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Abstract: The increased availability of cheaper sensing technologies, the implementation of fibre-optic networks, the availability of cheaper data storage repositories, and development of powerful machine learning models are fundamental components that provide a new facet to the concept of the Smart Power Grid. An important element in the Smart Grid concept is predicting potential fault events in the Smart Power Grid, or better known as fault prognostics. This paper discusses an approach that uses machine learning methods to discover fault event-related knowledge from historical data and helps in the prognostics of fault events in power grids and critical and expensive components such as power transformers circuit breakers, and others.

1 INTRODUCTION

Recent technological advances in sensor technologies, fibre-optic networks, cheaper data storage capabilities, powerful data mining techniques, and faster computing power coupled with the need of improving the efficiency of electrical power utilization have contributed to the development of smarter power grids in the transmission and distribution industries. Utilities are increasingly interested in incorporating sensor technologies to expensive assets such as power transformers, circuit breakers, and back-up batteries, in overhead and underground transmission lines and connecting equipment. Many utilities are developing fibre-optic networks that allow the transmission of data from sensors to central data repositories.

2 THE SMART GRID

The existing power grids consist of multiple power networks that coordinate their operations using various levels of communication and control mechanisms, which are primarily manually controlled. The primary elements of the Smart Grid include: (a) data; (b) information; (c) intelligence; (d) communications (Mousavi, 2009). Data elements are supplied by sensors embedded in different components of the grid. The information element is delivered by processors that perform certain operations on data. The intelligence element is generated by processing data and information via analytics models. The communications element is required to deliver data, information, and intelligence to the right decision making agent in the right format at the right time. The IEEE – Power and Energy Society (IEEE-PES) and the National Institute of Standards (NIST) have developed a conceptual model for the Smart Grid that defines seven important domains: Bulk Generation, Transmission, Distribution, Customers, Operations, Markets, and Service Providers.

3 FAULTS IN THE SMART GRID

Machine learning approaches have been utilized to forecast fault events in the power distribution grid and in critical equipment. This section discusses how machine learning models were utilized to determine; (a) fault vulnerability profiles in power distribution grids; (b) equipment fault forecasting.

3.1 Power Grid Fault Prognostics

Power distribution is typically managed by power substations that receive power from the transmission lines and distribute electrical power through feeders to consumers. In addition of the equipment within the substation, the typical distribution grid is composed of equipment such as distribution
transformers, switches, fuses, power lines (underground or overhead), and relays. Utilities are very interested in fault prognostics in the power distribution grid to minimize power disruptions to customers. Faults in the distribution grid are typically related with power line fatigue, burned fuses, lightning falling on equipment (such as distribution transformers, etc.), short circuits, animal contacts, trees and tree branches falling on assets, weather related faults to overhead or underground equipment, faults in splicing, power lines touching each other, and many more. Currently, the vast majority of utilities are reactive to faults and they manually deal with a contingency. There are many factors identified in the literature that can cause fault events in a power distribution grid (Lu, 2010). These factors can be broadly classified into (a) physical properties of the distribution grid; (b) electrical values of grid; (c) weather conditions; (d) assets or components degradation in the grid; and (e) type of grid infrastructure (see Figure 1). The work described in this paper has been focused on the prognostics of faults in two primary areas: (a) the forecast of fault events in a distribution grid, (b) forecasting potential faults to expensive assets such as power transformers in either the transmission or distribution network. Forecasting fault events in the distribution grid has been conducted by utilizing historical data on weather conditions, grid electric value readings at the time of a fault event, and the type of grid infrastructure. Forecasting faults on expensive assets has been conducted by analyzing the condition of power transformers utilizing historical data collected while performing dissolved gas analyses and other tests. This investigation was conducted with an Investor Own Utility (IOU) partner in the US. Several types of historical datasets associated with the IOU were collected and utilized during this investigation. The historical dataset types utilized include: (a) fault data and electrical values from the IOU; (b) weather data; (c) infrastructure type of the IOU. The fault data from the IOU was collected utilizing an automated system of intelligent electronic devices (IED’s) with sensing and analytic capabilities located at power feeders. These IED’s monitor electrical values from the distribution lines and are able to detect a fault event in the grid after it occurred. The fault data includes these electrical values, and was also corroborated with data entries documented by IOU engineers after restoring service. The weather data was collected from the US National Weather Service (NWS) and from the WeatherBug (WBUG) weather services. The NWS data was collected by their weather station every five minutes in METAR format. The WeatherBug data was collected from small weather stations located in various locations close to the different substations of the IOU.

3.2 Machine Learning for Forecasting Power Distribution Grid Fault Events

Supervised classification machine learning techniques were utilized to forecast the occurrence of faults in the distribution power grid of the IOU. Four supervised classification machine learning algorithms were utilized to conduct the analyses: Neural Networks (NN), kernel support vector machines (KSVM), decision-tree based classification (recursive partitioning; RPART), and Naïve Bayes (NB). Five analyses were conducted utilizing these four algorithms: (a) fault event prediction; (b) grid zone prediction; (c) substation prediction; (d) type of grid infrastructure; (e) feeder number prediction.

3.2.1 Fault Prediction Models

Four models were created to identify weather patterns that are most likely to result in a fault event using the NN, KSVM, RPART, and NM algorithms. The models were constructed by taking weather data points joined to fault events, as well as random weather data samples when no fault events were recorded in the selected IOU substations. The dataset contained a total of 3471 records (1725 with faults and 1746 without faults), of which 2430 were used for training each of the four models and 1041 for testing the models. The output of these models shows a prediction of the weather conditions for which a fault event may or may not occur. The best-
performing model was the one created with the feed-forward trained by a multi-layer perceptron backpropagation Neural Network algorithm with an f-measure of 75%.

3.2.2 Zone Prediction Models

The four zone prediction models were trained by considering fault historical data from the IOU grid and weather data. Of the 1725 records with faults and weather data, 70% were used for training and 30% for testing the trained models. The output of these models predict in what zone (AMZ, UMZ, PMZ) on the IOU grid the fault occurred. The best-performing model was the one created training a Neural Network algorithm. The model contains one hidden layer with 20 nodes, and produces an accuracy of 66%, an average precision of 69%, an average recall of 68%, and an f-measure of 68%.

3.2.3 Substation Prediction Models

The four substation prediction models were trained by considering fault historical data from the IOU grid and weather data. Of the 1725 records with faults and weather data, 70% were used for training and 30% for testing the trained models. The output of these models predicts the IOU substation ID where the fault occurred. The best performing model was the one created with the recursive partitioning algorithm and produces an accuracy of 59%, an average precision of 66%, an average recall of 54%, and an f-measure of 59%.

3.2.4 Infrastructure Prediction Models

The four infrastructure prediction models were trained by considering fault historical data from the IOU grid and weather data. Of the 1725 records with faults and weather data, 70% were used for training and 30% for testing the trained models. The output of these models predicts the type of infrastructure (overhead or underground) on the section of the IOU grid where the fault occurred. The best-performing model was the one created training a Neural Network algorithm with an f-measure of 57%.

3.2.5 Feeder Prediction Models

The four feeder prediction models were trained by considering fault historical data from the IOU grid and weather data. Of the 1725 records with faults and weather data, 70% were used for training and 30% for testing the trained models. The output of these models predicts the IOU Feeder where the fault occurred. The best-performing model is the one created with the recursive partitioning algorithm with an f-measure of 74%.

3.3 Machine Learning for Forecasting Fault Events in Assets

Many utilities have deployed diverse types of sensors in their mission critical and expensive assets such as power transformers. When monitoring power transformers two types of on-line measurements can be collected: (a) operational information such as voltage, load, current, oil temperature, winding temperatures, pump status, fan status, cooling system status, etc; (b) condition information, such as oil quality, gassing, dielectric properties, aging, etc. Utilities use a variety of sensors in their transformers and such sensors have different monitoring capabilities, especially in terms of the types and concentrations of gasses in the oil of the transformers. Some time utilities supplement the monitored concentration of gasses by conducting a dissolved gas analysis (DGA) test periodically. A study has been completed with the objective of developing analytical models based on data mining to identify patterns in gas concentrations, to identify trends of gas concentrations that may lead to catastrophic failures of equipment, and in general to identify correlations between observations that would result in new knowledge or confirm existing heuristic knowledge about power transformers. The example presented below does not identify the name of the utility with which this study was conducted. Hence, we refer our customer as Utility A. In our example, Utility A had a fleet of over 300 power transformers and had historical data collected for a period of ten years. The historical data collected included DGA analysis tests for all transformers (concentration of H2, CH4, C2H6, C2H4, C2H2, CO, CO2, O2, N2, and moisture), ID transformer data (transformer name, type, age, pump type, construction type, and conservator type), oil temperature, winding temperature, and fluid quality (metal particles present in oil). Utility A installed sensors in its transformers fleet and recently installed a fibre-optic network that helped to transmit the monitored data into a central repository.

The objective was to develop a profile of potential “hot spots” in power transformers where the concentration of CO, CO2, and O2 are high (CO > 571 parts per million, CO2 > 4001 ppm, and O2 > 10,000 ppm) and oil temperatures need to be monitored so they do not exceed values > 150 C. These conditions can show deterioration of the
insulation of the windings. The following data sets were employed to conduct the data mining analyses: (a) Transformer Description Database: that includes the following data attributes: SERIAL_NUMBER, AGE, CONSTRUCTION_TYPE; (b) Gasses Concentration Database: concentrations in parts per million (ppm) of the following gasses: H2, CH4, C2H6, C2H4, C2H2, CO, CO2, O2, N2, and MOISTURE. The data availability studied for this case includes 335 power transformers for which gasses data concentrations have been collected during 10 years. The total number of gasses concentration observations is 3100. The working dataset analyzed includes the fusion of both the transformer description and combustible gasses concentration databases. Entries with missing data points were removed from the analysis. Figure 2 shows the sequence of machine learning approaches utilized for this analysis. First, the data was classified based on the CONSTRUCTION_TYPE of the transformers (where transformers can be Core_Form and Shell_Form).

![Figure 2: CO, CO2, and O2 Concentration Analysis.](image)

With this classification completed, CO, CO2, and O2 gasses concentrations were identified for each of the construction types. Also, a cluster analysis was conducted with all data using the SimpleKMeans algorithm and the clusters shown in Table 1 were obtained. Sixty percent of the data was utilized to train the algorithm and forty percent of the data was utilized to test the algorithm. The data mining open source tool utilized for this analysis was Weka.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Cluster centroids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Full Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSTRUCTION_TYPE</td>
<td>CORE_Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OILTEMP</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>237.1132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2</td>
<td>4029.6414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2</td>
<td>4837.0723</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results from these analyses suggest that the number of CORE_FORM transformers that has high concentrations of O2 is larger than SELL_FORM or TPN-V CORE_FORM. Similarly, Fig. 8 shows that CORE_FORM transformers have the largest number of CO2 gas concentration. Results also suggest that CORE_FORM transformers have the highest concentration of CO gas. The results of the analyses above show that a utility with a fleet of transformers that have CORE_FORM construction type should pay to the temperature of these transformers if the concentrations of CO, CO2, and O2 are in dangerous concentration neighbourhoods (CO > 571 parts per million, CO2 > 4001 ppm, and O2 > 10,000 ppm). After running the cluster algorithm, Table 1 shows that a cluster of 481 transformers (cluster 2) have high concentrations of CO and CO2 with moderate concentration of O2. For this cluster of transformers, it is important to monitor temperatures and also concentration of O2.

4 CONCLUSIONS

The development of smart sensors, fibre-optic networks, large data storage repositories, powerful hardware, and robust machine learning algorithms are becoming important elements that bring the concept of the Smart Grid to the forefront. The objective of the work presented in this paper is to demonstrate that machine learning models can be a powerful element of the Smart Grid concept. Machine learning can be utilized for diagnostics and forecasting of faults in a power transmission and distribution grid. This is an important element of the concept of the Smart Grid.
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