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Abstract: Detecting object shapes from images remains a challenging problem in computer vision, especially in cases where some a priori knowledge of the shape of the objects of interest exists (such as circle-like shapes) and/or multiple object shapes overlap. This problem is important in the field of biology, particularly in the area of early-embryo development, where the dynamics is given by a set of cells (nearly-circular shapes) that overlap and eventually divide. We propose an approach to this problem that relies mainly on a variation of the circular Hough Transform where votes are weighted by wavelet kernels, and a fine-tuning stage based on dynamic programming. The wavelet-based circular Hough transform can be seen as a geometric-driven pulling mechanism in a set of convolved images, thus having important connections with well-established machine learning methods such as convolution networks.

1 INTRODUCTION

Information about cell division timing and cell shape are some of the features used to analyze the early stages of life in research and medicine. These features are used to study the roles of different genes in early development and to evaluate the quality of in vitro fertilized (IVF) embryos in fertility clinics. As data from automated time-lapse imaging of embryos accumulates, data analysis becomes the bottleneck of the research process. Therefore, being able to count cells and analyze their shape automatically is critical.

One may see the problem simply as that of describing shapes from a given set of points. This is the approach of the Hough Transform (HT) (Duda and Hart, 1972). However, the original implementation, where votes are considered pixel-wise, is very sensitive to noise. Recent works approach the issue by weighting votes with kernels (Fernandes and Oliveira, 2008; White et al., 2010). Our method goes in a similar direction extending it to overlapping objects and cell division. Furthermore, we propose that, by interpreting the HT as a geometric mechanism for pulling information from a set of convolutions, important connections with recent developments in machine learning algorithms can be established.

In this realm, one intuitive and recently developed learning technique is “Deep Learning with Convolution Networks” (Hinton, 2007; LeCun et al., 2010). Great progress was made just recently on understanding this problem further with the work of Bruna and Mallat (2012). They argue that each layer of the convolution network, where a convolution is applied followed by a pooling mechanism, is equivalent to a wavelet transform followed by the measurement of an invariant (the magnitude of the transform). They interpret the succession of convolution networks in deep learning as to describe the data as a concatenation of invariant descriptions of it. However, at least one question remains: what is the “meaning” of the last step of the convolution networks where a linear classifier is applied to the output of the last layer of the convolution network (instead of a pooling)?

We see these issues as a source of inspiration to propose a bottom-up model for shape detection starting with wavelet filtering, followed by a HT for known shapes, and a dynamic programming technique to fine-tune the HT results.

We apply our method to mouse-embryo cell tracking and division detection up to the 4-cell stage. Our work is being used at the NYU Center for Genomics and Systems Biology to analyze the influence of particular genes in the timing dynamics of the first cells. Our method performs almost twice as well as previously reported results on a similar problem (see Subsection 3.2).
2 WAVELET-BASED CIRCULAR HOUGH TRANSFORM

In the original HT, given a radius \( r \), each edge pixel \( p \) in the image votes for all possible centers of circles (usually within the boundaries of the image) of radius \( r \) passing through it.

In our implementation, given \( p \) and \( r \), we compute votes in a rather small “electorate”: \( n \) pixels (usually \( n = 16 \)) at equally spaced locations along the boundary of the circle centered in \( p \) with radius \( r \). The votes of the chosen pixels are actually a weighted sum of their neighbors’ votes, the weights being given by a properly rotated Morlet filter, as illustrated in Figure 1.

![Figure 1](image.png)

Figure 1: The likelihood that there is a circle of radius \( r \) centered at point \( p \) depends on the votes of \( n \) (in this case \( n = 16 \)) equally spaced points along a circle of radius \( r \) centered at \( p \). Each voting point represents its neighbors according to a Morlet-wavelet kernel whose orientation depends on its angle. The scale is chosen beforehand, based on the size of the image.

Implementation-wise, it is better to use convolution functions and compute the votes for a particular angle in the circle separately, adding them in the end. If, for instance, we look for votes in 16 equally spaced points along a circle, then 16 convolutions need to be performed. The sub-image where each convolution is applied depends on the angle of the point. Figure 2 shows the implementation of such convolutions in Matlab. The function computes the accumulator image \( L \), and the (inverse) likelihood \( l \) that an image contains a circle of a particular radius.

![Figure 2](image.png)

Figure 2: Matlab implementation of the accumulator image \( L \) and inverse circle likelihood \( l \) for the Morlet-kernel based circular HT. \( g \) : euclidian norm of gradient of the image; \( \text{rad} \) : radius; \( \text{nor} \) : number of orientations (angles) considered; \( \text{morlet}() \) : function that computes the real and imaginary parts of a Morlet-wavelet kernel (we are using only the real part).

The relationship between \( A \) and \( L \) is made clear in the algorithm shown in Figure 3.

Circle likelihood measures the inverse likelihood that an image contains a circle of a particular radius. Let \( A \) be the accumulator image obtained by the circular HT algorithm\(^1\). We define the (inverse) circle likelihood \( l \) as

\[
l = \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} A(i,j),
\]

where \( m \) and \( n \) are the height and width of \( A \), respectively. This is of course simply the mean of the elements in \( A \). To see why \( l \) reflects the inverse likelihood that there is a circle of radius \( r \) in the image, let us suppose that the accumulator \( A \) of the circular HT was computed for radius \( r \). We notice, first, that \( A \) is normalized (its maximum is 1). Now, let us sort the elements of \( A \) according to their magnitude, obtaining a vector that we call \( a \), with \( m \cdot n \) entries, ranging from close to 0 (on the left) to 1 (on the right). The value of \( \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a(i) \) (that is, \( l \)) is superiorly limited by 1. If there is a circle of radius \( r \) in the image, the curve \( \{i,a(i)\} \) will have a sharper peak on the far right (that

\(^1\)The relationship between \( A \) and \( L \) is made clear in the algorithm shown in Figure 3.
Figure 3: We introduce a measure of the likelihood that an image contains a circle of a particular radius. The likelihood is based on the accumulator image of a circular HT computed using Morlet-wavelet filters. The top figure shows how the inverse of the measure varies for different radii. The figures at the bottom show the accumulator of the HTs for the shows radii (normalized and squared, to highlight the peaks).

is, $l$ will be smaller) than it would otherwise. The fact that $l$ is superiorly limited by 1 is important because the size of $A$ varies for different radii (see implementation in Figure 2).

Computing the accumulator image $A$ can be seen as a pulling mechanism. For each candidate to be the center of a circle one is looking for, a number of filter outputs are “pulled” from a bank of convolved images. The choice of convolved images and areas in these images is established by the prior knowledge of what geometrical shape one is trying to find (in our specific case, a circle of a particular radius).

3 APPLICATION

Morphological and kinetic features have been increasingly used in in vitro fertilization clinics to improve embryo quality assessment (Meseguer et al., 2011). Those features include cleavage (division) times, blastomere size, multi nucleation, cleavage duration, lack of division in one or more cells, and cell-boundary texture, to cite a few. Besides viability for re-implantation, these features are also useful, in the case of model systems like mouse-embryos, in the study of the function of particular genes in embryonic development.

Modern incubators are equipped with built-in cameras designed to acquire time-lapse images of embryos (at intervals of a few minutes). In general, these videos are used by embryologists and researchers for visual inspection, but research aiming at automatically analyze them is emerging.

Two recent works (Meseguer et al., 2011; Wong et al., 2010) focus on the correlation between re-implantation viability and the interval between division onsets (up to the 4- or 5-cell stage). In order to obtain such information automatically, tracking of individual cells and detection of cell divisions are necessary.\footnote{For some works that approach the problem of cell tracking and cell-division detection in contexts other than early embryo development, we refer the reader to Zimmer et al. (2002), Dzyubachyk et al. (2010), Zimmer et al. (2002), Huh et al. (2011), and Hamahashi et al. (2007).}

In this section we show how the algorithm introduced previously can be helpful in the problem of tracking the cells and detecting cell division in the early phases of mouse-embryo development (up to the 4-cell stage).

3.1 Cell Tracking and Division Detection

Our method applies to a sequence of frames containing one (centralized) embryo only. The initial radius is fixed (to be 110 pixels), as the size of the first radius is roughly constant across embryos.

After pre-processing (adaptive histogram equalization), the gradient (euclidian) norm of the image is computed, and the result divided by its maximum, providing an image in the range $[0,1]$. From this resulting gradient image, a band around the initial circle is extracted. More specifically, $n_1$ equally spaced points along the circle are sampled, and for each of these points, $n_2$ points are sampled along the line perpendicular to the circle at that point, and centered at that point. $n_1$ and $n_2$ vary according to the generation of the cell. For the first cell their values are $n_1 = 160$ and $n_2 = 100$. Figure 4 illustrates this process.

We then apply dynamic programming (as in Geiger et al. (1995)) to fine-tune the location of the boundary of the cell. Figure 4 (c) shows what a solution looks like.

As the variance of the curvature of the boundary of the cell is not high, we sample 16 “reference points” in the resulting solution (which corresponds to the fined-tuned boundary) at equally spaced intervals, and interpolate them using cubic splines. Examples of the
For each frame, there is an initial guess for the circle that better approximate the boundary of each cell. Following this circle around, a band of the original image is taken (a) and mapped to a rectangular image. In fact, the band of the original image is shown here just for illustrative purposes. The computation of the boundary is actually made using the band around the gradient of the adaptive-histogram-equalized image (b). The yellow line in (c) shows the solution by dynamic programming that fits the boundary.

![Figure 4: Output of the cell-boundary fitting method based on dynamic programming.](image)

The initial guess for the boundary of the cell in the next frame is set as the circle whose center and radius are estimated according to the curve that best fit the boundary of the cell in the previous frame. The reason a circle is passed to the next frame, and not the actual boundary, is that, first, cell boundaries are roughly circular; second, the dynamic programming step recovers the minor differences in the next frame’s boundary; and, third, the circle poses a global restriction for the overall shape of the boundary, without which there would be no safeguards for a non-plausible boundary line (like one with self intersections). \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \) are computed according to the estimated radius for the cell.

```matlab
function rd = radiusforgeneration(g,r1)
    rd = r1;
    if g > 1
        for i = 1:g-1
            v = 4/3*pi*rd^3;
            v = v/2;
            rd = power(v/4*3/pi,1/3);
        end
    end
end
```

In the above listing, \( g \) is the generation of the cell (1, 2, ...), and \( r_1 \) is the estimated radius for a 1st generation cell. The function `radiusforgeneration` assumes that, when a cell divides, the total volume is kept constant. \( n_1 \) is set to the integer closer to \( 1/4 \) of the length of a circle of radius \( r_d \), and \( n_2 \) is set to the integer closer to \( r_d \).

For a cell that is dividing, the current boundary approximation should be replaced by two new boundaries. At this point, the accumulator image of the wavelet-based circular HT for radius equal to the estimated radius of the daughter cells is used to obtain the first approximation for the centers of the new cells.

Let \( c \) be the center of the cell which is dividing, and \( p \) the farthest point in its boundary. The centers of the new cells are estimated to be close to the line \( cp \) (as the dividing cell is elongated in this direction). More precisely, let \( d = \| p - c \| \), and \( r \) the estimated radius of the daughter cells. Let \( c_0 \) and \( c_1 \) be the estimated centers of the daughter cells. We set

\[
c_0 = c + (d - r) \frac{p - c}{\| p - c \|}, \quad c_1 = c - (d - r) \frac{p - c}{\| p - c \|}
\]

The final estimations for the centers of the new cells are obtained by finding the maxima of the accumulator function at the squares of edges \( \| c_1 - c_0 \| \sqrt{2}/2 \) centered at \( c_0 \) and \( c_1 \). Figure 6 illustrates this.

We use three “experts” to decide when a cell is dividing: pixel variances in the whole image, pixel variances in the band around the boundary of a cell, and the (inverse) circle likelihood (introduced in the previous section).

Pixel variance is simply the variance of the pixel value along a window of frames. We use a 5-frame window in our implementation. Both the sum of the pixel variances for the whole image and for a band around each of the cell boundaries are observed. Figure 7 shows these two measures for some frames around the frame where the first cell undergoes division.

Now, if, for instance, the estimated radius for a
Figure 6: During division (left), the accumulator image of the circular HT with radius equal to the estimated radius of the daughter cells is used to detect the centers of the two new cells. Regions where the new centers should be found (middle) are estimated based on the current boundary, and the maxima of the accumulator image in these areas provide a better approximation for the centers (right).

Figure 7: The sum of the pixel-variances in a window of 5 frames (top figure) is used (in combination with other measurements) to detect a cell is dividing. The bottom figure shows such variance computed only on a band around the boundary of the cell. The cell divides in frame 32.

Figure 8: The top figure shows how the inverse likelihood of a circle of radius 113 (pixels) varies in a sequence of frames where a cell (whose radius is approximately 113) divides. Notice how it increases when the cell undergoes division (frame 32). The bottom shows frames before, during, and after cell division.

Let us call $v(t)$ and $v_c(t)$ the sum of pixel variances in the whole frame $t$ and in the band around the boundary of cell $c$ in frame $t$, respectively. Also, let us define $L_1(t)$ as the (inverse) likelihood curve at frame $t$ for a circle or radius corresponding to a cell of generation $g$.

The first division is detected by looking for a sharp increase in $v(t) \cdot v_c(t) \cdot L_1(t)$. Similarly, the sec-
ond division is detected by observing a variation in \( v(t) \cdot (v_{c_1}(t) + v_{c_2}(t)) \cdot l_2(t) \). (At this point, \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are the two current second-generation cells.) To know which of the cells divided, \( v_{c_1}(t) \) and \( v_{c_2}(t) \) are compared. The third division is detected by observing \( v(t) \cdot v_j(t) \cdot l_2(t) \), where \( c_j \) is the second-generation cell that remained to divide.

### 3.2 Results

Our cell-tracking and division-detection method successfully applies to a total of 63 embryos. Of these, 24 were used for parameter fitting. That is, after the core of the algorithm was implemented, we deployed it on 24 “training” cases, manually tuning the parameters related with division detection in order to fit the data. After this phase, the algorithm was applied to a “test” set comprising 150 embryos. In this set, the method correctly performed up to the first cell division in 91 cases (61%), up to the second cell division in 50 cases (33%), and up to the third cell division (4-cell stage) in 39 cases (26%).

In comparison to other methods, the only method we know of approaching a similar problem (Wong et al., 2010) is reported to work (up to the 4-cell stage) for 14 embryos in a set of 100 (see p. 1117 of the mentioned reference). Apart from performance comparisons, we emphasize that features like the circle likelihood and the wavelet-based HT are more interesting in theoretical terms, as they provide high level representations of what happens in the (sequence of) images (as opposed to the “brute force” approach of a particle filters tracker, for instance).

### 4 CONCLUSIONS

We introduced a circular HT implementation designed as a pulling mechanism on a set of images convolved with Morlet-wavelets filters. As a byproduct of the algorithm that computes the accumulator for the circular HT of a particular radius, we compute the (inverse) likelihood that an image contains a circle of that radius.

Both the accumulator image and the circle likelihood are applied in a method for cell division onset detection and cell boundary tracking for the case of mouse-embryos in the early stage of development (from 1 to 4 cells). The method is in current use in a biology lab, and outperforms previously reported results for a similar problem (see previous section).
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