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Abstract: The study of the 3D structure of proteins supports the investigation of their functions and represents an initial step towards protein-based drug design. The goal of this paper is to define a technique, based on the geometrical and topological structure of protein surfaces, for the detection and analysis of sites of possible protein-protein and protein-ligand interactions. In particular, the aim is to identify concave and convex regions which constitute ‘pockets’ and ‘protuberance’ that can make up the interactions ‘active sites’. A segmentation process is applied to the solvent-excluded-surface (SES) through a sequence of propagation steps applied to the region between the protein convex-hull and the SES: the first phase generates the pockets (and tunnels) set, meanwhile the second (backwards) produces the protrusions set.

1 INTRODUCTION

In the last decade much work has been done on the detection and analysis of binding sites of proteins through bioinformatics tools. This is a preliminary, but important step that can reduce consistently the cost, in time and resources, of the subsequent experimental validation phase, which is applied only to the resulting subset loci. It is worth to point out that the effective identification of active sites is instrumental for structure-based drug development and design.

The various approaches proposed up-to-now are characterized by the solution of two subproblems: the protein representation and the matching strategies. Among the techniques that have been proposed so far, we can quote: the geometric hashing of triangles of points on the SES and their associated physico-chemical properties (Laskowski, 1995); a representation of the SES in terms of spherical harmonic coefficients (Glaser, 2006); a collection of spin-images (Glaser, 2006) (Bock, 2007); a ‘context shapes’ representation (Binkowski, 2003); a set of vertices of the triangulated solvent-accessible surface (SAS) (Shulman, 2004).

Recently, a few packages for the process of detecting and characterizing candidate active sites are supplied on the web. The most known packages, in chronological sequence, are here shortly described.

The first POCKET (Levitt, 1992) has been developed in the early ‘90. The protein is mapped onto a 3D grid, and a grid point belongs to the protein if it is within 3 Å from an atom nucleus. The pockets consist of the set of grid points, in the solvent area for which a scanning along the x, y, or z-axes presents a sequence protein-solvent-protein. More recently LIGSITE (Huang, 2006) extends POCKET by scanning also along the four cubic diagonals (in fact, the POCKET’s classification is dependent on the angle between the reference system and the protein). The solvent points that present a number of protein-solvent-protein events greater than a given threshold are classified as candidate active sites.

In the late ’90 CAST (Liang, 1998) (updated with CASTp (Binkowski, 2003) in the early ’00), based on 3D computational geometry, has been proposed. In this approach the protein is represented by a set of 3D tetrahedra having the vertices on the nucleus positions and is analyzed through convex-hull, alpha shapes and discrete flow theory. A tetrahedron having at least a facet crossing the solvent region is designated as ‘empty tetrahedron’. Empty tetrahedra sharing a common triangle are grouped so ‘flowing’ towards neighbouring larger tetrahedra which act as sink. A pocket, which is a potential binding site, is a collection of empty tetrahedra. Pockets volume, mouth opening area and circumference are easily evaluated on this structure.
PASS (Brady, 2000), introduced in the early ’00, is based on a purely geometrical method consisting in a sequence of steps: i) the protein surface, on the side of the solvent, is completely covered by probe spheres each one not contained in any others; ii) each probe is associated with a “burial” value, which corresponds to the number of atoms contained within a concentric sphere of radius 8 Å; iii) the probes with a “burial” value lower than a predefined threshold are eliminated; iv) the previous three steps are iterated (with step one applied only to surface’s patches covered by the probes) until the regime, where no new buried probe can be added; v) a probe weight, which is dependent on the number of the neighbouring spheres and the extent to which they are buried, is assigned; vi) a shortlist of active site points (ASPs), ranked by the probe weight, is identified through the central probes that contain many spheres with high burial count.

Finally SURFNET-ConSurf (Glaser, 2006) is based on a pocket-surface representation which combines geometrical features together with an evolutionary parameter based on the degree of conservation of the amino acids involved. Initially, through SURFNET (Laskowski, 1995), the clefts are detected by placing a sphere between all pairs of atoms such that the sphere just touches each atom of the pair, then this sphere is progressively reduced in size up to no further intersections with other atoms are present. The resulting sphere is retained only if its radius is greater than a minimum size predefined. Moreover, the regions that do not present highly conserved residues, as defined by the ConSurf-HSSP database (Glaser, 2005), are removed, thus reshaping the cleft volumes. The remaining clefts are candidate active sites (in particular the largest ones).

In this paper we present a new method for two segmentations of the SES with the aim of identifying respectively the concavities that can host a ligand or a protrusion of another protein and the protuberances that can match the inlet of others proteins. The paper is organized as follows: in section two we describe a new technique that, starting from the protein enlarged convex hull, propagates up to the SES to identify concave active sites; in section three a second backward propagation algorithm that detect the protuberances, starting from the peaks of the previous propagation is introduced. In section four a few practical cases and some comparisons are given. Section five contains the conclusion and our near future subsequent activities.

## 2 LOOKING FOR POCKETS AND TUNNELS

The first half of this procedure has been already introduced in (Cantoni, 10a). This segmentation is based on a propagation process (a Distance Transform (DT)) applied to the volume obtained subtracting the molecule to its Convex Hull (CH). Before presenting this process, here a few preliminary definitions and statements are given.

The CH of a molecule is the smallest convex polyhedron that contains the molecule points. In $\mathbb{R}^3$ the CH is constituted by a set of facets, that are triangles, and a set of ridges (boundary elements) that are edges. A practical $O(n \log n)$ algorithm for general dimensions CH–computing is Quickhull (Bärber, 1996), that uses less memory space and executes faster than most of the other algorithms.

The protein and the CH are defined in a cubic grid $V$ of dimension $L \times M \times N$ voxels. Note that the grid is extended one voxel beyond the minimum and maximum coordinate of the SES in each orthogonal direction (in this way both SES and CH borders are always inside the V border). The voxel resolution adopted is 0.25 Å, so as to be small enough to ensure that, with the used radii in biomolecules atoms, any concave depression or convex protrusion is represented by at least one voxel.

Let us call $R$ the region between the CH and the SES (the concavity volume (Borgefors, 1996), that is:

$$ R = CH \cap \overline{SES} $$

Let us call $B_{CH}$ the set of the border voxels of CH, that is:

$$ B_{CH} = CH - [CH \ast K] $$

where $\ast$ represent the erosion operator of mathematical morphology (Serra, 82) and $K$ the discrete unitarian sphere (in the discrete space, in 26 connectivity, a 3x3x3 cube!). Within the region $R$ the following propagation is applied:

$$ D_i = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } i \in B_{CH} \\
0 & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases} $$

$$ A = B_{CH} $$

$$ N = (A \circ K) \cap R; $$

$$ E = N - A; $$

while $E \neq \emptyset$ do

$$ \forall e \in E: d_e = \min_{n \in N_e} (d_n + w_n) $$

$$ A = N; $$

$$ N = (A \circ K) \cap R; $$

$$ E = N - A; $$

done
where:

i. $A$ represents the increasing set of voxels contained in $R$; $E$ corresponds to the recruited set of near neighbors of $A$ contained in $R$ (i.e. the voxels reached by the last propagation step);

ii. $\min_{n \in \text{near}} (d_n + w_n)$ represents the minimum value among the distances $d_n$ in the near neighbors belonging to $D$ already defined, incremented by the displacement $w_n$ between the locations $(e, n)$: that is, if $e$ and $n$ have a common face $w_n = 1$; if $e$ and $n$ have a common edge $w_n = \sqrt{2}$; if $e$ and $n$ have a common vertex $w_n = \sqrt{3}$. In three dimensions, the total number of the near neighbor elements of $p$ is 26: six of them that share one face and have distance equal to 1 from the voxel $p$, twelve neighbors that share only an edge and are at distance $\sqrt{2}$, and eight that share only a vertex and are at distance $\sqrt{3}$ always from voxel $p$. At each iteration, new voxels, inside $R$, are reached by the propagation process and the value they take is determined by the minimum of the neighbor distances (from the CH) incremented by the relative voxels distance; this in order to simulate an isotropic propagation process and the digital distance evaluation.

iii. $E = \emptyset$ corresponds to the regime condition: no other changes are given and the connected component of $R$, adjacent to the border $B_{CH}$, is completely covered.

The values in $D$ represent the distance of each voxel of $A$ from $B_{CH}$ and $A$ corresponds to the connected component of $R$ adjacent to the border.

Having $A$, it is possible to easily identify and eventually remove the cavities $C$, that are the volumes completely enclosed in the macromolecule $M$:

$$C = CH - A - M$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

In order to identify the different pockets and tunnels the volume $A$ must be partitioned into a set of disjoint segments $P_{\text{SES}} = \{P_1, \ldots, P_P, \ldots, P_N\}$, where $N$ is the number of inlets. The partition must satisfy the following conditions:

$$P_i \cap P_j = \emptyset, i \neq j$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$P_1 \cup \cdots \cup P_P \cup \cdots \cup P_N = A$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

As can be easily realized, starting from the total set of convex hull facets, several waves are generated and propagation proceeds up to the complete coverage of the volume $A$: the connected component of $R$ adjacent to the border. During the propagation phase two sets of salient points are identified: local tops $LT$ and wave convergence $WC$ points.

The $LT$ set is exploited for the segmentation process. The cardinality of $LT$ corresponds to $N_{\text{max}}$, the maximum number of segments/inlets that can be considered. The effective number of segments, that determines obviously the number and the morphology of pockets and tunnels, is found out on the basis of two heuristic parameters: i) the minimum travel depth value of the local tops $TD_{LT}$; ii) an evaluation of the near tops pivoting effects $PEs$. The threshold $TD_{LT}$ is introduced because the surface’s irregularities and the digitalization process produce small irrelevant spurious cavities. Two thresholds are introduced on the basis of $PEs$ taking into account morphological aspects insight important cavities: the nearness of others, more significant, local tops ($\tau_1$) and the relative values of the local-top travel-distance ($\tau_2$). A detailed description of this first phase is given in (Cantoni, 10a).

The second phase is completely new. Let us assume that a pocket has at least the volume of a water molecule. Under this assumption we will identify the useful portion of $A$ by:

$$B = A \blacklozenge S_w$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Where $\blacklozenge$ represent the erosion operator and $S_w$ the minimum sphere that contains a water molecule. The set of voxel $E_A$ given in (7) constitutes the fine grains with a too strong concavity (figure 2):

$$E_A = A - B$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Figure 1: SES of PDB ID 1MK5 and its extended CH.

The results achieved in this phase are shown in figure 1. In general, the set LT is contained in the set EA. Using as seed-points the set LT, a back-propagation toward Bch is performed. At each step the new connected voxels having the same distance (de) are joined to the seed set ST. A candidate
pocket is established active when ST maintains at each step at least a new voxel belonging to B.

During the propagation towards Bch, if the new joined set of voxels is completely contained in EA a bottleneck has been reached and the seed set ST in progress is anymore active.

i) When two or more seed sets converge there are three possible cases: all the convergent sets are active: in this case a new active set is generated on the basis of the union of the new entry voxels;

ii) among the convergent sets there is at least one active set: this set continues the propagation (if there is more than one active set it is first applied the case i) recursively) including the convergent new entry voxels of the connected not active set(s);

iii) all the convergent sets are not active: this means that some fine grains are joining together, and a new propagation seed composed by the union of the entry voxels is established if this union achieves a volume at least equal to the water molecule.

In figure 3 it is shown for the protein 1MK5 a 2D sketch representing the final result of this process.

Figure 3: A portion of the 2D sketch achieved by applying the pocket search algorithm on the protein 1MK5. The vertical clusters that are not associated to any pockets are black, meanwhile the ones representing pockets are colored.

3 LOOKING FOR PROTUBERANCES

The objective here is to segment the SES to underline the protuberances. There is a duality relationship between this process and the previous one: pockets are SES segments mainly concave, meanwhile protuberances are mainly convex. Let us assume that protuberances we are looking for have a known maximum section area contained in a circle of radius r. Under this assumption we will identify a basis volume F by:

\[ F = \text{SES} \ominus S_r \]

where \( \ominus \) represents the erosion operator (Serra, 1982) and \( S_r \) the sphere of radius r.

Let us call \( S_e \) the external surface of F. The set of voxel G given in (9) constitutes the working volume (figure 4) for our analysis by:

\[ G = \text{SES} - F \]  

Figure 4: volume G for PDB ID 1MK5 for a sphere Sr of radius 2.4 Å.

Within the region G in a similar way of the previous pocket search, the following propagation is applied:

\[
\begin{align*}
D_i &= \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } i \in S_F \\
0 & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases} \\
N &= (A \ominus K) \cap G; \\
E &= N - A; \\
\text{while } E \neq \emptyset & \text{do} \\
\forall e \in E: d_e &= \min_{n \in n_e} (d_n + w_n) \\
A &= N; \\
N &= (A \ominus K) \cap G; \\
E &= N - A; \\
\text{Done}
\end{align*}
\]

where: \( A \) represents the increasing set of voxels contained in \( G \), \( E \) corresponds to the recruited set of near neighbors of \( A \) contained in \( G \) (i.e. the voxels
reached by the last propagation step; the values in \( D \) represent the distance of each voxel of \( A \) from \( S_p \).

Starting from the \( S_p \)'s voxels (to which a common label is assigned), a new recursive scanning phase within \( G \) is applied, going toward SES. At each step, the new entry voxels are segmented in connected sets. When there is a one-to-one correspondence between a new segment set and a set of the previous step, its label is extended to the new segment. When a previous set is split in two or more segment sets a new label is generated for each one of them.

As in the propagation process for the search of pockets, during the propagation phase, two sets of salient points are identified: local tops LT and wave convergence WC points. Both these salient points are important for the docking analysis. A 2D sketch representing the final result is shown in figure 5.

4 EXPERIMENTS AND COMPARISONS

As an example, the proposed technique has been applied to the Apostreptavidin Wildtype Core-Streptavidin with Biotin structure (PDB ID: 1MK5). The analysis has been done with a resolution of 0.25 \( \text{Å} \), which entails a van der Waals radius of more than five voxels to the smallest represented atoms. The SES is obtained from the van der Waals surface, after the execution of a closure operator, using a sphere with radius of 1.4 \( \text{Å} \), approximately 6 voxels (corresponding to the conventional size of a water molecule), as structural element.

For what concerns the pockets detection the three parameters have been set as follows: the minimum travel depth of the local tops to \( \text{TD}_{LT} = 5 \) voxels; the nearness of others, more significant, local tops to \( \tau_1 = 150 \) voxels and the relative values of the local-top travel-distance to \( \tau_2 = 2000 \) voxels. Moreover, the radius of the water molecule has been set to 6 voxels.

In figure 6 it is shown the final result of the segmentation process of the protein 1MK5 for the detection of pockets and tunnels. Note that among the 25 pockets that have been detected, 2 have a volume greater than 80 water molecules and have a travel depth of 26 voxels and mouth aperture of 8.343 and 30.547 respectively.

Figure 5: A portion of the 2D sketch achieved by applying the protuberances search algorithm on the protein 1MK5. The vertical clusters that are not associated to any pockets are black, meanwhile the ones representing pockets are colored. Note that different vertical clusters have the same color when they are joined to the same protuberance.

Figure 6: Final result of the segmentation process of PDB ID 1MK5 for the detection of pockets.

Figure 7: Final result of the segmentation process of PDB ID 1MK5 for the detection of protuberances.

Figure 7 shows the final result of the segmentation process of the protein 1MK5 for the detection of protuberances. The parameter \( r \) representing the maximum section area has been set to 800 voxels. Note that among the 41 protuberances that have been detected 9 have a volume greater than 7 water molecules, and a base aperture of 22.968, 8.236, 16.000, 9.287, 8.444, 9.008, 7.469, 11.148, 9.684 voxels respectively.

All the packages quoted in this paper’s introduction are related to just pockets and tunnels.
detection, at the authors knowledge there are not packages specialized for segmenting the SES on the basis of protuberances. Among the quoted packages the only one that was available and directly applicable has been CASTp. We compared the results obtained with this package to our one. The number of pocket selected has been 29 and 25 respectively for CASTp and our own. Let us first point out that all the main pockets of the quoted protein (the bigger and deeper ones) are detected in both cases. Moreover, for the main pockets, almost the same set atoms at the border of the SES delimiting the pockets. Nevertheless, in general the number of these atoms is higher in our solution (up to 20% in a few cases) and seems to cover in a complete way the pocket concavity. An example of this case is given in figure 8.

Figure 8: Wireframe of the main binding site of PDB ID 1MK5. In red atoms detected by CASTp. Our software detects both the red and green atoms.

The results differ more for what concerns the smallest pockets. This is due to the thresholds to accept the concavity with a short travel depth as a possible active site. We have two thresholds on the basis of the travel depth and of a minimum concavity volume. Generally speaking CASTp accept more small concavities as pockets, but sometimes there are cases in which our volume constraint is satisfied and the concavity is not accepted by CASTp. This must not be a critical issues because (Liang, 1998) the binding sites are usually the pockets having the greatest volume. While CASTp includes empty volume internal to the protein, in our approach these are identified but not classified as pockets.

Referring to computational performance, our algorithm runs on an Intel Q6600 Processor with 4 GB of Ram. The analysis of pockets and protuberances on 1MK5 protein as been done in 58 seconds starting from the PDB file (this include the operations of creating the 3D matrix, the Convex Hull, all mathematical morphology operations, the triangulation of the voxels surface of each pocket/protuberance with a marching cube/mesh smoothing algorithm and so on). In fact besides the segmentation process for each detected segment (pocket or protuberance) a rich parameter set is computed to guide the analysis of possible match, such as volume, surface to volume ratio, mouth (base) aperture, travel depth, and many others (a full list is given in (Cantoni, 10b). It is important to note that all the algorithms presented in this paper are already thought to be simply implemented into parallel architectures.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we present a new approach for the segmentation of SES of proteins in order to support the search of dual active sites (i.e. pockets and protuberances) that can be morphologically arranged together. This is a preliminary step for important structural biology application. The results achieved look very promising and in comparison to others solutions presented in literature it seems to add something not only from the computational point of view. Now we have started an extensive experimentation phase to validate our solution from the best practice point of view.
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