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Abstract: Business Provenance provides important documentation that is an essential to increase the trustworthiness and traceability of end-to-end business operations. This paper presents two data marts that allows multidimensional analysis of business provenance metadata collected from a real e-business scenario. Provenance was collected with the aid of an architecture named BizProv. We conclude the paper with the identification of the challenges that will drive future research of BizProv.

1 INTRODUCTION

Provenance is a broad topic that has many meanings in different contexts. Business provenance is essential to determine whether information is trusted, to integrate varied information sources and to give credit to originators when reusing information. Curbera et al. (2008), Roznyai et al. (2011) and Cruz et al. (2012) are investigating how business provenance increases the trustworthiness and traceability of end-to-end business operations in a dynamic, flexible and cost effective way. Business provenance is a form of metadata artifacts used to increase the effectiveness of the business operations, to manage risks and to provide a deeper visibility into business processes. It is achieved by capturing and registering history and context related to specific business and operational goals (Fox, Huang, 2008).

Business provenance provide information about processes, resources, data and users’ operations, supporting analyses concerning business processing as well as QoS (Quality of Services). Business provenance has a pragmatic and interesting advantage, as it can be used for QoS (historic of errors, failure rates or average response times), compliance, trust and auditing purposes it may also be important for monitoring the application when in production. In short, business provenance may be molded in a consistent and trustworthy way with other sources of information to accelerate a broad range of business objectives.

To provide an improved understanding of the need for business provenance and its importance on business processes based on SOA applications we have presented BizProv in a previous work (Cruz et al., 2012). It was designed as a loosely-coupled architecture used to collect the retrospective business provenance generated by business processes implemented as Web services. In this paper we show how to model and query multidimensional provenance data cubes with the provenance metadata previously gathered with aid of BizProv. The analytical provenance queries can be used to monitor the QoS of business operations.

The rest of this paper is as follows. In section 2 presents a short background on business provenance. In section 3 discusses two business provenance star schemas. Finally, in the section 4, we conclude our paper and provide an outlook for future work.

2 BACKGROUND

Provenance-related technologies help to understand what actually happened during the lifecycle of a business process by examining how data was produced, what resources were involved and which tasks were invoked (Curbera et al., 2009). There are two forms of provenance: prospective and retrospective (Freire et al., 2008). Prospective provenance captures the specification of processes; it corresponds to the steps that need to be followed to generate a data product. Retrospective provenance captures the steps that were executed as well as information used to derive a specific data product. As far as we are concerned, business provenance is a
BizProv is a loosely-coupled architecture designed to collect the retrospective business provenance generated by distributed business processes. It allows business analysts to incorporate other sources of data, such as Web logs and clickstream to get deeper visibility about business processes. BizProv was conceived as a two layered architecture. The first layer consists of a composite Web services provider, basic Web services provider, E-Probe Module, two active intermediaries, a provenance repository which was conceived take into account the latest recommendation of Open Provenance Model (Moreau et al., 2011). The second layer is composed by a web server log parser, an ETL tool and the OLAP provenance data cubes. More details about BizProv architecture and its provenance schema can be found at Cruz et al. (2012).

3 OLAP PROVENANCE CUBES

Business provenance is inherently multidimensional; it can be analyzed from multiple perspectives. The BizProv’s OLAP Provenance Cubes are structures to support analytical provenance queries in high-dimensional space. The design of Provenance Cubes requires addressing a variety of issues including a novel set of OLAP dimensions, measures and also defining the semantics of OLAP operations over provenance cube. Last but not least, OLAP is a technology widely used by enterprises and can be distributed to business analysts using a variety of platforms. For such reasons, despite of the existence of other initiatives to query provenance metadata, such as (Curbera et al., 2009, Lakshmanan et al., 2011 and Cruz et al., 2009). Our approach uses the analytical processing to query business provenance metadata.

This section shows how business analysts can gain insight into business provenance metadata through fast, consistent, interactive access to a wide variety of possible views of information. One of the uses of Web services’ provenance is to track QoS aspects of Web services utilization. Such tracking provides important feedback to service management.

3.1 A Business Use Case

From business provenance repositories generated by BizProv and other sources of data (such as clickstream and Web server logs) an ETL tool may process and populate a database specially designed for analytical purposes. Provenance metadata used in this section was gathered from some Web services of a virtual books retailer operating on the Web.

Such database is usually structured according to a star schema (it is a logical arrangement of tables such as the entity relationship diagram without normalization of tables (Kimball et al., 2004)), where data are described in terms of facts, (measures of interest to be analyzed) and dimensions (perspectives under which the facts are analyzed). Thus, a provenance OLAP cube is a set of metadata, with distinct granularity, that is organized and structured in a hierarchical and multidimensional arrangement to allow analysts to perform ad hoc queries over provenance repositories. The next sub sections provide two general star schemas based of the provenance collected by BizProv architecture. The first schema (Figure 1, at the end of the paper) may be extended according to other needs, including additional information such as service reputation (used to obtain basic insights about the user experience dealing with the Web services). The second schema (Figure 2, at the end of the paper) aims at capturing users’ interactions to support and to complement business processes analyses.

3.2 Monitoring Web Services QoS

The schema, depicted in Figure 1, can be used to investigate several QoS issues about the business processes, such as ResponseTime, MessageSize and InitializationTime. In order to investigate QoS, different dimensions may be used to provide consolidated queries upon these variables. For example, selecting the total amount of services requisitions in Dec 2011, by Web service method which ended with a Status different from “OK”, divided by the total amount of services requisitions (by Web service method) in Dec 2011, gives us a Web service method reliability indicator. This information may be used as a basis for Web services code maintenance. Note that we have included a service requisition attribute in the fact table, just for convenience, for the sake of queries readability, as suggested by Kimball et al. (2000, 2004). Its value is always 1.

The Origin dimension supports queries concerning service misuse. For example, if the total amount of a Web service requisition from a given origin in an hour is much larger than the others, it may be someone, by mistake or not, repeatedly calling the service in a loop. This information may be used for user suspension or warning. The Date and Time dimensions are role playing dimensions
(Kimball et al., 2004) and should be used in conjunction with others, to limit the scope of analysis in time.

The Web service dimension is a key dimension and supports the other dimensions involved in the analyses. It is useful for providing comparative performance information when used with the Host and Process dimensions. We can have, for example, the average response time by a business processes implemented as a Web services as a hint for possible poor Web services performance. If one Web services average response time is far above the others and all the Web services from the same host have approximately the same average response time, perhaps we should blame the Web services host and warn the Web services provider. This might be especially useful if we were collecting provenance of Web services calls at the basic Web services provider.

The Method dimension defines the grain of the QoS analysis and it should be used in conjunction with the other dimensions. The MethodVersion attribute controls the versions of the Web service Method and Web services dimensions we modeled as slowly changing dimensions (Kimball et al., 2004), since we might be interested in monitoring eventual performance deterioration or improvement due to new service’s versions.

The Priority dimension may be used in conjunction with Date and Time dimensions to analyze if a Web services method was called with priority and has improved its execution time when compared with the same services method non-priority calls. It is important to observe that priority calls usually have higher prices.

### 3.3 Monitoring Sales

The Sales fact table considers two key variables: SellingPrice and ProductSales, as illustrated in Figure 2. These variables may vary according to the domain being analyzed. The Origin dimension may provide answers concerning customer’s profile. For example, which customers spent more money over a given period of time?. This information may be used for customer’s special treatment as, for instance, Web service’s method call with priority when a profitable customer is involved.

The Web service dimension is useful for providing comparative selling information when used with the Product dimension. One can have, for example, what Web service of a given category is linked to low selling rates. Tabulating such information with other data provided by the QoS features, like the service provider, may indicate a reason to change the Web service by another, perhaps from other basic Web services provider. Note that we have included the ProductSales attribute, whose value is always equal to 1, as we did on the QoS fact table.

The Causal dimension may provide hints to indicate the occasion which lead the customer to buy a product. Causal dimensions were first proposed by Kimball (2000, 2004). Although we cannot assure which was the real cause, we can at least estimate. For example, if a product has a price discount in June, this may be a good reason to justify its good selling performance in that month. Also, if a product may be purchased in ten installments with no interest taxes in May, as its payment type indicates, this may lead to product selling increase. Besides, if the product has been advertised in the Web page or on the newspapers, and the product selling increases, this may indicate that the advertisement succeeded. Another selling’s increase may come from PackageType, i.e., when two products are sold together. For the sake of simplicity we don’t track here which products are combined in the sale.

The Product dimension actually defines the grain of the sales fact table, and is the basis of detailed analyses that most of the times involve other dimensions. The Web Page dimension provides information about the product’s context of acquisition. We assume that products may be acquired in more than one page. This dimension may help answer the question: “In which page of the Web site a product has better sales?”.

### 4 CONCLUSIONS

Organizations that know where they are in terms of analytics adoption are better prepared to turn challenges into opportunities. Thus, analyzing business provenance may represent a key competitive advantage. The work presented in this paper is part of a larger, long-term research effort aiming at developing a native provenance-based service-oriented BPM platform.

Future research efforts may focus on other fields such the Agriculture (the investigation of online payments for agricultural products and subsidies may help governments better predict food production trends and incentives). This knowledge can be used to ensure the availability of proper crop storage, reduce waste and spoilage, providing better information about what types of financial services are needed by farmers.
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Figure 1: OLAP Provenance QoS Cube.

Figure 2: OLAP Provenance Sales Cube.