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Abstract: Spatial Data warehouses and Spatial OLAP systems are Business Intelligence technologies allowing efficient and interactive analysis of large geo-referenced datasets. In such a kind of systems the goodness of analysis depends on: the warehoused data quality, how aggregations are performed, and how warehoused data are explored. In this paper, we propose a framework based on a UML profile and OCL-defined integrity constraints to grant quality in the whole SOLAP system. We also propose an automatic implementation in a classical ROLAP architecture to validate our proposal.

1 INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

Spatial Data Warehouse (SDW) and Spatial OLAP (SOLAP) systems are Business Intelligence (BI) technologies allowing effective storage and on-line spatio-multidimensional analysis of huge volumes of geo-referenced data which can be collected from multiple heterogeneous data sources (Malinowsky et al., 2008). These systems are based on the spatio-multidimensional model, which extends the conventional OLAP model with spatial concepts such as spatial measures and spatial dimensions which provide support for the representation and storage of spatial data, and spatial operators allowing users to interactively explore and aggregate warehoused data. A typical Spatial Relational OLAP (Spatial ROLAP) architecture is composed of three tiers: (i) the SDW tier historizes and manages integrated (spatial) data using a spatial Relational DBMS; (ii) the SOLAP server implements SOLAP operators that compute and handle spatial data cubes; (iii) the SOLAP client tier provides decision-makers with interactive visual displays that trigger SOLAP operators.

The heterogeneity of data sources in these systems may lead to several data quality problems (Boulil et al., 2011). In order to grant data quality in SDW, some approaches have been proposed to “repair” data by means of statistical techniques, data mining techniques, etc. (Ribeiro et al., 2011). At the same time, Integrity Constraints (IC) have been recognized as effective methods to express rules that control the consistency and completeness of warehoused spatial data (Salehi, 2009). Moreover, the goodness of spatio-multidimensional analysis also depends on the correct aggregation of measures in respect to summarizability conditions (or aggregation constraints), which check for example that the measure and aggregate function types are compatible (Lenz et al., 1997). However, in SOLAP systems the goodness of the analysis also requires another control when exploring (aggregated) data in order to avoid misinterpretation of meaningless SOLAP query results (Levesque et al., 2007), e.g., the query "Sales per country after December 26, 1991" returns empty results for USSR that could be interpreted by users as an absence of sales instead of realizing that a result is impossible for this period.

On the other hand, conceptual design of complex systems such as data warehouses has been widely recognized as being necessary for successful BI projects (Malinowski and Zimányi, 2008) since it allows designers defining schemas that are easy to understand by decision-makers. In this context, UML (Unified Modeling Language) is widely accepted as the Object-Oriented standard for modelling various aspects of software systems, and also SDW systems (Pinet and Schneider, 2009). Indeed, any approach using UML minimizes the efforts of designers and decision-makers in developing and implementing the data schema. It can be also interpreted by CASE tools. In the same
way, defining IC at a conceptual level allows handling quality issues at the early stages of development (Boulil et al., 2011), minimizing implementation efforts. In this context, (Ghozzi et al., 2003) propose ad-hoc conceptual multidimensional models allowing the expression of some data IC by means of logical predicates. (Malinowski and Zimányi, 2008) propose an extension of the ER model for the design of spatio-temporal data warehouses. They define a set of ad-hoc pictograms to express spatial data IC (i.e., spatial topological relationships between spatial members). (Glorio and Trujillo, 2008) propose a UML profile for SDW, but they consider a very small number of data IC. A survey on aggregation issues is presented in (Mazón et al., 2009). They express simple structural constraints (e.g., facts should be linked to dimensions with one-to-many associations) with UML multiplicities. In (Pinet and Schneider, 2009), complex structural aggregation constraints are expressed with Object Constraint Language (OCL). OCL represents an effective solution to define data IC at the conceptual level in a clear, non-ambiguous and platform-independent way. Indeed, (Boulil et al., 2011) present the definition, on the top of a UML-based SDW conceptual model, of a large number of data IC on warehoused spatial data by means of Spatial OCL, which is an extension of OCL for spatial data (Pinet et al., 2007). They also propose an automatic implementation in the Spatial DBMS Oracle Spatial 11g. (Lavesque et al., 2007) propose a framework for identifying quality risks in ETL, and SOLAP systems. They define 3 types of quality problems (data sources, OLAP data cubes and GIS functionalities) and define them by means of paper forms. They also propose an implementation in the JMAP SOLAP system.

Finally, to best of our knowledge, no work proposes a unique framework to express at the conceptual abstraction level IC on spatial warehoused data, aggregation, and spatio-multidimensional queries, and their automatic implementation in a classical ROLAP architecture.

Thus, in this paper we present three main contributions.

For first, we extend/reformulate the definition of (S)DW IC for handling quality issues in SOLAP systems; we use IC to perform three quality control types:

(a) Data quality control ensures that warehoused spatial data are valid (e.g., geometries of cities must be topologically included in the geometries of their states);

(b) Aggregation quality control ensures that aggregations of measures are correct and meaningful (e.g., the sum of the unit prices does not make sense) (Lenz et al., 1997);

(c) SOLAP exploration control avoids problems of interpretation induced by meaningless SOLAP query results (e.g., sales in USSR after 26 December 1991) (misuse data cube risks as defined by (Levesque et al., 2007)).

Secondly, motivated by a lack of a unique conceptual framework to define SOLAP IC, we propose a UML-OCL based conceptual framework. Finally, we propose an automatic implementation of such framework in a classical Relational SOLAP architecture.

2 SOLAP IC CLASSIFICATION

In this section, we present an extension of our previous SDW IC classification (Boulil et al., 2011) by introducing a new class, Query IC class. This classification (Figure 1) serves as a reference guide for the process of handling the three types of quality issues in a SOLAP system.

Before detailing the classification, we present the case study which will be used all along the paper to describe our proposal. It concerns an environmental SDW, with a temporal dimension that groups days into months and months into years, and a spatial dimension representing cities with their regions and countries. The measure is the temperature value. Using this SDW, decision-makers can answer to SOLAP queries like these: "What is the minimal temperature per year and country?" or "What is average temperature per month and country?". In order to answer these queries, decision-makers use the min and average aggregate functions to aggregate the temperature values.

Figure 1: SOLAP IC classification.
Let us now provide explanations and some examples of these IC classes using the previously described case study.

As shown in (Boulil et al., 2011), Metadata IC verify the consistency of metadata of different integrated data sources (e.g., spatial members and measures must be defined with the same geographic scale).

Data IC ensure the logical consistency and completeness of warehoused spatial data, for example:

Example 1: “the geometry of each city must be topologically included in the geometry of its region” or

Example 2: "no facts (e.g., temperature values) should exist for USSR after 26 December 1991".

These constraints can be defined on all elements of the SDW such as facts, members, etc.

Aggregation IC guarantee correct and meaningful aggregations of measures. In particular, semantic constraints address the problem of the applicability of aggregate functions to measures according to the semantic nature and the type of measures, aggregate functions and dimensions. For example:

Example 3: “Sum of temperature values does not make sense”

Schema constraints are conditions that must be satisfied by dimension hierarchies and dimension-fact relationships to avoid double counting and incomplete aggregates. For example, dimensions and facts should be linked by one-to-many relationships (Mazón et al., 2009).

Query IC refer to conditions that guarantee that SOLAP queries are valid in the sense that their results are not always empty in order to avoid problems of misinterpretation. For example, the SOLAP query “What are the average temperatures in USSR in 2010?” returns an empty result since no temperature value is stored for USSR after 26 December 1991 (the previous data IC of Example 2). Even if this IC is implemented as data IC, classical SOLAP tools allow decision-makers to formulate this query by combining these two members (USSR and 2010) returning an empty value. This leads to a problem of interpretation: this empty value may be perceived as if there were no temperature values registered for USSR during 2010, instead of realizing that this combination of members (USSR and 2010) is invalid. Consequently, to avoid this misinterpretation we define the following query constraint:

Example 4: "It is incorrect to combine USSR with days after 26 December 1991 in a SOLAP query".

Although, this query example could be resolved by using particular spatio-multidimensional data structures such as DW versioning structures, Query IC allow designers to model any invalid query which can be independent of time-versioning aspects (for example, some products cannot be sold in certain stores).

3 THE FRAMEWORK

Before describing our conceptual framework for defining SOLAP IC, we present main concepts of a UML profile and Spatial OCL.

The UML profiles are a way to customize UML for particular domains or platforms by extending its metaclasses (class, property, etc.). A profile is defined using three extension mechanisms: stereotypes, tagged values and constraints. A stereotype is an extension of a UML metaclass. Tagged values are properties of stereotypes. Finally, a set of OCL constraints precise each stereotype's application semantics. OCL provides a platform-independent method to model constraints. It can be interpreted by code generators to generate code automatically. OCL constraints can be defined at the meta-model level (e.g., UML profile) and also at the model level (the profile instance). Spatial OCL is an extension of OCL that supports spatial topological relationships (inside, intersect, etc.) (Pinet et al., 2007).

In order to define SOLAP data, aggregation and query IC at a conceptual level, we propose a framework based on a UML profile and Spatial OCL (Figure 2).

The main idea is to have a unique UML profile that defines 3 interconnected models to conceptually represent:

a) SDW data structures (SDW model),

b) how measures are aggregated to meet the analysis requirements (Aggregation model), and

c) Query IC model

and then define IC with Spatial OCL using these models. In particular Data IC are defined by designers using Spatial OCL on the top of the instance of SDW model, Aggregation IC are defined as Aggregation model’s stereotypes constraints using OCL, and Query IC are defined using the Query IC model and Spatial OCL. Due to space reasons we do not detail the proposed profile, but we provide some examples. Details on the SDW and aggregation models can be found in (Boulil et al.,
2011). It is important to note that we have chosen to define a UML profile instead of a metamodel since the UML metamodel's elements are sufficient to capture all the SOLAP applications' semantics including all the multidimensional data structures (Glorio and Trujillo, 2008) and all the identified IC types.

The SDW model allows the definition of SDW data structures and the expression of Data IC on the top of these structures using Spatial OCL (Boulil et al., 2011).

The SDW case study represented using the SDW model is shown on Figure 3. This SDW model instance contains two dimensions: (i) a spatial dimension composed of 3 spatial levels (stereotyped as <<SpatialAggLevel>>, City, Region and Country; and (ii) a temporal dimension composed of three temporal levels Day, Month and Year. The numerical measure temperature (<<NumericalMeasure>> stereotype) is defined as an attribute of the fact class Temperature (<<Fact>> stereotype).

The Aggregation model represents how measures are aggregated along dimensions according to decision-makers' analysis needs. The instance of Aggregation model for our case study, which represents that the temperature measure (aggregatedAttribute tagged value) is aggregated along all the dimensions using the average aggregate function (aggregator=Avg tagged value), is depicted in Figure 4.

In (Boulil et al., 2011) we have identified a set of aggregation constraints that grant meaningful aggregations of measures. These constraints are valid for all SOLAP applications. Thus, we have implemented them as OCL constraints in the Aggregation Model package of the profile. They are checked by the CASE tool at the design stage when validating the conceptual model.

Finally, designers can express IC on SOLAP queries using the Query IC model. Typically, a SOLAP query is a combination of measures and members from different dimensions. Thus, the Query IC model can be used for example to define invalid combinations of member sets. These member sets are specified as attributes with the <<MemberSet>> stereotype. The value domain of a <<MemberSet>> attribute is a subset of members of a dimension level, whose definition is precised with
the condition tagged value, which is an OCL statement defined on the context of the dimension level to select a subset of its members.

An example of an instance of the Query IC model is depicted on Figure 5, where the user states that combining days (<<MemberSet>> day) after 26 December 1991 (condition = After1991-12-26, whose OCL expression is shown in Figure 6) with the USSR (<<MemberSet>> country) is meaningless in any SOLAP query.

Figure 5: Query IC model instance.

Figure 6: OCL used by the Query IC of Figure 5.

4 IMPLEMENTATION

In this section, we present our architecture to automatically implement SOLAP IC (Figure 7). The main idea is to automatically implement each kind of IC in a different tier of the SOLAP architecture. The conceptual definition of each IC is automatically translated into the implementation language used by each tier. In particular, Data IC are translated using SpatialOCL2SQL and implemented in the SDW tier; Query IC are translated by our automatic code generator (called UML2MDX) and implemented in the OLAP server and the SOLAP client, and finally Aggregation IC are implemented in our UML profile using OCL and controlled during the design stage by the MagicDraw CASE tool.

Our SOLAP architecture (Figure 7) is based on: the Spatial DBMS Oracle Spatial 11g, the ROLAP Server Mondrian and a SOLAP client JRubik. Mondrian connects to a relational database and enables the execution of OLAP queries expressed using MDX (MultiDimensional eXpressions) that is a standard language for querying multidimensional databases. JRubik provides a graphical presentation layer on top of Mondrian and allows cartographic representations of OLAP queries using the SVG format.

In order to automatically implement data IC in the Oracle Spatial 11g, we have used the code generator SpatialOCL2SQL. SpatialOCL2SQL is a Java open source tool which integrates the spatial extensions of OCL called OCL 9IM and OCL ADV (Pinet et al., 2007). It automatically generates SQL scripts for Oracle Spatial from Spatial OCL conceptual constraints.
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In order to automatically implement data IC in the Oracle Spatial 11g, we have used the code generator SpatialOCL2SQL. SpatialOCL2SQL is a Java open source tool which integrates the spatial extensions of OCL called OCL 9IM and OCL ADV (Pinet et al., 2007). It automatically generates SQL scripts for Oracle Spatial from Spatial OCL conceptual constraints.
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Our SOLAP architecture (Figure 7) is based on: the Spatial DBMS Oracle Spatial 11g, the ROLAP Server Mondrian and a SOLAP client JRubik. Mondrian connects to a relational database and enables the execution of OLAP queries expressed using MDX (MultiDimensional eXpressions) that is a standard language for querying multidimensional databases. JRubik provides a graphical presentation layer on top of Mondrian and allows cartographic representations of OLAP queries using the SVG format.

In order to automatically implement data IC in
formula, which are stored in the OLAP Server and then visualized in the SOLAP client. These formulas, when executed, inform user about the quality of query results. For each Query IC type we have defined an MDX template. The templates are fulfilled using a Java method (UML2MDX) that parses the XMI files associated to the Query IC. Different visual policies are associated with different combinations of members from these sets to be displayed in the SOLAP client tier: green colour for valid cells, yellow colour for aggregated cells that include valid and invalid cells and red colour for invalid cells. Figure 8 shows an example of OLAP query where these visual policies are applied according the MDX formula implementing the Query IC of Figure 6: valid cells such as those combining USSR with dates before 1991-12-26 (e.g. 1991-12-01) are displayed with green colour; invalid cells that involve for example USSR and dates after 1991-12-26 (e.g. 1991-12-27, 2010-01, 2010) are displayed with red colour, other cells are displayed with yellow colour, such as 1991-12 with USSR because it is the aggregation of valid (e.g. 1991-12-01 with USSR) and invalid cells (e.g. 1991-12-27 with USSR).

As in our current automatic implementation only considers the snowflake schema SDW implementations, we are working on the consideration of the star-schema implementations. Finally, we will work on the formal validation of the completeness of our classification, and the expressiveness of our conceptual framework.
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5 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we first show that the SOLAP analysis goodness depends on 3 quality types: data, aggregation and query qualities. Thus, we (i) extend the concept of integrity constraints to consider all these quality types; (ii) propose a framework based on a UML profile and Spatial OCL to express these SOLAP IC at the conceptual level; and (iii) show their automated implementations in a typical ROLAP architecture. Our current work is on improving the UML2MDX tool by integrating Spatial MDX expressions and defining cartographic-related visualization policies in order to implement spatial query IC.