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Abstract: In this paper we consider the task of 3D photo visualization using a single monocular image. The main idea is to use single photos taken by capturing devices such as ordinary cameras, mobile phones, tablet PCs etc. and visualize them in 3D on normal displays. Supervised learning approach is hired to retrieve depth information from single images. This algorithm is based on the hierarchical multi-scale Markov Random Field (MRF) which models the depth based on the multi-scale global and local features and relation between them in a monocular image. Consequently, the estimated depth image is used to allocate the specified depth parameters for each pixel in the 3D map. Accordingly, the multi-level depth adjustments and coding for color anaglyphs is performed. Our system receives a single 2D image as input and provides a anaglyph coded 3D image in output. Depending on the coding technology the special low-cost anaglyph glasses for viewers will be used.

1 INTRODUCTION

Nowadays 3D rendering and visualization are terms which have frequently been encountered in the discussions of computer vision and graphics. 3D capturing and display devices are becoming popular and 3D cinemas and movies have attracted a lot of attention during the recent years. Speed of change in the electronic market is substantially high and selection of a product among various brands and prices is quite difficult. Most people are concerned about the money they spend on a product which they think that might be useless or out of technology in the near future. On the other hand, many people are not willing to spend their money on changing their devices year by year. For recording and visualization of photos and videos in 3D, expensive stereo cameras and 3D display devices are available in the market. Here the question is whether it is possible to find a simple, efficient and cost-effective way to make use of ordinary capturing and display devices to visualize the content in 3D?

What we present in this paper is a novel approach which enables us to use our normal 2D digital cameras, mobile phones, tablet PCs or any other capturing devices as a 3D camera and display. Our 3D rendering and visualization algorithm heavily relies on recovering depth from single monocular images captured by an ordinary camera. Depth modeling from single images is based on the hierarchical, multi-scale Markov Random Field (MRF) (Saxena et al., 2005). Supervised learning approach is used to train the parameters of the depth model from single images and the corresponding ground truth depth maps. Hence the trained system is used to recover the depth from monocular still images. The main goal of this work is to make use of this depth map for 3D visualization of single photos. At this step our algorithm receives the depth map and automatically adjusts the 3D visualization parameters for all the pixels. Afterwards, it will be coded into two different channels for 3D visualization in color anaglyphs (Mcallister et al., 2010; Dubois, 2001). The 3D rendered result can be displayed on any normal screen and users simply need to wear low-cost anaglyph eyeglasses to view in 3D. Moreover, our solution can be applied to 2D to 3D video conversion.

2 RELATED WORK

Mainly, two categories of works are related to this project: Depth estimation and recovery from single still images; 3D rendering and visualization by color anaglyphs. Recovering depth from a single image is still a challenging issue in discussions of computer vision. Most previous works on depth estimation and 3D reconstruction have focused on stereopsis.
(Scharstein and Szeliski, 2002), structure from motion (Forsyth and Ponce, 2003), multiple view geometry (Hartley and Zisserman, 2003) and depth from defocus (Das and Ahuja, 1995). Structure from motion (SfM) algorithms focus on the problem of recovering the three-dimensional structure of a scene from the motion observed in two or multiple views. These approaches often rely on the tracking of a set of detected features in image frames. From the feature correspondences in two or multiple views, a unique representation of the scene can be constructed. Depth from defocus is the process of recovering depth of a scene from the blurring of the image regions. The degree of defocus is a function of the lens setting and the depth of the scene (Chaudhuri, 1999). Therefore, in many practical cases of depth recovery, the only provided information is a single image whereas, structure from motion methods perform 3D reconstruction from two or n views of a scene and depth from defocus relies on the known camera lens settings. Other approaches such as using IR depth cameras or laser scanners for depth estimation are quite expensive solutions (Quartulli and Datcu, 2001). There are also several algorithms which perform depth recovery from single images but they basically rely on known objects, fixed sizes or uniform colors and textures (Nagai et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 1999; Maki et al., 2002; Lindberg and Garding, 1993; Malik and Rosenholtz, 1997; Malik and Perona, 1990) and their performance on complex, unstructured and highly textured images are rather weak. For 3D visualization, stereoscopic techniques using 3D glasses, glasses-free 3D displays and other technologies have been introduced and used for many years. In stereoscopic techniques two types of viewers are available, active and passive. Active viewers such as active shutter glasses have interaction with a display and they are rather expensive. Passive viewers such as polarized glasses or anaglyph glasses are low-cost and available everywhere. Another method for displaying 3D content is autostereoscopy or glasses-free 3D. In this method, device displays multiple views to ensure that each eye receives a different view or in another method display uses head tracking for stereoscopic visualization. (Holliman, 2004; Jones et al., 2001) introduce the methods for controlling the perceived depth in stereoscopic views. Finally, for 3D visualization different methods such as anaglyph rendering (Tran, 2005; Mcallister et al., 2010; Wimmer, 2005) can be used.

3 MONOCULAR FEATURES FOR DEPTH ESTIMATION

Unlike the humans, judging depth from single images has been a challenging and difficult task for computers. Depth perception from single images are highly dependant on the local and global features and relationship between them which can be introduced as contextual information such as texture variations, texture gradients, occlusion, known object sizes, haze, defocus, etc (Michels et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2004; Sinha et al., 1998). These global features of the image can not be extracted only from small patches of pixels. For instance if we only consider a small blue patch, it is extremely difficult to tell if this patch is part of a bluish object, in the foreground or it is taken from the far away sea. In another case analysis of the parallel lines in a perspective view comparing with the same lines in small patches will definitely provide more information for depth perception. For this reason, in absolute depth estimation, modeling the relationship between features and their neighbors at different scales seems unavoidable.

4 FEATURE VECTOR

In the proposed method by (Saxena et al., 2005; Saxena et al., 2008; Saxena et al., 2007), a single image is divided into small patches. For each patch two types of features are introduced: absolute depth features used to approximate the absolute depth at each patch and relative depth features which indicate the relative depth between patches. The main three types
of local properties chosen for feature vectors are texture variation, texture gradients and haze. Texture can be inferred from the intensity information by applying Laws’ masks (Saxena et al., 2007). Haze information can be extracted from the color channels by averaging filter and finally, edge detector masks in different orientations provide the texture gradient from intensity image. Therefore, we can make the initial feature vectors by finding the sum absolute energy and sum squared energy from the response of a patch and its four neighboring patches to 9 Laws’ masks, color channels and six gradient masks in three scales (Saxena et al., 2005). In addition, the summary features of the column which the patch lies in are added to the feature vector. In this way for a selected patch the feature vector can cover the relationship between neighbors and very far neighbors. Moreover, in order for finding the relative depth between neighboring patches, a histogram of each of the filter outputs for a patch is calculated. These features are used to show how depths at different locations are related. Hence, the differences between the histograms of the neighboring patches can be used for relative depth estimation.

5 MRF AND DEPTH MAP RECOVERY

As discussed before, depth of a particular patch depends on both features of the patch and depth of the neighbors at different scales. In order to model this dependency, MRF is used (Saxena et al., 2008). Assume for each of three scales $s = 1, 2, 3$ we define:

$$d_i(s + 1) = (1/5) \sum d_j(s),$$

(1)

where $j \in N_i(i) \cup \{i\}$ and $N_i(i)$ are the four neighbors of the patch $i$ at scale $s$. This definition indicates that depth at higher scales is the average of depth at lower scales. Therefore, the jointly Gaussian MRF model for depth will be defined as:

$$P(d|X; \theta, \sigma) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left( d_i(1) - \bar{x} \right)^2 \right),$$

(2)

Here, $x_i$ is the absolute depth feature vector for patch $i$, $M$ is the total number of patches, $Z$ is the normalization constant and $\sigma$ and $\theta$ are the model parameters. Since for a horizontally mounted camera each row in the image has a different statistical properties, in reality different parameters for different rows can be considered (Saxena et al., 2005). In the next step a set of images and the corresponding depth maps are used as training data. Hence, the parameters of the system will be estimated by maximizing the conditional likelihood of the training data. After the learning step, for a given set of test images we can find the depth maps by maximizing the Eq. 2 in terms of $d_i$. The estimated depth map for a single image is a key point in our 3D visualization.

6 DEPTH NORMALIZATION AND PIXEL LEVEL TRANSLATION

Stereoscopy or 3D imaging is the enhancement of conveying the illusion of depth in photos or videos. This effect can be presented by transmission of slightly different image to each eye. In stereoscopic visualization different algorithms have been developed and most of them are very empirical. One common and low cost group of stereoscopic methods are color anaglyphs. In this method, which is known for many years, users wear special glasses with two different left and right colors, each for filtering the corre-
sponding layer from the stereoscopic image or video. The difference in perceived images from each eye is the source of depth perception and 3D illusion. The main principle behind the setup of stereo cameras is to capture stereo views of a scene with a slight translation between two camera lenses (Jones et al., 2001; Holliman, 2004). If we consider the captured images by a stereo camera, it is obvious that the projected points of the real scene on the image planes for closer objects are bigger than the farther objects. In other words, the distances between selected points of a real scene in camera views become smaller when we move from foreground to background. This is a key point for adjustment of the stereo views from a single image and the corresponding depth map. Therefore, in order to make stereo views, we keep one channel fixed and for the other channel we horizontally translate all pixels according to their corresponding depths. Bigger translation will be allocated to lower depth pixels and smaller translation will be applied to higher depths. Therefore, we use this inverse relation of the depth and stereoscopic translation to map the normalized pixel translation values to the interval [0-20].

7 ANAGLYPH 3D CODING

Up to this level, we could geometrically provide different views for left and right eyes. In order for left eye signal to be different from right eye the absorption curve has to be different. Furthermore, due to the parallax in stereoscopic image pair it requires at some points the luminance of one channel be greater than the other and vice-versa (Tran, 2005). Hence the absorption curves should satisfy the non-overlapping bands and luminance condition. Based on the above discussion, we implemented two different color anaglyphs known as red-cyan and color code (amber-blue). The absorption curve for red-cyan are in the range of [600-700nm] for left and [400-600nm] for right filters. Similarly, for amber-blue channels we have [500-700nm] for left and [400-500nm] for right eyes (Tran, 2005). In the implementation the so-called optimized red-cyan anaglyph suggested by Peter Wimmer (Wimmer, 2005) is used. As it is shown in Eq. 3 optimized anaglyph discards the red component of the original image and replaces that with the red channel derived from the weighted green and blue components. The cyan channel is directly made of green and blue components. The improved method with gamma correction is suggested in (Mcallister et al., 2010)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    r_d \\
    g_d \\
    b_d
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
    0 & 0.7 & 0.3 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \ast \begin{bmatrix}
    r_l \\
    g_l \\
    b_l
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
    0 & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & 1 & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \ast \begin{bmatrix}
    r_r \\
    g_r \\
    b_r
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The idea behind color code algorithm is that if one eye perceives a view which is in color and the other eye sees the view in monochrome, most likely the fusion between these two channels contains the full color range perception. Therefore, the amber color allows most of the colors to go through the channel and dark blue provides the monochrome image for the other eye. Eq. 4 indicates the weights for color code channels (Tran, 2005).

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    r_d \\
    g_d \\
    b_d
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
    1 & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & 1 & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \ast \begin{bmatrix}
    r_l \\
    g_l \\
    b_l
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
    0 & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 \\
    0.11 & 0.22 & 0.67
\end{bmatrix} \ast \begin{bmatrix}
    r_r \\
    g_r \\
    b_r
\end{bmatrix}
\]

8 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Fig. 4 shows the typical operation of our algorithm in four main stages. Our trained system receives a single monocular image as input. The algorithm recovers the depth map from the input. Next, it normalizes the depth map values and converts that to the pixel translation values. Afterwards, it adjusts the translation for stereoscopic views and codes the channels for color anaglyphs. Finally, the stereoscopic 3D image will be merged and cropped for visualization. According to the analyzed error of the depth recovery measured by (Saxena et al., 2005), the algorithm estimates the depth maps with the average error of 0.132 order of the magnitude. It predicts the relative depths quite well, but makes more errors in absolute depth estimation. Since we normalize and map the depth to pixel translation in our desired interval, we only consider the relative depth of the patches and the absolute
depth is not important for us. The satisfying final output can also prove that.

Table 1 shows the calculated average processing time for single images of different sizes. Experiments are conducted in MATLAB 7.5.0 on a 2.9Ghz desktop computer. Over 90% of the processing time is allocated to depth recovery from monocular image and the rest for 3D adjustments and anaglyph rendering.

The sample input images are selected from the collection used by (Saxena et al., 2005). Rendering part is performed for both red-cyan and amber-blue color code anaglyphs (see Fig.5).

9 CONCLUSIONS

In this work we introduced a system for 2D to 3D photo conversion and visualization using a single monocular camera. Robustness, simplicity and efficiency are the main advantages of the presented approach. This system helps users convert their 2D photos into 3D regardless of having special expensive capturing devices or 3D displays. In our method patch level depth recovery and pixel level translation result in a high resolution 3D output. This approach provides a realistic depth perception and 3D illusion for viewers. Other available systems which convert single images to anaglyphs suffer from un-
realistic visualization, distorted regions, unbalanced foreground/background or low resolution output. The future work will be focused on the optimization and enhancement of the current system in 2D to 3D video conversion.

Table 1: Average processing time for different image sizes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image size</th>
<th>Processing time/Sec.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>360x440</td>
<td>82.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>640x480</td>
<td>141.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1024x768</td>
<td>208.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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