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Abstract: In this paper a bottom-up approach for detecting and recognizing objects in complex scenes is presented. In contrast to top-down methods, no prior knowledge about the objects is required beforehand. Instead, two different views on the data are computed: First, a GIST descriptor is used for clustering scenes with a similar global appearance which produces a set of Proto-Scenes. Second, a visual attention model that is based on hierarchical multi-scale segmentation and feature integration is proposed. Regions of Interest that are likely to contain an arbitrary object, a Proto-Object, are determined. These Proto-Object regions are then represented by a Bag-of-Features using Spatial Visual Words. The bottom-up approach makes the detection and recognition tasks more challenging but also more efficient and easier to apply to an arbitrary set of objects. This is an important step toward analyzing complex scenes in an unsupervised manner. The bottom-up knowledge is combined with an informed system that associates Proto-Scenes with objects that may occur in them and an object classifier is trained for recognizing the Proto-Objects. In the experiments on the VOC2011 database the proposed multi-scale visual attention model is compared with current state-of-the-art models for Proto-Object detection. Additionally, the the Proto-Objects are classified with respect to the VOC object set.

1 INTRODUCTION

Classifying objects in images is useful in many ways: Systems can learn about their environment and interact with it or provide detailed information to a user, e.g., in augmented reality applications. A precondition for classifying objects in a complex, realistic scene is the detection of objects that may be of further interest. This task usually requires detailed knowledge about the objects, e.g., by creating a model for every object category; cf. (Felzenszwalb et al., 2010). Typically, these models are moved over the scene in a sliding window approach. Such approaches have two disadvantages: First, object detection is computationally intensive and also a very specialized task, if a large set of possible objects is considered. Second, creating various object models typically requires tremendous amounts of labeled data.

In this paper we propose a more general approach using bottom-up techniques that do not require prior knowledge about the object classes at the detection stage. Basic information about a scene is gained by computing its GIST (Oliva et al., 2006). GIST refers to scene descriptors that model the coarse human perception of complex scenes. Within milliseconds a human observer is able to perform a brief categorization of a scene, for example, decide between indoor and outdoor scenes. In a first step scenes with similar GIST descriptions are clustered and described by a set of representatives. We refer to these representatives as Proto-Scenes since no further knowledge about them can be inferred. At object level a visual attention is applied for detecting Regions of Interest that are likely to contain an object, a so-called Proto-Object. For computing the visual attention a saliency detector that is based on the principles of feature integration, object-based saliency and hierarchical segmentation is introduced.

In the resulting scene description it is not known what a scene shows, but whether it is similar to other scenes and where objects of interest may occur in this scene. Since no prior knowledge is used, this scene description is less accurate than the results of a specialized object detector but, therefore, it can be used as a layer of abstraction that can efficiently be computed and later be combined with an informed object recognizer. This is an important step toward the unsupervised analysis of complex scenes. Proto-objects can be found regardless of the actual instance and recognizers could be trained automatically, e.g.,
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from web sources, and used for evaluating the scene contents with respect to different object classes. In this paper the quality of such a scene representation will be evaluated and, therefore, the VOC database that contains objects in complex scenes is used for evaluation.

After defining a set of object categories, a prior-probability for objects to occur in a given Proto-Scene is computed. Then, the Proto-Object regions can be used as input images for an object classifier. For the classification of Proto-Objects a Bag-of-Features representation using Spatial Visual Words (Grzeszick et al., 2013) is combined with a random forest. A Spatial Visual Word includes coarse spatial information about the position of a Visual Word within the Proto-Object region at feature level. The region itself holds the spatial information about the position within the scene. In (Grzeszick et al., 2013) it has been shown that this representation is more compact than the well known Spatial Pyramids (Lazebnik et al., 2006). It is therefore more suitable for an unsupervised approach that aims at recognizing arbitrary objects with low computational costs.

Summarizing, the contribution of this paper is two fold: 1. A novel Proto-Object detector that is based on a visual attention model is presented. It applies the principles of feature integration at multiple scales to segmented Proto-Object regions. 2. The possibility of combining the computed detections and scene level information that were obtained completely unsupervised with a Bag-of-Features based object classifier is evaluated.

2 RELATED WORK

A very elementary representation of a scene is its GIST (Oliva, 2005). The idea is to model the human ability to gather basic information about a scene in a very short time and to obtain a low dimensional representation for complex scenes. A common GIST descriptor, the Spatial Envelope, has been introduced by Olivia and Torralba in (Oliva et al., 2006). It models the dominant spatial structure of a scene based on perceptual dimensions like naturalness, openness, roughness or expansion. These are estimated by a spectral analysis with coarsely localized information. The advantages of using scene context for object detection has been shown in (Divvala et al., 2009). The recognition results of a part based object detector could be significantly improved by combining it with scene information.

Visual attention models steadily gained popularity in computer vision in recent years (Borji and Itti, 2013). Generally, they can be divided into two categories, top-down and bottom-up models. While top-down models are expectation- or task-driven, bottom-up models are based on characteristics of the visual scene. For bottom-up models several measures have been used in order to find salient image content, for example, center-surround histograms (Cheng et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2011), luminance contrast (Zhai and Shah, 2006) and frequency based measures (Achanta et al., 2009; Hou and Zhang, 2007). Locating objects by means of saliency detection is based on the assumption that there is a coherence between salient image content and interesting objects (Elazary and Itti, 2008). The presented approach is a bottom-up approach that explicitly follows the assumption that visual interest is stimulated by objects rather than single features as shown in (Cheng et al., 2011). Since there is no need for prior knowledge, such models can be used to re-evaluate top-down methods (Alexe et al., 2012) or integrated into methods for generic object detection as shown in (Nasse and Fink, 2012) using a region-based saliency model. Other approaches propose feature integration based attention models with subsequent use of image segmentation (Walther et al., 2002; Rutishauser et al., 2004).

For object classification Bag-of-Features representations are known for producing state-of-the-art results; cf. (Chatfield et al., 2011). Local appearance features, e.g. SIFT (Lowe, 2004), are extracted from a set of training images, clustered and quan-
tized. A fixed set of representatives, the so-called Visual Words, are used for describing the features. An image is then represented by a vector containing the frequencies of the occurring Visual Words, the term-vector. The Bag-of-Features discards all spatial information which originally was a major shortcoming when applying this approach to object recognition. Hence, context models that re-introduce coarse spatial information are able to significantly improve the classification results. The most common example are Spatial Pyramids (LaZebnik et al., 2006) which subdivide the image and create a term-vector for each region. Lately, the Spatial Pyramid representations became increasingly high dimensional using up to eight regions with 25,000 Visual Words each yielding 200,000 dimensional term-vectors (Chatfield et al., 2011). While these high dimensional models yield superior classification rates they also make it more difficult to handle large amounts of data. In order to reduce the dimensionality the presented approach computes Spatial Visual Words that directly encode spatial information at feature level (Grzeszick et al., 2013):

3 BOTTOM-UP RECOGNITION

The proposed method for bottom-up object recognition consists of three major steps that are also illustrated in Figure 1: First, given an input image, its Proto-Scene category is determined and Proto-Object regions are detected in the image. Then, each Proto-Object region is represented by a Bag-of-Features representation using Spatial Visual Words. Finally, the feature representations are used for computing a probability for an object to be present in the scene by using a random forest. The region based probabilities are weighted by a prior based on the Proto-Scene category.

3.1 Proto-scenes

The most basic information that can be obtained about different scenes is a global similarity. Hence, the scenes are clustered, using Lloyd’s algorithm (Lloyd, 1982), and represented by a set of M Proto-Scenes $S_m$. For the global description the GIST of a scene is computed. Namely, the color GIST implementation described in (Douze et al., 2009) which resizes the image to $32 \times 32$ pixels and subdivides it into a $4 \times 4$ grid. This grid is used for computing the Spatial Envelope representation as introduced by Oliva and Torralba (Oliva et al., 2006). In an informed system, it is then possible to estimate the probability for an object of class $\Omega_c$ to occur in an image $I_k$ based on the Proto-Scenes $S_m$:

$$P(\Omega_c|I_k) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} P(\Omega_c|S_m)P(S_m|I_k)$$

Here, the probability for an object class to occur in a Proto-Scene is estimated from a set of training images using Laplacian smoothing

$$P(\Omega_c|S_m) = \frac{1 + \sum_{I\in S_m} \sum_{O\in I_k} q(O,\Omega_c)}{C + \sum_{I\in S_m} \sum_{O\in I_k} \sum_{i=1}^{C} q(O,\Omega_i)}$$

for all classes C with

$$q(O,\Omega_c) = \begin{cases} 1 & O \in \Omega_c \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

A Bayes classifier is trained on the Proto-Scenes that were uncovered by the clustering on the training images. It can then used for computing $P(S_m|I_k)$ for a given image $I_k$.

3.2 Detection

Regions of Interest are detected in an image using a bottom-up process that is based on visual attention models. The saliency of a region compared to the rest of the image is evaluated. Those regions are referred to as Proto-Object regions. The term is based on the fact that regions with a high visual interest are likely to contain an object but the content of the region is not identified yet. It does not become an actual object before the recognition process. The proposed saliency detector is based on three principles: feature integration, object-based saliency and hierarchical segmentation. Applying the theory of feature integration for a computational attention model was first proposed in (Itti et al., 1998) and is widely recognized. The theory suggests that in the pre-attentive stage the human brain builds maps of different kinds of features which compete for saliency and are subsequently integrated before reaching the attention of the spectator. Object-based saliency assumes that attention is stimulated by objects rather than by single features.

The presented approach combines the concept of object-based saliency with the region-contrast method proposed in (Cheng et al., 2011). First, a set of disjoint regions is determined using segmentation. Saliency values are then determined for each region by comparing a region with all other regions of the image. Hence, in contrast to other saliency approaches the method computes saliency values for regions instead of pixels.

The main disadvantage of this approach is that it is hardly possible to detect objects on a large scale.
of different sizes. Therefore, the saliency algorithm is improved by using hierarchical segmentation in a scale space as proposed in (Haxhimusa et al., 2006).

For computing the next image of the scale space $I_{l+1}$ the image $I_l$ is convoluted with a Gaussian function $G$ of variance $\sigma = 0.5$:

$$I_{l+1}(x,y,\sigma) = G(x,y,\sigma) \ast I_l(x,y)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The overall concept is illustrated in Figure 2. In order to integrate a set of different features, three feature maps for color and four maps for orientation (4 bins with $\pm45^\circ$) are computed, which is comparable to the approach described in (Itti et al., 1998). All feature maps are also computed in a scale space with three scale levels yielding 21 feature maps. The region-contrast method is applied on each of them independently, producing a set of regions with different saliency values for each feature map. The feature maps are integrated based on regions rather than pixel-wise. Since the saliency values in the different feature maps vary they need to be normalized. This is achieved by weighting each region with

$$w = \frac{(M - \bar{m})^2}{M}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $M$ is the highest saliency value in the map and $\bar{m}$ is the average saliency over all regions.

From the overall result a predefined number of the most salient regions is extracted and considered as Proto-Object regions. These are then processed by the object recognizer. Note, that the regions of the Proto-Objects can overlap if they are extracted from different layers.

3.3 Feature Representation

Besides the visual interest, no additional knowledge about the Proto-Object regions is available. In order to allow for a classification of the Proto-Objects it is necessary to extract features from these regions. A Bag-of-Features representation using Spatial Visual Words is computed for each detected region. A Spatial Visual Word includes spatial information directly at feature level so that it is incorporated into the Bag-of-Features and does not need to be re-introduced. Also, redundancies that occur in the high dimensional representation of Spatial Pyramids are removed while keeping the benefits of incorporating spatial information (Grzeszick et al., 2013).

First, local appearance features are extracted from a set of training samples. In the following, densely sampled SIFT features (Lowe, 2004) are used. Then, unlike recent Bag-of-Features approaches, these appearance features are enriched by a spatial component at feature level as introduced in (Grzeszick et al., 2013). Spatial features $s_i$ are appended to the descriptor so that similar appearance features in the same spatial region are clustered. In this paper quantized $xy$-coordinates based on $2 \times 2$ regions are considered for the spatial feature, which is similar to the Spatial Pyramid (Lazebnik et al., 2006). In this case the four regions can, for example, be represented by the coordinates $[(0;0);(0;1);(1;0);(1;1)]$. In order to increase the influence of the spatial component, the 128 dimensional SIFT descriptor $a$ is divided by the average descriptor length, so that the sum of all dimensions becomes approximately one. Thus, a new feature vector $\nu$ consisting of the appearance feature $a$
and the spatial feature vector $s$ is constructed by:

$$v = (a_0, \ldots, a_{128}, a_0, a_0)^T$$

(6)

All features are then clustered to form a set of representatives. A single representative is referred to as a Spatial Visual Word and to the complete set as the Spatial Visual Vocabulary. For clustering the generalized Lloyd algorithm is applied (Lloyd, 1982). The features of each object from a training set are quantized with respect to the vocabulary and represented by a set of Spatial Visual Words. The "train" image is taken from the VOC2011 Database (Everingham et al., 2011).

3.4 Classification

The classification is performed as a two step process. The Proto-Scenes are incorporated for computing $P(\Omega_c | I_k)$ as described in section 3.1. A random forest is trained on the Bag-of-Features representations from annotated object samples in order to estimate the probabilities $P(\Omega_c | R_j)$ of a region $R_j$ to contain an object of class $\Omega_c$. The probability of an object of class $\Omega_c$ to be present in a region $R_j$ of image $I_k$ is then defined by

$$P(\Omega_c | R_j, I_k) = P(\Omega_c | R_j)^\alpha P(\Omega_c | I_k).$$

(7)

A weighting term $\alpha$ was introduced in order to account for different confidences of the Proto-Object and Proto-Scene classification. Experiments showed that there is a local optimum for $\alpha = 4$. In order to predict whether an object is present in a scene, the maximum probability of all regions $R_j$ is computed.

The advantage of this approach is that two different views on the data, the very coarse scene representation and the more detailed object level information, are combined with each other.

4 EXPERIMENTS

The method was evaluated on the VOC2011 database. The database contains 11,540 images of complex scenes with one or more objects that need to be recognized. In the following the quality of the detections is compared to state-of-the-art visual attention methods. In addition, the detections and the Proto-Scene information is used in order to classify the objects.

4.1 Detection Experiments

The bottom-up object detector computes a set of Proto-Object regions that are completely independent of any task. Hence, the goal of the detection experiments is to evaluate the quality of the bottom-up detections for a given task. Some regions may contain objects that are of further interest while other regions may contain visually interesting objects that are not related to the task. The detector is applied on the VOC2011 dataset using the same overlap criterion that is used for the VOC-challenge:

$$a_0 = \frac{\text{area}(B_p \cap B_{gt})}{\text{area}(B_p \cup B_{gt})}.$$  

(8)

where $B_p$ is the detected region and $B_{gt}$ is the ground-truth bounding-box of an object. Typically, an object is counted as detected if $a_0 \geq 0.5$.

In the experiments the maximum number of Proto-Object regions per image that are computed by the detector is limited by a parameter. Then, the ratio of detected regions compared to all objects of interest that are annotated in the dataset is determined. Figure 4 shows the results of the proposed approach compared with the single-scale region-contrast method (Cheng et al., 2011) and a feature integration approach presented in (Witther et al., 2002). The latter is based on (Itti et al., 1998) and is using segmentation in the post processing in order to extend the
most salient locations to regions. The results show that the proposed method clearly outperforms state-of-the-art visual attention approaches. Using only the most salient region computed by all approaches already shows that the proposed multi-scale approach detects the objects in the VOC2011 database more accurately than both other methods. Furthermore, increasing the number Proto-Object regions that are considered increases the performance improvement. With 20 Proto-Object regions about 20% more objects than with the single-scale region-contrast are detected.

The results also show that half of the objects of interest are among the ten most salient regions per image and are located with decent precision \( a_0 \geq 0.5 \). Hence, in comparison with sliding-window detection approaches the proposed method is also computationally very efficient. Additionally, different overlap criteria are evaluated showing that more than 40% of the objects are detected with an overlap of 70% or more. When loosening the overlap criterion to 30% up to 80% of the objects are detected.

Examples for the detection approach are shown in Figure 5. They illustrate the difficulties of bottom-up detection. In the first two examples (car & cat) the object is split up in different parts at the finer scales. This also shows the advantages of using a multi-scale approach and explains the strong performance improvements compared to single-scale methods. In the third example (bird) the correct detection is at the finest scale. However, there is a more salient region that is created by noise at the bottom of the branch.

4.2 Recognition Experiments

Using the bottom-up information that was obtained about the images in the VOC Database the next experiments combine the Proto-Scenes and Proto-Objects with an informed system that allows for object recog-
The Bag-of-Features representations are computed using densely sampled SIFT features with a step width of 3px and bin sizes of 4, 6, 8, and 10px, which is similar to the approach described in (Chatfield et al., 2011).

The results of the recognition experiments are shown in Table 1. In these experiments the ten most salient regions were considered in order to detect a high number of Proto-Objects while keeping a low false positive rate. For the Bag-of-Features a Spatial Visual Vocabulary with 1,000 Visual Words is computed and combined with spatial information from a $2 \times 2$ xy-quantization. As expected, the results are below state-of-the-art top-down approaches. There are mainly three reasons for this: First, the detected Proto-Object regions are not always completely accurate. The spatial information is distorted by translations and cropping. This is also why more detailed spatial information does not yield an improvement. The second reason is that some objects get rarely detected, since they do not show any visual interest. Note that about 55% of the objects in the dataset are detected with an overlap $a_0 \geq 0.5$. While this is a good result for bottom-up detection it makes the classification very challenging. Third, some objects such as bottles are comparably small so that it is not always possible to compute a meaningful statistical representation like the Bag-of-Features on these regions. Large and visually more interesting objects like Planes, Busses or Persons show higher recognition rates. The results of these classes are, for example, comparable with the scene level pyramid models using 4,000 Visual Words described in (Chatfield et al., 2011), e.g. 60.6% for airplanes and 50.4% for busses.

The additional knowledge obtained from the Proto-Scenes improves the classification results. Especially categories that occur mostly in the same environment, such as airplanes or birds benefit from the Proto-Scene information. For the results presented in Table 1 30 Proto-Scenes were used. Note that this number of Proto-Scenes does not necessarily represent the number of natural scenes that occur in the dataset.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper a bottom-up approach for object recognition based on proto-scenes and proto-object detection was presented. No prior knowledge about the object categories is required for creating an abstract representation of scenes and objects. This representation can later be used by an informed system for object classification.

The detection of real world objects with saliency
based techniques has been evaluated showing that the presented multi-scale approach outperforms state-of-the-art visual attention models. The experiments confirmed that bottom-up recognition is more difficult but it is also easier to apply to arbitrary objects and more efficient than specialized detectors that need to be trained and applied separately in a sliding window approach. These properties and the independence of annotations for most parts is an important step toward automated object recognizer training. It has also been shown that promising recognition rates can be obtained for some object categories on the VOC2011 database.
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