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Abstract: When creating interactive applications for data exploration three major challenges can be identified: The integration of heterogeneous data sources at runtime, the integration of suitable visualization methods and the availability of interaction methods which enable domain experts to (implicitly) apply their expert knowledge in the knowledge driven exploration process. To address these challenges we introduce the KnoVA (Knowledge-Based Visual Analytics) reference model, which allows for generating a description of visualization methods, interaction methods and data sources. We then outline how this model can be useful to create knowledge based visual analytics systems in a model driven software development process.

1 INTRODUCTION

In public health, especially in the field of population-based epidemiology, data analysis has early been identified as important. As an example, the Epidemiological Cancer Registry Lower Saxony (Germany) (EKN) by now holds nearly two million data sets about cancerous diseases. These data sets are hierarchically structured (patients, indications, tumor indications) and modeled highly dimensional. Periodically the data collected at the cancer registry is integrated into a data-warehouse system and out of this data-warehouse pre-defined reports are being generated (Meister et al., 2003).

Working closely with domain experts at the EKN, we have distinguished an increasing demand for explorative analysis and for a more dynamic and interactive “ad-hoc” approach to the analysis than today’s tools offer, in order to gain insight in diseases and possible influence factors. The idea is to visualize the collected data and then mingle data from other sources into the visualizations. For example the average amount of certain tumor indications per region could be visualized on a thematic map, to possibly find regions with atypical high or low rates. Then data from other sources could be integrated interactively in the analysis process to find correlations of possible influence factors. (Flöring and Hesselmann, 2010).

2 MOTIVATION

Based upon this idea and upon feedback we received from the users at the EKN, we identified three important key factors that influence the effectiveness of visual analytics applications in the epidemiological domain. Firstly the suitable information to approach a certain analysis question has to be available. In the example mentioned earlier, next to the epidemiological data it is vital to have the ability to integrate additional data sources, such as data about possible influence factors. Secondly the analysis tools must provide suitable graphical representations to visualize the data. In typical analysis tasks the analyst will use various visualizations at once, each of which is best suitable for a specific kind of data. For the geographically spread tumor indications thematic maps might be the best choice, while for timely oriented data animated scatter plots might be advantageous. The third influence factor is expert knowledge. The choice of the right combination of data and suitable visualiza-
Visualization Integration. Likewise it is often not sufficient to only provide a single visualization method for a certain analysis task. Multiple visualization methods have to be integrated into the system so data can be linked across views and viewed from different perspectives and on different detail levels on co-located views. The challenge here is to create a mapping between the data format of the visualization and the data format of the actual data. This is more challenging in dynamical systems with multiple data sources, which not necessarily share the same data model.

Application of Expert Knowledge. Any decisions in the analysis process, e.g. which data sources to integrate, which visualizations to use and which operations on the data to perform, depend on domain expert knowledge. In the epidemiological example only a medically and statistically trained epidemiologist can make the right decision of whether population figures have to be normalized or which additional data-sources can sense-fully be integrated to create valuable new insight. It is therefore necessary to provide reasonable means of interaction, suitable for domain experts to use. The challenge here is to find appropriate abstractions to prevent the must of having knowledge of the underlying data manipulation operations (such as SQL or OLAP) and which allow for a translation and disposition of the operations throughout integrated data sources and across linked and co-located views.

To deal with these three challenges, we propose the use of a reference model for visual analytics applications and the analysis process as the basis of a model driven software development process.

3 RELATED WORK

There have been several pervious efforts to create models for visualization and analytics applications. In (Tang et al., 2004) the usage of the relational model is proposed. One shortcoming of the relational model is, that it is data centric and the model itself does not support the creation of suitable visual mappings. Haber and McNabb introduce a data-flow model to deal with this problem (Haber and McNabb, 1990). The system DataMeadow (Elmqvist et al., 2008) uses a similar data-flow model to aid the user during the exploration process by visually presenting the transformation pipeline. In a data-flow model the visual mapping is defined as a pipeline where each node in the pipeline is defining a data transformation. In opposition to this data-state models define states and transitions and each transition can be seen as a data transformation. Lark (Tobias et al., 2009) is based on the data-state model. This system is aimed at coordinated interaction for InfoVis systems on distributed workstations. In (Chi, 2002) is shown that data-state models and data-flow models are equally powerful and can be transformed into the respective counterpart.

Classifications of visualization methods has been approached from different viewpoints: data centric (Chuah et al., 1995), task/goal centric (Wehrend and Lewis, 1990) and (Valiati et al., 2006) and based upon stages (Pfitzner et al., 2003). In addition to that there were efforts to combine different viewpoints (Wenzel et al., 2003). Keim has introduced a classification of visual analytics systems aiming for a description of visualization methods properties (Keim, 2001).

4 THE KnoVA APPROACH

It is our aim to create a method that, according to the three challenges identified above, allows for a description of data sources and visualizations for ad-hoc integration and which allows for a description of applied expert knowledge. The goal of the KnoVA approach is to facilitate the extraction of expert knowledge, which is applied by the user into the visual analytics process and eventually apply this extracted knowledge to other analysis tasks. To achieve this we create a description, based upon existing classification approaches, which can be used as the basis for a domain specific language (DSL) in a model driven
software development (MDSD) process. This is motivated by the thought, that a MDSD process helps to design and implement a broad variety of powerful visual analytics applications in various domains. The KnoVA approach consists out of four distinctive parts:

1. A descriptive model for analysis applications, the **KnoVA reference model**.
2. A visualization state process model, based upon an adapted data-flow model (Tobias et al., 2009), where the KnoVA reference model is used to describe the states.
3. A rule language, based upon the KnoVA reference model, for the expression of rules to derive knowledge.
4. A matching algorithm used to identify applicable knowledge in certain states of an analysis application.

This paper focuses on the development of the KnoVA reference model. In the following we firstly describe the considerations that lead to the development. Subsequently we outline how the reference model can be used to create knowledge driven visual analytics applications in a model driven software development process. Finally we discuss new possibilities, which are opened up by a knowledge based visual analytics process.

### 4.1 The KnoVA Reference Model

According to (Keim et al., 2009) visual analytics is an iterative process with three distinctive steps: data selection and preprocessing, visualization, model building. The iteration evidently leads to insight and therefore to the generation of knowledge, that then can be applied to the previous steps in a feedback loop until the process of analytical reasoning is finished. Hence knowledge generated by the users’ insight is applied back to the process. According visual analytics is a knowledge driven process, in which expert knowledge is applied implicitly by the users interaction with the visualization. The user interaction results in a change of the system state. Thus a description of the system state in combination with the interaction or change is sufficient to subsume all of the identified properties. The result of this process can be seen in figure 1 where the six classes and subclasses are visualized following the style of UML package diagrams. Within the classes and subclasses the properties are displayed in an iconographic notation, which is inspired by the notation introduced in (Aigner et al., 2007). We identified the following classes:

**Data to be Visualized.** This class is used to categorize the data supported by the visual analytics system. We identified three distinct subclasses here in which data can be categorized: data type, data structure and data scale.

**Analysis Goal.** Most of the visual analysis applications we investigated are optimized for a specific analysis goal, like most visualization methods. Even though many visual methods can be used in
tasks with varying goals, it is still useful to identify all analysis goals to which methods are applicable. Therefore we consider it valuable to use analysis goal as category for classification. Clustering for example is a very common analysis goal in the visual analytics systems we investigated, scatter plots are a common visualization method to reach this goal.

**Visual Transformation.** This class subsumes transformations on the visualization which modify the visual representation but do not change the state of the underlying data. Fish-eye lenses, which visually enlarge or diminish parts of the visualization and local zooms, which enlarge the current visual representation without changing the underlying data section, fall into this category. Typically user interaction is necessary to apply these techniques. However, all interaction methods in this class are stateless and therefore do not change the mapping between the visualization and the underlying data.

**Interaction Technique.** In this class we group interaction techniques, which result in (possibly persistent) changes to the underlying data. These techniques vary from visual transformations, as they change not only the visual representation but also the current system state. For example in a visualization method for hierarchical data a zoom operation can trigger a data operation that leads to a switch in the mapping between the visualization and the underlying data. By doing that a more specialized or generalized hierarchical level of the data is displayed.

**Dynamic Representation.** Visualization methods can be distinguished into those which, unless there is user interaction, offer a static representation of the data and those where the data is animated. Animations are either continuous, with smooth transitions between frames or discrete like slide shows.

**Visualization Technique.** In this class finally we sum up the visualization techniques. Every visualization method has a specific visual representation of the data. This representation can be pixel based (e.g. each data point is mapped to a color value and then visualized as a pixel or a group of pixels), geometry based with a mathematical function defining the visual representation and so on.

Based upon this work, we created the KnoVA reference model of visual analytics systems, a language
to describe the properties of visual analytics applications.

4.2 Model Driven Realization

To demonstrate the possibilities that emerge out of the KnoVA reference model, we created the Visual Analytics Transform System (VAT-System). It aims at the analysis of epidemiological data combining various data sources and visualizations. A screenshot of this system can be seen in figure 2.

![Screenshot of the VAT-System.](image)

In the screenshot the two main parts of the application are shown, the menu and the work area. The menu gives access to so called system elements (data sources, data transformers and visualizations), which can interactively be connected to each other in the work area. System elements are connected by path drawn in between. Data transformers are used to make further selections, e.g. a simple data transformer would be a selection menu that lets the user specify a partition of the data to be analyzed.

To create the VAT-system we translated the KnoVA reference model into a DSL using the VMTS modeling framework (Levendovszky et al., 2005). Based upon the DSL new system elements (visualiza
tion methods or data sources) can be integrated into the system by the definition of appropriate mappings of their properties to the properties of the reference model. At runtime the linking of the selected system elements is done transparently for the user by an automatic evaluation of the mappings of the model instances of different system elements against the reference model. Thus, when a path connects two system elements, a matching component translates the mappings of the different system elements to their representation in the reference model DSL and then compares those system elements based upon the reference model. As an example, when two data sources are connected to the same data transformer (as shown in figure 2 for the selection menu), the mapping identifies similar properties of the system elements on their DSL based representation. Given that both data sources contain geo-spatial information and this is being identified on the level of the reference model DSL, the pre-defined mappings can be evaluated to identify similar instances (in this case geographic coordinates) on the instance level, to create a link between the data sources.

5 SUMMARY AND CONTRIBUTION

The KnoVA reference model is based upon the work of Keim (Keim, 2001) where a classification system for visualization applications was proposed containing three orthogonal axis: Data to be visualized, visualization technique and interaction technique. Our contribution here is a substantial enhancement of this classification by the introduction of additional classes (visual transformation, analysis goal and dynamic representation), the introduction of sub-classes (data type, data structure, data scale and animated/dynamic) and by the identification of additional classifying properties (arithmetical, complex, functional dependent, multi dependent, continuous, discrete, static, panning zoom, explorative zoom, selection, projection, geo-spatial, association, classification and clustering) to create the KnoVA reference model.

Opposing to Keims classification the classes and classifying properties of the KnoVA reference model are not orthogonal; they are rather used in a descriptive way. The new concept of subclasses was introduced mainly because this hierarchical structure simplifies the language definition in the VMTS modeling environment, which is done by using a subset of UML class diagrams.

As shown exemplarily on the VAT-system the model driven approach supports the development of powerful visual analytics applications where the integration of new system elements is carried out and performed as definition of a mapping between the new system element to integrate and the DSL presenting the reference model. This addresses two of the key challenges we identified above as it simplifies data integration and visualization integration. With the iconographic language for the description of the KnoVA reference model, we substantially extended the graphical notation introduced in (Aigner et al., 2007). We believe using an iconographic language adds value for communication in the scientific world and as a side effect might be used in future to aid users when comparing different visualization methods by giving them direct visual feedback about the properties a certain visualization method has.
6 FUTURE RESEARCH

The definition of the KnoVA reference model and the DSL based implementation is the first step in the KnoVA approach. Currently we are working on a formal description of the DSL based system states, to create a visualization state process model. The basic idea is, that knowledge is applied implicitly by the users interaction, which leads to a change in the system states. In addition to this, a rule language for knowledge extraction has to be defined. This work being done, the complete KnoVA approach for knowledge based visual analytics applications can be used to create visual analytics applications that allow for an extraction of implicit expert knowledge.

An open research questions is whether the knowledge extraction can take place automatically or whether user interaction is necessary. Another open question is how the knowledge can be applied to other tasks. One possibility to use the knowledge in a different context can be the automatic generation of possible next step suggestions or the generation of suggestions for other suitable visualizations. This will address the third challenge identified above.
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