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Abstract: In this study we model the effect of promotions in time-series data and we consequently forecast that extraordinary effect via Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) as implemented from the Expert Method of a popular Artificial Intelligence software. We simulate data considering five factors as to determine the actual impact of each individual promotion. We consider additive and multiplicative models, with the later presenting both linear and non-linear relationships between those five factors; in addition, we superimpose either low or high levels of noise. Our empirical findings suggest that, for nonlinear models with high level of noise, ANN outperform all benchmarks. Standard ANN topologies work well for models with up to two factors while the Expert method provided by the software works well for higher number of factors.

1 INTRODUCTION

Marketing modelling has used over the years many techniques, methods and applications derived from management science and psychology among other sciences. However, the complexity and richness of marketing science data make them an ideal candidate for analysis on the hands of Artificial Intelligence techniques, especially in the 21st century where computational power made such an exercise feasible.

In this preliminary study we first model the additive effect of promotions in time-series data considering five factors: Budget, Duration, Media, Perceived Benefit and Price Change, while the order of the factors does no indicate any hierarchy of importance. Consequently we forecast that extraordinary effect via Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) is implemented from the Standard and Expert Method of a popular Artificial Intelligence software. We simulate data considering both additive and multiplicative models, with the later presenting both linear and non-linear relationships between those five factors; in addition, we superimpose either low or high levels of noise.

We believe this is a realistic representation of field-data for promotional activity and aspire in further research to replicate and corroborate the findings of this study via empirical evidence on real data.

The rest of the study is as follows: a short description of the problem under consideration is provided, followed by the presentation of the simulated data. Section four provides the empirical findings while the last section communicates the main findings of this research.

2 THE PROBLEM

Sales promotions are short-term incentives used to increase sales of products. Spending on promotions represents a major share of marketing budgets for most consumer goods. Many products are sold today with a percent of sales volume focused on ‘deals’. Moreover, the use of promotions is spreading to other marketing situations. Pharmaceutical companies often offer drug stores discounts and free goods; durable manufacturers (automobiles, TV sets, phones, etc) use discounts and industrial
manufacturers offer temporary price reductions to their distributors.

A wide body of literature has focused on understanding consumer response to the retailers' promotion. Some researchers developed individual choice models to measure the impact of promotions on consumer choice (Kuehn and Rohloff 1967, Ehrenberg 1972, Guadagni and Little 1983). Some research has dealt with consumer stockpiling and purchase acceleration to explain promotion sales patterns (Shoemaket 1979, Battberg, Eppen and Lieberman 1981).

Other researchers have considered brand switching and the impact of promotions on repeat purchases (Shoemaker and Shaf 1977, Dodson, Tybout and Sternthan 1978). A number of studies looked at promotion response as consumer segmentation variables (Blattberg and Sen 1976, Blattberg, Buesing, Peacock and Sen, 1978).

3 THE DATA

In this study we used simulated data, constructed in such a way so as to be as close as possible to real-life data in respect for promotions of durable products. We have followed the promotion profiles described by Blattberg (1995) and Blattberg et al. (1995).

3.1 Factors

For the productions of the simulated data in this paper, five factors that influence the promotional impact on sales such as Budget, Duration, Media, Perceived Benefit and Price Change are being considered. The order of the variables at this moment does no indicate any level of importance. The ranges used for each of the factors are the following:

- **Budget (B)** ranges from 50 to 150 with each unit to be equivalent to 1000€.
- **Duration (D)** ranges from 1 to 14 days.
- **Media (M)** is a categorical variable ranging from 1 to 4, where:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Media Used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Newspaper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Newspaper + Radio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Newspaper + Radio + Internet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Newspaper + Radio + Internet + TV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Perceived Benefit (PB)**: it is assumed that one of the factors on which the success of the marketing campaign is dependent is customer perception of the product. This variable/factor is a gauge of the level of benefit that the customers think he/she will get from buying the product. Perceived Benefit is a categorical variable ranging from 0 to 5, where 0 indicates that the customer does not think of any benefit from buying the product, while 5 represents a strong perceived benefit from buying the product.

- **Price Change (PC)**: one of the main incentives given to customers in a marketing campaign is a reduction of the product price. This increases its demand and subsequently its related sales. Price Change varies from -20 to 15. This is a percentage change. A negative value represents a decrease in price and a positive value represents an increase in price. It is assumed that the price will decrease by up to 20% giving a value of -20 and increase by up to 15% giving a value of 15. A decrease in price will increase demand and an increase in price may decrease demand. The negative effect of increasing the price can be countered by an advertising effort.

3.2 The Models

Different models have been developed. The criteria are listed below:

- A model must use all 5 variables with ranges as defined for each of the variables.
- A model must give a final output for the impact of the promotion in the range of -20 to 120 for all possible values of the (explanatory) variables.
- A complete model will be composed of two sub-models, one being the linear model and the other being the non-linear model.
- The linear model can only use the “+” and the “-” operators while the non-linear model can only use any combination of “+”, “-” and “*” operators. For situations were a variable is raised to a power of $s$, this will be considered equivalent to the multiplication by $s$ times.
- The importance rating for each of the 5 variables must be the same for both the linear and nonlinear model i.e. when variables are ranked in order of importance, both models must have the same order allowing for a meaningful comparison of the sub-models when the number of factors increases.

The final models that have been used for running 200 instances (combinations of factors to be included x Level of Noise x Level of Linearit) – each simulated with different
seed 15 times via random number generations within each factor’s range, resulting in 3000 simulations, are as follows:

- **Linear**

  \[-1.65PC + 0.72M + 0.16B + 1.3D + 1.65PB\]

  with a range from -8.25 to 112.25

- **Nonlinear**

  \[(-0.18PC)^3 + \frac{4.75M^2}{8} - (0.018B + 0.035D) + 1.7PB + 0.7 + 4\]

  with a range from -8.25 to 112.25

An example of the simulated data is given in the following Figure 1:

![Figure 1: Linear model - low noise.](image)

### 4 RESULTS

Alyuda NeuroIntelligence software (www.alyuda.com) has been used for the experiments. We used the free evaluation version of the software that was user-friendly and provided full automation, and fast processing times. The software was used as described in the following flow chart (figure 2).

We used the Mean Absolute Percentage Error - MAPE (Makridakis et al 1998) and the Mean Absolute Relative Percentage Error (MARPE) for the evaluation of the provided forecasts. The advantage MARPE has over MAPE is that it is not affected by small actual values as the error is measured relative to the maximum range of the output function based on a certain number of parameters. So the range of the output function and the noise level both depend on the number of parameters in the equation.

As a benchmark forecasting methods we used a Multiple Linear Regression model (Makridakis et al 1998, chapter 6) including all the exploratory variables.

#### 4.1 Linear Function - Low Noise

![Figure 2: Forecasting and analysing Data with the ANN software.](image)

**Table 2: Results for Linear Function + Low Noise.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>ANN Predefined</th>
<th>ANN Expert</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.28</td>
<td>11.94</td>
<td>10.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>14.75</td>
<td>15.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.95</td>
<td>17.98</td>
<td>15.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.85</td>
<td>11.40</td>
<td>11.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>11.40</td>
<td>10.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANN is performing worse than the benchmark.

#### 4.2 Linear Function - High Noise

**Table 3: Results for Linear Function + High Noise.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>ANN Predefined</th>
<th>ANN Expert</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>17.60</td>
<td>24.82</td>
<td>20.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>29.25</td>
<td>30.05</td>
<td>26.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>28.59</td>
<td>31.20</td>
<td>28.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>29.16</td>
<td>24.78</td>
<td>19.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>34.50</td>
<td>25.98</td>
<td>27.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increasing the level of noise for a linear function increases the uncertainty in the values and adds an unexplained component. For 1 to 3 parameters there is no clear better method. For larger number of
parameters i.e. 4 and 5, Alyuda expert method performs the best.

4.3 Non-linear Function - Low Noise

Table 4: Results for Non-Linear Function + Low Noise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>ANN Predefined</th>
<th>ANN Expert</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.97</td>
<td>15.13</td>
<td>13.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>10.79</td>
<td>11.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.01</td>
<td>8.74</td>
<td>10.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.16</td>
<td>11.18</td>
<td>13.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.54</td>
<td>12.53</td>
<td>10.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Benchmark performs better than all other methods up to 4 parameters and Alyuda expert method perform the best for equations with 5 parameters.

4.4 Non-linear Function - High Noise

Table 5: Results for Non-Linear Function + High Noise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>ANN Predefined</th>
<th>ANN Expert</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>31.83</td>
<td>30.34</td>
<td>34.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>26.63</td>
<td>25.55</td>
<td>26.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>31.56</td>
<td>27.47</td>
<td>25.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>33.26</td>
<td>29.67</td>
<td>26.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>32.34</td>
<td>28.16</td>
<td>21.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By increasing the noise for nonlinear functions, the error has increased for all methods due to the increased uncertainty involved with the original data. For non-linear models, ANN methods outperform all other methods. For models with up to 2 parameters, predefined ANN’s perform best and for equations with 3 to 5 parameters, Alyuda Expert method outperforms all other methods.

4.5 Linear Function

For linear models with 2 and 3 parameters, there is no obvious best performing method. For linear models with one parameter, regression is the best method and for 4 and 5 parameters, ANN’s are the best set of forecasting tools.

4.6 Non-linear Function

![Figure 4: Effect of Non-Linearity.]

For nonlinear models, the regression only outperforms ANN’s for 1 parameter. For nonlinear models with 2 to 5 parameters, ANN’s are clearly the best forecasting tool.

5 CONCLUSIONS

Our empirical findings suggest strongly that:

For linear models, regression approaches perform better for smaller number of parameters i.e. between 1 and 3, while Alyuda expert method performs better for larger number of parameters between 2 and 5. This indicated a grey zone where any one method could outperform the other between parameters 2 and 3.

For nonlinear models – that is the most difficult and complex problem, ANN approaches outperform all other methods. Predefined ANN’s work well for up to two parameters and Alyuda Expert method works well for higher number of parameters.
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