# **Eigen Heartbeats for User Identification**

Marta S. Santos<sup>1</sup>, Ana L. Fred<sup>1,2</sup>, Hugo Silva<sup>1,2</sup> and André Lourenço<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Intituto Superior Técnico, Lisboa, Portugal <sup>2</sup>Instituto de Telecomunicações, Lisboa, Portugal <sup>3</sup>Instituto Superior de Engenharia de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal

Keywords: ECG, Principal Components Analysis, User Identification.

Abstract: Electrocardiographic (ECG) signals record the heart's electrical activity over time. These signals have typically been assessed for clinical purposes providing a fair evaluation of the heart's condition. However, it has been shown recently that they also convey distinctive information that can be used for user identification. In this paper we explore these signals for user identification purposes, proposing two data representation and processing techniques based on principal component analysis (PCA) and classification based on the K-NN rule. We analyze and compare these techniques, showing experimentally that 100% identification rates can be achieved. The analysis covers an outlier removal procedure and different configurations of algorithmic and proposed system parameters.

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

In this paper, we analyze the heartbeat as a biometric modality. The heartbeat is a complex electric signal produced by the heart; among other advantages, it is difficult to forge and provides intrinsic aliveness detection. Targeting increased usability and minimal intrusiveness, we used a one-lead ECG acquisition setup with dry electrodes (Lourenço et al., 2011). Increased noise is inherent to this configuration requiring adequate pre-processing.

There are two main approaches for template representation: fiducial, and non-fiducial. The first consists of finding points of interest within the segmented ECG (fiducial points), and then representing heartbeat waves as a set of extracted features (Biel et al., 2001), (Silva et al., 2007). Non-fiducial methods, on the other hand, consider the signal's shape as the templates (Chan et al., 2008).

We propose a partially fiducial approach. For the segmentation of the ECG signal into heartbeats we use a fiducial method, in which the R peak is detected and used as alignment reference. Then, a non-fiducial method, based on PCA, is applied for representation of the heartbeats either describing a prototypical heartbeat pattern for the overall population as a global eigen-heartbeat, or expressing prototypical patterns for each individual. Our work is closely related with the proposals by (Irvine et al.,

2009) and (Israel et al., 2010), where PCA is also used. However, while in previous works an eigenheartbeat is computed from the overall population, individualized eigen-heartbeats we propose computations to characterize each subject. This methodology has the advantage of easier upgrade of databases, not requiring the re-computation of new base eigen-heartbeats as new users are included into the database. Furthermore, we include an outlier removal step in our pre-processing phase, aiming the achievement of better templates and higher recognition rates. Our work also stands out for using a larger database than what is found in prior studies, and ECG acquired at finger/palms.

# **2** USER IDENTIFICATION

Biometric identification systems typically comprise two main phases (Jain et al., 2008): enrollment and identification. At the enrollment phase, the user provides both his / her identity (e.g. name) and the biometric template (in our case, the ECG signal). Afterwards, one or more templates of the acquired modality are stored in a database for future reference. The processing phase usually comprises a quality evaluation procedure; if the acquired signals fail to accomplish the quality check there is a *failure to enroll* (FTE) error.

Santos M., Fred A., Silva H. and Lourenço A.. Eigen Heartbeats for User Identification. DOI: 10.5220/0004249503510355 In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Bio-inspired Systems and Signal Processing* (BIOSIGNALS-2013), pages 351-355 ISBN: 978-989-8565-36-5 Copyright © 2013 SCITEPRESS (Science and Technology Publications, Lda.) TECHN

At the identification phase, the user only needs to present the biometric modality at the sensor level; the system then validates this data against the templates previously stored in the database. If the acquired biometric data shows a match to the template of one of the enrolled users, an identity will be recognized. Figure 1 gives a schematic description of the enrollment and identification phases for the proposed ECG-based user identification system.



Figure 1: Enrolment and identification stages.

# **3 PROPOSED METHOD**

# 3.1 Pre-Processing

To deal with the noise inherent to finger/palm acquisition, we propose a sequence of pre-processing steps, summarized in Figure 2. Each step is applied both in the enrollment and identification stages (see Figure 1) and is described next.



Figure 2: ECG pre-processing steps (framed).

#### 3.1.1 Filtering and Segmentation

The ECG signals measured at hands or palms can be affected by multiple noise sources. We designed a FIR band-pass filter (order 301, using a Hamming window), with 5-20Hz cutoff frequencies. For segmentation we built on the work by (Engelse et al., 1979) for offline QRS detection, adapting their algorithm for real-time operation. Details of the algorithm and comparison with offline approaches can be found in (Lourenço et al., 2012).

#### 3.1.2 Outlier Removal

After segmentation, there was a clear need to remove outliers from the signal (due to excessive noise or segmentation errors). For that purpose, we created a simple outlier removal heuristic. Considering the expected stability of P-QRS complex, we compute amplitude statistics around certain fiducial points, considering as outliers segments that present considerable deviations from the median statistics. The points chosen were: time instant 75, P wave neighborhood; 150, just before the Q wave, where most of the signals show a small amplitude; 200, where the segments are centered; and 300, just after the end of the repolarization.

For the ECG recording of each individual, after signal segmentation, the median of signal amplitudes at each of the above fiducial points is computed; if, at least at one of the fiducial points, the absolute difference between the segments' amplitude value at the point and the median is larger than the median value multiplied by a factor  $\alpha$ , the segment is eliminated; the tuning of this parameter value is described in the experimental results section. The outlier removal procedure is applied once or twice in sequence; the corresponding remaining (clean) data after the one or two step approach is hereafter referred as *DataF* and *DataFF*, respectively.

#### **3.2** PCA-based Feature Extraction

By applying the PCA technique, each ECG segment is described as a linear combination of eigen-vectors, herein referred as eigen-heartbeats. By storing these eigen-heartbeats and the mean wave, each ECG segment is described by the coefficients in the linear combination, forming the set of features that describes it. The PCA was applied: (a) to the data of the whole population, leading to an eigen representation of the average ECG segments pattern; (b) individually to each person's ECG segments.

The first method, hereafter referred as the Overall Population Eigen-Heartbeat (OEigHB) approach, leads to a database formed by the mean and eigenvectors corresponding to the whole population data. Each enrolling ID will be represented by the coefficients resulting from the projection of their HB segments into the database eigenvectors and stored as template for that individual. The second method, hereafter referred as the Individualized Eigen-Heartbeat (IEigHB) approach, will have, for each individual, as template, the mean ECG segment, eigenvectors and coefficients referent to each individual, which will be stored in the database.

### 3.3 Decision

User identification is performed based on the comparison of the presented biometric modality with the stored templates for each individual. The proposed decision algorithm is different for the two approaches. In the OEigHB identification process, the newly presented ECG segments (after preprocessing) are projected into the eigenvectors taken from the whole population (see Figure 4), and the obtained feature vectors are matched with the templates of each enrolled user. According to the IEigHB approach, the new ECG segments are projected into each enrolled user's eigen-heartbeats, and these projection vectors are compared with the several users' templates (see Figure 3). We use template matching with the Euclidean distance, and the K-NN algorithm to correspond each new segment with a user ID. The identity of a given segment is decided using majority voting, choosing the most represented identities among the K-NNs templates.



Figure 3: IEigHB identification scheme.



Figure 4: OEigHB identification scheme.

### **4 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

#### 4.1 Datasets

The ECG datasets used in our experimental evaluation were provided by the *Check Your Biosignals Here* initiative (Silva et al., 2011). The dataset comprises a single session of acquisition of different physiological signals, including the ECG, over a population of 65 volunteering individuals, in a physically unconstrained setup. The experiment consisted of two distinct moments: an introductory phase, during which a staff member would explain

the goal and details of the experiment; and an emotion inducing phase triggered by the visualization a video sequence. The acquisition time was thus variable, depending on the duration of the interaction between the user and the staff member that described the test. ECG signals were collected from fingers and palms using dry Ag/AgCl electrodes. It is worth noting that the video sequence presented triggered different emotions, which are a source of variability.

#### 4.2 Outlier Removal Procedure

Due to variable acquisition times, the total number of segments varies for different individuals. Also, there are a different number of outliers for each individual. As a result, the  $\alpha$ -value, that controls the level of outlier removal, will lead to different number of segments kept per user. To standardize the number of segments used as templates per individual (NS), this parameter was made constant. Two values were tested: 20 and 30. In order to evaluate the error probability of the identification system, we used independent training and test sets, randomly chosen from the available data. By training set we denote the segments used as templates; the remaining segments per individual, after outlier removal, were used to assess the identification accuracy (test data).

Error estimates were performed by averaging over 25 runs of the classification procedure using randomly selected training and test sets. However, for some individuals and  $\alpha$ -values combinations, the minimum NS established was not reached. Those were counted as individuals that "failed to enroll" (FTE). The value of  $\alpha$  will also influence the identification rates. The lower the value of  $\alpha$ , the higher the number of segments that are kept, leading to a smaller FTE rates; however, with the corresponding larger amount of outliers left in the data with these lower  $\alpha$  values, lower identification error rates are obtained. Considering the two identification methods, IEigHB and OEigHB, the lowest identification error rates, with acceptable FTE rates, were obtained using the outlier removal procedure with  $\alpha = 0.4$  (which was ultimately, chosen, and used hereafter in our studies). However, for large values of NS and k, it is possible to obtain similar identification errors with lower FTE errors.

#### 4.3 Segments for Enrollment (NS)

We evaluated the influence of the number of templates per user used on the identification accuracy of the system. The next plot shows the average and standard deviation (SD) of the identification error rates using both methods and obtained over 25 runs using a 1-NN classifier and different values for k, the number of ECG segments presented for accessing the system. We tested the situations of NS=20 and NS=30 for the three setups of: no outlier removal; outlier removal in one step; and using twice the outlier removal procedure.

As shown, the higher the NS the lower the error probability is, and so, longer enrolment times are preferable. The OEigHB seems to be less sensitive to the various configurations, having lower ranges on error rates than the IEigHB approach. More template segments capture better the variability of the subject's heartbeat so new segments are more likely to mach correctly the ones of the database.

#### 4.4 Segments for Identification (K)

The number of segments required for accessing the system is closely related with the acquisition time when trying to identify a subject in a post-enrolment phase. It is the number of heartbeats that the user provides to the system in order to be identified.

From Figure 5, for this parameter the larger the amount of segments, k, the lower the error probability. However, values of k between 9 and 15 lead to similar error probability. For large k and NS it can be observed a 0% error probability.



Figure 5: (a) IEigHB approach; (b) OEigHB approach. 1-NN. Each line represents the k parameter. In the x-axis the NS parameter and the data used are represented.



Figure 6: Error probability variation in (a) IEigHB and (b) OEigHB identification methods, obtained with dataFF and NS=30, for different energies and K-NN values.

#### 4.5 **Outlier Sensibility**

From Figure 5 it is apparent that the identification error decreases with the decrease of the number of outliers among the data (compare results with DataP vs DataF). However, the gain is not so impressive for the second time one applies the procedure – DataFF results. Both methods (OEigHB and IEigHB) lead to error probabilities lower than 5% without outlier removal (DataP). However, with DataFF both methods can achieve 0% error rate with small SD.

#### 4.6 Energy

The reduction of the data energy is associated with the data compression that is obtained by eliminating some eigenvectors that represent weakly the data variance. In this dataset, a 5% energy reduction corresponds approximately, to a 50% data reduction, and a 10% energy reduction to a 60% data reduction. Figure 6 plots identification errors for different scenarios with different compression levels. The OEigHB method is less prone to error coming from the data compression, keeping both the mean error and SD similar with the decrease of the data energy. On the other hand, for the IEigHB method, both the mean and SD increase with lower energy values.

#### 4.7 K- Nearest Neighbours (K-NN)

As depicted in Figure 6, the number of K-Nearest Neighbors used in the decision method does not seem to influence significantly the error probability's mean. However, there is a general tendency that for lower energys and low values of k, the better results are obtained by increasing the KNN. This indicates that when the data is poorer (less segments, less energy) it is advisable to use a higher number of neighbours in the decision process in order to lower the identification error.

## 5 CONCLUSION

In this paper we presented a framework and methodology for user identification from ECG signals exploring PCA and K-NN classification, combined with outlier removal. Two main approaches were proposed, either using eigenheartbeats that model the overall population, or using individualized eigen-heartbeats per user. Overall, both methods have the potential of successfully identifying individuals using their finger/hands ECG signal. Using 30 heartbeat segments as templates, and 10 segments for accessing the system, both methods lead to a 0% identification error. However, the OEigHB method has shown, in general, lower sensitivity to the design parameters, presenting the lowest error values. Emotional and pathological states may create intra subject variability through time, lowering the accuracies obtained. This topic has not been thoroughly studied in the context of biometrics; however some work is already trying to account for these factors (Agrafioti, 2011).

The down side of ECG-based biometric methods is that longer enrolment and identification time is needed to achieve better accuracies (around 30 sec. of enrollment and 10 sec. of access time for a 0% error). However, this biometric modality is less prone to forging than more conventional modalities, such as the fingerprint, and can verify aliveness and stress level, which can be useful to prevent unwillingly identification. Ongoing work includes a further enlargement of the database, and extending this study to situations of multiple acquisitions at distinct time instants.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was partially funded by Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT) under grants SFRH/ BD/65248/2009 and SFRH/PROTEC/49512/2009.

## REFERENCES

- Agrafioti, Foteini (2011). PhD thesis. Univ. of Toronto.
- Biel, L.; Pettersson, O.; Philipson, L. and Wide, P. (2001) ECG analysis – a new approach in human identification. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation* and Measurement, 50(3):808–812.
- Chan, A.; Hamdy, M.; Badre, A. and Badee, V. (2008). Wavelet distance measure for person identification using electrocardiograms. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, 57(2):248–253,
- Engelse, W. A. H.; and Zeelenberg, C. (1979). "A single scan algorithm for QRS-detection and feature extraction," Comp.in Card., vol. 6, pp. 37–42.
- Irvine, J.; A., S. (2009). eigenPulse: Robust Human Identification from Cardiovascular Function. *The Draper Technology Digest*, pp. 50-59.
- Israel, S., M., J. (2010). The Heartbeat: the Living Biometric. Chapter in *Biometrics: theory, Methods and Applications*, pp. 429-459. Wiley
- Jain, A. and Flynn, P. and Ross, A. (2008). Handbook of Biometrics. Springer.
- Lourenço, A.; Silva, H.; Fred, A. (2011). Unveiling the Biometric Potential of Finger-Based ECG Signals. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*
- Lourenço, A.; Silva, H.; Leite, P.; Lourenco, R., and Fred, A. (2011). "Real time electrocardiogram segmentation for finger based ECG biometric," in *Proc. of BIOSIGNALS 2012*, pp. 49–54.
- Silva, H., Fred, A. L., and Lourenço, A. (2011). Check Your Biosignals Here: Experiments on Affective Computing and Behavioral Biometrics. *RecPad*.
- Silva, H.; Gamboa, H. and Fred, A. (2007). One lead ECG based personal identification with feature subspace ensembles. In *Proc. of the MLDM '07*, pp. 770–783.