Abstract. The creation of innovative methods and technologies for elderly is the main purpose for Ambient Assisted Living. This paper provides a description on all the associated stages and development questions required for the establishment of a new telerehabilitation service. The service intends to provide elderly people with the possibility of performing rehabilitation sessions in their houses, with constant medical supervision via video surveillance. Following the principles of a new conceptual architecture for services, and developed according to user-centric paradigms such as multimodality and high usability criteria, early evaluation results point the service as an asset for remote rehabilitation.

1 Introduction

The enhancement of domestic environments with technology is nowadays a reality. Technology creates a positive impact on quality of life especially on older generations since technological solutions can facilitate the daily life of the elderly, by fighting isolation and exclusion, and by increasing their pro-activity and autonomy.

The Living Usability Lab (LUL [1]) project is a collaborative effort for R&D between academia (University of Aveiro/IEETA and FEUP/INESC Porto) and portuguese industry (Microsoft, Micro IO and Plux). Fueled by technologies such as distributed computing, next generation networks, natural interfaces and universal design while focused on usability rates, the project aims at having impact at general population, especially on elder and special need citizens, by envisioning the creation of a Living Lab capable of providing support for the creation of innovative applications, services and technologies for them.

To enable the existence of a geographically distributed lab for new AAL services creation, evolution and evaluation - our Living Lab - , suitable architectures for the Living Lab and its middleware - supporting creation and deployment of new services - were needed. To conduct research and test associated ideas, a number of scenarios based on real necessities were conceptualized.

This paper presents the associated research and development for Health@Home. The Health@Home scenario envisions the creation of a home telerehabilitation session with remote medical supervision. Telerehabilitation has been introduced in several fields, from neuropsychology to occupational therapy and physical therapy. It allows
for remote populations to improve their quality of life by decreasing the constant need to travel to the healthcare centers while permitting for health professionals to be more aware of their patients by facilitating their interaction [8]. At a technical level, a telerehabilitation service poses many demands being a distributed application with a high focus on components such as video, speech, user modeling, environment properties and real-time communication [7].

To provide support for such components, an infrastructure was built in addition to a conceptual architecture for service provisioning. These became the basis for the telerehabilitation application which was later developed and evaluated. Also, given the special necessities of AAL applications, a variety of services were also introduced to fasten development.

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we provide an overview on the conceptual architecture followed by LUL. In Section 3 we describe the infrastructural definitions required for the completion of the Health@Home scenario. Section 4 gives insight into specific services that were required for the scenario. Section 5 provides an overview on the telerehabilitation applications. In Section 6 we provide some early evaluation results and point out future work possibilities.

2 Conceptual Architecture

The Service Oriented Architecture created on the scope of LUL adopts a less centered view allowing for important gains in both modularity and availability. The choice for a service oriented approach derives from its ability to achieve loose-coupling abilities without much effort. All together, our intention was to provide developers with better conditions to be able to create innovative AAL applications and services using the proposed architecture as a basis and have at their disposition a number of services that may assist them in creating their intended business logic.
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Infrastructural Layer. The architecture is composed by four main layers as seen in Figure 1. AAL applications often use devices such as sensors, adapters, mobile devices, desktop computers, among others. These kind of devices represent the Infrastructural Layer. Given that devices may be added or removed from the system dynamically, we impose that devices included in this layer must be made accessible via Web Services, to be encapsulated and accessed from a service level layer. For this, devices should be introduced via a “Device as a Service” paradigm [2], which allows devices to be accessible via a well defined interface.

Common Services Layer. The Common Services Layer is responsible for providing services such as access between nodes, monitoring, security and user management. We intended for services in this layer to include third-party services which may be needed by certain applications. In order to facilitate the location of certain business logics, we established that any service within the architecture must advertise itself in the service registry. Technologically, the registry, running at a central server accessible to all nodes, functions like a WSDL [9] repository.

Living Lab Services Layer. The Living Lab Services layer aims to involve all possible services that may arise, either from the implementation of certain devices, (for instances, a video capture service), either from the development of new services (like a sensor service), or either from the inclusion of already existing exterior services (a monitoring service) that may be needed in the development of certain applications. To comply with the user-centric paradigm associated to the project, it is expected that built applications follow user-friendly and user-adaptable paradigms. To help them, this layer includes services like context and user modeling providing applications with means to better know the end user and achieve user adaptation.

Applicational Layer. In the top layer, the architecture includes an Applicational layer where developed applications should be “placed”. An important requirement that existing SOA proposals didn’t meet was the inclusion of multimodal capabilities. In our view, to establish maximum usability, multimodality must be also included. The telerehabilitation application which will be later explained is one such example.

3 Infrastructure

In the conceptual architecture, a layer of services was established exclusively focused on integrating specialized services to be developed as part of the LUL project - Living Lab Service Layer. These services require a physical location where they can be deployed. In this sense, two options came up: to use a central server accessible by all project nodes (scalable to a large increase in a more realistic AAL scenario) or to use a smaller home based server. We selected a subset from both due to the differences in the envisioned services. While some are generic and must be made available at a well known address, others are more “house oriented”.

Our option for LUL is divided into two parts, to have a central server (named LUL server) where generic services can be deployed and published being complemented by
at least a small server at each house (designated as home server). This separation enables local concerns to be treated only in the home server simplifying communications between both servers, increasing their modularity.

Due to the heterogeneity inherent to Living Lab, it was established that Next Generation Networks (NGN) would be adopted into the infrastructure given its open interfaces support to a wide range of services, applications, and mechanisms based on service building blocks. For communication and in order to assure high interoperability and integration rates, all communications within the proposed architecture use the Internet Protocol, both UDP (for RTP [5] transmissions) and TCP.

To provide support for the rehabilitation applications, additional devices such as a pan and tilt camera as well as a sensor from Plux [4] capable of obtaining measures from several electrodes on the patient and communicating them to an application via Bluetooth, were introduced.

4 Support Services

In order to help developers create new applications associated with the objectives of LUL, a set of services were made available so that they can rapidly integrate business logics in their applications without much effort. These services were deployed within the Living Lab infrastructure and their interfaces made available on the service registry. An important aspect common to all deployed services is tolerance to failures. Services cannot be fully dependent on others and not continue to function in case of a dependency issue.

4.1 Application Registry

Many new AAL applications will need to created following a distributed logic (i.e., applications divided in a number of locations but in constant communication). Because of the critical aspects associated with some of these applications it is necessary to ensure that connectivity is not lost, and in such a case, automatically restore it as soon as possible. As such, and to accomplish a highly dynamic distributed architecture, a specific service must be provided to applications allowing them to easily find, connect and know the current status of others.

Due to these issues, a service for Application Registration was implemented and deployed in the LUL server. The service is similar to a broker. Applications must register themselves at the startup on the registry and also inform the registry of any status change. With this information, client applications obtain the necessary information to connect and to regain connectivity taking in consideration the status of its partners. In case of a failure, the service will help in the reestablishment of the communication in an easier and faster manner.

Fault Tolerance. The application registry service as presented provides a solution for connectivity losses. But to achieve a truly fault tolerance environment, the effort must be extended to the applications as well. Applications need to able to continue to operate in cases where services that they require become unavailable. They must be able to
self-adapt to current conditions, which may implicate simply to cease a functionality or even shutdown graciously.

To obtain this, we’ve established a set of rules to be performed within the architecture, with a special focus on the application registry as its core:

1. Applications notifies the broker for which services should they be alerted in case of failures. The broker stores this information in a registry.
2. The broker isn’t 100% fail proof. As such, applications need to frequently “ping” the broker to know that it remains fully functional. In case it fails, applications must possess safeguards so they can maintain their autonomous execution.
3. The broker needs to guarantee that all services within the system are functional. To do this, the broker performs pooling routines on the services.
4. Based on the registry, the broker notifies all interested parties in case of a service failure. These must be able to adopt mechanisms to guarantee their functioning. It will be the broker’s responsibility to try and regain connectivity with the service alerting the interested parties when it occurs.

With this set of rules adding to the application registry, services and applications achieve better fault tolerance rates. Connections to the broker however are critical towards the functionality and robustness of the overall system. To minimize effects of possible broker failure, redundancy must be applied to the broker itself.

4.2 Video Streaming and Camera Control Service

Many potential AAL applications make use of video for surveillance and detection of events or simply for communication. Additionally, it is also often important to allow remote real-time control of the camera, enabling the retrieval of images on a moving subject.

The video service is based on a producer-consumer approach. The service handles all connections/sessions and video is transmitted using RTP. After the session is established, the client can also use service functionalities directly regarding camera control, such as pan, tilt and zoom.

4.3 Service for Actuators and Sensors

Information from sensors and control actuators are fundamental aspects for a typical AAL application. Usually this information is used by the application’s own business logic to infer with conditions relating with the user. In the developed service, all sensors and actuators are available through web services based on a “Device as a Service” paradigm.

The Figure 2 represents how the service was deployed and subsequently used by a remote client application. Each generic sensor service has an interface, monitoring capabilities and a set of devices.
4.4 Multimodal Support Service

Multimodality represents an important aspect in the Living Lab ideals since it can be used as a paradigm for achieving higher rates of usability and accessibility [3]. Currently, and in order to facilitate the integration of multimodal logics within applications, a multimodal service is in development. This service will allow for complex algorithms such as fusion and fission to be accessible via a web service.

Fusion will be made available to clients by requesting that initially applications invoke the service so that a specific instance is created for them. Communication is established between the created instance and the application. Then, by providing a configuration file where it declares all possible input options, the service will wait for events which it can fuse.

Fission on the other hand requires information of all available output modalities which includes knowing their characteristics and current availability. With it, and inspired by a algorithm called Adapto [6], it uses context data such as distance to a screen or the user’s hearing capabilities, to decide on what modalities should be used or which are indicated at the time.

An important aspect regarding the multimodal service is its almost full local autonomy capability. The service uses local information for its processing with the exception of user aspects and characteristics which are centralized. This becomes important in the sense that communications between the server and the application are reduced resulting in increased responsiveness on the interaction.

5 Telerehabilitation Application

A telerehabilitation application allows for the execution of rehabilitation services to remote and underserved populations, improving quality of life and preventing secondary complications. With such a service, the need and frequency of the patient having to
travel to the healthcare centers is decreased allowing medical staff to not only interact with patients on a more regular basis but also be able to stay in touch with them after discharge [8].

5.1 Requirements
The first step on constructing the application was to be able to specify what its requirements were. Given the goal of a telerehabilitation system, the first requirement is that the system must be used simultaneously at two different and possibly distant places: one being the health professional current location, the other the elder home.

The second requirement is related to operating services. In a telerehabilitation session, information like sensor data, video and feedback communication become critical to a health professional for successful monitorization. In addition, exercise information, instructions and user adaptation are indispensable on a patient’s perspective.

Most of these requirements are already answered by the development architecture, particularly the Living Lab service layer. As such, development focused on the human-computer interfaces in the applications. Given the different logics associated with the two participants, the need for the two different interfaces became apparent - one for the patient and another for the health professional.

5.2 Multiple Patient Sessions
Ideally, in order to provide maximum attention to a patient, medical supervision should be focused on a single patient at a time. This however can only be applied in theory. In practice, it becomes impossible to devise constant rehabilitation sessions following a one to one basis since sessions can consume considerable time and the number of patients are vastly superior to the number of available supervisors. Figure 3 presents a mockup screen for the health professional application ideals.
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**Fig. 3.** Conceptual screen on a multi-patient application for the health professional.

We’ve established a need to built the applications following a set of properties that allows for two very specific functionalities to be achieved. First, each professional ap-
Application must be able to connect to several patient applications concurrently, that is, the supervisor should be able to perform several sessions simultaneously, shifting his attention to one in specific for a particular reason (right bar on Figure 3). In truth, depending on the type of rehabilitation session, some times the full attention of a supervisor is simply not required. Second, in such cases, what is required is a “safeguard” mechanism, that is, a method that alerts the supervisor in case of need. When an event such as this happens, the medical application simply needs to shift its attention to the proper one (alert button on Figure 3). Additionally, Adapto may be used to maximize the alert notification (by using several interaction modalities).

At this time, current implementation doesn’t yet fully reflect these characteristics, but they will be considered for future scenarios.

5.3 Health Professional Application

The health professional application has the goal of providing information regarding an ongoing rehabilitation session while allowing for feedback to be given if necessary. As such, the developed application allows the health professional to:

- remotely monitor the elderly using video and biosensors information.
- plan, apply and control an exercise program.
- provide the elderly with feedback regarding their performance.

The interface shown in Figure 4 responds to these necessities by being composed by five components. The first component, session planning (number 1 in Figure 4), allows the health professional to monitor the exercise session by choosing the exercises to be performed.

In the second component (marked with number 2 in the figure), sensor information is provided to the health professional so that he can visualize and analyze biological data such as heart rate throughout the session.
In component three, the health professional can communicate and provide feedback to the patient via textual messages. The video component, four in the figure, is an important tool for monitoring a session, as it enables the health professional to visualize the performance of the user and check the completion of the exercises, allowing him to correct errors and give different indications to the patient.

Finally, in component five, the professional is allowed to track an ongoing session, such as details about the connection and the status of the current exercise.

5.4 Patient Application

Creating an application for elders is more demanding due to certain limitations associated with them. Their average expected physical limitations, different capabilities (hearing and vision acuity, for example), context conditions (such as light and noise levels, or distance from the devices), and even the freedom of movement's intrinsic to physiotherapy must all be taken into account.

With such aspects in mind, the main user interface was deployed into a large size computer monitor (acting as a large size TV) given the need for exercises to be executed a couple of meters away from the screen. A set of biosensors were introduced to provide the health professional with additional information and input and output devices such as microphones, speakers and video cameras, required for the interaction between the users and the platform, and sensors to detect environment factors were included.

Figure 5 demonstrates the overall aspect of the patient’s application. The user interface of the application is composed by seven visual components, which can be divided into three blocks:

- A monitoring block placed on top corresponding to components 1, 2 and 3;
- A reception information block, placed in between. It is composed by components 4 and 5;
- And a user input block, at the bottom, constituted by components 6 and 7.
The monitoring block presents a summary of the current session’s state. Its goal is to provide information to the user of what is happening by including the description of the session state (indication of whether a session with the professional is in progress or not), time and date given by component 1; a logging area describing the latest actions taken by the health professional and the elder (component 2); and showing a list of exercises planned for the current session, highlighting the current one (component 3).

The reception information block shows all information provided from the health professional or from the service to the elder. This includes two components: an animated presentation illustrating the current exercise (component 4) and video screening of the user, allowing the patient to view in real-time his/her current performance and perform self-correcting aspects on the exercises (component 5).

Finally, the user input block presents some interaction options for the patient by providing a conversation area (component 6), where the user can directly communicate with the health professional via messages; and a command list area (component 7) where the user can issue commands to the system.

6 Early Evaluation Results and Future Work

The new service was recently tested in a simulated environment by a small set of end users in order to assess their acceptance rates and gain feedback for future improvements. Evaluation aimed aspects such as the subjects’ participation, activities pace, use of resources and identification of missing functionalities. Data collection on these subjects was achieved by recording critical incidents (in loco) and, at the end of the session, by answering a questionnaire (post-evaluation). The service assessment questionnaire assesses: graphical user interface (layout), usability and satisfaction.

Participants were satisfied with their session, mainly due to the fact of having succeeded in accomplishing the indications of the physiotherapist and feeling comfortable using the service. Participants reported being receptive to the use of such a service at home. Some improvements were suggested by the introduction of speech capabilities and minor adjustments to the interface (especially when away from screen).

In the next iterations for the service, we intend to give answer to these aspects and provide new features and adaptability capabilities by introducing already underdevelopment services such as user and context modules and new multimodal paradigms. We expect for the next iteration of the service to be fully tested with real users in a real environment (either in an elderly institution or a hospital) for a longer period of time. Additionally, we also envision further tests being performed to the support architecture by the creation of other scenarios within the Living Lab scope.
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