From Business Services to IT Services by Capturing Design Decisions
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Abstract: The main goals of any service-oriented design include flexible support and adaptability of business services and improved business-IT alignment. The existing approaches, however, have failed to fully meet these goals. One of the major reasons for this deficiency is the gap that exists between how the computer science and management science communities perceive the concept of service. We present a flexible, semi-automatic, model-driven approach to designing IT services that directly satisfy business needs and requirements. We begin with the design of business services and the capture of the design decisions that transform the business design through multiple model layers to the IT service design. All layers can be simulated using the Alloy Analyzer tool. The last layer can be run on a given target platform. This approach is demonstrated on the running example based on the consulting project conducted at the company General Ressort. The central aspect of our approach is separating the design decisions from anything that can be automated. It provides the multi-perspective view of the system, by making the modeling process faster, leaving the designer the space to focus on the design decisions and not on drawing the models.

1 INTRODUCTION

As there are many different definitions of services, we give the one used in this paper. Based on (Blecher and Sholler, 2009, p. 1), "Business service is a business-related work activity or duty performed for others to produce a business outcome. It is the expectation of the business person that the service will accomplish this outcome. The person generally does not care how it is accomplished, as long as it is done in an effective manner from a business perspective.”

A business service may be supported by one or more IT Service(s), and may consist almost entirely of IT services, especially where these service are directly used by customer. Examples include online banking and online shopping.

ITIL v.3 defines a IT service as “a service provided to one or more customers, by an IT service provider. An IT Service is based on the use of information technology and supports the customer’s business process. An IT Service is made up from a combination of people, processes and technology.” (OGC, 2007)

Based on these definitions, we will explain our approach for transforming business services to IT services.

The main goals of any service-oriented design include flexible support and adaptability of business services and improved business-IT alignment, i.e. orchestration of the lower level IT infrastructure services to deliver the desired business-level customer services. The existing approaches, however, have failed to fully meet these goals. One of the major reasons for this deficiency is the gap that exists between how the computer science and management science communities perceive the services. In practice, the business and technology perspectives of services have to be considered separately. Even simple changes to one perspective (e.g. due to new regulations or organizational change) require error-prone, manual re-editing of the other one (Buchwals et al., 2011). Over time, this leads to the degeneration and divergence of the respective models and specifications; this thereby aggravates maintenance and makes expensive refactoring inevitable.

Our approach for aligning business services with IT services is flexible, semi-automatic, and model-driven, enabling the implementation design of business services. In the design process, the designer begins by identifying the services required by the customers, then follows by capturing the design decisions. Based on these decisions, intermediate model
layers and finally IT services are generated. These services are necessary for the implementation of the application supporting the customer’s requirements. This process allows business analysts to represent services from a business point of view, while facilitating the design and development of IT services.

The details embedded in an IT service design model-layer enables the execution of the model on the given target platform, such as JEE (Java Enterprise Edition). All the model layers can be translated and simulated with the Alloy Analyzer tool (Jackson, 2011), so that the designer, by viewing a few instances of the model, can see how each of the model layers behave.

A central aspect of our method is that, in the service design process design decisions are captured in each step. This way, they are clearly separated from the automatic part of the transformation. Thus, the design process is done semi-automatically. In these steps, the designer can independently make the decisions about different aspects, influencing the service design.

We illustrate our approach by the running example based on a consulting project conducted at a company that sells parts for watches in Switzerland, General Ressort (GR).

We organize the paper as follows. In Section 2, we explain our modeling method and outline the design process. In Section 3, we discuss the simulation and prototyping of the model layers. We present related work in Section 4. The final section concludes the study and discusses the future work.

2 MODELING THE IMPLEMENTATION DESIGN OF BUSINESS SERVICES AT GENERAL RESSORT

For a better understanding of the design process, we illustrate each design step by applying it to the example of company GR. For the purpose of this paper, we focus only on a simplified business service of order processing. We illustrate the design steps in our approach based on this example. By convention, information in italics are the corresponding names of the elements in the model.

The simplified business service is executed as follows: “GR gets order (OrderInitial) from the customer that contains a unique customer name and unique customer part id. The person dealing with orders (OrderEntryPerson) receives the information about the order (OrderInitial) and finds the customer and the part by unique information in the enterprise resource planning system (ERP). Finally, he creates the confirmed order (OrderConfirmed) in the ERP.”

Notice that in the a real service, in case the customer or the part is missing in the system, they are created. However, as it does not show any new aspects of our approach, it is not shown in this paper.

2.1 Modeling Method

In order to understand the steps of our design process and the example, we will explain the main principles of the proposed modeling approach, mostly based on Catalysis approach (D’Souza and Wills, 2001).

The central aspect of our approach is a system and its two main aspects: organizational and functional (Wegmann, 2003). For both aspects, we define the black-box and the white-box view of the system. The organizational black-box view of the system is called ‘system as a whole’, and it hides the organizational aspects of the system; unlike the organizational white-box view of the system, called ‘system as a composite’, which reveals a system’s construction. Similarly, the functional white-box view of the system is called ‘action as composite’ and it provides insight into system’s functionality, unlike the functional black-box view (‘action as a whole’) that hides them. This can be seen in Figure 1.

There is also a special view of a system and a type of the action, called ‘action as n-ary relationship’, where one action is distributed among many systems connected with one action binding in between (Figure 2). In this way, it is specified what part of action is in which system. However, the action parts are still dependent on each other and cannot be treated separately; only together can they be seen as one action.

![Figure 1: Organizational and functional hierarchy.](image)

Another important characteristic of our approach is that it places the action on an equal footing with the object, because good decoupled design requires careful thought about what actions occur and what they...
achieve. Therefore, behaviour and data are equally important in the proposed method and each model layer contains both the behaviour and data part of the services.

2.1.1 Meta-model

In order to understand the models given in this paper, we show the meta-model with relevant elements in Figure 3. The full lines in the meta-model correspond to the 'contain' relationship, where one element is inside the other. The dashed lines correspond to the 'has link to' relationship, where one element is related to the other with a line. The concepts used in the meta-model are based on Catalysis terms. The table with the corresponding business terms can be seen in Figure 4.

Figure 2: Action as n-ary relationship.

The root element of any model is WOC (working object as composite), representing the system of interest, in this case the market segment. It is composite, because it contains the main stakeholders, such as the service provider (company providing the service) and service consumer (customer company). WOC reveals the system structure, therefore it can contain other WOs (whole and composite). It can also contain actions shared among different systems (JA (joint action) or SJAB (split joint action binding)). SJAB corresponds to the action binding in 'action as n-ary relationship', i.e. it connects several distributed actions in different systems, thus making one action. JA is the whole action with all its elements between many systems. There are no action parts in the other system. SJAB has links to SJAs (split joint actions). They correspond to the action parts in 'action as n-ary relationship'. One of them contains a link to the event, showing who is initiating the action SJA, while the others have no event related to it SJAe.

WOW does not reveal its structure. Therefore, it does not contain other WOs. It can contain actions or data elements (properties (LP), inputs (INP), outputs (OUT) and EVENTS). These actions can be joint actions (SJA and JA) or localized (LA), meaning they are inside just one WO, and are not split between many WOs. As with all other whole-composite relations, LAC (localized action composite) can have many LAWs (localized action whole).

As a service is a duty performed for others producing outcome, it always has some input and output parameters. Therefore, all actions, i.e. services (LA, JA, SJA) contain inputs and outputs. Also, they have information about who is initiating the service captured in the event. In the case of SJA, it applies to only one action part related to the action.

In addition, in our approach service is defined with functional units (FU) and properties (LP), representing the behavioural and data part of service, respectively. This does not apply to LAC, because it represents the grouping of objects for many LAWs (services).

There are four different types of services, one for each model layer of our service design process. The top-level layer is business services, as it is defined in the introduction. Thus, it represents the service that the customer needs. This service is transformed to the joint business service, joint IT service and finally independent localized IT services for each system of interest (in this case roles in the company).

2.2 Service Design Process

As one of the characteristics of our method is that the data are on an equal footing with behaviour, services are described with behavioural and data parts, i.e. with functional units and properties. Therefore, we add two intermediate model layers in order to maintain dependency on high-level business services and low-level IT services: one for data details, the other for behaviour details. These layers show the constructional and functional design, as described in (Dietz and Albani, 2005). Through the process of transforming these layers, business services are extended with the details necessary for IT services. This emphasizes the two main aspects of our approach: behaviour (functional units) and data (properties). Hence, the designer can make the decisions about the data and the behaviour independently.

These model layers are then related with three intermediate steps in which the designer makes the decisions about the data and behaviour responsibility.

The designer captures the decisions in specially formatted matrices by using 'define and distribute' pattern. This means, in each step, the designer defines new elements in the system, which becomes column of the matrix. Also, the designer distributes some existing elements shown in rows of the matrix to the new elements.

To sum up, there are four model layers in our service design process and three in-between steps that
capture the design decisions in specially formatted matrices. In Figure 5, we show the whole process of service design and implementation. The two orange phases represent the service implementation part and the black phases are service design part. As it can be seen, after service design process, the last layer of the IT service design can be transformed to the intermediate project containing data needed by BUD tool (Petitpierre, 2011) to generate the application. Due to the lack of space, we will not describe the service implementation in this paper. It is based on the BUD tool that is based on JSON templates (JSON, 2009). More information can be seen in (Petitpierre, 2011).

The process is shown as a spiral process (Boehm, 1986), because it combines the prototyping with the steps of the proposed process. In this way, the designer can analyse and validate how the design decisions can influence the design and implementation of the services.

Finally, here is the description of the model layers and steps of the proposed design process. By convention, names from the model will be marked in italics.
2.2.1 Business Service Design

In the first layer, the designer specifies the business services as in Figure 6. As it can be seen, we show a segment with company GeneralRessort and CustomerCompany. There is only one main business service that is modeled: OrderProcessing. We do not show either the organization of the company or the sub-services (sub-actions). Therefore, this model layer represents the system as a whole (GeneralRessort[w]), action as a whole (OrderProcessing[w]).

As we have mentioned in the section Modeling Method, both the behavioural and data part of the services are shown. The behavioural part is shown by functional units (fu) marked in green colour. They represent atomic operations, such as find, create, etc. They can be parametrized by the properties related to them (by link uses), such as CustomerSet, PartSet, OrderConfirmedSet. Set properties represent the set of elements of one kind, e.g., Customer. The relation between these elements is shown by relationship. For each property cardinality and name can be seen. Depending on which attribute of the Customer element fu find is related, we can specify different operations, such as ‘find customer in the set by its name’ in Figure 6.

The inputs and outputs are marked as yellow properties. The business service order processing has two input parameters, Name and CustomerPartId and one output parameter, OrderConfirmed. OrderInitial and OrderConfirmed are marked with prop-w0In and prop-w0Out. w0In and w0Out mean that they come into and go out from the system GeneralRessort from and to outside (CustomerCompany), respectively.

Also, each service has one event (in this case event-w0In) associated to it, showing who is initiating the service. In this step, there are no roles, therefore the event is shown inside the whole system GeneralRessort.

Functional units can be connected with lines that can contain the name of the data they share, such as Customer, meaning that fu find and create share one data of the type Customer.

2.2.2 Joint Business Service Design

In the next model layer, the company construction is revealed and joint business services are defined by providing details about the business service-related data responsibilities within the company’s roles. Therefore, the layer corresponds to the system as a composite, action as a whole.

The designer defines the roles (organizational units) in the system and distributes the service-related data to these roles, according to their responsibilities. This can be seen in matrices in Figure 7.

The designer defines roles: OrderEntryPerson and ERP, marked in green in the matrices and in the next model layer.

Then all data from the model layer in Figure 6, shown in the rows of the matrix, are distributed to the newly defined roles (Figure 8).

As we can see, joint business service design contains defined business services without changes of the
2.2.3 Joint IT Service Design

The next model layer defines which role performs which part of the service. This provides insight into the functional decomposition of the system, without complete split of services. Therefore, this layer corresponds to system as a composite, action as a n-ary relationship.

The designer defines new services of the roles and distributes existing functional units to these service. The designer defines two services: **OrderEntryPerson service** and **ERP service**, marked in blue in the matrices in Figure 9 and in Figure 10.

Based on the design decisions, functional units are distributed automatically to the role’s services marked with ‘X’. Based on the arrow lines connected to the functional units, special functional units are added to the roles where the origin and ending of line is: **enter** and **get**, respectively. **enter** is added when the role initiates the *fu* (the line going from the role), and **get** when the role obtains the result from *fu* (the line directed to the role). This is based on the ‘send-respond-reply’ pattern described in (Beach et al., 1982). On the lines connecting these *fu*, the names of the data are written, **Name, CustomerPartId, OrderConfirmed**.

We show the result of added design decisions in Figure 10. As we can see, joint IT service design contains services for each role in the company, containing some existing functional units and some newly added ones. The properties are not changed in this step. Notice that there are no intermediate results in the services, because as this is action as a n-ary relationship, all these services represent together one service, they are still not completely independent.

2.2.4 Localized IT Service Design

In this step, new sub-services (and implicitly their events) are defined, and functional units are distributed to these services. Therefore, this layer represents system as a composite, action as a composite.

The designer defines new sub-services and dis-
tribute existing functional units to these services. This is repeated for each of the roles. The events for new sub-services are implicitly specified. Additionally, the designer can specify that events are shared between different roles.

For example, the designer defines new sub-services for ERP: FindCustomer, FindPart and CreateOrderConfirmed. They are marked in red in the matrices in Figure 11 and in the resulting layer in Figure 12. Then, the designer distributes the existing functional units of ERP to defined sub-services. He does the similar for OrderEntryPerson, for which he defines six sub-services. Finally, he specifies that some events are shared, such as EnterName service of OrderEntryPerson and FindCustomer service of ERP, showing it is transmitted from one role to the other.

Based on the design decisions, new services are created inside existing services containing defined functional units as in Figure 12. In addition, some other elements are automatically added to the model. The lines between roles are replaced by the corresponding properties in them, such as prop-woOut Name and prop-woIn Name. Also, as we show the sub-services, we also add the intermediate data (such as Customer) and the corresponding fus (such as get). These fus are also included in the distribution matrices of the designer. Finally, the default IT sub-service is added (CreateOrderProcessing), which is responsible for the basic initialization of the services in the IT system.

All necessary data for the ERP service now appear in the ERP system, because services of all roles are now separated and their systems contain all necessary data for their services. Thus, if we would cover completely the other roles in the model, we would be able to see everything that is necessary for one visible role.

This model contains IT services that are platform independent and ready to be executed in any target language. In addition, it also contains the human services and human-human interaction, which are very often very important to show in one consulting project.

As mentioned in the service design and implementation process, by using this model layer it is possible to generate the running application for the corresponding business service and its supporting IT services as defined in this model layer.
3 MODEL SIMULATION AND PROTOTYPING

One of the main challenges in service design is "how to prototype services (to generate, develop, test and evaluate ideas) throughout the design process?" (Vaa- jakallio et al., 2009). In this section, we will briefly explain how the prototyping is done in the proposed approach.

In order to evaluate if the model corresponds to the customer’s needs and requirements, this approach enables us to prototype each of the model layers, thus enabling the designer to simulate the behaviour of the model layer and to find design mistakes in the early phase. In addition, the last model can be executed in the given target platform, which also provides one way of validation.

In order to get the prototypes, we first formalize the models using declarative language Alloy (Jackson et al., 2000), and then we run and simulate them using the Alloy Analyzer tool (Jackson, 2011). We use Alloy, because it can be also used to check the refinement between different model layers, as it is explained in (Rychkova, 2008).

We show in Figure 13 the result of one of the simulations of the GR case, where the customer and part
are created in case they do not exist. Company\_pre and Company\_post are the states of the company General Ressort, before and after the order is processed, respectively. As we can see, they both have the same OrderInitial that is the input to the service and OrderConfirmed that is output of the service. Before the order processing, there was no customer with the name Name given in OrderInitial, so the new customer Customer with this name is created in customerSet that is in Company\_post. And the OrderConfirmed contains information about that customer and becomes member of OrderSet. We do not provide the Alloy code here, due to the lack of space.

So far, we have transformed manually the model to the Alloy code, which can be run using the Alloy Analyzer tool. The goal in the future is to automate this simulation process. Also, the goal is to provide simulation results in the a more business user-friendly form.

4 RELATED WORK

We take the basic principles of our modeling technique from the Catalysis (D’Souza and Wills, 2001) approach. Therefore, unlike some object-oriented methods, our approach does not always begin by assigning responsibilities for services to specific roles. We believe in not taking decisions all at once. We first state what happens, then we state which role is responsible for doing it and which one is responsible for initiating it; and finally we state how it is done.

Another specific aspect of Catalysis overtaken in our approach is that it places the behaviour on an equal footing with the data. Therefore, unlike other modeling techniques, there is only one diagram type and each model layer contains both the objects and actions. Also, many other approaches for business-IT alignment of services, like (Kochler et al., 2008) and (Buchwals et al., 2011) are process oriented, whereas in our approach each layer contains both the behaviour and the data.

In addition, we believe in using declarative business process as long as possible. From our experience, very often in the projects the sequence of services is not known. Also, in this way, the process is more configurable, and the designer can decide in a separate step from many possible execution paths; or it can be concluded from the data dependency in the model. However, in most service design approaches (Vaajakallio et al., 2009) this is not possible.

The central aspect of our approach is the capture of the design decisions. In this way, the designer creates the business service design and enters the design decisions that need to be made, and the rest is done automatically. This clearly separates the design decisions of the automatic part of transformation, thus enabling the designers to have a multi-perspective view of the system and to zoom in and out the models in order to see the system with as much detail as they need. In this way, they can quickly prototype business requirements and evaluate several architectures. This is something that, to the best of our knowledge, does not exist in the other techniques.

Also, one of the challenges of the service design, not covered very well in the techniques, is the prototyping of the models (Vaajakallio et al., 2009). We also provide a simulation of the models using the Alloy Analyzer tool.

Besides simulations, our approach also provides the service implementation. The whole service design and implementation process is MDA (model driven architecture)-based (OMG, 2001): it proposes a set of models extending from the CIM (computation-independent model) level, the highest level of abstraction of the MDA, to the PIM (platform-independent model) and PSM (platform-specific model) levels. Business service and joint business service design correspond to the CIM level, because they represent the context and purpose of the model without any computational complexities. Joint IT service design and localized IT service design correspond to PIM level. It describes which part is done by software application and gives its behaviour and structure regardless of the implementation platform. In the service implementation part of the process, the intermediate project containing the templates and specification objects correspond to the PSM level, because they are strictly related to the specific application platform. Also, in our service design and implementation cycle, the mapping between these different levels is clearly and systematically given.

To conclude, we provide the flexible, coherent service design and implementation approach that follows the standard levels of the MDA. This approach enables us to clearly and systematically map between business services and IT services, as well as to prototype the different model layers and execute the IT service layer.

5 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper, we have presented a flexible, semi-automatic, model-driven approach for aligning business services with IT services, thus enabling the im-
plementation design of business services. We have briefly presented the whole process, containing the service design and service implementation. Then, we have explained the service design part in more details.

We have illustrated the design process by the example based on the consulting project conducted in the company General Ressort based in Switzerland, which sells parts for watches. In order to be able to understand the example, we have explained some of the basic characteristics of the proposed method, including the meta-model. In the meta-model, it can be seen that the service is characterized by: inputs, outputs, event, functional units and properties. Inputs and outputs are the input and output parameters of the service, event contains information about who is initiating the service and functional units and properties correspond to behaviour and data related to the service.

Another important characteristic of our modeling method is that data and behaviour are equally important. Therefore, unlike many other modeling methods, there is only one diagram type that contains both of them.

The proposed service design process includes four model layers containing service design and three in-between steps, in which the design decisions are captured. Capturing the design decisions is the central aspect of our approach. It enables clear separation of the decisions that need to be made by the designer and the automatic part of transformation.

The first model layer is business service design and the last contains IT service design, so that both the business experts and IT experts have the perspective of the system necessary for them. Two more layers are added in-between, for which the user decides on data and behaviour responsibility as two main parts of any service design.

The layers are connected based on the design decisions captured in the specially formatted matrices. To sum up, the designer defines the business service design, inserts necessary design decisions following the strict rules of the proposed method. In this way, he transforms the business service design into the IT service design through revealing the service construction and functionality. We also provide the tool for this transformation.

In our approach, IT service design includes human services and human-human interactions, as from our experience, it is very important in many consulting projects.

Each of the model layers can be transformed to Alloy code and simulated with the Alloy Analyzer tool. We have also shown the example of such a simulation. In this way, it can be validated on early stage if the models satisfy the customer needs and requirements and errors can be detected.

Also, the last layer has enough technical details and can be executed on the given target platform, such as JEE. We also provide the tool for this. However, as it is not the main topic of this paper, we have not given many details about it.

So far, we have tested the approach iteratively on the laboratory examples based on the consulting projects, specifically designed to investigate the ideas of the proposed service design process. In the future, we will validate the approach on real case studies, i.e. designing in real situations (Castro et al., 2008). Also, we will automate the transformation to Alloy language and provide more user-friendly representations of the results of simulation.
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