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Abstract: Agent-based systems are commonly used in the geographical land use sciences to model processes such as urban growth. In some cases, agents represent civic decision-makers, iteratively making decisions about the sale, purchase and development of patches of land. Based on simple assumptions, such systems are able broadly to model growth scenarios with plausible properties and patterns that can support decision-makers. However, the computational time complexity of simulations limits the use of such systems. Attractive possibilities, such as the optimisation of urban growth policies, tend to be unexplored since the time required to run many thousands of simulations is unacceptable. In this paper we address this situation by exploring an approach that makes use of a statistical model of the agent-based system’s behaviour to inform a rapid approximation of the fitness function. This requires a limited number of prior simulations, and then allows the use of an evolutionary algorithm to optimise urban growth policies, where the quality of a policy is evaluated within a highly uncertain environment. The approach is tested on a typical urban growth simulation, in which the overall goal is to find policies that maximise the ‘satisfaction’ of the residents. We find that the model-driven approximation of the simulation is effective at leading the evolutionary algorithm towards policies that yield vastly better satisfaction levels than unoptimised policies.

1 INTRODUCTION

The main purpose of planning, in the context of urban and other land-use, is to improve the community’s quality of life by creating a better social, economical and physical environment. One of the most urgent research issues within this broad field is the study of mechanisms that can mitigate the ecological degradation that is invariably linked with urban expansion. What makes this particularly difficult is that the process of urban expansion needs to achieve effective and acceptable results at many time-scales. For example, if a growing city builds quickly on the majority of the green spaces available to it, it will severely limit its further growth opportunities. One possible option for maintaining a healthy urban environment is by reserving a collection of arbitrary areas to transform them into recreational parks. However, the time planning and geographic distribution of these spaces needs careful consideration to ensure the quality and quantity of environmental services provided to the surrounding community (Forsyth and Mussachio, 2005).

Among other important functions, public open space planning allows local authorities to protect certain areas from the urbanisation process, and thus foster the formation of healthier urban environments. From this point of view, local and central governments play perhaps the most crucial regulatory role (more so than national governments or international organisations) in the control of land-use change in the longer term.

Designing feasible long-term plans is not straightforward, mainly because of the many and varied uncertainties that the future entails. However, there is much active research in this area, whereby researchers interested in urban planning and sustainability have investigated a range of agent-based systems and similar mechanisms to explore the consequences of different strategies (Parker et al., 2003; Sasaki and Box, 2003; Sanders et al., 1997). One of the most common interests in such work is the dynamics of urban growth, which is linked with the relative distribution of urbanised, industrial and green spaces and their impact on quality-of-life issues, and how these depend on the broad strategies in place for land-use change (Robinson et al., 2012). In many agent based systems, a typical agent represents a local government decision-maker, or a recent immigrant deciding where to settle within the growing city. Based on simple assump-
tions, such systems are able broadly to model land-type distribution scenarios over time and influence decision-makers and policy makers.

However, the computational time complexity of simulations limits the use of these systems merely to testing one hypothesis at a time. For example, such a system may be set up and run to examine the potential effects of a proposed new tariff for land purchase tax. A more attractive prospect, however, would be to use the system to help find an optimal tariff or, in general, an optimal policy or strategy for the task in question. This area of work however tends to be unexplored, since the time required to run many thousands of simulations (as is typically required for optimisation) is not a feasible strategy in practical situations.

In this paper we address this situation by wrapping optimisation over the agent-based simulation process, but use a rapidly accelerated model of the agent-based simulation in place of the real knowledge. This requires a limited number of prior simulations of the agent-based urban growth system, and then allows the use of an evolutionary algorithm to optimise urban growth policies. The approach is tested on a typical urban growth simulation, in which the overall goal is to find policies that maximise the 'satisfaction' of the residents. A 'policy', in this work, amounts to the city authorities’ planned schedule for protection of a specific set of green spaces.

Note that similar simulation-based approximations for optimisation are also used in other fields, such as user simulations for spoken dialogue systems (Rieser and Lemon, 2011) or emulators for managing uncertainty in complex models, such as simulated climate models (MUCM).

In our case, the general objective of the model is to design a planning process which lead us to find the optimal subset of green spaces within the physical boundaries of the city. A green space can be defined as a natural landscape located close to urban or peri-urban areas. This definition can cover concepts like county parks, areas of outstanding natural beauty, natural reserves, forest parks or crown lands (Allison, 1975).

The selected agent-based model simulates the growth of a city over a 50-year time-span. In each year, different flows of incoming population lead to pressure for development of new areas. Meanwhile, individual areas have varying costs, based on a simple model that values proximity to green areas over heavily-urbanised areas, and naturally evolve as the city grows. The model is therefore dynamic in time and space, and each simulation run will yield a different result. This in turn exacerbates the difficulty of optimising directly over full simulation runs, since several runs would be needed to evaluate the statistical properties of even a single policy. However, the approach we use to obviate the need for full simulation runs also addresses this issue, since it amounts to importing and exploiting pre-calculated statistical averages for each time-step in the simulation.

The remainder of the paper is organised as follows. Section II provides various introductory and preliminary details, covering the urban planning problem, the role of agent based simulation, and evolutionary algorithms. Section III then provides a detailed account of the models, assumptions and processes we employ in our experiments. Section IV focusses on the sources of uncertainty that are handled by our new statistical genetic algorithm approach. Computational experiments are specified in Section V, and the results are presented and discussed in Section VI. Section VII then draws some conclusions and we discuss further research in Section VIII.

### 2. PROBLEM DEFINITION

Open green urban areas play an important role in maintaining a healthy urban environment. Among all their favourable effects, their crucial impact in the economy, quality of life and in the local climate of the cities (Costanza et al., 1998; Nowak and McPherson, 1993) can be highlighted. However their distribution and location should be carefully studied by developing an adequate, long-term planning strategy.

#### 2.1 Urban Open Space Planning

There is a lack of agreement about how to implement and implant a given planning process and which measures should be selected. The most remarkable points to discuss are:

- How to select adequate planning criteria.
- Deciding the most suitable size for the open space according to the current and expected necessities.
- Where the open spaces should be located and how they should be accessed.
- The design of the potential activities for these areas according to different age groups and cultures.

A problem that arises when these issues are tackled is that there exist a variety of approaches with clear contradictory main goals. Among all of them, the present work follows a demand approach where the planning process should be based on attributes of the specific target population. The necessity of provision of a set of services defines the pressure over the
available open space. This pressure can be measured by means of:

- Size of the urban population.
- Subjective personal preferences.
- Residential distribution.
- Density of the population.

2.2 Allocation of Resources

The problem domain of the present paper can be included within the field of stochastic control theory. The developed model represents a paradigm of allocation of resources within a sequential decision-making simulator where a set of actions can be taken in each sequence that is followed by the system.

Generally speaking, a sequential planning problem can be defined as follows: an environment which can be described as a state-space set $S$ and an action set $A$ where $S$ and $A$ are both finite. Each state $s \in S$ is dependent on the previous state of the system and the action $a \in A$ taken. The transition function $\delta$ controls how actions modify the state of its environment.

$$s_{t+1} = \delta(s_t, a)$$

We define a policy $\Pi$ such that the mechanism in charge of selecting the next action is based on the current perception of the environment. This perception can be total or partial:

$$\Pi : S \rightarrow A$$

$$\Pi(s_t) = a_t$$

In turn, the action $a$ influences as well its environment provoking the change of the current state. The process starts in the state $s_0$ and by means of the sequential application of the policy $\Pi$, further actions are chosen.

2.3 Cellular Automata & Agent-based Modelling

The present study is based on the results collected in a basic urban growth model created with the use of Cellular Automata and Agent-Based Modelling tools.

The topological layout of the city is represented by a Cellular Automata (CA). CA (Newmann, 1966) was proposed for discrete space-time representation of problems which obey their local physics. It is based on the assumption that by means of local interactions, the model is capable of representing complex phenomena. The dynamics of the CA are generated by a set of transition functions which define how cells can evolve from one state to another.

The inhabitants who populate the city and their dynamics are modelled with the use of an Agent-Based Model (ABM) approach. ABM has been used to understand the interconnections, interdependences and feedbacks created among a set of heterogeneous individual entities in order to fulfil their goals. Each agent has an individual decision-making capacity according to its personal role. In combination with the CA approach, agents can be explicitly located and, in this way, they can influence its environment and affect the patterns formed in urban infrastructure.

ABM along with CA taking the role of representing land-use change dynamics have been applied broadly in the field of urban development. Mentionable is the use to simulate allocation decisions (Otter et al., 2001, Brown and Robinson, 2006) or in residential selection within a non-stationary housing market (Devisch et al., 2009, Parker and Filatova, 2008). On the other hand (Filatova et al., 2009) analyses how these tools are applied to analyse how prices affect urban agent behaviour. Finally, (Miller et al., 2004) studied the role of transportation in the evolution of an urban region.

2.4 Genetic Algorithm

Genetic Algorithm (GA) (Holland, 1975) it can be defined as a heuristic that mimics the behaviour of natural selection postulated by the English naturalist Charles Darwin in the 19th Century (Darwin, 1861). This search strategy is based on the assumption that nature evolves by the course of new generations preserving the species more suited to their environment. The tools defined by a GA to improve the population over time are the use of mechanisms like reproduction, mutation, crossover and selection.

Here we use GA to optimise the green space allocation problem. GA has been successfully used to solve complex spatial problems (Pukkala and Kuri-,tila, 2005). However, its performance in uncertain environments has been questioned (Wu et al., 2006, Rieser et al., 2011) due to the fact that a simple GA has insufficient data to deal directly with uncertainty. This weakness is the main reason why a GA, under this kind of scenarios, should be defined carefully and provided with the support of external tools in order to overcome these difficulties and to be totally suitable for this type of problems.

There exist different attempts and techniques that can be applied to a GA to give it this extra functionality. In (Qin et al., 2010) a Genetic-Algorithm-Aided Stochastic Optimisation Model is applied to cope with the uncertainty related to the study of air quality in urban areas. Qin makes use of Monte Carlo simu-
lation techniques to measure the effectiveness of a solution. Instead (Wang and Yang, 2009) resorts to anti-optimisation techniques (local search) to overcome the uncertainty generated by ageing presented in many engineering problems and affects remarkably the final performance of the system.

3 MODEL DESCRIPTION

The selected ABM-CA framework is used to represent a basic urban growth model with a monocentric spatial structure based on the traditional Alonso’s urban economic model (Alonso, 1964). The strategy of this model to explain the modern urbanisation process is based on the maximisation of a utility function. Urban pattern formation is the consequence on individual urban residence preferences which achieve an economic competitive equilibrium between housing and commuting costs.

The physical layout of the city is configured by a 2-dimensional lattice of 50x50 cells. Each cell corresponds to a physical portion of the city and it can be populated by more than one agent. Each of them represents a family unit.

Figure 1 represents the development of a city in a determined sequence of the simulation.

![Figure 1: An image which represents the urbanisation dynamics where the monocentric city (green cells) spreads their boundaries. This process convert rural cells (in grey) into peri-urban areas (white cells).](image)

The evolution of the city is ruled by an internal schedule or discrete-event box in which the number of defined events has always associated a determined time-horizon of finite duration. The dynamics of agents and cells allow the model to evolve between a set of predefined one-directional states. The rules of transition define how cells and agents change their state at each time step.

On the other hand, the types of the cell presented in the grid can be broadly divided into two main groups: urbanised and non-urbanised cells.

3.1 Urban Cells

Urban cells are cells that have been transformed from native ecosystems into either impermeable surfaces or green areas formed normally by non-native species (Byomkesh et al., 2010).

In the model, when cells receive the permission to be urbanised, which figuratively means that dwellings are constructed, they can allocate population that is represented by agents. Agents decide their residence location by searching a trade-off between their personal preferences, quality of life and their economical restrictions. This search involves the interaction among different parameters of the model. The decision is represented by the maximisation following utility function:

\[
\max U = (w, z, x, p : w > 0, z > 0, x \geq 0, p > 0)
\]

such that:

\[
w - z - kx + p = 0
\]

In the equation, \(x\) represents the distance from the household to the Central Business District (CBD) that is located in the centre of the lattice, \(w\) is the wage received monthly. This quantity is defined by a uniform random process and does not change through the life time of the agent, \(z\) is the price of the residential good and \(k\) is the constant marginal community cost. Finally, \(p\) represents the agent’s preferences. This parameter takes into consideration their personal level of preference for houses located close to green areas. In the agent definition a stochastic value which represents his acceptance to pay more for this kind of houses, is generated. This parameter is an extension of the economic competitive equilibrium described by (Alonso, 1964). Following this utility function agents populate the grid.

3.1.1 Prices of the Urban Cells

The prices of urban cells represents the amount of money that agents have to pay regularly as a rental cost and their values are dependant on the following factors:

- **The Demand.** The demand is defined according to the number of agents living in a given cell.
– The demand for certain preferred locations increases their price.
– The drop in the population in a cell decreases its price.
– If one cell does not receive any new neighbour during a determined period of time, the value of their dwelling is reduced.

• **Proximity to Green Areas.** The proximity of a green area is a factor which affects the final price of the houses. This factor increment a 10% the final price of the dwelling.

### 3.2 Non-urban Cells

Non-urban cells are cells that did not suffer a urbanisation transformation. At the beginning of the simulation the model assigns a stochastic value to all the non-urbanised cells. This parameter called *BioValue* represents the ecological value of this parcel of land. This value is assigned to each cell by a uniformly random process $\mathbb{U}(0,1)$.

\[
\text{BioNeighbourValue}_c = 0
\]

for each cell $n$ in neighbourhood($c$) where:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{if} \left( \text{BioValue}_c \geq 0.7 \right) & : \text{BioNeighbourValue}_c = + \cdot 0.01 \\
\text{if} \left( \text{BioValue}_c \leq 0.3 \right) & : \text{BioNeighbourValue}_c = - \cdot 0.01
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\text{CellValue}_c = \text{BioValue}_c + \text{BioNeighbourValue}_c
\]

In the present model, if the *cellValue* of a cell is bigger than 0.7 this cell is classified as a *forest* cell, otherwise it will be assigned an *agricultural*. Both types of cell have associated a different price based on rural land prices in UK (Riley, 2002).

The belonging to each category is dynamic over the time. Actually the model suffers a continuous transformation in the state of their cells changing from forest to agricultural. These uninterrupted transformations represent the ecological degradation process of the peri-urban areas provoked by the urban expansion over the grid.

Local governments can adopt a wide range of interventionist mechanisms to restrict the ownership over the land and control its use. Among these measures the local authority can assume the proper ownership of the land and assign them partially or totally the function of urban green spaces. One successful example of the use of this mechanism is the case of Stockholm city (Passow, 1970). Thus, local governments act as a response to social requirements over gardens and parks to provide a set of services based on the proximity to potential users.

The model delegates the responsibility of selecting the best non-urbanised stands to a new special agent called *Municipality*. This agent does not interact with the rest of agents. His main goal consists of managing the purchase and protection of green areas within the city by means of a monetary income received periodically called *budget*.

The location of these areas is a crucial factor for its future use. The selection is performed sequentially in each lapse of time and is limited by the budget and the configuration of the system in this precise moment. Once the purchase is done, the state of the cell is changed to *protected* and the construction of urban facilities within it is forbidden.

This selection process can be formulated as follows: if $C$ is defined as the finite set of cells included into the lattice, $A$ the subset of cells that can be considered as a candidate cell to be purchased, $P$ the subset of cells that are protected and $U$ the urbanised cells such as $A \subset C$, $P \subset C$, $U \subset C$ and $A \cup P \cup U = \emptyset$, then the selection of the cells to be acquired in a given sequence of time $t$ can be defined as:

\[
\forall c \in C \quad \text{price}(c) \leq \text{budget}_t \land c \notin \{P, U\} \implies c \in A
\]

Once the candidate cells are discriminated, the purchasing process can be formalised as:

\[
\forall a \in A \quad \max_{satisfaction} \delta(a)_t \\
\implies a_i \in P \land a_i \notin C \\
\text{budget}_{t+1} = \text{budget}_t - \text{price}_t(a)
\]

The function $\delta$ represents the metric that measures the level of satisfaction of the population and takes into account the monitoring of the distance to green areas. See formula 6.

Every subset of selected cells has associated a level of satisfaction of the population allocated within the boundaries of the city. The model should select the configuration of green areas which achieves the highest possible level of satisfaction according to the restrictions of the system during the considered period of time. However, the huge number of possible combinatorial selections makes the task of performing an exhaustive search of all different choices impossible in a feasible amount of time.

### 4 SOURCES OF UNCERTAINTY

In the present model uncertainty can emerge from a wide variety of sources. Apart from the fact that the
implementation of long-term plans always implies to be able to cope with unpredicted future variations, the complexity resultant from the multiple interactions occur between the elements represented in the model makes their management even more challenging.

Some factors which actively contribute to the increment of the level of uncertainty are mentioned in the list below:

4.1 Property Prices & Green Areas

In the model, the selection of green spaces exerts a direct influence on the prices of the surrounding urbanised cells. (Tyrväinen and Miettinen, 2000; Thorsnes, 2002) analyse this tendency demonstrating how prices of home properties increase with the proximity of urban parks. This aspect is included in the model as the agents’ desire to live close to these areas and is represented by the agent’s acceptance to pay more for these specific locations. The implications of the inclusion of these personal desires provoke a significant growth in the demand and subsequently in the price. All these factors affect the spatial spread of the city and the patterns developed over the time.

4.2 Ecological Degradation Process

From the point of view of the non-urbanised cells, the main parameter which involves a high level of uncertainty is the relationship created between the land price dynamics and the cells’ ecological value. Due to the fact that this ecological value is also influenced by the cells that form its neighbourhood as is defined in the previous code. The consequence of this linkage is that a significant change in a specific area of the lattice spreads in all directions and can produce instability in these eco-values of the surrounding cells.

This is the reason why the growth of the physical boundaries of the city creates an ecological degradation process in the surrounding areas. This dynamic influences the price of the non-urbanised cells that are closely located and hence the purchasing process of protected areas.

4.3 Urbanisation Process

The underlying process of urbanisation is in nature partially random and mainly determined by two factors:

- The rules of transition of the cells.
- The demand level.

Firstly, the rules of transition of the cells are based on preselected probabilities. Regarding the demand level, the model has defined a constraint in order to avoid transforming peri-urban into new urban cells if there is an enough number of non-populated urban cells in the city. A cell can be unpopulated if any new adult agent considers this cell profitable enough to move within it or because all agents allocated inside a determined cell have died and any new agent has move inside.

The model is designed so that it is necessary to have a minimum population density in all urbanised cells to achieve the enough level of demand which allow the system to build new neighbourhoods. This characteristic was added to avoid an unrealistic spread of the city due to the behaviour of the rules of transition of the cells.

The knowledge of the urbanisation process is crucial; the set of candidate cells to be protected are restricted to the non-urbanised ones and hence, in the protection procedure, we need to be aware of the complete state of the cells in each time step.

4.4 Flows of Population

Another significant characteristic of the model is that the described city is a non-closed-system. This means that there are income flows of new population coming from migration as well as new offspring resulted from the current settled population. The dynamics of these flows are not fixed and predictable. However they play a relevant role in the final population distribution within the city because it is not possible to guess in advance where they are going to be allocated.

Subsequently, the density of each future neighbourhood cannot be totally predicted in advance even if there exists a general preference to live close to the city centre in line with the Alonso model. In conclusion, this effect means that as we do not know the population distribution it is not possible to know the percentage of population directly affected by a determined location of a new green area.

5 CASE STUDY

5.1 Configuration of the GA

5.1.1 Encoding

A GA evolves through time a constant size population of individuals as well called chromosomes chosen randomly from the set of candidate solutions. In the present paradigm an individual is encoded as a sequential selections of cells grouped in a predefined
number of time steps. Each of these selections represents a gene of the individual and can contain from 0 to \( n \) protected cells chosen by the Municipality in one of the sequences of time. The superior limit \( n \) is bounded by the maximum budget available in this time step.

Linked with each subset of cells selected in each interval, its budget is stored. The budget can be defined as the amount of money that the Municipality can assign to the purchase of urban parks. It consists of the remaining funds resulted from the last transaction made by the Municipality plus possible new incomes. The individual value of the budget is always insufficient to buy any non-urbanised cell.

### 5.1.2 Selection Scheme & Mutation Process

There exist many selection schemes for GA, among them the present model uses tournament selection (Goldberg, 1990) that is a robust and simple to code selection mechanism for GA based on the idea of holding a tournament between a group of competitors randomly selected among the population.

Mutation is a tool used to maintain the diversity. The mutation process alters one or more values of the genes inherited from the parent. In our case a mutation consists of changing which cell/s will be transformed into a green area in a determined sequence of time. Additionally if the price of the swapped cells is different, the associated budget value is updated.

### 5.1.3 Fitness Function

As we have already mentioned, optimising the selection of the best recreational areas in a period of time with the only use of a classical GA approach is not possible under a high uncertainty environment.

In the previous section we listed the different sources of uncertainty that the optimisation procedure should cope with. In spite of all of them, we should be able to assess how feasible a given solution can be in the future. In a GA paradigm this is done by the use of a fitness function. This function can be nonlinear, discontinuous or even nondifferentiable.

To measure the value of a determined green area, the model can use different kind of metrics according to which aspect or aspects want to be emphasized. In the current model the quality of a solution or satisfaction represents the accumulative satisfaction achieved by each person settled on the city with respect to the topological distribution of green areas in the grid. Concretely it is associated with the distance between him and a green area.

(Giles-Corti et al., 2005) states that the distance to a green area influences the frequency of use and the activities undertaken. According to this criterion, green areas can be classified into the following groups:

- Access within a short walk. If the green area can be reached within less than 300 meters.
- Access within a long walk. The distance objective is ranged from 300 to 600 meters.
- Access with help of any means of transport. If the distance is larger than 600 meters.

The same study concludes that people do not generally use a green area if it is located beyond a threshold of 300-400m. Following this approach the process of calculation the fitness function can be defined as follows:

If \( A \) is the set of agents living in the city, \( P \) is the set of protected cells and \( C \) is the set of cells defined in the grid such as \( P \subseteq C \), then for a given time \( t \):

\[
\forall \text{ agent } a \in A \quad \forall \text{ protected cell } c \in P \quad \delta(a) = \min_{\text{distance}} (a, c) \\
\theta = \sum_{i=0}^{n} s(a_i)
\]

We define \( \delta \) as the function that calculates the distance from the location of a given agent \( a \) to the closest green area in the grid using Manhattan distance. Besides we define \( s \) as the function which calculates the individual satisfaction achieved by a given agent \( a \). Finally, \( \theta \) represents the total satisfaction achieved by the population of size \( n \) in the lattice in time step \( t \).

This fitness function is, in turn, linked directly with the spatial spread of the city and the population density of each stand. However, to be able to use a fitness function, it is necessary to know the location of the entire population in each time step and make this information available to the algorithm as input data.

### 5.2 Data Collected from Simulation Runs

The optimisation method overcomes this aforementioned lack of knowledge by the use of data gathered from the non-optimised version of the simulation. This data should describe what is the most likely topological development of the city and the population evolution in terms of number and location that can occur in the future.
The collected data retrieved during the multiple runs of the non-optimised simulation includes the following elements:

- Due to the fact that only non-urbanised cells can be candidate to be protected it is necessary to know when each cell is more likely to be urbanised. This data is used to know if in a given instant of time a cell can receive the status of protection or not. The non-optimised simulation annotates in each run when the different cells are urbanised.

- Density distribution. The simulation collects statistical data about the amount of agents living in the city and their precise location in the grid in every time step. This information is necessary to calculate the fitness function.

5.3 Defined Assumptions

Once the statistical data is gathered, it is necessary to define two common assumptions used as a point of departure in all the components of the optimisation framework. The involved parameters in these assumptions are the budget and the ecological scenario.

5.3.1 The Budget

The stochastic budget assigned to the municipality in each sequence of time is decided in advance and is shared by all individual solutions of the GA. This fact is critical because of the accumulative nature of the budget and the possible interdependence between the sequence of choices undertaken.

Dealing with the budget in the optimisation phase as currently is defined, can arise a potential problem in the gene mutation process. We recall that the information encoded in a gene is composed by a set of cells to be protected and the remaining budget in a given time step.

Due to the fact that non-urbanised cells can have different prices, a single modification in the selected cells of a gene can influence substantially in the amount of money used in the future selection of cells. This change could entail the appearance of inconsistencies encoded of the future choices into the chromosome if the former and the new cell involved in the mutation process are different enough in price that the remaining budget for posterior purchases could lead to a significant different scenario. This problem is caused because the election of the budget in each sequence of time, is not independent from onward choices and one decision could condition future purchases.

5.3.2 Ecological Scenario

The second assumption refers to the ecological configuration of the lattice at its point of departure. The scenario is defined by the initial random generation of the ecological values in each cell. An example of ecological values assigned to the grid can be seen in Figure 2.

The optimisation process shares the same initial scenario for all individuals. The same values are the base for the non-optimised simulations in which statistical data is gathered and also for the final test where the real optimality achieved by the GA outcome is measured.

Figure 2: Environmental values and the effect of the urbanisation process. The range of colours from green to black depicts the possible ecological values of the cell. Notice that in the centre of the lattice, where the city is located, the black eco-values represent the biological degradation or the metropolitan area.

5.4 Methodology Followed

The main components which form the data flow of the optimisation process are:

- The non-optimised simulation.
- The GA algorithm.
- The test component.

The point of departure of the following workflow consists of the definition of the initial model configuration as it was mentioned in the previous section and the assignation of the values for the budget and the ecological values of the lattice.

Secondly, the non-optimised version of the simulation should be run the necessary amount of times to retrieve enough comprehensive knowledge about the dynamics of the model: the topological spread of the city and population density.
Once this data is gathered, the GA algorithm can be carried out. In this phase the GA population is generated and evolved for 2500 iterations, assuring its convergence. For each new generation, the possible candidate cells should satisfy the following constraints to cope with the restrictions derived from the management of an uncertain future:

- New selected cells must not have been already selected for this individual neither in the past nor in the future in order to avoid inconsistencies.
- The model tries to verify that in a real situation the selected cells are unlikely to be already urbanised. Due to the GA cannot infer a priori what is the possible state of these cells, it should resort to the collected knowledge stored previously in the system. This data depicts the behaviour of the city and based on it the GA algorithm should discern what is the most plausible topological layout of the city in this concrete sequence of time.

The concept of tolerance is used to decide the level of confidence in which a cell can be selected to be protected. The more tolerance is permitted, the more inconsistencies can occur when the real simulation performs the testing of the solution. The value for this value used in the experiments is zero, that means that it is not allowed to protect the cell if in any of the simulations performed in the non-optimised phase this cell was urbanised at this point in time.

- Every time a new individual solution is generated, the optimisation component should be able to apply to it the fitness function to measure the quality of the new solution. However, this fitness function requires to know which amount of population will be affected by the choices included into the solution from the beginning to the end of the simulation.

For this purpose the optimisation component makes again use of the collected data, estimating the density of the population in the surrounding areas and calculating the fitness using Formula 6.

Once the optimisation phase has been concluded and the final individual solution with the highest fitness is generated, the test phase is carried out. The test component uses the output data from the GA phase to check the viability of the protected cells. This output data defines the cells that have to be selected in each lapse of time.

These simulations run in a modified version of the model where the selection of green spaces is done in a deterministic way meanwhile the rest of factors and interactions maintain its dynamical nature and its complex and unpredictable behaviour. The main purpose of this test step consists of:

- Measuring the real satisfaction of the population.
- Detecting inconsistencies and incompatibilities of the selected cells.

### 6 COMPUTATIONAL RESULTS

The results were calculated as averaged over 20 repeated optimisations, all of them in compliance with the assumptions and restrictions commented in previous sections.

Figure 4 plots two functions which represent the efficiency achieved during 500 ticks of the simulation cycle. The function in red depicts the amount of satisfaction achieved by the population when the cell selection is performed randomly meanwhile the results achieved in a test simulation by the GA approach are represented in blue.

Numerically, the same achieved results are shown in Table 3 where the data has been discretised and averaged with a periodicity of 50 ticks of the clock. The first column shows the non-optimised satisfaction, the second represents the GA-optimised satisfaction and the third column calculates the percentage of improvement of applying GA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Random</th>
<th>GA</th>
<th>% Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>235.12</td>
<td>3164.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>47.92</td>
<td>670.76</td>
<td>1399.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>113.41</td>
<td>1080.31</td>
<td>952.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>222.04</td>
<td>1545.99</td>
<td>656.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>332.99</td>
<td>1724.45</td>
<td>517.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>407.06</td>
<td>1892.45</td>
<td>464.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>494.77</td>
<td>2005.10</td>
<td>407.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>611.44</td>
<td>2087.45</td>
<td>341.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>808.54</td>
<td>2107.79</td>
<td>306.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>731.27</td>
<td>2142.42</td>
<td>293.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Efficiency grouped per each 50 generations.

From these results we can state that GA outperforms clearly the non-optimised version, fact that only on its own is not really noteworthy. However our main goal with this development was to find an easy methodology to use the Genetic Algorithm approach into a high uncertainty environment and overcoming the computational time complexity inherited of this kind of optimisation. We develop a new robust methodology able to deal with uncertainty without the help of any other extra tool or pre-knowledged distribution.

One limitation of the stochastic random approach is that the efficiency achieved depends strongly on the
Figure 4: Discretised version of the satisfaction achieved by the two approaches.

extension of the land analysed. On the other hand, a possible drawback of the present approach is that it requires to perform a large number of simulations before the optimisation can be carried out even if individually each of them are not costly significant.

7 CONCLUSIONS

This paper reports on results from a proof-of-concept study, which show that statistical model approximations can be used for policy optimisation. In particular, we show how we can capture and represent uncertainty in an Agent-based Model using data from simulated runs and find optimal urban planning policies using genetic algorithms.

The strategy is tested in a monocentric urban model based on Alonso’s model where the main objective of the experiment is to distribute a set of green protected areas throughout the lattice with the goal of achieving the maximum satisfaction from the inhabitants of the city. An individual is considered to be ‘satisfied’ if a green area is placed close enough to the location of his residence.

The main observation that we draw from the work presented here is that the appropriate prior use of unoptimised simulations was effective in guiding the GA to achieve successful outcomes. The specific approach we took is potentially applicable to a wide range of applications which concern sequential decision making and require time-consuming simulations to evaluate decisions.

8 FURTHER RESEARCH

The results on our case study suggest there is considerable promise in our approach. The ability to successfully address a wider range of optimization problems of this kind could lead to a new generation of tools for use in urban planning.

However, in the meantime, various aspects of the approach need further investigation. Among them are three main directions:

Evaluation of Statistical Simulation-based Approaches for ABM Optimisation. Related research fields, such as optimisation of natural dialogue strategies, make use of similar simulation techniques to approximate real-world behaviour. In the case of spoken dialogue systems, for example, user simulations are built from small data set of real user interactions. Similarly, we simulate the (uncertain) behaviour of an ABM by estimating transition probabilities, i.e. possible impact of planning decisions, from a small set of model runs. In future work, we want to explore how evaluation techniques for user simulations can be applied to estimate the quality and policy impacts of our ABM simulations (Rieser and Lemon, 2011).

Improving GA to Include Uncertainty for Sequential Decision Making Problems. In the previous experiments we have used a variant of genetic algorithms which does not explicitly encode uncertainty endured by the model environment. In future work, we plan to investigate advanced evolutionary algorithms, such as X Classifier Systems (Wilson, 1995) for sequential decision tasks, which explore similarities between evolutionary approaches and Reinforcement Learning and other a priori more traditional approaches like Reinforcement Learning.

Improve the Complexity of the Urban Model. In particular, we plan to increment the complexity of our current metric including factors like size of the urban park and quality. We will also develop a new ecological metric based on preserving the ecosystems of the surrounding areas of the city and conduct experiments to compare the trade-off between our current metric and the new one.
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