Average Speed Estimation for Road Networks based on GPS Raw Trajectories
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Abstract: For applications involving displacements around cities, planners cannot count on moving at the legal speed limits. Indeed, the amount of circulating vehicles decreases the average speed and consequently increases the estimated time for daily trips. On the other hand, the number of available trajectories generated by GPS devices is growing. This paper presents a methodology to compute statistics about a road network based on GPS-tracked points, generated by vehicles moving around a city. The proposed methodology allows selecting the most representative data to describe how speeds are distributed along the days of week as well as along the time of the day. The results obtained may be used as an alternative to the shortest-path routing criterion for route planning.

1 INTRODUCTION

Large cities face the problem of balancing the traffic demand and the existing road network capacity. Whenever the traffic demand exceeds the network capacity, queuing is expected, average speeds decrease and traffic congestions occur, implying longer trips, which is a relevant aspect to consider. Traffic conditions are indeed relevant for route planning to optimize the available resources. By choosing the shortest path, we assume that the speeds are the same at every road of a network. However, different traffic demands, which are typically time-dependent, lead to fluctuations on the average speed value. It means that the shortest path is not always the fastest option when planning a route.

By using both the average speed and the length of the roads, travel time may be estimated, which is highly relevant for planning applications with predefined deadlines for displacements. These values may also be used as benchmarks for monitoring vehicles: low speeds may indicate that replanning is required or that some kind of emergency has to be mitigated (Albuquerque et al., 2012).

The speeds may be computed from consecutive locations captured by GPS receptors available as independent devices or embedded in mobile phones. Data from mobile phones must be carefully filtered because the devices may be stopped inside the buildings, stopped or moving slowly at sidewalks instead of considering only the people inside the vehicles. Moreover, inside a bus we could consider a set of devices within a single vehicle supposed to move slower and to stop more frequently. The second alternative, GPS devices facilitate, in principle, collecting data about any road along the network at a low cost. Furthermore, a GPS attached to a moving vehicle makes it possible to track the trajectory of the vehicle. The main disadvantage in this approach is the sample size required to model real traffic conditions, which may be addressed by increasing both the tracking ratio (spatially or temporally) and the number of vehicles enabled with GPS receptors capable of providing data.

This paper proposes a methodology to enrich a road network database with statistics about the actual speeds, based on an analysis of raw trajectories relative to vehicles moving around a city. The computed statistics consists of the average speed, the standard deviation and the sample size.
used in computations assigned to individual instances of roads and refers to predefined time intervals. These average speed values are useful to estimate the travel time for a vehicle along candidate routes to assess how fast they are. The fluctuations of speed values along the time are also considered.

To achieve the proposed objective, the methodology performs three main steps: (1) map-matching (2) temporal partition of GPS points and (3) statistics computation and road segment enrichment.

The purpose of the first step on map-matching is to correctly match GPS points and road geometries. This is indeed a problem due to: (1) inaccuracies in the road geometries and the lack of information about the road widths; (2) inaccuracies of GPS data. When combined, these two sources of problems imply that the GPS tracked points may not exactly fit road geometries. Some points must not be considered because they were tracked out of the road (such as at parking lots, at private or at unmapped ways). We introduce the direction analysis to improve the results returned by this step.

The second step is the temporal partition of GPS points. The partition criterion must model speed fluctuations to compute consistent statistics based on a representative amount of data. The larger the sample is, the more representative the results will be. We then discuss how to balance between these two aspects.

The last step refers to the computation of statistics of the temporally partitioned GPS points and adding these statistics to the original road network data.

The problem we propose to solve is: given a dataset containing the GPS tracks of moving vehicles and a dataset containing road network data, we want to compute, for each road, the time dependent average speed, standard deviation and the sample size computed. This additional information is then attached to the original road data thereby creating an enriched road segment.

An enriched road segment is a tuple

$$S = <w, l, n, o, k, h, a, d, c>$$

where:
- $w$ is a unique identifier of the road segment
- $l$ is the road segment geometry
- $n$ is the name of the road
- $o$ is the allowed traffic directions (one-way or two-way road).

Therefore, a road segment corresponds to a geometric element used to represent an entire road or a part of a road (different directions or portions between relevant crossings).

The problem we propose to solve is: given a dataset containing the GPS tracks of moving vehicles and a dataset containing road network data, we want to compute, for each road, the time dependent average speed, standard deviation and the sample size computed. This additional information is then attached to the original road data thereby creating an enriched road segment.

An enriched road segment is a tuple

$$S = <w, l, n, o, k, h, a, d, c>$$

The first four elements correspond to the respective road segment $R = <w, l, n, o>$ enriched with:
- $k$ is the day of week (‘0’ for Sunday up to ‘6’,
Saturday)
• \( h \) is the time interval (e.g., from '0' to '23' for 1-hour time intervals along the day)
• \( a \) is the average speed
• \( d \) is the standard deviation
• \( c \) is the number of points considered.

3 DATA PROCESSING

Figure 1 illustrates the process of building the enriched road segments from the two raw datasets containing GPS tracks and road geometries. The first phase performs the map matching process. The second phase performs the data analysis on the road segments to both identify and eliminate the mismatches returned by the previous phase by analyzing the direction that vehicles move along. The third step performs a temporal classification of the GPS map-matched points into predefined temporal intervals like the hour of the day and the day of the week. Finally, statistics are computed and the road segments are enriched with them. In the next sections we illustrate the details of each step.

3.1 Map Matching

Recall that the points were tracked along the vehicles’ trajectories. Hence, since vehicles move along roads, we may assume that they are associated with a road segment, represented as a linear geometry.

This is a problem known in the literature as map matching, where methods to assign GPS points to a road segment are proposed. Modern techniques of map matching have been developed. See Brakatsoulas et al (2005) for some algorithms proposed to deal the map matching process.

However, in this context, off-roads points must not be considered due to the bias they may insert in the statistics. It is necessary to select only the points supposed to be moving along the instances of the road database available and discard the off-road points. The solution we adopted to address this issue is to define a buffer zone around each road segment and to associate each point to a unique road segment, or to discard the point. Not only off-road movements are discarded: this step removes low-accuracy points tracked.

The main related issue is to define how wide the buffer zone is: low width values potentially imply discarding useful points to improve the statistics; higher values imply the selection of points out of the range of the road (such as vehicles on another near road or stopped at the road shoulders). The width value for these buffer zones should be compatible with the respective real road width, when available. Indeed, due to the lack of data about road widths, during the experiments, we considered 3 meters, 5 meters and 8 meters wide buffer zones.

When the buffer zones overlap, the risk of a point-road mismatching increases. Aiming at minimizing the mismatches in contexts where an individual vehicle and the traffic flow in opposite directions, we propose to analyze the direction of movement, as presented at the next section.

3.2 Direction Analysis

Directions are computed as the azimuth of the line defined by an ordered pair of points \( O_i = <P_i, P_{i+1}> \) tracked by the same vehicle at the same trajectory and ordered by their timestamp. By pairing consecutive points, it becomes feasible to indicate the direction of the movement of an individual vehicle. From now on we refer to these pairs of points as oriented points because of the implicit orientation quantified by the azimuth.

As modelled in Section 2, each road segment has information about the traffic direction: one-way or two-way roads.

The direction analysis step considers three cases:

a) One-way Road and Single Geometry: all

Figure 1: Proposed process steps to enrich about roads with statistics about speed.
vehicles move along (or nearly along) the road bearing, indicated as AzR, and the distribution of those values reflects the road geometry.

b) **Two-way Road and Single Geometry:** the bearing values are grouped close to the road bearing indicated as AzR and to AzR ± 180°.

c) **Two-way Road and Distinct Geometry:** the bearing values are grouped close to the road bearing AzR. However, due to the proximity of the road geometries, some values close to AzR ± 180° may occur, probably referred to another buffer zone.

The Figure 2a illustrates the distribution of the azimuth values along Via Lungarno Gambacorti, an example of the first case. The continuous distribution of values suggests that every vehicle following the same direction even in curvilineous roads.

The Figure 2b illustrates the distribution of the azimuth values along Via Fratelli Rosselli, a two-way road represented as a single line. It is possible to identify two groups of values near AzR and the opposite direction, AzR + 180°, as consequence of two-way traffic. It is also possible to identify the ratio of vehicles flowing in each direction. By computing meaningful statistics for the respective road we must distinguish the traffic flow on opposite directions.

The **Strada di Grande Comunicazione Firenze-Pisa-Livorno** is an example of two-way road with distinct geometries, i.e., each direction is represented individually. The geometries are usually adjacent and this therefore leads the risk of point-road mismatch. The distribution illustrated at the Figure 2c refers to the points tracked along one direction of the Strada. We can identify two groups, despite the fact that the geometry is supposed represent one single direction: a small number of outliers is then detected and, by discarding them, statistics may be improved.

As the directions are supposed to be opposite, the method proposes to group the oriented points by their azimuths: the first group, closer to the average value (A), and the second one (with outliers), closer to A plus 180°, considering the cyclic nature of bearing as an angular measure. Azimuth values closer to A were considered for statistics computations.

However, this rule does not work when two-ways roads are represented by a single geometry (Figure 2b) because we do not know the traffic distribution ratio between both directions. A possibility to solve this problem could be to apply clustering techniques to group the azimuth values into two groups, which would permit to identify the traffic in each direction. This feature has not yet been implemented in the system.

We call attention to the low ratio of oriented points O considered, as a consequence of taking into account only the pairs tracked in the buffer zone and along the same road Ri. On the other hand, when we assume that Pi and Pi+1 may be assigned to different roads, the number of oriented points O increases.

For longer tracking rates, it would be necessary to infer the path between consecutive points located on different roads.

Another issue to handle is the overlapping of buffer zones. If Pi+1 is located at the intersection of buffer zones related to different roads, Ri and Rj, a simple query may assign both roads to the point. The preferred solution considers the buffer zone that contains both points.

Since we aim at eliminating sources of uncertainty, we adopted the approach that takes into account only the pairs tracked in the buffer zone and
along the same road $R_i$ even if it reduces the number of points considered for the computation.

### 3.3 Temporal Classification

One specific contribution of this work is to provide time-dependent enriched road segments. This means that the average speed associated with each road segment is split into temporal intervals, which represent the average speed in during that particular time interval. This information improves the planning of a route from an origin and a destination by considering the traffic dynamics along the day (and along the week). Therefore, to provide this information, we need to classify the speeds in short time intervals, either predefined or established based on the amount of tracked vehicles.

### 3.4 Statistics Computation

At this phase, the original data are organized as oriented points associated with the road segments and classified according to the day of week and the hour they were tracked. Arithmetic average, standard deviation and the number of points considered for the filtered data are then computed. The average indicates the main reference for the expected speed for the road; the standard deviation indicates how the observed values may vary (high values for the standard deviation may also indicate some anomaly on the traffic). The number of points considered for the statistics may be used to indicate how reliable the computed values are or to support the estimation of confidence intervals. The results are then stored as new attributes of the respective road segment, following the enriched road segment model introduced in Section 2.

### 4 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

#### 4.1 Application using Real Datasets

The datasets considered for the experiments are: (1) points tracked by GPS receptors installed at 8,575 vehicles, in the period between May 1st and May 31st, 2011; (2) geometries of the roads in the region analyzed, extracted from the Open Street Map repository.

The points tracked in this region were ordered by the users' identification and by timestamp, so as to analyze the behavior of each vehicle.

The original dataset containing the raw GPS points contained 163,278,486 records. This number reduces to 1,020,909 when we consider the predefined geographical extents. After the pairing process, there were 783,622 oriented pairs of points. The road network comprises 1,555 records, among which only 1,057 are named (the unnamed roads are bicycle or pedestrian ways). Among these, 309 are one-way roads.

The results achieved after filtering the points by the buffer zones are illustrated in Table 1. The first column contains the values of the widths we considered to compose the statistics. The second column indicates the number of raw points within the buffer zone, as well as the proportion when compared to the number of the available points. Analogously, the third column indicates how many oriented points are within the buffer zone, as well as the proportion when compared to the number of the available oriented points. The fourth column refers to the roads whose statistics could be computed based on the existing points and the proportion considering the existing roads on the network.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Width (m)</th>
<th>Raw Points</th>
<th>Oriented Points</th>
<th>Roads with enriched data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>352,221</td>
<td>23,997</td>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34.5%</td>
<td>3.06%</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>557,555</td>
<td>55,574</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54.6%</td>
<td>7.09%</td>
<td>31.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>792,639</td>
<td>97,871</td>
<td>415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>77.6%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>39.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As expected, the number of points increases when the buffer zone width increases. However, the ratio is not constant: it is higher for lower widths.

Table 2 introduces further statistics. The first and the second columns correspond, respectively, to the first and third columns of Table 1. The values on the third column represent the number of one-way roads enriched with speed statistics: the proportion refers to the number of one-way roads at the roads dataset. The fourth column presents the number of oriented points erroneously assigned to roads and the respective proportion related to the number of oriented points. These points were discarded for statistics computations.

By considering the temporal classification, for these tests, the points were divided in 1-hour intervals based on their respective timestamps. To analyze the fluctuation along the week, they were also classified according to the day-of-week. Recall that these data were partitioned by the days of week and refer to 4 weeks. This means, for example, that
the four Mondays are collapsed into one day representing the typical Monday in the observed period.

Table 2: Additional statistics for processing results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Width (m)</th>
<th>Oriented Points %</th>
<th>One-way Roads %</th>
<th>Mismatches Point - Road %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>23,997</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>55,574</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>97,871</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>2263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After performing the distribution of average speeds along the week, further to the main objective – to use average speeds to estimate travel time, atypical behaviors can be detected. An individual analysis is necessary to assess whether the observed values affect the meaning of the computed statistics.

For some roads, no points were tracked along some time interval or were selected after the filtering processes we described. Therefore, no statistics were computed. For missing values, we suggest some strategies: (1) assign the nominal speed for the road – there is no traffic flow enough to justify lower values for speed; (2) interpolate the values from the nearest intervals – for isolated lacks of values; or (3) assign zero as the speed value – travel time is too high to be considered due to the uncertainty in speed values.

4.2 Travel Time Prediction

An example of application of the enriched road segments is the travel time estimation based on the pre-computed average speeds. A well-known location has been adopted as the origin of a planned trip, while the destination is a given address chosen in the urban area across the city.

Three routes were proposed by the Google Maps service, represented by the names of the roads and the respective lengths (Figure 3). By considering the travel time the sum of the ratios length / average speed for every road, we compute the total travel time in these three options. The computations are summarized in Table 3 and the values refer to the interval 4 – 5 p.m. for Tuesdays.

Table 3: Travel times based on pre-computed average speeds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Total length (m)</th>
<th>Travel time for buffer width</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3402</td>
<td>13'58&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3308</td>
<td>15'13&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4015</td>
<td>15'35&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By comparing routes #1 and #2, we highlight that the shortest path is not the faster. Although route #3 is the longest one, the average speed along it is the highest, when compared to the other routes. Moreover, route #3 could be considered because the travel time along it is not much longer than that along route #2. The results provided by the Google service suggest faster displacements however we get the same conclusions comparing the routes. Therefore, planners may also consider the average speed to support decision making.

By repeating the procedures for route #1 on Thursdays in the interval of 3 – 4 p.m., the computed travel time is 15’32”, approximately 2’ slower than the result at the first time interval. For longer trips, these delays may accumulate and achieve critical values. In cities where traffic is heavier, fluctuations for average speed values tend to be more noticeable.
5 RELATED WORK

To provide reliable resources for planning involving moving objects, methodologies were developed to predict the movement dynamics in uncertain contexts. In fact, by moving along road networks (specially in urban zones), mobile users usually have no idea about how many cars are moving with them, where they come from and where they are going. However, these users are free to choose another route (unless it is mandatory, such as on the buses) to try to find the shortest time solution.

Raw locations tracked by GPS receptors have been used for controlled applications such as buses and trucks private fleets. Masiero et al. (2011) present a methodology based on Support Vector Regression (SVR) to predict the travel time for delivery trucks based on previous trajectories. Sinn et al. (2012) describe another application for time travel prediction from GPS points. In addition, they present a method to automatically extract bus routes, stops and schedules. In all these cases, the analysis considered fixed trajectories (stops and moves) and controlled speeds. Pang et al. (2011) proposed another methodology for time travel prediction based on GPS data on buses. However they use smart phones to gather data for the analysis. In addition, they present a method to automatically extract the bus routes, the stops and the schedules. In all of these three cases, the analysis considered fixed trajectories (stops and moves) and controlled speeds. Hence, the tracked data is not representative to model the global average speed for a road network.

The method presented in Min and Wynter (2011) is based on spatial-correlation matrices and average speeds obtained from historical data of some categories of roads and provides predictions of speed and volume over 5-min intervals for up to 1 h in advance.

The analysis presented by Yuan et al. (2011) is based on GPS data relative to three months of GPS trajectories collected from 33,000 taxis in Beijing to detect anomalies on traffic behavior. Although taxis trajectories are supposed to be more flexible, they are influenced by the existence of either permanent or temporary points of interest such as touristic places, airports, hotels or convention centers.

On the other hand, in Biagioni et al. (2011), the taxis drivers’ intelligence in choosing faster routes is modeled by analyzing the trajectories they usually take. In this case, the traversing frequencies along the road network are considered instead of speeds. Therefore, this method ranks the streets by the drivers’ preferences (as consequence of their previous experiences).

Letchner et al. (2006) present a method that considers the previous individual history (i.e., the user’s preferences) to indicate routes for general users (instead of taxi drivers).

Our contribution is the generation of more representative statistics based on the actual behavior of non-specific groups of drivers or categories of roads.

6 CONCLUSIONS

We proposed a methodology to enrich a road network database with statistics about the actual speeds, based on the analysis of raw trajectories tracked by usual vehicles during one month. These results reflect how traffic flow behaves along the days of the week and the hours of each day of week – although the methodology allows different time intervals. Moreover, they will support movement planning by proposing routes based on the estimated travel time instead of the travel length.

The method is based on three steps: (1) map-matching (2) temporal partition of GPS points and (3) statistics computation and road segment enrichment. Because of inaccuracies on GPS positioning and off-roads points, we limited the analysis to the points tracked near the roads – the buffer zones, which width must be compatible to the real width of the respective road.

The combined analysis of tables 1 and 2 shows that, by enlarging the buffer zones, the gain in the number of oriented points is limited. Furthermore, among these points, the ratio of outliers increases fast. The direction analysis detected outliers, even by reducing the size of the sample of GPS points. Despite the mismatches, the number of one-way roads with enriched data increases because most of the additional mismatches occurred just in a few roads.

Atypical behavior can also be detected. In these cases, some observations must be discarded to keep the statistics meaningful.

We emphasize that many of the computed statistics considered too few points for each time interval. By considering 3-meter wide buffer zones, 82% of the records are computed based on less than 10 points. The ratio for records, such as these, in the 5- and 8-meter wide buffer zones respectively are, 79% and 76% (we do not consider this a representative gain). To increase this percentage, the methodology must be improved to consider more
oriented points by adopting pairs of consecutive points inside the buffer zones created near different instances of road. However, some additional discussion is necessary to filter inconsistencies and ambiguities mentioned at the section 3.B.

In future research, the analysis used by Biagioni et al. (2011) based on the frequencies may be combined with the spatio-temporal distribution of tracked points. Another approach to handle this issue is to apply the algorithm presented by Lou et al (2009) to propose candidate paths along low-sampling-rate GPS trajectories.

We may also consider the adaptive fastest path algorithm presented by Gonzalez et al. (2007) that is based on the leverage of the hierarchy of roads, on limiting the route search strategy to edges and path segments that are actually frequently traveled in the data, and on the road widths.

Another future improvement to be implemented is the adaptive temporal classification by adopting finer intervals (1-hour or 15 minutes) for larger samples and wider intervals for smaller samples (the entire day or morning-afternoon-evening). The lack of data for these streets means that users prefer not to use them in their trips due to the low speed or bad conservation.

As for future work, the results we achieved with GPS raw trajectories may be combined with data from other sources (such as loop detectors and mobile phones) to obtain statistics based on larger samples. Moreover, the functionalities to handle the cases when two-ways roads are represented by a single geometry, as indicated at the Section 3.2.
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