MEDICAL TERMINOLOGY BROWSERS
How Usable are them for Describing Clinical Archetypes?
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Abstract: Clinical terminologies are a major concern in medical informatics, as they are key to provide medical systems with higher levels of interoperability. Large terminologies as SNOMED CT are gaining presence in practical applications. In a related but different direction, archetypes or data type templates are becoming widespread as interchange mechanisms for medical information. Archetypes support mapping to terminologies, in a process that is typically done by the experts developing or revising the archetype. It has been argued that terminology browsers are not appropriate for the task of helping clinical experts in the mapping process. This paper reports usability studies on two widely used SNOMED CT browsers when used as tools for mapping archetypes.

1 INTRODUCTION

The archetype formalism has been proposed for the specification of models of electronic healthcare records as a means of achieving interoperability between systems. Archetype-based systems have attracted an increasing attention as a relevant technology for the interoperability of heterogeneous systems (Wollersheim et al., 2009). However, some sort of mapping of archetype data elements with shared terminologies is required to guarantee a level of common semantics across archetypes and also with existing clinical systems. SNOMED CT (Systematized Nomenclature of Medicine Clinical Terms) is a large-scale comprehensive clinical healthcare terminology that is gaining widespread use and can be used for that binding.

The mapping process of archetypes with SNOMED terms consists essentially on associating a data element identified according to the formal Archetype Description Language (ADL) to a SNOMED element or expression.

These difficulties include the following:

- SNOMED CT represents different kinds of entities, and often a lexical matching is not enough to provide a correct mapping, as there is a need to understand the hierarchy to which the term belongs and the kind of entity that the archetype author intended to capture.
- In many cases, the concrete entities are not directly available in SNOMED CT as concepts, but they can be expressed through coordinated post-coordinated expressions, which use a combination of two or more concepts combined with qualifiers. The use of post-coordinated expressions is justified since SNOMED CT does not cover all potential clinical concepts and ideas explicitly, as this would be practically unfeasible. For example, "emergency appendectomy" can be expressed by combining the concepts "appendectomy" and "urgency" that are included in SNOMED CT by the expression: "80146002|apendiceptomía|:260870009|prioridad|=1 03391001|urgente|

However, the language for using those expressions requires some training beforehand for the experts to master it.

This paper presents an initial exploratory study to gather insights on the usability of SNOMED browsers for the particular task of archetype
mapping. The aim of this research is obtaining insights that can be used as input for devising integrated SNOMED-archetype editors or browsers for doing the mapping.

There is an increasing number of SNOMED browsers available, either commercial or free. And they differ significantly in the way of searching elements and presenting the structure of relationships inside SNOMED to users. Rogers and Bodenreider (2008) inspected 17 different browsers out of 23 identified and extracted a common set of characteristics. For this study, Minnow and CliniClue were selected due to their free availability and the fact that they are complementary in the ways they structure search interfaces (graphical in the first case and text-based in the second).

The guiding exploratory hypotheses of the present study are the following:

- Are SNOMED browsers usable for supporting the task of archetype mapping?
- How are SNOMED browser users approaching the search and navigation process for the concrete task of searching for terms mapping particular archetype entities?

It should be noted that the cognitive task required for mapping an archetype term requires an understanding of the context of the archetype element selected, and this in turn requires understanding the position of SNOMED terms inside the taxonomy.

The rest of this paper is structured as follows. Section 2 provides background information on the archetype approach and the role of terminology mappings in providing semantics to archetypes, along with a review on studies related to the usability of SNOMED or similar tools. Then, the methods for the exploratory study are described in Section 3. Results and discussion are provided in Section 4 and finally, Section 5 is devoted to conclusions and outlook.

2 BACKGROUND

The archetype approach to the interoperability of healthcare systems is based on the notion of “two-level” modelling (Beale, 2002).

This concept is centred in the idea of obtaining a complete ontological separation between the information model and the model of knowledge. On the one hand data from standards such as UNE-EN ISO13606 or terminology databases of different nature as SNOMED CT or LOINC, and on the other hand, the knowledge generated by this information in healthcare.

Using the UNE-EN ISO13606 we can see that the information object per excellence in the norm is called “extract” while the knowledge is represented by the model of archetypes (Muñoz et al., 2007).

The challenge to achieve interoperability in this sense is able to represent all possible data structures properly, in terms of health records are concerned. A feature in this context is the variability and complexity of clinical data sets, templates and ultimately in the way of representing data. The archetypes emerge as a possible formal definition of possible compositions of model components for each clinical service. In this sense, an specific archetype or restricts the hierarchy of subclasses of a record component within a larger structure such as the extract defines names, optionality, cardinality, data types and ranges and even defaults for some of its components.

3 METHODS

This study was carried out in response to three specific objectives:

a. Developing a usability study of each of the tools proposed
b. Gather feedback on usability using the thinking aloud protocol.
c. Getting feedback on the feasibility of using SNOMED CT browsers by professionals for the task of defining archetype mappings.

A questionnaire was used to collect satisfaction feedback from the users together with basic data of the participants, relating not only to their profile, including nationality, sex or year of birth, and career and background facts. In this context, potential users have different profiles, e.g. primary care doctors, hospital doctors, nurses, pharmacists or documentary staff. Previous experience with medical terminology was not considered, as the study is aiming at exploring the use of the tools for people not having a specific training on medical terminologies.

The study evaluated the usability of the two applications by the participants. Usability is an important aspect of any software. Factors such as ease of installation or further learning and use are very important indicators when assessing the quality of a particular software application. In this sense we analysed different types of questionnaires, including SUS (Brooke, 1996), QUIS (Harper and Norman, 1993), CSUQ (JR Lewis, 1995) and SUMI (van
Finally, it was decided to conduct the study using the SUMI questionnaire (Software Usability Measurement Inventory). This type of questionnaires was developed by the Human Factors Research Group (HFRG), University College Cork, in 1986 and allow complementing the evaluation of usability with the perceptions and attitudes of users, resulting in reliable indicators in five key areas (Kirakowski and Corbett, 1993), namely Efficiency, Affect, Helpfulness, Control and Learnability.

The experience study consisted of the search of SNOMED codes for the users to identify both the archetypes and also the data fields contained in them. In this sense, a first methodological issue was that of the source of the archetypes. The CKM repository maintained by the OpenEHR foundation was used, as it is the more mature platform and it is also open and not restricted to some particular institution’s viewpoint. Another reason for choosing the repository maintained by the openEHR was that the archetype language of OpenEHR includes five kinds of entities that come from ontological analysis (Beale and Heard, 2007), namely Observation, Evaluation, Instruction, Action and Administrative. They are representing different types of care entries, and may be hypothesized to bring different requirements for the mappings.

In order to make the test short for a single session, it was decided to choose only two of the aforementioned archetypes kinds. With this premise, the selected were “observation” (concretely, the indirect_oximetry.v1 archetype) and “action” (concretely, the medication.v1 archetype) archetypes, considered as the most important types of archetypes to carry out the study. To increase the reliability of the study, different combinations of archetypes and software used were used for the different users as shown in Table 1. These combinations covered all possible options to prevent order biases in the results. The sequence began again with user number nine.

In addition to the SUMI questionnaire and the recording of the sessions using screen recording software, a “thinking aloud” protocol (Lewis, 1982) was used during the execution of a task (concurrent) following the indications by (Ericsson and Simon, 1993).

The study was limited to free browsers having at least the core SNOMED CT data browsing features identified by Rogers and Bodenreider (2008). After a review of existing free ones, the selection resulted in CliniClue and Minnow.

Table 1: Distribution of tasks among the participants in the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Users</th>
<th>Archetypes</th>
<th>Software</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Observ.</td>
<td>Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CliniClue browser was found by Elhanan et al. (2010) the most popular tool used to access SCT (54%, exceeds 100%), as well as the most preferred one (29%). In contrast, Minnow is a relatively new browser. Minnow was selected due to their wide differences in response time (as they are using Lucene indexes inside) and the use of more agile hierarchical browsing representations using visualizations of hierarchical trees.

Participants in the user test were asked to verbalize their intentions while performing the mapping tasks, while being observed by a researcher. Prompts were only provided if the user was unable to proceed. This was in combination with screen recording for subsequent analysis of the actions taken and the notes of the researcher. Users are asked to formulate any question or comments for discussion with the researcher after the event.

Each task was presented as a task of assigning codes SNOMED CT as the name itself of the above archetypes as well as the data fields they contain. In this case, the breakdown in subtasks was user initiated, as they were given freedom to decide from where to start and what archetype elements to map. The users were exposed directly to the user interface of the browser, and let alone to guess what features they should use (the search for concepts, for example). The openEHR CKM was not showed or explained, and the concept of archetype was simplified and users were not exposed to the complexities of the ADL formal language. Concretely, the archetypes were explained using plain text without any reference to concrete standards, languages or mappings. This avoided confusions or difficulties that are external to the central task of finding SNOMED concepts that map.
to archetypes or their components.

Finally it was decided not to ask for post-coordinated expressions as a possible solution in the SNOMED CT code assignment, as this would require prior training and requires some knowledge of the SNOMED formal language. Instead, it was asked to users to informally express the identification of an archetype or part of it as a combination of SNOMED CT terms rather than a single term.

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A room with several computers with the two browsers installed (CliniClue and Minnow) was used for the text. In addition, each team had an Internet connection to allow the user to each of the two online surveys, the part of usability by entering the personal and professional data and the SUMI questionnaire of 50 questions for further automatic processing. Each participant was accompanied by an observer to collect interesting data using the "Think Aloud" protocol.

4.1 Participants

Users who participated in this study were all active professionals coming from different health institutions including the University Hospital of Fuenlabrada, Clinic Hospital San Carlos, 12 de Octubre Hospital and Henares Hospital in Coslada, all of them in the Madrid region.

The study involved a total of 14 participants (8 women and 6 men). As the cost-benefit ratio is considered lower applying the tests 3 to 5 users (Nielsen, 1993), no additional users were considered for this initial exploratory study. The ages of the participants ranged from 34 to 52 years the average age being 40 years, all of Spanish nationality.

As for the experience of participants in the health context, the average was 15 years and his previous experience with medical terminologies did not reach 33%.

As for the time spent in completing this test, it varied according to the previous experience of participants with clinical terminologies as well as browsers of this type. In mid-range, it was situated at 72 minutes in the realization of global test. Interestingly, in this case it was that less time spent in conducting the test with the browser Minnow than the browser CliniClue.

In all cases, participants expressed the importance of the linguistic barriers (the browsers are in English) that they found on search the concepts in other language than their own. While some had experience with clinical terminologies, they had always worked with the Spanish version of SNOMED CT.

4.2 Usability of the Browsers

Regarding the usability of the browser, after collecting data from users in the SUMI questionnaire on each browser, results were compiled and descriptive statistics applied.

In the case of CliniClue, the highest levels were obtained in the Efficiency subscale, while the lowest corresponded to the subscales of Helpfulness and Control, i.e., users perceived a lack of friendliness in the search interface and of control in the search process, instead, assessed the performance aspects of the program as well as the level of satisfaction in their management (affected).

Figure 1: Quantitative measurements of the usability of the CliniClue browser.

In the case of Minnow, the levels are clearly higher than CliniClue. The highest levels corresponded to the subscales of friendliness and satisfaction in their use. Participants generally viewed the multimedia component of this browser and the arrangement of windows in the interface very useful and explanatory. Lower levels in this case corresponded to the lack of control and learnability.

Figure 2: Quantitative measurements of the usability of the Minnow browser.

The following graph shows an overview of the
results. It is clear that participants in this study clearly preferred Minnow.

![Figure 3: Comparative results of measurements obtained in the usability study.](image)

As for the protocol "Think aloud" some conclusions could be considered quite significant. It is important to note first that the indicators explored were:

- Complexity of the test.
- Comments on the proposed archetype and all the data it contains.
- Observations on the coding of these data.

Regarding the test itself, the perception of most participants (84%) was that it had not much complexity, also they agree in stating that they had found the test very complete. Regarding the duration of the same they all considered too long, answering the question asked at the end of the test by the observer.

In regard to the proposed archetypes, most of the comments received were related to the inability to find some of the proposed concepts. In some cases this lack of results in the searches occurred in both browsers, at other times produced results in only one of them. The following chart shows the total number of concepts located in both a browser and in another by all members of the study.

Was also seen that the encoding proposed by the users varied markedly. The level of agreement did not reach 10% for participants in the study. Possible causes are the difference in the search engines of the two software or differences in the visualization of concepts as which they could infer dramatically in the final choice of concept. A source of differences found was concepts that are related lexically but refer to different kinds of entities as defined in SNOMED CT high level categories.

Although in all cases there was this mismatch of proposed coding, all participants agreed in their positive attitude towards the test performed and their willingness to use of terminology standards as a necessary step to achieve semantic interoperability of medical systems.

5 CONCLUSIONS

A usability evaluation of the browsers tested is plausible and appears as a relevant technique to gather more information in devising SNOMED CT browsers. This study provides a better understanding of the usefulness of SNOMED CT terminology standard and their use in identifying the concepts of archetypes. In this sense it has been shown that using SNOMED CT codes for the identification of the concepts that make up a openEHR archetype is feasible, but entails some difficulties from the side of the user that deserve further attention if reliable and consistent coding is sought.

This study has also proven the effectiveness of the protocol "think aloud" in the participant's registration information with the figure of an observer.

Future work will extend the exploratory usability studies to a wider range of SNOMED CT browsers, and to search and browsing tasks following a finer grained approach in task descriptions.

Anyway this study is certainly relevant to government agencies and institutions as well as companies that are committed to interoperability of medical systems using terminologies standards such as SNOMED CT with clinical standards UNE/EN ISO13606.
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