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Abstract: Visualization of software applications plays an important part in the process of comprehending new software systems and is even more important due to its increasing complexity. Component-based development works with complex structure of black-box units and paradoxically there is not much choice in terms of both notation and tooling when one needs to visualize structure of these component-based applications. Reverse engineering is available for only a few component models and the state of the practice in visualization is the simple and static component diagram introduced in UML 2.0. In this paper we present a tool that is generic and it is therefore usable for any component-based application. This tool works with an advanced meta-model (ENT) as an intermediate data model to store all the reverse-engineered information about these applications. This information is then available for further visualization. A new notation based on this meta-model is also suggested in this paper which uses several interactive techniques to enhance the comprehension process.

1 INTRODUCTION

Both visualization and reverse engineering of component-based applications are a problematic tasks, because of differences between component models. There are dozens of component models in Java only like OSGi\(^1\), EJB\(^2\), SOFA \(^3\), COSi \(^4\) and others. The main problem lies in the absence of united representation of components that would provide some details about them; there are also no related methods to reverse-engineer the structure of component-based applications. A united representation ensures the readability of diagrams across different component models. When one needs to visualize the structure of reverse-engineered application it would also be highly appropriate to provide him with more information than just component boxes and relations between them.

There are of course tools that are able to reconstruct the structure of component-based software, but they are closely bound to specific component models in both the visualization and reverse-engineering abilities. For example: Software MOdel eXtractor (SoMoX), (Becker et al., 2010) visualizes only Palladio component model (Becker et al., 2009), SaveIDE (Sentilles et al., 2009) visualizes only SaveCCM (Hansson et al., 2004) and Plug-in Dependency Visualization\(^5\) visualizes Eclipse plug-ins (OSGi).

1.1 Related Work

Telea’s work on interactive visualization of component-based software (Telea and Voinea, 2004) is generic and mostly similar to our work, but it does not provide much details about component themselves. Wettel’s visualization of software as cities (Wettel and Lanza, 2007) could be easily used on component software, but again it does not provide details needed to get a full structure comprehension.

Interactivity should help in the first place with the creation of a mental model, so that one will be able to make decisions. It is important to lighten the cognitive load, namely hide unnecessary details, as Ric Holt highlighted with several examples in (Holt, 2009).

\(^1\)www.osgi.org
\(^2\)www.oracle.com/technetwork/java/javaee.ejb/index.html
\(^3\)http://sofa.ow2.org/
\(^4\)www.assembla.com/wiki/show/cosi
\(^5\)www.eclipse.org/pde/incubator/dependency/visualization/
2002). The importance of interactivity for the ability to make decisions over mental models is mentioned in several studies, one of them is by Meyer et al. (Meyer et al., 2010). He goes even further and defines a new science of visually enabled reasoning, implying that interactivity is its key enabler.

1.2 Goal and Structure of This Paper

In this paper we present the ComA V (Component Application Visualizer) tool and its AIV A (Advanced Interactive Visualization Approach) plug-in as implementation of our approach to the visualization of structure of component-based applications. ComA V is a platform for visualization and reverse-engineering of component-based software, which provides simple extension mechanism, so new component models can be added easily and new visualization styles can be used independently on the component models. AIV A, implemented as ComA V plug-in, aims to improve orientation in visualized software by adopting several interactive techniques – mostly details on demand and tracking features.

The structure and theory behind this generic platform will be described in Section 2, while AIV A will be described in detail in Section 3. Finally the contribution of these two products will be discussed in Section 4.

2 COMPONENT APPLICATION VISUALIZER: COMA V

The purpose of ComA V is to create a generic workspace for visualization and management of component-based applications. ComA V can reverse-engineer a component application written in any component model, but it doesn’t support any component model directly. Instead, it uses a uniform data structure as an exchange format, that is able to hold all the information about both the component model and the application. This exchange format is produced by reverse-engineering plug-ins as an output, which is saved by ComA V and which visualization plug-ins can later use it as an input (see Figure 1). ComA V user interface provides a project view where analyzed applications are made available; a console, to keep the track of what ComA V is doing; an editor view, where visualization plug-ins provide its visual output; and a menu, where reverse-engineering plug-ins can add new component models.

The exchange data structure must be, in the first place, component model independent and have the ability to describe component in desired detail. We chose the ENT meta-model (Snajberk and Brada, 2011a) for this purpose, which is a generic meta-model developed directly for the description of component-based applications. Its most important features are: faceted classification used to characterize component’s elements; ability to describe any component with required details; and coverage of both component model and component-based application structures. The advantage of faceted classification is that visualization can make use of these characteristics to improve layout or representation of components.

Currently ComA V supports reverse-engineering of OSGi, EJB or SOFA 2 component models. XML is used to store the data. The workspace is an RCP (Rich Client Platform) application based on the Eclipse IDE, thus it is written in Java and it’s architecture is plug-in based. Development of new plug-ins that would introduce support for any other component model is therefore very simple. It is important to note that these new plug-ins can be made without changing anything in the existing implementation.

3 ADVANCED INTERACTIVE VISUALIZATION APPROACH: AIV A

AIV A uses oriented graph to visualize components and their relations. Its notation is similar to the UML component diagram, but unlike complete UML it is focused only on component-based software to provide more precise information. Moreover, it adopts interactive techniques to improve the process of software comprehension. The principles of this approach are described in (Snajberk and Brada, 2011b) and AIV A is an implementation of these principles.

Its easy to understand visual notation is unified for all component models – any component will look the same, it will only vary in the inner elements of the component. The differences and similarities can be observed by comparing OSGi and EJB components in Figure 2. To simplify the visual representation it uses
a lot of information hiding and details-on-demand features. For example, additional information about component elements is provided as tooltips (Figure 2 EJB) or all relations between two components are represented as only one line and additional details are provided on demand (Figure 2 OSGi).

**Grouping and Filtering** of elements of the component is possible thanks to the ENT meta-model, which has a feature called CategorySet based on ENT’s faceted classification used on elements. CategorySet can define several categories as sets of rules that have to be satisfied in order to include an element in the given category. Figure 3 shows a CategorySet editor which is used for designing new sets of conditions. The bodies of components are filtered using the selected CategorySet. For example, compare the panel in the bottom-left corner with Figure 2 OSGi part where a different CategorySet was applied.

**Conditional Formatting** is yet another powerful feature possible due the ENT meta-model. AIVA is able to create conditional formatting based on the name of component or its elements, but what is more important, it can work with any other detail about component or its elements hold by the ENT meta-model like full name, version, required environment, etc. For example: In OSGi all event handling must go through provided service called org.osgi.service.event.EventHandler, events are then routed by setting the event topic inside the service filter. AIVA can take in count the value of this filter and visually highlight only those elements or whole components that listen on certain topic.

**Navigation/Explore** interactive techniques are represented by scrolling, zooming, panning, outline view and quick search (move the view on component in diagram, when selected in project overview). These techniques should provide quick navigation through the diagram for either looking up some component or plain browsing of relations. The zoom function has a special meaning in AIVA, because it does not provide the simple zoom in and out but also hides all details about the component itself, to provide cleaner overview of the whole structure. These details are shown again when one zooms in on 100%.

**Selecting** is more important in AIVA, because it helps reveal and eye track more information. By selecting a component, element or connection line one can get more information about it. Selection stays active even after reconfiguration (e.g layout changes). Selected connection line also highlights connected components even in diagram outline, so it is easier to find them and their related components.

**Reconfiguration**, change of representation of components, connection lines or layout of a diagram is useful when a different point of view on a complex software system is needed. AIVA supports several layouts which can be switched on the fly – a standard feature displayed on the right side of Figure 3. However it is also able to change the representation of the components – while someone might find useful our presented tree representation of elements, others might prefer classic UML representation. AIVA offers three completely different representations of components right now.

What is even more important, AIVA is able to change the proposed collapsed representation of connection lines to a more service-oriented representation, known from the UML – component has a lollipop symbol attached to the outer edge, representing one provided element (interface, package or anything else, the representation is still the same) and other components connect directly to it. In contrast with UML, AIVA is able to track and highlight all the connection lines and connected components, by clicking on the lollipop symbol. This provides an immediate overview of connected components and the location where to find them in the diagram.

### 3.1 Summary

These techniques, features and described visual notation are not novel by their own. The innovative aspect is their combined use, to bring quite new experience to the analysis of the structure of component-based applications.

AIVA is implemented as ComAV’s visualization plug-in and JgraphX is used for diagram rendering which is highly customizable and extendable library.
4 CONCLUSIONS

We see the main contribution of our work in providing a novel visualization approach for component-based software that is supported by an extendable reverse-engineering mechanism. It is directed mainly at software architects and developers.

Its implementation called ComAV is a universal platform that can be used for visualization of any component-based application and thanks to its use of the ENT meta-model, the variety of visualization approaches can reach from simple diagramming to deep analytic visualization, similar to (Wettel and Lanza, 2007) or (Telea and Voinea, 2004). ComAV thus offers rich experience from absolutely different visualization approaches applied over the same data.

The AIVA module then aims to offer quality visualization of complex application structures. Its contribution is in combining proven visual notation with interactive techniques to accelerate the process of comprehension and to facilitate orientation in complex diagrams.

Both ComAV and AIVA are still under development, thus neither of them is thoroughly evaluated. They have however been successfully used to display models of applications composed from about 60 component. Scientific evaluation of the whole approach will be provided as we will continue in our research.
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