fingers, which is presented in figure 7.

Therefore R2 ring mask is designed to pass through the area of 0.5 - 0.75 of the unit circle and its conceptual drawing is presented in figure 8.
4.3 Zernike Moments Masked by Dual Ring

When we use the image obtained by applying R1 ring mask and R2 ring mask, its matching result is better than the result by using the original image on Zernike moments. However, for the same order Zernike moments it needs two times more computation. Therefore to improve the efficiency we design R3 ring mask by combining R1 ring mask and R2 ring mask. The image obtained from combined mask R3 is the combination of images from R1 and R2 masks and its implementation is defined as

\[ R_3 = R_1 \cdot w_1 + R_2 \cdot w_2. \] (10)

Where \( w_1 \) and \( w_2 \) are weights for images from R1 and R2 ring masks, respectively. 0.5 is used for weights in the experiments. The result of combined mask R3 is presented in figure 9.

In the experiments seven different poses are used and each pose contains 50 examples. So, the number of total samples in the dataset is 350. Some of unnormalized poses used in the experiments are presented in figure 10. Since the native Zernike moments are only rotation invariant, we normalize an image for the invariance of scale and translation. Some of normalized seven poses of 65*65 sizes are presented in figure 11.

Simple Euclidean distance is used for the pose classification. An input image is classified as the corresponding pose having smallest value in the distance.

The average recognition rates of Zernike moments by orders from 5 to 15 are presented in figure 12. It is clear that the order of Zernike moments and the recognition accuracy are not absolutely relative. However, because the basis function of Zernike moments is very complex, required computation increases exponentially according to the orders. There have been many works to reduce the amount of execution. In our work, we use q-recursive method (Chong, 2003) for fast computation. By considering the recognition accuracy and the average execution time, we use eight orders for Zernike moments in the experiments.

5 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In order to evaluate the performance of the pose recognition using proposed Zernike moments masked by dual rings, we conduct comparative experiments for seven different poses.
In figure 13, average recognition rates of Zernike moments are presented. Recognition accuracy for original image, image masked by R1 ring, image masked by R2 ring and image masked by R3 are 85.43%, 91.71%, 92.57% and 95.14 %, respectively. Since there is much redundant information near the center of mass due to the characteristic of a hand, internal mask R1 is applied and the recognition rate is improved around 6.29%.

External mask R2 ring is used to weigh the important area to improve the discrimination and 7.14% of recognition improvement is achieved. Recognition accuracy for the image masked by combined R3 mask is improved around 10% in comparison with the original image. This is because redundant information is eliminated by R1 mask and distinctive areas are weighted by R2 mask.

To reveal the effectiveness of the proposed method, we compare the proposed masks with existing inner and outer circle methods (Kim, 1999). Figure 14 presents the results of recognition rates for existing methods and our proposed masks. The methods of inner and outer circles treat importantly for the area between inner and outer circles. Poor recognition accuracy is found for the inner circle method, since there are large overlapped region in the center of a hand.

In case of the outer circle method, recognition accuracy is 21% superior to the inner circle method, which is slightly less accurate (5%) than the accuracy by R2 or R3 mask.

6 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we propose a hand pose recognition method using Zernike moments masked by dual rings. The proposed method consists of three masks. Internal mask R1 eliminates redundant information of hand images and external mask R2 enhances the important region to improve distinctive features. R3 mask combines advantages of R1 mask and R2 mask.

In order to prove the superiority of proposed method, we conducted comparative experiments of pose recognition with other existing methods. As a result, the recognition accuracy by the proposed masks is improved around 10% against the original image with Zernike moments and 5% increase in comparison to the conventional method.

The processing time to calculate the Zernike moments can be decreased by using the principle component analysis and the proposed recognition method will be applied to real-time applications.
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