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Abstract: This paper summarizes a new approach for creating test scenarios and stimuli presentation in neuroinformatics ERP experiments. The approach uses visual programming that allows creation of test scenarios without a need of any programming language knowledge. The newly designed programming language is described in this paper. Solution for weaknesses of visual programming is provided. The next part deals with performance of stimuli presentation software to ensure lowest possible latency between stimuli presentation and synchronisation with electroencephalograph.

1 INTRODUCTION

Stimuli presentation is the major task in ERP (Event Related Potential) experiments in neuroinformatics. Stimuli are generated according to a plan called test scenario. PreSti is a new software tool for designing and executing of test scenarios. This tool has been developed in the neuroinformatics research group at the Department of Computer Science and Engineering. This research group works with several stimuli presentation software tools and created feature requests for this kind of software. Because no existing software meets at least most of the requests it was necessary to create a new software.

2 STATE OF ART

The typical ERP experiment involves a number of stages ranging from designing an experiment, to stimulus construction and coding, to acquiring data, to averaging and manipulating data, to measuring and analysing data, and finally to interpreting and writing up the findings. (Holcomb, 2010) This work deals with stimulus construction and coding called stimuli presentation. There are plenty of stimuli but we consider only stimuli able to be generated by computer e.g.: visual stimuli and sound stimuli. The plan for timing of stimuli during experiment is called test scenario. The program PreSti is created to design an execution of test scenarios.

3 FEATURE REQUIREMENTS

All software tools for stimuli presentation have a lot of feature, performance and other requirements. In the next paragraphs only the important requirements are stated. Full list of requirements is listed in (Jaroš, 2010)

3.1 Ease of Use

Presentation of stimuli can be done by many different groups of people (e.g.: researchers, medical assistants, students, etc.) with different level of computer knowledge. Most of existing software requires high experiences in programming during the design of a new ERP experiment. The new software must allow any user without programming knowledge at least to edit existing experiments or even better to create a new one.

3.2 Functionality Preservation

Despite previous requests, new stimuli presentation
software must have similar abilities as existing software applying common approaches for creating test scenarios.

3.3 Performance

A delay between start of a stimulus and sending of a synchronisation signal to electroencephalograph must be around 1ms. The delay cannot exceed 5ms to not affect experiment results.

4 DESIGN OF ERP EXPERIMENTS

PreSti uses the concept of visual programming (Johnston, Hanna, & Millar, 2004). A visual programming language consists of graphical symbols. It is possible to set properties to the symbols and connect them together to create a flow diagram describing a test scenario. This simple concept allows users without programming knowledge to design test scenarios and to make test scenarios more comprehensible.

4.1 Visual Programming Symbols

Design of visual programming symbols has been focused on generality, extensibility and simplicity. Each visual programming symbol represents one function block, e.g.: stimulus, loop construction, conditions, input/output device. It is possible to set properties to symbols according to symbol type. A symbol can have different shapes and consists of 4 different types of ports which provide connectivity to the other symbols. The shape of symbols is used only for resolution between different symbol types and doesn’t have any impact on function.

4.1.1 Port Types

An example of port types placed on three different symbols is in Figure 1. Description of ports is listed in the following list where letters belong to letters in the figure.

a) Getter – It is possible to get out properties from symbols using a getter port.

b) Setter – A setter port gives possibility to change properties of symbols according to behaviour of other symbols.

c) Event – A symbol uses event ports to inform other symbols about an event

d) Action – An action is a reaction to an event from the other symbols.

Figure 1: Four port types of different symbols.

Ports can be placed anywhere on the shape but all the shapes in PreSti keep the rule to place events on the left, actions on the right, getters at the bottom and setters on the top of the symbol. This rule assures that program flow is going from the left side of the diagram to the right side and that values are transferred from the top to the bottom.

4.2 Connections between Symbols

The flow of the program is made by creating connections between symbols. There are two types of connections:

1) Transfer – This connection is made between getter and setter. It is used to transfer a value of property from one symbol to another. The transfer connection is depicted as a solid line.

2) Trigger – This connection is made between event and action. The trigger has one property – delay. Using of delays is very often in the special case of test scenarios. The trigger connection is depicted as an arrow.

4.3 Creating Test Scenarios

The program is equipped with a set of symbols with standard functionality. There are symbols for stimuli, flow control and input/output hardware. This set can be extended with custom symbols (see Chapter 4.4).

A user can choose symbols from a palette, place them in design space and connect them together with connectors. Every symbol has various properties which can be set statically in graphical user interface or dynamically using transfer connections.
A very simple test scenario is depicted in Figure 2. Description of the test scenario helps to understand how designing of scenarios works. Letters in this figure belong to ports described in Chapter 4.1.1; numbers are described below.

1. **Text stimulus** is used for displaying a text on a monitor. It has a lot of properties affecting appearance and timing of the text. Stimuli generally have two actions: start and cancel of stimulus; and one event occurring at the end of stimulus.

2. **Trigger connection**

3. **Random generator** of Gaussian distribution. It is used for dynamical change of position of the text in this example.

4. **Random generator** of uniform distribution. It generates numbers between 1 and 4 in this case. The limits are set in properties of the symbol.

5. **Switch** redirects a flow of the program to one of the connected symbols. Each event port has a range of values assigned. The switch invokes the event where a value from getter fits to the corresponding range of values.

6. **Output** is used for synchronisation of stimuli with electroencephalograph.

7. **Transfer connection**

### 4.4 Extensibility

Despite robustness of visual programming language design, visual programming is not as expressive as conventional programming due to a limited set of symbols. This problem is solved with extensibility of a symbol set. A user is allowed to create own symbols in an object oriented programming language such as C# and provide a compiled DLL file. The DLL file can contain any amount of new symbols. New symbols appear in graphical user interface once the DLL file is placed into extension folder. The symbols from extension behave equally to general symbols. This extensibility system removes the expressivity problem with visual programming language and meets the ease-of-use requirement because designers can use new symbols exactly in the same way as common symbols.

### 5 PERFORMANCE

The crucial issue is performance in stimuli presentation software. The correct function of the software is directly dependent on precise timing. The main measure is latency between start of a stimulus and synchronisation signal sent to electroencephalograph. From the principles of measuring ERP experiments (Luck, 2005) latency should be around 1ms, latency longer than 5ms can affect the results of experiment.

It cannot be assured maximum latency on traditional (non-real-time) operation systems which use the best-effort approach. Traditional operation systems can set a priority of processes to improve performance. In PreSti has been set the highest possible priority (called “real-time priority” even it is not really real-time) when presenting stimuli. In following tables (Table 1 and Table 2) are latencies compared with various process priorities and various CPU loads. Delays in these tables refer to time difference between execution of stimulus and signal sent to the parallel port.

#### Experiment 1:
- CPU load 2% - 7%
- repetitions: 500
- repetition interval: 500ms
- duration of visual stimulus: 50ms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Average delay [ms]</th>
<th>Maximum delay [ms]</th>
<th>Number of delays exceeding 5ms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Realtime priority</td>
<td>1.421</td>
<td>21.139</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal priority</td>
<td>1.723</td>
<td>21.325</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Experiment 2:
- CPU load 60% - 90%
- repetitions: 500
- repetition interval: 200ms
- duration of visual stimulus: 100ms
The experiments were taken from (Háka, 2011). It is clear from these experiments that the real-time priority lowers the delay by 20% on an unloaded processor and by 30% on a loaded processor. The real-time priority also lowers the number of delays exceeding 5ms. On the other hand, the real-time priority does not have any impact on maximum delay and this problem has to be solved using another approach. The problem with maximum delays is a task for future research.

6 SPECIFICATIONS

PreSti is a software tool running on Microsoft Windows platform and it uses the following technologies:
- C# programming language
- .NET Framework 4
- DirectX graphical rendering library
- XNA object oriented graphical library for DirectX
- NShape graphical library for creating flowchart diagrams

PreSti includes output symbols for communication with hardware, therefore system drivers are included in PreSti. Drivers use the following technologies:
- C programming language
- KMDF (Kernel Mode Driver Framework)

Software has been developed using a public code repository (Codeplex, 2011).

7 CONCLUSIONS

Visual programming has been chosen as an approach to the design of test scenarios in ERP experiments due to facilitation to users without knowledge of programming. The designed visual programming language in combination with provided extensibility has the same expressivity as conventional programming languages and it is possible to design all the existing test scenarios in this way. The crucial performance issue has been partially solved with process priority. There are still some persisting performance problems that must be solved before using PreSti in real experiments.
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