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Abstract: Computer generated 3D models of buildings are an important component of many computer graphics applications. Rapid and easy-to-use modelling techniques are often more important than the ability to create precise and detailed geometry. We present a sketch-based modelling tool for the rapid creation of rough 3D building models. The algorithm analyses the sketch input, extracts shape and detail information, predicts the building type, creates 3D models using three different reconstruction techniques, and adds building details using a displacement texture. A user study confirms that the algorithm is easy to use, intuitive, efficient and fun.

1 INTRODUCTION

Computer generated 3D models of buildings are an important component of many virtual environments including urban design, video games, virtual worlds, visual impact studies, architecture and archaeology. In many applications rapid modelling (prototyping) is more important than precision and meticulous details. In game development in particular, there has been a trend toward roughing out designs to evaluate the overall concept before investing the effort involved in detailed design. This stage of development is often referred to as white-boxing (Byrne, 2005). Sketch-based interfaces are an attractive solution for this type of application since they are intuitive (pen-and-paper metaphor), encourage creativity, and enable users to concentrate on the overall problems rather than details. This trend is likely to strengthen further with the advent of cheap and reliable touchscreen technology (Windows 7, iPhone, iPad) and haptic interfaces.

In this paper we present a novel algorithm for the rapid design of 3D buildings from basic 2D sketch input. We motivate the design of our algorithm by evaluating users’ drawings and mental models of 3D buildings. We develop algorithms for sketch classification and mapping the 2D sketch input to 3D geometry representing the building shape and displacement textures containing geometric details.

Section 2 reviews relevant previous work in sketch-based modelling and building modelling. Section 3 presents a user study investigating how inexperienced users sketch buildings. From this we derive the design requirements for our application. Section 4 presents the design of the system. We evaluate our application in section 5 and conclude the paper and offer suggestions for future work in section 6.

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

Existing tools for building creation can be differentiated into two types: libraries of building models and components allow users to assemble them into new buildings (Artifice Inc., 2010). These tools are easy to use, but restrict the user’s creativity and limit the style and shape of buildings. On the other extreme there are fully-featured and extensible modelling tools such as AutoCAD and Maya, which enable the construction of detailed 3D models with a precision and level-of-detail required by architects and engineers. These tools suffer from complex interfaces and a steep learning curve, and require creativity and the ability to construct 3D mental models, hence making them unsuitable for inexperienced users. Specialised software for building modelling has also been produced, e.g., (Iron Perth, 2010), but still suffers from a complex interface and a steep learning curve.

The work closest to our research is the “sketching reality” technique, which is capable of generating detailed and textured models of buildings from a perspective drawing of a single view (Chen et al., 2008). This is achieved by analysing the sketch for junctions, edges and faces, and using probabilistic techniques to map it to a model which satisfies any specified archi-
tectural constraints and resembles known topologies. The resulting models not only capture large scale shape, structure and topology, but also smaller details and features such as windows, doors and pillars. Nevertheless, the method requires detailed and accurate perspective drawings, and is hence only suitable for experienced users such as architects, artists and designers.

Cherlin et al. present a comparatively lightweight and much more minimal and accessible system for sketch-based modelling of general objects (Cherlin et al., 2005). While the authors did not apply their technique to the modelling of highly structured objects such as buildings, their goals are somewhat similar to our research. Their focus lies in minimising the number of strokes required in a sketch, and developing methods which draw parallels to the natural sketching tendencies of users. Rivers et al. model a shape from a set of orthographic projections of the object, which is a popular representation in architectural design (Rivers et al., 2010). The authors use computer generated projections and not sketch input, which would be unlikely to result in matching perspective projections.

3 REQUIREMENT ANALYSIS

A user study was employed to establish the scope and requirements of our research, to gauge potential challenges, and to get indications for the design of our sketch-based modelling tool. Details are described in (Olsen et al., 2011). We concluded that our sketch-based modelling tool should accept sketch input of a 2D nature as sketching in 2.5D is fraught with difficulty. Since most users drew the front face of buildings, it was concluded that it would be sufficient to work with one face and extrapolate the rest if possible, rather than asking users to sketch multiple faces. It was noted that skyscrapers tend to look similar from all sides, whereas the same assumption cannot be made for houses and other general buildings. Rounded features present much difficulty as they introduce ambiguity because it is not always clear exactly which segments are rounded, e.g., a rounded contour at the base could indicate a cone, cylinder or an extruded conical cross section.

4 DESIGN

4.1 Design Overview

We have evaluated a large number of photos of various buildings from Google and found that many can be characterised as follows: (1) Being roughly rotational symmetric (e.g., towers); or (2) having an extruded shape, e.g., warehouses; or (3) having a complex silhouette, which however looks similar from all sides, e.g., many skyscrapers and castles. Most buildings which do not fit these descriptions can be divided into components belonging to the above three types, e.g., churches have usually an extruded shape for the nave and a spire which looks similar from all sides. In order to make the resulting models look interesting we allow users to add detailed features to the sketches, e.g., windows and doors.

We do not want to add complexity in the form of annotations describing the type of building or feature. Our algorithm has therefore been designed in a way that such classifications are made automatically where required and otherwise that it gives a plausible result regardless of the semantic of a particular sketch. We achieve this by classifying the building type according to its contour and pattern of detailed features. The features themselves are represented by a displacement map which generates the illusion of a complex geometry, without requiring information about the type of represented shape. We found that this works well for windows, doors, and other building features such as decorations in the facade.

Figure 1 gives a summary of our algorithm for the sketch-based modelling of buildings. The following subsections explain the various algorithm steps in more detail.
4.2 Sketch Recognition

The user input sketches must be smoothed and approximated by polylines in order to use them for the subsequent polygonal modelling algorithms. We use the Douglas-Peucker algorithm (Douglas and Peucker, 1973) to approximate user-input, eliminate minor noise and generate a set of straight lines which closely matches the original input sketch.

We then separate the building outline from detail features (windows, doors, reliefs) and extraneous features (trees, smoke, clouds, ground plane). We first identify the building silhouette, i.e., we search for the largest approximately closed shape in the sketch. Our current prototype requires outlines to be sketched as one single stroke. This is inconvenient, but hasn’t proved a major problem in practice. Solutions combining disjoint sketches have been proposed in the literature (Sun et al., 2006) and will be implemented in the future. Sketches inside the building silhouette form “detail features” (we require again closed shapes) and sketches outside of it are classified as extraneous features and are discarded. In many cases users want to achieve symmetric building contours, but produce skewed results. We have incorporated an algorithm for symmetry detection (axis of symmetry) and adjustment (Olsen et al., 2011).

4.3 Projection Algorithm

Many buildings do have a square footprint and look similar from all sides, e.g., skyscrapers and castles. For this type of buildings a realistic 3D model can be generated by taking the user’s 2D sketch of the front face, extruding it in z-direction, and projecting the front face onto the other faces of the extruded model, so that the resulting 3D model has the same projection in z-direction, and projecting the front face by a given depth d in z-direction. We currently use a depth of 0.65 times the building width. This has been motivated by analysing pictures of warehouses and residential houses and by the fact that the value \( \frac{\text{width}}{\text{depth}} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \approx 1.54 \) is close to the golden ratio \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \approx 0.62 \) which has been shown to be aesthetically pleasing. In reality this ratio varies widely and we are considering giving the user some control over the extrusion depth using sketch input.

4.4 Extrusion Algorithm

Unlike skyscrapers, residential houses do not tend to have similar silhouettes from the front and side. Given a user’s 2D sketch of the front face of a house, the back and side faces can be obtained by extruding the front face by a given depth d in z-direction. We currently use a depth of 0.65 times the building width. This has been motivated by analysing pictures of warehouses and residential houses and by the fact that the value \( \frac{\text{width}}{\text{depth}} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \approx 1.54 \) is close to the golden ratio \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \approx 0.62 \) which has been shown to be aesthetically pleasing. In reality this ratio varies widely and we are considering giving the user some control over the extrusion depth using sketch input.

4.5 Rotation Algorithm

Many building structures, such as towers, are rotation symmetric. The identification of rotation symmetric shapes is explained in the next section. We generate the 3D geometry by determining an axis of rotation and generating a surface-of-revolution by rotating the sketched cross section around the axis. A common problem is that the user sketch is not exactly symmetric. We have incorporated an algorithm for symmetry detection and correction (Olsen et al., 2011). The number of segments of the rotated shape is by default set high enough to generate a visually smooth curved surface, but the user can change this parameter in a menu. The higher the segment number, the rounder the base - a segment number of 4 yields a square base building. In future versions of this algorithm we want to estimate the number of sections of the surface-of-revolution from the users sketch input.

4.6 Automatic Detection of Building Types

Selection between the projection algorithm and the extrusion algorithm is performed based on the dimension of the sketched building outline, the shape of the outline, and the number of internal features. If the building is more than 50% taller than it is wide, it is assumed to be some kind of tower and the projection algorithm is used. Similarly the projection algorithms is used if the building has more than 6 internal features or if the contour has more than 10 sample points. The motivation for this is that many detailed features, such as windows, indicate large buildings such as office buildings, which should look consistent from all
sides. A detailed silhouette would look unnatural if extruded in one direction and having the same look from the front and side usually results in a visually more pleasing shape for complex contours.

It was observed in the user study that rounded structures are typically drawn with a curve at the base, hence the rotation algorithm is selected if a curved stroke is detected at the base of a building. A stroke is determined to be curved if its Douglas Peucker reduction meets the following condition:

1. The angle between the first and last segment is between 20° and 180°.
2. No difference in angle between consecutive segments is greater than 30°.
3. The average curvature (angle/distance) is greater than 1.3 times the standard deviation of the curvature.

The final condition serves to reject curves that bend both ways, or are not smooth enough. All of the values were selected empirically, with the first two conditions set conservatively such that they simply reject strokes that are clearly not curves. The final condition required more careful adjustment. Ideally, different parts of the building could be identified as rounded separately. At this stage, however, if one or more curved strokes are found, the entire building is assumed to be rotationally symmetric.

If none of the conditions for the projection and rotation algorithm are fulfilled, then we choose the extrusion algorithm.

### 4.7 Relief Mapping

The user study in section 3 demonstrated that most users like to add features such as windows and doors to a building sketch. Adding these features to the resulting 3D model is desirable since it makes the model look more natural, interesting, and distinguishable. Representing sketched building features with texture maps results in a flat unnatural look, whereas constructing 3D geometry could lead to very complex shapes and is difficult to apply to rounded surfaces. We therefore decided to represent sketched building features with a relief map (displacement map).

The displacement maps need to be generated automatically from the user sketch input, ideally with no extra user actions required. Since we do not want to require annotations, and extracting semantic without annotation is difficult we decided to represent all closed features within the building outline with a displacement map. If the user draws on top of an existing feature outline the new intersecting shape will be reversed. The displacement map is represented by a 2D gray scale image where 127 represents no displacement. Values smaller or larger than this value displace surface points inside or outside the wall, respectively. As mentioned before detail features are detected as strokes lying within the building outline. The strokes are approximated with a polyline using the Douglas-Peucker algorithm and then associated with an appropriate position on the displacement map. The displacement values within the feature sketch are set by using a scan line conversion algorithm.

### 5 RESULTS

We evaluated our algorithm using a three-step approach: First we tested whether the pictures drawn in the original user study would result in plausible 3D building models. Secondly we searched for a variety of real buildings on the Internet and tried to recreate them using our tool. Thirdly we performed a user study evaluating the effectiveness, intuitiveness and ease-of-use of our application.

#### 5.1 Creation of Building Models from Paper Sketches

In order to test our program with the results from the initial user study we replicated some of the paper drawings in our sketch interface. Most results looked visually pleasing and plausible as illustrated in figure 2. The top of the figure shows an industrial building drawn by one of the participants in our user study. Our program has correctly detected that the extrusion algorithm is most appropriate. Features, though a bit uneven, appear as drawn, and extruding inwards is shown to be a reasonable assumption. The bottom of the figure shows an industrial building drawn by one of the participants in our user study. Our program has correctly detected that the extrusion algorithm is most appropriate. Features, though a bit uneven, appear as drawn, and extruding inwards is shown to be a reasonable assumption. The bottom of the figure shows an industrial building drawn by one of the participants in our user study.

The second set of evaluations involved using photographs of real buildings and modelling them using our application. Figure 4 shows that the resulting models are visually attractive and represent a good
approximation of the original buildings. All of the building models were created in less than a minute.

Some problems can be observed: the roof of the 3D model of the warehouse has a bend due to a bend in the input sketch, which was not eliminated by the Douglas-Peucker algorithm. While straighter lines could be obtained by increasing the \( \varepsilon \)-value of the algorithm, this would also smooth out intended features. For the castle the tower in the middle of the silhouette is replicated not only along the outside of the shape, but also in the middle of the model. In general, if we have an outline with \( k \) bulges then the resulting 3D model will have \( k \times k \) 3D bulges. Also note that the towers have a square shape rather than rounded as in the photo. Another problem which can be observed is, that it is difficult to sketch all detail features of a large building, e.g., all windows of a skyscraper. This issue could be resolved by filling the input sketch with detail features automatically based on a user sketched example distribution (Guan and Wünsche, 2011).

### 5.3 User Study

In order to evaluate our application we asked nine users to model the buildings in 12 photos shown to them (Olsen et al., 2011). The users were students aged 21-23 with no or very limited modelling experience. The users were presented several statements regarding modelling each building and they had to respond by selecting an answer on a seven level Likert scale ranging from “-3” (strong disagreement) to “3” (strong agreement). The results of this evaluation (average of all buildings) are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>( \sigma )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Easy to model (all images)</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model looked as expected (all images)</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tool is fun</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tool easier than other modelling tools</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Several buildings could not be modelled with a single object, resulting in lower scores. Some complex objects such as a castle initially intimidated users, which were subsequently pleasantly surprised about how easy it was. Overall users were able to quickly learn how to use our tool without additional aid. They did not need to be told how the building generation algorithms work as they were able to work this out on their own. Users stated that it was easier to use our tool than other 3D modelling tools they had used or seen.

### 6 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

We have presented a novel algorithm for the sketch-based modelling of buildings for use in rapid prototyping and applications where low detailed models are sufficient. Our algorithm is based on a user study observing how inexperienced users represent buildings, which suggests that 2D input without perspective information is the most intuitive representation. In order to introduce a third dimension we have analysed existing buildings and then created three different modelling algorithms based on extrusion, surfaces of revolution, and an innovative projection-based algorithm. The most suitable type of algorithm is automatically determined by evaluating the input sketch. For symmetric input sketches the axis of symmetry is determined and the input sketch corrected to avoid skewed results. Detailed features sketched within the building are represented by a displacement map. Our user evaluation suggests that the presented algorithm is extremely intuitive (users were able to model buildings without any instructions) and fun to use. A wide
variety of buildings can be successfully designed. Current failure cases include complex rounded buildings, buildings with holes (e.g., the Eiffel tower), and buildings containing components with different properties (e.g., a church with extruded nave and narrow spire).

The presented work offers significant scope for future research, in particular we require a component wise construction of buildings. The selection of the most appropriate modelling algorithm us still quite simplistic. A more flexible method taking into account “sketched hints” might be more appropriate, similar to curved strokes indicating round objects.
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