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Abstract: The development of a parallel batch pattern back propagation training algorithm of a recirculation neural network is presented in this paper. The model of a recirculation neural network and usual sequential batch pattern algorithm of its training are theoretically described. An algorithmic description of the parallel version of the batch pattern training method is presented. The parallelization efficiency of the developed parallel algorithm is investigated on the example of data compression and principal component analysis. The results of the experimental researches show that the developed parallel algorithm provides high parallelization efficiency on a parallel symmetric multiprocessor computer system. It allows applying the developed parallel software for the facilitation of scientific research of neural network-based intrusion detection system for computer networks.

1 INTRODUCTION

Artificial neural networks (NNs) have excellent abilities to model difficult nonlinear systems. They represent a very good alternative to traditional methods for solving complex problems in many fields, including image processing, predictions, pattern recognition, robotics, optimization, etc (Haykin, 2008). However, most NN models require high computational load in the training phase (on a range from several hours to several days). This is, indeed, the main obstacle to face for an efficient use of NNs in real-world applications. The use of general-purpose high performance computers, clusters and computational grids to speed up the training phase of NNs is one of the ways to outperform this obstacle. Therefore the research of a parallelization efficiency of NNs parallel training algorithms on such kind of parallel systems is still remaining an urgent research problem.

Taking into account the parallel nature of NNs, many researchers have already focused their attention on NNs parallelization on specialized computing hardware and transputers (Mahapatra et al, 1997, Hanzalek, 1998), but these solutions require an availability of the mentioned devices for the use by wide scientific community. Instead general-purpose high performance computers and computational clusters are widely used now for scientific experiments and modeling using remote access.

There are developed several grid-based frameworks for NNs parallelization (Vin et al., 2005); (Krammer et al., 2006), however they do not deal with parallelization efficiency issues. The authors of (De Llano, 2010) investigate parallel training of multi-layer perceptron (MLP) on SMP computer, cluster and computational grid using MPI (Message Passing Interface) parallelization. They have researched big NN models which process huge number of the training patterns (around 20000) coming from Large Hadron Collider. However their implementation of relatively small MLP architecture 16-10-10-1 (16 neurons in the input layer, two hidden layers with 10 neurons in each layer and one output neuron) with 270 internal connections (number of weights of neurons and their thresholds) does not provide positive parallelization speedup due to large communication overhead, i.e. the speedup is less than 1.

The development of parallel training algorithm of Elman’s simple recurrent neural network (RNN) based on Extended Kalman Filter on multicore processor and Graphic Processing Unit (GPU) is presented in (Cermansky et al., 2009). The author has...
showed a reduction of the RNN training time using a GPU solution (4 times better performance was achieved), however it is impossible to assess the parallelization efficiency of this parallel algorithm because it was not clearly stated a number of GPU threads used for parallelization. The authors of (Lotric et al., 2009) have presented the development of parallel training algorithm of fully connected RNN based on linear reward penalty correction scheme.

The authors of (Turchenko and Grandinetti, 2010); (Turchenko et al., 2012) have researched the problem of parallelization of NN training on the example of feed-forward NNs with direct (MLP) and inverse (RNN) connections. They have developed the parallel batch pattern back propagation (BP) training algorithm for both types of NNs and presented their good parallelization efficiency on general-purpose parallel computers and computational clusters.

Meantime Recirculation Neural Networks (RCNN) are successfully used for data compression and decompression, image processing and Principal Component Analysis (PCA) (Golovko et al., 2001); (Bryliuk et al., 2001). However, the parallelization techniques for RCNN training are not enough addressed by the world's scientific community yet. Our analysis has shown a lack of research papers in this issue.

The goal of this paper is to present the development of a parallel training algorithm for recirculation neural network and research its parallelization efficiency on a general-purpose parallel computer. The rest of this paper is ordered as follows: Section 2 details the mathematical description of a batch pattern back propagation training algorithm for RCNN, Sections 3 describes the parallel implementation of this algorithm, Section 4 presents the obtained experimental results and concluding remarks in Section 5 finishes this paper.

## 2 BATCH PATTERN BP TRAINING ALGORITHM OF RECIRCULATION NN

In our previous research we have proven that the parallelization of a batch pattern training approach is efficient on general-purpose parallel computers and computational clusters instead of the parallelization on the level of neuron or synapses of neurons (Turchenko et al., 2010); (Turchenko et al., 2009) on the examples of MLP and RNNs. The batch pattern training algorithm updates neurons’ weights and thresholds at the end of each training epoch, i.e. after processing of all training patterns, instead of updating weights and thresholds after processing of each pattern in the usual sequential training mode. Therefore it is expedient to apply this parallelization scheme to a RCNN.

Recirculation neural network (Fig. 1) performs compression of the input pattern space \( X \) to obtain the principal components. The principal components are the output values \( Y \) of the neurons of the hidden layer. Then the RCNN restores the compressed data (principal components) into the output vector \( \bar{X} \). The output value of the RCNN can be formulated as:

\[
\bar{x}_i = F'_i \left( \sum_{j=1}^{p} w'_{ij} \cdot F_j \left( \sum_{n=1}^{n} w_{nj} x_n \right) \right),
\]

where \( p \) is the number of neurons in the hidden layer, \( w'_{ij} \) is the weight of the synapse from the neuron \( j \) of the hidden layer to the neuron \( i \) of the output layer, \( n \) is the number of neurons in the input and output layers, \( w_{nj} \) is the weight from the input neuron \( i \) to neuron \( j \) in the hidden layer, \( x_i \) are the input values (Golovko et al., 2001).

Note that the principal components are calculated by expression \( y_j = F_i \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{ij} x_i \right) \). The logistic activation function \( F(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}} \) is used for the neurons of the hidden \( (F'_i) \) and output layers \( (F_i) \).

![Figure 1: The structure of a recirculation neural network.](image)

The batch pattern BP training algorithm consists of the following steps (Golovko et al., 2001):

1. Set the desired Sum Squared Error (SSE) to a value \( E_{\text{min}} \) and the number of training epochs \( t \);
2. Initialize the weights and the thresholds of the neurons with values in range (-0.1…0.1) (Golovko et al., 1999);
3. For the training pattern \( pt \):
   3.1. Calculate the output value \( \overline{y}_i(t) \) by expression (1);
   3.2. Calculate the errors of the output neurons \( y_i^n(t) = (\overline{y}_i^n(t) - x_i^n(t)) \), where \( \overline{y}_i^n(t) \) is the output value of the \( i \) output neuron and \( x_i^n(t) \) is the value with index \( i \) of the input pattern of the RCNN;
   3.3. Calculate the errors of the hidden layer neurons \( y_j^m(t) = \sum_{i} y_i^m(t) \cdot w_{ji}(t) \cdot F'_j(S_j^m(t)) \), where \( S_j^m(t) \) is the weighted sum of the \( i \) output neuron, \( F'_j \) is a derivative of the logistic activation function with \( S_j^m(t) \) argument;
   3.4. Calculate the delta weights and delta thresholds of all neurons and add the result to the value of the previous pattern \( \Delta w_j = \Delta w_j + y_j^m(t) \cdot y_j^n(t) \cdot F'_j(S_j^m(t)) \cdot S_j^m(t) \) and \( \Delta w_i = \Delta w_i + y_i^m(t) \cdot x_i^n(t) \cdot y_j^n(t) \cdot \overline{y}_i^n(t) \);
   3.5. Calculate the SSE using \( E(t) = \frac{1}{2} (\overline{y}_i^n(t) - x_i^n(t))^2 \);
4. Repeat the step 3 above for all training patterns \( pt \), \( pt \in \{1,...,PT\} \), \( PT \) is the size of the training set;
5. Update the weights and thresholds of neurons using expressions \( w_j(PT) = w_j(0) - \alpha_j(t) \cdot \Delta w_j \) and \( w_i(PT) = w_i(0) - \alpha_i(t) \cdot \Delta w_i \), where \( w_j(0) \) and \( w_i(0) \) are the values of the weights of the hidden and output layers from the previous training epoch, \( \alpha_j(t) \) and \( \alpha_i(t) \) are the learning rates for the neurons of the hidden and output layers respectively;
6. Calculate the total SSE \( E(t) \) on the training epoch \( t \) using \( E(t) = \sum_{pt} E^n(t) \);
7. If \( E(t) \) is greater than the desired error \( E_{\text{max}} \) then increase the number of training epochs to \( t + 1 \) and go to step 3, otherwise stop the training process.

3. PARALLEL IMPLEMENTATION OF BATCH PATTERN BP TRAINING ALGORITHM OF RCNN

Similarly to the parallel batch pattern training algorithm of an MLP presented in (Turchenko et al., 2012); (Turchenko et al., 2009), sequential execution of points 3.1-3.5 above for all training patterns in the training set could be parallelized, because the sum operations \( \Delta w_j \) and \( \Delta w_i \) are independent of each other. For the development of the parallel algorithm all the computational work should be divided among the \textit{Master} (executing assigning functions and calculations) and the \textit{Workers} (executing only calculations) processors.

The algorithms for \textit{Master} and \textit{Worker} processors are depicted in Fig. 2. The \textit{Master} starts with definition (i) the number of patterns \( PT \) in the training data set and (ii) the number of processors \( p \) used for the parallel executing of the training algorithm. The \textit{Master} divides all patterns in equal parts corresponding to the number of the \textit{Workers} and assigns one part of patterns to itself. Then the \textit{Master} sends to the \textit{Workers} the numbers of the appropriate patterns to train.

Each \textit{Worker} executes the following operations for each pattern \( pt \) of the \( PT/p \) patterns assigned to it:
1. calculate the points 3.1-3.5 and 4, only for its assigned number of training patterns. The values of the partial sums of delta weights \( \Delta w_j \) and \( \Delta w_i \) are calculated there;
2. calculate the partial SSE for its assigned number of training patterns.

After processing all assigned patterns, only one all-reduce collective communication operation (it provides the summation as well) is executed. Synchronization with other processors is automatically provided by internal implementation of this all-reduce operation (Turchenko et al., 2010). However from the algorithmic point of view it is showed as an independent operator in Fig. 2 before the operation of data reduce. Then the summarized values \( \Delta w_j \) and \( \Delta w_i \) are sent to all processors working in parallel. Instead of three communication messages in (De Llano et al., 2010), using only one all-reduce collective communication message, which also returns the reduced values back to the \textit{Workers}, allows decreasing a communication overhead in this
point. Then the summarized values \( s\Delta w_{ji} \) and \( s\Delta w_{j} \) are placed into the local memory of each processor. Each processor uses these values for updating the weights according to the point 5 of the algorithm above. These updated weights will be used on the next iteration of the training algorithm. As the summarized value of \( E(t) \) is also received as a result of the reducing operation, the Master decides whether to continue the training or not.

![Figure 2: The algorithms of the Master (a) and the Worker (b) processors.](image)

The software routine is developed using C programming language with the standard MPI functions. The parallel part of the algorithm starts with the call of \( MPI_{\text{Init}}() \) function. An \( MPI_{\text{Allreduce}}() \) function reduces the deltas of weights \( s\Delta w_{ji} \) and \( s\Delta w_{j} \), summarizes them and sends them back to all processors in the group.

Since the weights and thresholds are physically located in the different matrixes of the software routine, we have done pre-encoding of all data into one communication message before sending and reverse post-decoding the data to the appropriate matrixes after message receiving in order to provide only one physical call of the function \( MPI_{\text{Allreduce}}() \) in the communication section of the algorithm. Function \( MPI_{\text{Finalize}}() \) finishes the parallel part of the algorithm.

### 4 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The application task of data compression and PCA within NN-based method of intrusion detection and classification in computer networks (Vaisekhovich et al., 2009) is used for the experimental research of the parallelization efficiency of the developed parallel algorithm. NN-based detector consists of two NNs (Fig. 3): (i) recirculation neural network is used for finding 12 principal components by compression of input 41-element record about network intrusion and (ii) multilayer perceptron, which takes these 12 principal components in order to detect whether there was an attack or not. The choice of the 12 principal components has proven in (Vaisekhovich et al., 2009); (Komar et al., 2011) allowing to significantly improve the true positive detection rate of the detector and decrease the complexity of the MLP model. Also other architectures of the detector are available (Vaisekhovich et al., 2009) which consist of multiple RCNN-MLP models, each for separate type of the network intrusion.

![Figure 3: NN-based intrusion detector.](image)

The database KDD cup 99 (KDD, 1999) containing information about network intrusions is used for the training and testing of this NN-based detector. This database is very huge. Therefore only 10% part of it (500 thousand records) is used on practice. The 6168 records of network intrusions have been used for the NN-based detector training in (Vaisekhovich et al., 2009). However, bigger number of the processed record leads to the better classification ability of the NN-based detector.

We have used only 2203 records, the content of the file with the description of the DoS attacks, for our experiments. We have chosen this not very big number of the input training patterns because with the increasing the number of input patterns the parallelization efficiency of the batch pattern training algorithm normally is increased (Turchenko et al., 2012). Thus the RCNN architecture with 41 input, 12 hidden and 41 output neurons (984 connections) is used for the experiments compressing 2203 input patterns about DoS attacks. The RCNN was trained 104 training epochs, the SSE \( \alpha \) was fixed to 0.05.
The SMP parallel supercomputer Skopa (TYAN Transport VX50), located in the Research Institute for Intelligent Computer Systems, Ternopil, Ukraine, is used for the computations. It consists of two identical blocks VX50_1 and VX50_2. We have used only one block which consists of four 64-bit dual-core processors AMD Opteron 8220 with a clock rate of 2800 MHz and 16 GB of local RAM. Each processor has a primary data and instruction cache of 128 Kb and the second level cache of 2 Mb. There are 4 RAM access channels at the block. The speed of data transfer between processors inside block is 2.0 GT/s. The supercomputer is operating under Linux operation system, the MPICH2 message passing library (MPICH2, 2011) is installed for parallelization.

The results of sequential and parallel routine execution are presented in Table 1. The column “Execution time” shows the total execution time of the routine, the column “Computation time” shows the execution time of the computational part of the algorithm, the column “Communication time” shows the execution time of the communication part of the algorithm. As we can see, the sequential routine compiled by the standard Linux compiler cc, is executed for 1347.18 seconds, when the parallel version, compiled by the MPI compiler mpicc, is executed for only 240.06 seconds on 1 processor of the parallel computer. This behavior can be explained by the fact, that mpicc compiler is better designed to account the features of the parallel machine and can produce faster execution code than standard cc. Therefore for the research of the parallelization efficiency we will compare the execution time of the parallel routine on 2,4,8 processors with the execution time of the parallel routine on 1 processor. Thus the expressions

\[ S = \frac{T_s}{T_p} \]  
(2)  
\[ E = \frac{s}{p \times 100\%} \]  
(3)

are used to calculate a speedup and efficiency of parallelization, where \( T_s \) is the time of sequential executing the parallel routine on 1 processor, \( T_p \) is the time of parallel executing of the same routine on \( p \) processors of parallel computer.

The results of speedup and efficiency analysis (Fig. 4) have shown that the developed batch pattern parallel algorithm of RCNN training provides practically linear speedup and high parallelization efficiency. It allows successfully using this parallel algorithm within developing PaGaLiNNet library (PaGaLiNNet, 2011) for the intensification of scientific research on network intrusion detection approaches using neural networks.

Table 1: Execution results of the sequential and parallel routines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Routine</th>
<th>CPUs</th>
<th>Execution time, sec</th>
<th>Computation time, sec</th>
<th>Communication time, sec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sequential cc compiler</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1347.18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel mpicc compiler</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>240.06</td>
<td>239.99</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel mpicc compiler</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>123.96</td>
<td>120.47</td>
<td>3.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel mpicc compiler</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>64.36</td>
<td>60.76</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel mpicc compiler</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>33.45</td>
<td>28.44</td>
<td>4.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We have used the developed parallel batch pattern training algorithm of RCNN for the research of reconstruction accuracy of the input data (quality of finding the principal components). The goal of this research is to find the limitation values of the training epochs and SSE which provide reasonable (the lowest) reconstruction accuracy of the input data in terms of execution time. The results of this research are presented in Table 2. The average reconstruction error of the 2203 patterns is presented in the last column of the Table. These results were obtained by the developed software routine on 8 processors of the Skopa supercomputer having approximately 7-time speedup of the execution time. The analysis of the results has shown that most reasonable to train RCNN by \( 10^6 \) epochs which provide average relative reconstruction error 14.9 %, because \( 10^7 \) epochs provides 10-time bigger execution time with just 1% decreasing of the reconstruction error. The analysis of the numerical results of the reconstruction error has shown that, for the case of \( 10^6 \) training epochs, the 83% of the reconstruction errors of all the 41 values of each 2203 record are less than 1% and this 14.9% result is caused by “outliers”.

Table 2: Obtained average relative reconstruction errors by different numbers of training epochs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of epochs</th>
<th>Reached SSE</th>
<th>Execution time, sec</th>
<th>Average relative reconstruction error, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( 10^4 )</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>33.45</td>
<td>37.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 10^5 )</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>339.83</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 10^6 )</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>3385.12</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 10^7 )</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>34412.39</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4: Speedup (2) and parallelization efficiency (3) of the parallel algorithm.

Also the 7-time faster execution time of the developed parallel routine allowed us to speed up our research in finding the dependence between the average reconstruction error and the number of the principal components (the number of neurons of the hidden layer). The results of this research at $10^5$ training epochs on 8 processors of the Skopa supercomputer are collected in Table 3. As we can see, the average reconstruction error is decreasing at the increasing the number of the principal components. Therefore the correct number of the principal components should be chosen on the base of the classification results obtained by the second NN - MLP classifier (see Fig. 3).

Table 3: Results of research the number of the principal components.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of principal components</th>
<th>Reached SSE</th>
<th>Execution time, sec</th>
<th>Average relative reconstruction error, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.0852</td>
<td>200.91</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0850</td>
<td>236.67</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0842</td>
<td>308.28</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.0780</td>
<td>339.83</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.0765</td>
<td>353.77</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.0749</td>
<td>402.42</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.0779</td>
<td>463.75</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.0805</td>
<td>504.60</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.0817</td>
<td>596.54</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 CONCLUSIONS

The development of the parallel batch pattern back propagation training algorithm of recirculation neural network and the research of its parallelization efficiency on the parallel supercomputer are presented in this paper. The algorithm is well designed because it provides high parallelization efficiency on the level of 97-89% on 2-8 processors of the SMP supercomputer. The use of the developed parallel algorithm has allowed facilitating the scientific research on the development of neural network-based classifiers for computer network intrusion detection system.

The future direction of research can be considered as investigation of the parallelization efficiency of the developed algorithm with the use of adaptive learning rates under a grid middleware environment.
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