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Abstract: The success of many large businesses depends on quality of their business processes. Consequently, there are numerous approaches to the analysis and the optimization of these processes. The focus of most of these approaches is, however, on the generation and monitoring of basic metrics, such as the process duration. Further, analysis results are typically considered to be "one-off" efforts, without giving too much thought to reuse. Together, these two factors can have a negative impact on the business process quality, as improvements are either not discovered at all or might be not considered when the context changes. To address this issue, this paper presents an insight-oriented process repository that centrally captures insights based on standardized metrics, data integration and mining methods as well as graph analysis algorithms. The usefulness of this approach is demonstrated in an application to process optimization.

1 INTRODUCTION

This section first provides the paper’s motivation by illustrating how a semantically-rich repository for process insights can assist process optimization and hence ultimately improve process quality. Then, it introduces the platform that provides the means both for generating the insights captured in such a repository and for using the information contained within.

1.1 Motivation

In the past decade, businesses have moved from tweaking individual business functions towards optimizing entire business processes. Originally, this trend - then geared towards fundamental process redesign and called Business Process Reengineering (Hammer and Champy, 1993) - was triggered by the growing significance of Information Technology and the trend towards globalization (Champy, 1995). The increasing volatility of the economic environment and competition amongst businesses has further increased its significance over the past years and also created the need for faster, often incremental process improvements as well as continual monitoring of process performance.

To address this need, most businesses nowadays have dedicated staff tasked with business process analysis and optimization. Despite this considerable effort, most organizations are still looking for new ways of further improving process performance. This improvement can be achieved along two dimensions:

First, the analysis methods themselves can be improved in order to gain more and better process insights, i.e., knowledge that is useful with respect to improving the process. This can be achieved by going beyond the basic metrics offered by most of today’s process design tools and including, e.g., data mining algorithms (Zur Mühlen and Shapiro, 2009) and formalized domain knowledge (Niedermann et al., 2011) in the analysis. Second, the access to the gained insights can be improved to ensure that generated
insights are actually applied in different situations. While making the insights available, e.g., in basic text documents can be a first step towards this, the lack of semantics provided by this approach limits its usefulness. Instead, a semantically-rich process repository is required that is able to capture and make available the process insights gained, e.g., through the improved analytics discussed before.

1.2 Platform

To realize the improvements discussed in the previous section, a platform is required that goes beyond the capabilities of most of today’s process design tools, both in respect to its analytics and insight management capabilities. For this purpose, we have developed the deep Business Optimization Platform (dBOP) (Niedermann et al., 2010a) shown in Figure 1 that combines three different layers aimed at improving the quality and the usability of process insights:

- **Data Integration.** Data that is relevant to the process can be distributed across a number of relevant sources. While the most commonly used data source is process execution data contained in the audit trail of the Business Process Management System (BPMS), other relevant data is typically contained in operational data sources. The first layer of hence provides the facilities to integrate heterogeneous data sources using custom schema integration techniques.

- **Process Analytics.** Based on the integrated source data and the process model, process insights can be generated. For that purpose, the platform combines a set of standardized process metrics with graph analysis and data mining algorithms. The results of the insight generation are stored in the Process Insight Repository (PIR).

- **Process Optimization.** Finally, the insights stored in the PIR are used by one or several applications for the improvement of the process quality. This can be either while (manually) analyzing the process, while conducting an optimization with a specific goal in mind or for decision support during the execution of the process.

The main contribution of this paper is the storage and the management of process insights within the PIR. It introduces the meta-model that is used to describe semantically-rich processes within the PIR, discusses which insights are contributing to the goals of the PIR and provides the information model that is used to integrate the insights with the process models. Other aspects of the platform have been discussed extensively in previous work of the authors. This paper will hence only cover them as far as they are necessary to further the understanding of the PIR’s role and dependencies within the platform. For this purpose, the paper is structured as follows. First, Section 2 gives a brief overview of the analysis methods used to populate the PIR. Next, Section 3 introduces both the types of insights captured in the PIR and the model used for doing so. Section 4 then proceeds to explain how the PIR manages access to and changes of the insights contained within. Next, Section 5 illustrates the usefulness of the insights contained in the PIR through a sample application scenario. Finally, Section 6 discusses related work before concluding the paper in Section 7.

2 PROCESS ANALYTICS

To provide the data contained in the process knowledge component of the PIR, both the process models and their execution data need to be analyzed, as shown in Figure 2.

As the first step, execution data is aggregated using a set of process metrics which are discussed in more detail in the next section. Next, the dependencies within the process model that might allow or disallow certain optimizations of the process, e.g., parallelization or the relocation of knockout sequences (Van der Aalst, 2001), are assessed. Based on the metrics and the initial model result, so called “special process constructs” are identified in the third step. These are activities or sub-processes that warrant special further examination by additional analysis techniques. One example for that step is the identification of decisions within the process, as they are a prime candidate for analytical support or even automation (Rozinat and van der Aalst, 2006b) based on data mining models. Finally, Data Mining models are con-
structured for various process elements. This includes the determination of process variants using clustering techniques, or, as in Figure 2, the automation of decisions with decision or model trees (Han and Kamber, 2006).

3 PROCESS INSIGHTS

After the previous section has introduced the methods to generate the insights contained in the PIR, this section will focus on discussing its actual contents. First, we will give an overview of the PIR contents. Next, the contained metrics are discussed. Finally, we discuss how further process insights can be derived through the use of Data Mining models.

3.1 Content Overview

The top structuring element of the PIR is, as shown in Figure 3, the process context. A process context groups processes that are executed in a similar environment and that, e.g., share access to a common set of resources. A process context belongs to one or several business domains. These business domains provide template objects, sample optimization patterns (see Section 5) and other domain-specific functionality that can be reused by a context.

Within a context, information about the processes and the resources belonging to that context are stored. Further, it is possible to store template objects, e.g., sub processes that are frequently used and shared across a number of processes, within a context. Both processes and resources are described by their respective models, additional knowledge captured, e.g., through data mining or metrics and through a process- or resource-centric view on the instance data which is stored in the integrated Data Warehouse of the platform shown in Figure 1. The PIR additionally contains meta-information required to effectively store and query the information contained within, that is used in the next section to query the repository.

3.2 Metrics

Process and resource metrics seek to explain certain aspects of the business process through the aggregation of some numerical properties. While the most common process metrics are activity/process duration and frequency and the most common resource metric usually refers to utilization, other metrics can be quite relevant for the analysis as well. Hence, we have compiled for the PIR a metrics catalogue from a number of different sources. The core catalogue contains only domain-independent metrics such as duration or frequency. Domain-specific metrics, such as energy efficiency in a manufacturing context, are provided by the business domains, as explained in Section 3.1.

3.3 Data Mining

While metrics are well-suited for capturing basic process properties, they do not perform well when it comes to explaining more complex behavior and dependencies. For that purpose, Data Mining techniques are required (Zur Mühlen and Shapiro, 2009). Data Mining models can further be used to automate or assist with decision activities (Rozinat and van der
Aalst, 2006a). Hence, Data Mining results are an important source of insights for the PIR.

Our platform provides a range of customized mining algorithms adapted from the WEKA suite (Hall et al., 2009). Depending on the types of process insights to be gained, different Data Mining techniques are applied as shown in Figure 4. For example, C4.5 decision trees and M5 model trees are used to automatically predict the outcomes of activities. Other mining techniques employed include association rule mining for the identification and validation of business rules and clustering for the identification of process or activity variants.

4 REPOSITORY CAPABILITIES

After the previous section discussed the content of the repository in detail, this section introduces various capabilities that the PIR offers for accessing and modifying the contained information. We focus on three important features: Version and variant management, repository querying and model modification.

4.1 Version and Variant Management

Like standard version management tools (Collins-Sussman et al., 2004), the PIR supports two modes for adapting existing processes. Through the creation of a process version, a process designer declares that he wants to create a refined version of that process. As such, a new version is likely to (eventually) replace the process it was derived from. Versions are implicitly created whenever a process is modified and checked in back to the repository. The creation of a process variant, on the other hand, is an explicit act. By declaring a new process adaption to be a variant, the process designer explicitly creates a process model that is based on the existing one, however, does not supplant it. In the scenario we use for demonstrating the insight application (Section 5), this could, e.g., mean to create a specialized loan process for high-value assets. When creating a new version or variant, the insights related to the original process model are retained. Further, changes (either manual or pattern-based, see Section 5) are tracked to allow for later insight mining (see Section 7).

4.2 Repository Access

The contents of the PIR can be accessed in two ways: The basic access mode is just browsing through its contents. While this might be sufficient for basic applications and small repositories, access to large repositories additionally require a query interface. The query interface of the PIR hereby allows queries to address any of the properties that are part of the meta-model. To accommodate for different requirements, the PIR supports two query modes. The exact mode retrieves only PIR elements that fully match the given query. This can be, e.g., used to retrieve insights during process execution for a given activity.

The more complex and powerful fuzzy mode on the other hand retrieves all activities, process fragments or entire processes that exceed a certain similarity threshold with regards to the given query. This is achieved using process similarity measurements (Niedermann et al., 2010b).

4.3 Model Modification

The models contained in the PIR can be modified in two ways. The first way is through basic operators that enable the insertion, deletion or modification of model elements just as in standard process modelling tools. The second way is by using the optimization patterns, such as the ones shown in Figure 5, which we briefly already mentioned in the discussion of the role of business domains. These optimization patterns are a formalization of process design best practices such as the ones described in (Reijers and Li- man Mansar, 2005) and contain both a detection and a modification component, which enable process designers to modify processes in a goal-oriented fashion. The patterns are described using the same meta-model as the PIR. As the detection of a pattern is based on the insights contained in the PIR, it can be conducted automatically. Section 5 shows an example of both the pattern detection and their application in a case scenario.
5 INSIGHT APPLICATION

This section briefly demonstrates the application of the insights contained in the **PIR** for a sample process scenario. As the applications to process analysis are fairly obvious (i.e., largely revolve around queries to the **PIR** as described above) we will focus on the applications of the **PIR** to process optimization and decision support.

For the demonstration, the (greatly simplified) loan handling process shown in the upper part of Figure 6 is used. In it, a bank clerk first enters all the customer’s details as well as the details of the loan being requested. After it has been verified that the ratio of loan volume to collateral exceeds a certain minimum, the loan risk is assessed and the loan conditions are set accordingly. As the process has already been analyzed, the upper part of Figure 6 already shows the activity durations and frequencies. Not shown in Figure 6, the analyzer has also identified two special process constructs: “Check Collateral Ratio” initiates a knockout sequence, while “Decide on Loan Conditions” is the decision node of a corresponding decision.

The optimization of the process is depicted throughout Figure 6. First, the business analyst decides on the optimization goal “process duration”. The optimizer then selects patterns that are conducive to this goal and determines which of these patterns are applicable.

In this scenario, the optimizer can identify three applicable patterns from the catalogue of standard patterns. First, the knockout sequence “Check Collateral Ratio” → “Cancel Loan” can be executed right after the loan and collateral volume have been entered. Hence, the **Early Knockout** pattern can be applied, moving the knockout sequence and reducing average process duration by 2.1 minutes, as the subsequent activities are only executed in 70% of the cases.

Second, as the “Decide on Loan Condition” decision takes up considerable time and there is a high-quality decision tree available in the **PIR**, the optimizer proposed to either automate or support the decision with said classifier, respectively using the **Automated Decision** or the **Supported Decision** patterns. In our scenario, the process analyst decides not to fully automate, but instead support the decision of the clerk by providing the clerk with a model-based solution proposal. The clerk then only has to check that everything is in order (which, in this case scenario, is assumed to reduce the activity duration by 75%). Overall, this additionally reduces the process duration by 4.9 minutes. Hence, the optimized process, as shown in the lower part of Figure 6, now requires on average 7 minutes less in total than the original process.

6 RELATED WORK

The Process Insight Repository (PIR) presented in this paper is part of our ongoing work on creating a platform for the (semi-)automated, analytical optimization of business processes, please see (Niedermann et al., 2011) for an overview of both the platform and of related work.

Both the importance of using analytics in process optimization and the need for managing process models in a central repository has been (separately) widely recognized both in research and in practice. However, the combination of these two concepts so far is not widely covered. Closest to the approach presented is the work on integrated process warehouses (Casati...
et al., 2007), Business Process Intelligence (Grigori et al., 2004), Business Process Analytics (Zur Mühlen and Shapiro, 2009) and some variants of Process Mining (Van der Aalst et al., 2010). However, these approaches typically focus on data integration and analysis issues and less on the representation and sharing of process-centric insights.

Various approaches deal with enhancing the design of process repositories. (Ma et al., 2007) proposes a semantic business process repository, that uses in-built reasoning capabilities for retrieving process models for a given (semantic) user query. (Shahzad et al., 2009) discusses various requirements for process repositories and provides an evaluation of some existing implementations, however, without giving significant consideration to the analytical dimension.

7 CONCLUSIONS AND CURRENT WORK

This paper has presented a semantically rich Process Insight Repository (PIR). The PIR provides a central place for the storage of aggregated process insights and provides the facilities to access these insights both at process design, execution and analysis time. Beyond improving the sharing of insights across an organization, the PIR also enables increased efficiency and effectiveness of business process optimization. This is achieved by combining the insights contained in the PIR with so called optimization patterns, which represent formalized process best practice for the application domain of the given process.

Our current work on the PIR is concerned with two major topics. First, we are working on the implementation of additional business domains, with a special focus on the manufacturing domain. Second, we are exploring the possibilities of insight mining, i.e., the application of data mining techniques to the models contained in the PIR.

REFERENCES


