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Abstract: We propose a new method for tiered storage and evaluate the characteristics of the method. In this method, a fast tier is divided into two areas, and the data in each area is managed on the basis of two input/output (I/O) measurement periods. When I/Os to these areas increase, the proposed method allocates frequently accessed areas to a higher tier in advance, therefore, improving the total system I/O performance. When frequently accessed areas rarely move, the proposed method is the most effective and improves the total system I/O performance by up to 30.4%.

1 INTRODUCTION

With recent improvements in information technology, the amount of data retained by companies has increased exponentially. The capacity of hard disk drives (HDDs) has continued to increase; however, the performance of these devices has not improved significantly. Therefore, HDDs can potentially become bottlenecks. Solid state drives (SSDs), which are much faster than HDDs, are currently attracting attention. When HDDs are bottlenecks, replacing HDDs with SSDs could potentially increase performance. However, SSDs are generally more expensive and have less storage capacity. Therefore, budget and capacity constraints limit a company’s ability to replace all HDDs with SSDs.

In General, input and output (I/O) activities have a locality, and the number of I/Os for each storage area is different. Therefore, if frequently accessed areas are stored on a SSD and rarely accessed areas are stored on a HDD, I/O performance will increase and system cost will be reduced. A frequently accessed area is denoted as a hot area and a rarely accessed area is denoted as a cold area.

We define media in different levels of performance as storage tiers and define storage that leverages several tiers as a tiered storage. Several reports have investigated tiered storage, and several companies provide tiered storage (Hitachi, 2012) (Schmidt et al., 2012) (EMC, 2012) (Hewlett-Packard, 2012). Tiered storage moves data to an appropriate tier on the basis of the number of I/Os, as described above. In this paper, this function is denoted as “Dynamic Tier Control.” In Dynamic Tier Control, the storage measures the number of I/Os for each area for a certain period, which is denoted as the “I/O measurement period.” On the basis of this measurement, the stored data is moved to an appropriate tier. I/O measurement period also indicates the frequency of data movement between tiers.

To increase system performance, hot areas should be allocated to a faster tier. This could be accomplished by shortening the I/O measurement period, because areas that become hotter are moved to a faster tier immediately. However, this would result in frequent data movements between tiers and would have a negative impact on regular I/O between servers and storage. On the other hand, if the I/O measurement period was longer, data movements between tiers would be reduced. However, in this case, cold areas would remain allocated to the faster tier. As a result, system I/O performance would decrease.

In this paper, to address the above problem, we propose a new fast tier allocation method on the basis of short and long I/O measurement periods. Since the effects of our proposed method will differ depending on application I/O characteristics, I/O measurement periods, and the rates of capacity of...
the fast tier, we use a simulation to evaluate our proposal.

2 EXISTING METHOD

2.1 Dynamic Tier Control

In this section, we describe Dynamic Tier Control, represented schematically in Figure 1. Applications running on a server send I/O commands to virtual volumes. The virtual volumes consist of small areas called pages. Performance varies depending on different types of volume. For example, the fast tier is a SSD volume and the slow tier is a HDD volume in Figure 1. An area of HDD or SSD volume is allocated to each page. To determine the tier to which pages are allocated, the storage measures the number of I/Os to each page over a specific period of time, ranks pages in order of the number of I/Os for all pages in the storage, and allocates hot pages to the fast tier. As a result, the storage moves data to the appropriate tier.

To improve performance, the hottest pages need to be allocated to the fastest tier for all volumes within the entire storage system. We define the rate of the number of I/Os for the fast tier to the total I/Os as a fast tier I/O rate. To improve performance of the entire storage system, the fast tier I/O rate has to be high.

2.2 Problems with the Existing Method

Typically, it is considered that shortening the I/O measurement period will increase the fast tier I/O rate under Dynamic Tier Control. When the number of I/Os to a page changes and the page becomes hot, the storage can allocate a fast tier to the page immediately using shorter I/O measurement periods. For example, when the I/O measurement time is 24 hours, pages that have become hot during this period continue to be allocated to a slow tier for the duration of the period. When the I/O measurement time is one hour, the storage system allocates pages that have become hot to a fast tier after one hour.

However, when the I/O measurement period is shorter, the storage has to move data between tiers more frequently. Because SSDs are more expensive than HDDs, to improve cost performance, all SSD areas are allocated to pages and used. Therefore, when hot areas change, the storage has to move the coldest pages residing on the fast tier to the slow tier. Pages allocated to the slow tier that become hot are reallocated to the fast tier. Consequently, the number of I/Os between tiers will increase, which will result in a negative impact on regular I/O between servers and the storage. Therefore, decreasing the number of data movements between tiers is important.

Increasing the I/O measurement period would reduce migration between tiers. However, hot areas that become cold would remain on the slow tier and cold areas that become hot would remain on the fast tier, and overall storage performance would be lower.

2.3 Related Research

In this section, we describe previous research related to improving existing Dynamic Tier Control. Zhang et al. (2010) have described an adaptive and automated lookahead data migration method to allocate hot areas to the fast tier on the basis of I/O history before initiating a batch process. Typically, Dynamic Tier Control allocates hot pages to the fast tier after a batch process starts. Allocating hot pages to a fast tier prior for the initiation of a batch process improves performance. In order to apply this method, the status of pages designated as hot areas cannot change during the batch process. It would be impossible to anticipate which pages would be hot if the page status changed during processing.

3 PROPOSED METHOD

In this section, we explore the reason why the problem, as described above, occurs if a single I/O measurement period is used. We propose a new method to allocate hot pages to a fast tier using two
different I/O measurement periods, a long period and a short period.

To increase the fast tier I/O rate without shortening the I/O measurement period, we consider allocating pages that are expected to be hot to the fast tier. Even if pages that have been hot for a long time become cold, it is anticipated that this change is temporary and that the pages will become hot again. Therefore, areas that are hot for a short time as well as areas that are hot for a long time should be allocated to the fast tier. Under the existing method, when area conditions change, the probability of allocating areas that have already become hot to a fast tier is low. These hot areas remain allocated to a low tier for the duration of the I/O measurement period; however, the proposed method increases the probability that the areas that become hot are already allocated to a fast tier. This improves overall system I/O performance.

The proposed method is illustrated in Figure 2. The fast tier is divided into two areas. A long I/O measurement period is applied to the first area and a short I/O measurement period is applied to the second area. Here, the long I/O measurement period is 24 hours and the short I/O measurement period is one hour. At the end of each I/O measurement period, the storage runs a process to determine which pages should be allocated to the fast tier. It runs the process every 24 hours to allocate pages to the long I/O measurement period applied area on the basis of the number of I/Os for last 24 hours. After that, it allocates pages every one hour to the short I/O measurement period applied area except the pages that have been allocated to the long I/O measurement period applied area on the basis of the number of I/Os for last 1 hour.

4 EVALUATIONS

To evaluate the proposed method, we assess system I/O performance improvements and the reduced amount of data movements between tiers.

4.1 Simulation Condition

To validate the practical application and effectiveness of the proposed method, we simulate I/Os from a server to storage and data migrations between tiers on the basis of I/O log data captured from a real environment. For example, I/Os can be concentrated or distributed, and hot areas may move or not move. Therefore, we generate 31 patterns to simulate I/Os from the server to storage on the basis of this I/O log data. We define condition A as being equal to the original I/O log data. Peak I/Os in the log data occur between 3:00 to 6:00 a.m., and the number of I/Os is large at this time. The number of I/Os for other time periods is smaller than the peak time and stable.

Table 1 shows the I/O pattern conditions generated from one month of I/O log data. The upper row of each cell shows the condition and the lower row of each cell shows the number of I/Os per second from application to storage. Each condition is a repetition of a three hour period of I/O log data from each day of the one month period. The time frames for each condition are as follows: Condition B is 12:00 to 3:00 a.m.; condition C is 10:00 p.m. to 1:00 a.m.; condition D is 2:00 to 5:00 p.m.; condition E is 12:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.; condition F is 11:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.; and condition G is 10:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. The I/O locality for each condition is different, which is representative of the workload in a real environment. Therefore, we create six I/O patterns; I/O for condition B is the most concentrated, and I/O for condition G is the least concentrated on the basis of locality these conditions are selected. Figure 3 shows the I/O distribution for these conditions. The horizontal axis shows the accessed page rate in the total pages, and the vertical axis shows an accumulative total I/O rate. For example, 63% of I/Os occur on 10% of the storage capacity, 84% of I/Os occur on 20% of the capacity, and 95% of I/Os occur on 30% of the capacity for condition B.
Moreover, we assume that there are two unique I/O usage cases: (1) only one application continues to run and accessed areas do not move and (2) running applications change by schedule and accessed areas move. Therefore, we create another condition that considers the movement of accessed areas. Condition 1 h is for accessed areas moving every hour; condition 3 h is for accessed areas moving every three hours; condition 6 h is for accessed areas moving every six hours; condition 12 h is for accessed areas moving every 12 hours; and condition Not Move is for accessed areas that do not move. We define this cycle as an I/O movement cycle. Accessed areas move every I/O movement cycle, and the areas move to the same areas on the next day. The combinations of conditions B to G and conditions 1 h to 24 h result in 30 total conditions ranging from B-1 h to G-Not Move.

Page size is generally between 1 MB and 1 GB when applying Dynamic Tier Control. Page size is 10 MB in this simulation, and the number of pages is 8,679. We define the rate of fast tier capacity in the total capacity as the fast tier capacity rate. The fast tier capacity rate increases from 5% to 30% in increments of 5% in this simulation. Since application to storage I/Os have locality and fast tier media is generally expensive, fast tier capacity rate is 5% to 30% for general use to increase cost performance.

The I/O measurement periods are 1, 3, 6, 12, and 24 hours for the existing method. We define the fast tier capacity rate by applying the short I/O measurement period as a short period movement capacity rate. The short period movement capacity rate is increased by 10% from 10% up to 90% in the proposed method. The short I/O measurement period is 1 hour and the long I/O measurement period is 24 hours because an I/O measurement period is generally 1 to 24 hours.

### 4.2 Evaluation Method

We define the number of I/Os that the storage can process per minute as storage I/O performance. The storage I/O performance is the sum of the number of application to storage I/Os and the number of data movement I/Os between tiers. The total system I/O performance is the storage I/O performance minus data movements between tiers. The total system I/O performance \( P_{\text{Existing}}(H, L) \) [IOPS] is calculated from formula (1). This formula is based on previous research (Matsuzawa et al., 2012). \( H \) [%] is the fast tier capacity rate; \( L \) [hours] is the I/O measurement time; \( r(H, L) \) [High] [%] is the fast tier I/O rate; \( P_{\text{Existing}}(H, L) \) [IOPS] is I/O performance on the fast tier; \( P_{\text{Low}} \) [IOPS] is I/O performance on the low tier; and \( M(H, L) \) [%] is the number of I/Os per second of data movements between tiers.
Table 2: Parameters of Each Tier.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Media</th>
<th>SSD</th>
<th>HDD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum I/O Performance Ratio</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total system I/O performance \( P_{\text{Proposed}}(H, \text{L}_{\text{Short}}, \text{L}_{\text{Long}}, C) \) [IOPS] is calculated from formula (2) in the proposed method where \( \text{L}_{\text{Short}} \) [hours] is the short I/O measurement period; \( \text{L}_{\text{Long}} \) [hours] is the long I/O measurement period; \( C \) [%] is the short period movement capacity rate; and \( r_{\text{High}}(H, \text{L}_{\text{Short}}, \text{L}_{\text{Long}}, C) \) [%] is the fast tier I/O rate.

From the simulation results, we calculate the total system I/O performance per hour and calculate the monthly average total system I/O performance under the existing method.

Table 2 shows the simulation parameters for each tier. Based on previous research (Emaru et al., 2011), the I/O performance of the fast tier is 180 times higher than that of the slow tier and the I/O performance for each tier of random read, random write, sequential read, and sequential write is 25%, respectively, and the I/O size is 8 KB.

4.3 Results and Discussion of the Experiment with Original I/O Log Data

Figure 4 shows the total system I/O performance improvement rates of the existing and the proposed methods under condition A. The horizontal axis shows the conditions; the short data movement capacity rate for the proposed method and the I/O measurement period for the existing method. The vertical axis shows the total system I/O performance improvement rate where the total system I/O performance is 100% and the I/O measurement period is 24 hours (normalized).

Figure 5 shows the amount of I/Os for the data movements between tiers for the existing and proposed methods under condition A. Similar to Figure 4, the horizontal axis shows the conditions. The vertical axis shows the rate of the number of I/Os for movements between tiers to the total number of I/Os from application to storage.

In Figure 4, in the case that the fast tier capacity rate is 5% and 10%, the total system I/O performance is the highest when the I/O measurement period is four hours in the existing method. The I/O measurement period where the total system I/O performance is the highest becomes shorter as the fast tier capacity rate becomes higher. The storage I/O performance is in the highest when I/O measurement time is one hour, regardless of fast tier capacity rate. However, the total system I/O performance is lower when the I/O measurement period is one hour due to the heavy data movements between tiers.

In Figure 5, in the case of a one hour I/O measurement period, the amount of data movements between tiers is the largest when the fast tier capacity rate is 20%. In the case of a fast tier capacity rate being greater than 20%, many areas can be allocated to the fast tier because there is less data movement between tiers when hot areas are moved.

Here, we discuss the proposed method’s simulation results. In the case of a fast tier capacity rate being 10% or more, the total system I/O performance with the proposed method is the highest and is better than the results for the existing method when the short period movement capacity rate is
20% or 30%. In the case of the fast tier capacity rate of 10% and a higher period capacity rate, the fast tier I/O rate is higher; however, the total system I/O performance is lower. This is because the amount of data movement between tiers increases even though the fast tier I/O rate increases.

In the proposed method, when the short period movement capacity rate increases, the amount of data movement between tiers increases. In the case of a short period capacity rate increase, the amount of data movement between tiers increases in a short time.

Figure 6 shows the total system I/O performance improvement rate for each fast tier capacity rate under the proposed method. The horizontal axis shows the fast tier capacity rate. When the fast tier capacity rate is 30%, the total system I/O performance rate is the highest, showing an increase of 13.8%.

In the case of a fast tier capacity rate of 5%, the total system I/O performance decreases by 1.0% with the proposed method. This is because the top 5% of frequently accessed areas move very infrequently. Therefore, when the proposed method is applied, the fast tier I/O rate and the total system I/O performance are not relatively changed compared to the existing method.

Figure 7 shows the relationship between the total system I/O performance (Figure 4) and the amount of data movement between tiers (Figure 5). The vertical axis shows the total system I/O performance improvement rate. The horizontal axis shows the amount of data movement between tiers. In the case of a fast tier capacity rate of 30% under the existing method, when the I/O measurement period is one hour, the total system I/O performance improvement rate is 129%, and the amount of data movement between tiers is 14.3%. In the case of a short period capacity rate of 10% under the proposed method, the total system I/O performance improvement rate is 137% and the amount of data movement between tiers is 7.15%. The total system I/O performance does not decrease, and the amount of data movement between tiers decreases by 50.0%. From these results, it can be seen that the proposed method improves total system I/O performance without increasing data movement between tiers.

4.4 Results and Discussion of the Experiment with Generated I/O Log Data

In this section, we discuss the results of the simulation of conditions B-1 h to G-Not Move. Figure 8 shows the storage I/O performance improvement rate under the proposed method. The horizontal axis shows the I/O movement cycle for each condition. The vertical axis shows the storage I/O performance improvement rate. The higher the fast tier capacity rate is, the higher the storage I/O performance improvement rate is. In the case of a high fast tier capacity rate (e.g., 30%) and more concentrated I/Os condition (e.g.,
condition B or C), the storage I/O performance improvement rate is high. However, in the case of a low fast tier capacity rate (e.g., 5%), the storage I/O performance improvement rate is equal to the existing method regardless of I/O locality. This is because the top 5% of frequently accessed areas is typically file system management areas which rarely move.

When the I/O movement cycle is longer, the storage I/O performance improvement rate is higher. When the I/O movement cycle is shorter, allocating the fast tier with a shorter I/O measurement time is less effective because hot areas move quickly and there is no access to areas allocated to the fast tier.

From the above results and discussion, it is evident that the storage I/O performance improvement rate under the proposed method is more effective when the fast tier capacity rate is higher and the I/O movement cycle is longer. When the fast tier capacity rate is low or the I/O movement cycle is short, the storage I/O performance improvement rate is the same as the existing method.

Figure 9 shows the total system I/O performance improvement rate under the proposed method. The horizontal axis shows the I/O movement cycle for each condition. The vertical axis shows the total system I/O performance improvement rate from the best case under the existing method.

The higher the fast tier capacity rate is, the higher the total system I/O performance improvement rate is. In the case of a one hour I/O movement cycle, the total system I/O performance improvement rate under the proposed method is lower than the existing method because the existing method experiences less data movement between tiers when the I/O measurement is 24 hours. In the case of the condition B-Not Move, the total system performance improvement rate is 136%.

From the above discussion, it is evident that the total system I/O performance improvement rate under the proposed method is the highest for all conditions except when the I/O movement cycle is one hour, the I/O movement cycle of one hour existing method.
5 CONCLUSIONS

To increase total system I/O performance and improve the amount data movement between fast and slow tiers, we proposed a higher tier allocation method on the basis of two I/O measurement periods for a higher tier divided into two areas. Under the proposed method, the total system I/O performance rate is the highest and increases by up to 13.8%, and the amount of data movement between tiers decreases by up to 50.0%. The proposed method is more effective than the existing method when the fast tier capacity rate is high and frequently accessed areas do not move.
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