Managing Data and Knowledge for the InmunoFlu Research Project
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Abstract: The InmunoFlu project was funded during 2009-2011 by the Government of Spain (Biomedical Research Fund-FIS) for the study of the H1N1 pdm influenza. It was an integrative project where clinicians from intensive care units (ICUs) across Spain came together with fundamental researchers to analyse at the molecular level the H1N1 infection. The multidisciplinary and geographical dispersion of the participants required the development of data and knowledge management tools. The InmunoFlu database was developed as a tool for the storage of all clinical data from patients associated with the ICUs and for the subsequent clinical annotation of the samples used in the molecular analysis of the infection and host response. The dispersion of participants in different centres fostered the development of InmunoFlu Web portal, a collaborative web portal using web 2.0 technologies, which served as a knowledge management tool for the project community. The web portal enabled among other characteristics document sharing as well as other collaborative tools such as chat, wiki, etc... The use of both tools played a central role in the success of this complex project.

1 INTRODUCTION

Pandemic influenza outbreak in 2009 caused by the influenza virus H1N1pdm posed a significant series of challenges for both health and research systems. In those moments society was facing emergence of a viral threat originated in a virus that resembled that one 1918 pandemia which caused 20-50 million deaths (Taubenberger et al., 2001). Under these circumstances there was an obvious need to mobilize resources seeking for a response against the risks posed by the new virus. Those responses tried to address different issues such as patient care and disease prevention or development of vaccines.

Other studies were aimed at understanding the biology of the virus by means of immunological and virological research. Research also included trying to develop new diagnostic tools. Finally several integrative approaches, where healthcare and fundamental factors were combined, contributed to a better understanding of the interactions between virus and patients and how those could explained the final clinical outcomes. In the literature it is possible to find thousands of papers published presenting the results of the different approaches followed, a PubMed search using the terms “pandemic influenza H1N1 2009” results in more than 3500 research papers in different areas (excluding reviews) (Cheng et al., 2012)

Clinical data collection is a very important procedure and it is a routine in epidemiological studies. In many cases this process can be done electronically extracting data from patient’s electronic clinical record, (Kersun et al., 2010) or other ad-hoc existing systems (Bertolini et al., 2011) but in other cases data are still stored in paper. When fundamental and clinical research are combined the results of those analyses are extremely affected by the quality on the clinical annotation of the samples.
used. The source of clinical annotation may come either from automatic data retrieval from electronic health records from patients or, in those cases where electronic medical records are not available, it is necessary to develop tools to store in an organised, easily accessible and electronic format those data of interest. It is also very important for the success of those projects, which usually involve groups located in remote places and with different scientific backgrounds to have access to collaborative tools that enable data and document exchange among the project teams.

The work presented hereby represents the development of tools for data and knowledge management in the context of a multidisciplinary and multinational project (InmunoFlu), combining fundamental biology researchers with clinicians, analysing the molecular aspects of infection by H1N1pdm in patients at different intensive care units across Spain during H1N1pdm outbreak in years 2009 and 2010. Due to the lack of a unified system for data access at the different ICUs it was necessary to develop an “in-house” built database to collect the most relevant clinical data. They were then used for clinical annotation of samples further processed in the molecular analyses. The integration of molecular data from different sources with the clinical annotation enabled the analyses using clinical phenotypes and clinical outcomes. (Bermejo-Martin et al., 2010); (Almansa et al., 2011).

A pivotal aspect in interdisciplinary and multicenter projects is knowledge management. Knowledge management has been defined in multiple ways (Chen at al., 2001); (Montani et al., 2002); (Steels, 1993), and it is related with the capture, representation, sharing and use of knowledge within a community or an organization so it can be effectively exploited.

Based on our previous experience with web-based knowledge management tools, such as BIKMAS, A Biomedical Knowledge Management System (De Andrés-Galiana et al., 2009), we developed ImmunoFlu Web Portal, a knowledge management tool designed using Web 2.0 technologies. The purpose of ImmunoFlu web portal was to provide the community with a tool accessible to all members for enhanced collaboration and exploitation of the knowledge generated in project.

2 METHODS

2.1 Database - ImmunoFlu Database

For the design of the tools used in this project it was necessary to take into account the characteristics of the members involved on it and their specific requirements. Data and sample collection at the eight participating ICUs was performed in a high demand environment where the professionals were extremely busy and therefore required very simple tools, with an easy to use interface, for that reason we chose Microsoft Access as the Data Base Management System, since it is a tool that all the participants were already familiarised with and because it was available at all data collection points. Visual Basic was used on database forms.

2.2 Knowledge Management Tool - ImmunoFlu Web Portal

The knowledge management tool was developed using open source software tools providing thus reliability, stability and flexibility, among others. One of the aims of this tool was to provide Web 2.0 based collaborative tools; for that reason for the development of the portal we used Java technology based on the Model-View-Controller. We used the portal manager Liferay (www.liferay.com), running under Glassfish (http://glassfish.java.net/) as application server, and MySql (www.mysql.com) as the database management system.

Liferay has also the ability to run on any application server, servlets container, database and operating system, providing the possibility of developing complex portlets (a portlet is a small piece of functionality that is completely portable and scalable) and supporting any portlet that is based in the JSR-268 standard, so it is possible to add any portlet developed with any technology as long as the portlet is based on this standard.

3 RESULTS

3.1 ImmunoFlu Database

3.1.1 Database Structure

In many of the hospitals involved in the study there were not accessible electronic health records. For this reason it was not possible to organise a system based on an automatic data collection and
centralization process. Under those circumstances, a set of different replicates of the database were installed at each of the participant teams, so they would collect data, and finally all collected data was finally centralised and curated by a single project group. A paper form for data collection was initially developed and agreed by the clinicians participating in the project comprising 7 pages. This original questionnaire was later modified in the electronic version including some additional data.

The database comprised a set of 18 tables covering more than 80 clinically relevant related fields that were organised into two different categories:

- Main tables. 15 tables containing the majority of the relevant clinical data.
- Auxiliary tables. 3 tables designed to contain data used in combo-boxes or drop-boxes for data entry in different forms.

This design including some auxiliary data, and the development of data entry forms using drop-boxes and limiting the entries to certain value types avoided many inconsistencies in user data input. It was also important to set a unified environment for the different groups that had to work with the database.

Database contents were structured in eight relevant areas around the central element of patient demographics. The surrounding areas contained information related with:

1. Symptoms during illness. Data related with the symptoms presented by the patient during admission.
2. Co-presenting illness. Information about other illnesses that were present during admission.
3. Co-morbidities. Other previously known diseases affecting the patient during their acceptance in the study.
4. Medication at admission
5. Time course and outcomes. These data covered the dates for the initial symptoms, date of hospital admission, date of ICU admission, date of other procedures such as ventilation assistance, date of UCI discharge and cause, or hospital discharge.
6. Treatments provided during ICU hospitalization
7. Microbiological test results. Describing the test used, the date of the test and the results.
8. Analytical measurements. The data stored under this area included a broad variety of clinical data associated with the evolution of the patient in the ICU such as organ dysfunction data, ventilation data, haemograms and other.

An important aspect that was taken into account in the design of the database and the forms for data entry was that the purpose of the database was to store data in a longitudinal study, and therefore a single patient may have multiple records associated with those events occurring along the time until ICU discharge. This caused that entries should be editable along a period of time and it had to be considered as an important parameter in the design of forms making it simple and transparent to the users. For this reason and as a mean to avoid that during the addition of data associated with a new data point could lead to rewriting of a previous record, warning messages were set in case a previously recorded data was about to be edited.

3.1.2 Database Interface

In order to simplify the use of the application, an interface consisting on only two different forms was used. The first form consisted on a welcome form including the three major patient management options, adding a new patient, editing or adding new data to a patient or deleting a patient and all the associated data. Figure 1.

![Figure 1: Screenshot of the first screen seen by the users entering the database. The three buttons represent the options Add New patient/Edit patient/Delete Patient.](image)

A second form was used for data entry and included the eight areas of data stored in the application. This form included a set of subforms sequentially accessible allowing the introduction of data from the first initial data, required when a patient was included in the study and their data were captured into the database, to those forms related with the temporal parameters measured along the study. Figure 2.

The use of auxiliary tables altogether with the use of drop-lists and combo boxes whenever was possible was preferred in order to reduce the amount of inconsistencies in the database due to typing errors or the use of synonyms by the different user in the different research groups.
3.2 InmunoFlu Collaborative Web Portal

The knowledge management tool was embedded in a collaborative web portal where all members of the consortium (clinical groups, ICUs and fundamental biology researchers performing the genomic analyses) had access to the platform.

This knowledge management tool was designed to store and track the knowledge generated within the project (in the form of documents or data and capturing other knowledge sources with the WIKI or the forum) as well as providing information captured from other web 2.0 resources on the internet.

The portal had a common shared area for all the members of the community and from there each member had access to a private area.

In the public shared area, the collaborative portal was designed in such a way that each of the members of the consortium had access to a common public shared area and also their own private pages where they could store and work on different documents before making them public and sharing them with the rest of the community.

The home page of the portal was common for all the members of the community and it included a common calendar where important dates for events such as consortium meetings or deadlines were published and accessible for all members. Figure 3.

The home page also allowed the users to access their accounts where they were able to set their public profile within the community and include some additional contact data, such as their websites, e-mail addresses.

The system was designed to provide some collaborative tools as well. A WIKI and a Forum were included and made accessible from the home page of the portal for the users so they were able to edit documents and keep open discussions.

A chat tool was included in the portal as another way to communicate among the online members of the community.

The InmunoFlu Web Portal was designed to take advantage of the opportunities available thanks to the use of WEB 2.0. For instance, this allowed the portal to include a widget created by the CDC (Center for Disease Control) that captured the news generated by the CDC related with the H1N1pdm virus.

Within the private area there were four pages. Three of them are devoted to RSS feeds coming from selected sources and covering topics of interest for the community, and one of them used as the home page for the private area retrieving general medical RSS information such as those news coming from medical journals. The other two pages were specifically devoted to the project aims. The first page covered RSS feeds specifically related with the H1N1pdm virus while the second page is linked to a broader definition around respiratory diseases. Figure 4.

A very important element for the web portal was the inclusion of a “Document Library”. The “Document Library” plays a key role since it serves as the major file repository for all the documents and files generated during the project.

This collaborative element allowed the consortium members to upload and share in different ways a diverse kind of files in a series of folders. The folder structure in the “Document Library” was divided into two major categories, the “Public Folders” and the “Private Folders”. Documents stored in the “Document Library” are shared within the community and depending on their location in the folder structure different users may have different privilege of access to them.

All members of the community have access and privileges to modify the “Public Folder” structure adding new subfolders and having full privileges.
over any document stored there. On the other hand, the “private folders” are under control of each of the member of the community and has rights to upload and set the privileges for the other members to just access or edit the documents uploaded on their folders.

One of the main characteristics of the “Document library” is that it allows the community to perform a series of actions on the elements stored in the library. The actions available are “View/Edit/Delete”.

“View” Under this option it is possible to download the documents in the library as well as to view some characteristics of the document such as their use (number of downloads), version number, size or document type. Every element in the library can be viewed by any member of the community at any time.

“Edit” option is limited to those documents that are not “locked” by the owner and it allows the download and substitution of the original document by the “edited” one. An important aspect of the system is that “tracks” the changes and the editing events undergone by the elements of the document library, showing the number of the latest version available.

“Delete” option is just limited to the owner of the document or the system administrator.

Another interesting collaborative tool implemented within the “Document Library” was the possibility of adding a discussion thread on the documents using the comments option available. Comments could be introduced using through the “view” action on the document. Comments were open on all documents for all members of the community, who where therefore to add comments even in those documents blocked for edition by their owners. As it is common in other community tools, “Comments” could be replied or voted as positive or negative, showing the number of votes one received and keeping track on how many of them were positive or negative.

4 CONCLUSIONS

During the 2009 H1N1 influenza pandemia there was a need for the development of integrative projects for the integration of biological and clinical data associated with the infection. Imunoflu project was a Spanish initiative for the analysis of data from patients from the ICU across the country; the lack of electronic clinical records in some of the ICU required the development of an electronic database (Imunoflu Database) for the storage of the clinical data that was going to be analysed and associated with the molecular biology data in the study. Database structure was based on a previously designed paper form agreed by ICU clinicians participating in the project. The use of an electronic format for the storage for the associated data simplified and accelerated data retrieval for the project and fostered the research and the publications associated related with the project.

The development of a knowledge management tool was a consequence of the multidisciplinarity of
the project, constituted by a community of researchers and clinicians with different backgrounds and experiences, as well as a consequence of the dispersion of the members across different geographic regions and institutions. The development of a collaborative web portal (InmunoFlu Web Portal) was a consequence of the dispersion of the InmunoFLu project members and it was designed with the aim of providing the community with a collaborative environment where every member could interact with the others and where the documentation related with the project, either administrative, associated with research such as paper drafts, or research data, and it was shared in an accessible and editable way. The portal enabled a simplified access to shared documents and community discussions.

Although originally designed for this particular project, InmunoFlu Web Portal could be easily exported for other similar projects requiring a collaborative environment. On the other hand InmunoFlu database could be of use in other similar projects for respiratory diseases of interest at ICUs. The development of biomedical informatics tools within the context of this integrative project facilitated the success of the project enhancing the collaboration and data availability for the project goals.
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