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Abstract: The quantum time length is usually taken as a fixed value in all applications that use Round Robin (RR) scheduling algorithm. The determination of the optimal length of the quantum that results in a small average turnaround time is very complicated because of the unknown nature of the tasks in the ready queue. The round robin algorithm becomes very similar to the first in first served algorithm if the quantum length is large. On the other hand, high context switch results for small values of quantum length which might cause central processing unit (CPU) thrashing. In this paper we propose a new RR scheduling algorithm based on using neural network models for predicting the optimal quantum length that yields minimum average turnaround time. The quantum length is taken to be a function of the service time of the various jobs available in the ready queue. This in contrast to the traditional methods of using fixed quantum length is shown to give better results and to minimize the average turnaround time for almost any collection of jobs in the ready queue.

1 INTRODUCTION

CPU scheduling is the task of selecting a waiting process from the ready queue and allocating the CPU to it. The CPU is allocated to the selected process by the dispatcher. First-come, first-served (FCFS) scheduling is the simplest, but it can cause short processes to wait for every long process. Shortest job-first (SJF) scheduling provably optimal, providing the shortest average waiting time. Implementing SJF scheduling is difficult, however, because predicting the length of the next CPU burst is difficult. The SJF algorithm is a special case of the general priority scheduling algorithm, which simply allocates the CPU to the highest priority process. Both priority and SJF may suffer from starvation.

Round-robin scheduling is more appropriate for a time-shared (interactive) system. RR scheduling allocates the CPU to the first process in the ready queue for q time units, where q is the time quantum. After q time units, if the process does not relinquish the CPU, it is preempted, and then it is put at the tail of the ready queue. Round Robin scheduling algorithm is considered the fairness compromise algorithm among different mechanisms and disciplines that deal with sharing the CPU time between processes that resides in the ready queue. RR, First come first served, high priority, shortest job first and other algorithms have several disadvantages when dealing with real-time systems and deadline limitations. In real-world of time-sharing systems, RR service (behavior) is widely used.

Many publications discussed the RR scheduling algorithm, its efficiency, reliability, and its consistency if it was part of a general system. Ramabhadran et al (Ramabhadran and Pasquale, 2006) presented analytical and simulation results based on RR algorithm in a manner of a stratified RR scheduler. Jorge R. et al (Ramos et al., 2006) showed a modified RR algorithm that predicts potential job departures and schedules them in advance. John Tsiligaridis et al (Tsiligaridis and Acharya, 2005) discussed a very important algorithm that constitutes an alternative way of defining the most suitable size of the quantum in RR scheduling algorithm using gradual or direct weight increase mechanisms, and in the same paper the author strongly stated that this kind of algorithms can be applied for next generation internet routers. Seungmin Baek et al (Seungmin et al, 2004) described a packet filtering-based RR scheduling scheme for tightly coupled clusters in
terms of throughput and reliability. Rahul Garg et al (Rahul and Xiaoqiang, 1999) described a scheduling algorithm named recursive round robin scheduler (RRR), based on the concept of the construction of scheduling tree, and showed that the work conserving scheduler is fair. While in (Salil et al., 2004), Salil et al used a technique to analytically derive the latency bound of preordered DDR. Many other publications described, discussed, and proved many scheduling algorithms (Philip and Rasch, 1970; Ben et al., 2005; Janche et al., 1994; Amotz et al., 2004; Andrews and Zhang, 2005; Cooling and Tweedale, 1997; Srinivasan and Anderson, 2005; Silberschatz et al., 2000; Chakrabarti et al., 1997). Almost in all these publications, authors selected different criteria, like fairness, bounded delay, low complexity, deadline and other criteria. The common among almost all works (Tsiligaridis and Acharya, 2005; Seungmin et al., 2004; Rahul and Xiaoqiang, 1999; Salil et al., 2004) that discussed RR scheduling algorithm is that the length of quantum time is fixed.

The major problem in RR scheduling is the selection of the time quantum. If the quantum is too large, RR scheduling degenerates to FCFS scheduling. If jobs. Figure 1 Shows the block diagram of the pro- posed RR scheduling algorithm. Here neural network model is used to estimate and predict the time quantum based on the service time of the jobs in the queue. Using neural network model to estimate the time quantum has the advantage of varying the time quantum according to the variation of the service time of the jobs in the ready queue. Instead of using fixed quantum time, neural network model estimates and predict the time quantum that minimizes the average turnaround time.

Figure 1: The architecture of the proposed scheduling algorithm.

2 PROBLEM FORMULATION

In RR scheduling algorithm, the average turnaround time is described by the following equation:

\[ T_t = \sum_{i=1}^{N} s_i^t + W_i^t/N \]  

where \( T_t \) is the average turnaround time, \( s_i^t \) is the service time of job \( i \) in the ready queue, \( W_i^t \) is the waiting time of job \( i \) in the ready queue, and \( N \) is the number of jobs in the ready queue.

The objective in this paper is to minimize the average turnaround time by the control of the optimal quantum time length \( q \). By changing the quantum time length the average waiting time of the various jobs in the ready queue will be different. The average waiting time can be described as a function of the quantum time length according to the following equation

\[ W_i^t = N(q + o) \]  

where \( o \) is the context time switch. In practical applications it is desirable to make the context switch negligible compared to the quantum time length. Taking this into consideration and substituting Equation (2) into Equation (1) yields quantum

\[ T_t = \sum_{i=1}^{N} s_i^t + Nq/N \]  

Equation (3) shows that the average turnaround time highly depends on the value of the selected quantum length, which in turn is dependent on the service time of the jobs in the ready queue.
Following this, the RR scheduling problem can be described as follows:

Find the time quantum length $q$ such that the following objectives are met:
1. The turnaround time of a set of jobs in the ready queue is minimized.
2. The number of context switch is kept minimal.

### 3 NEURAL NETWORK MODEL

The effect of the service time on the length of the quantum is characterized by high levels of uncertainty and complexity. Neural network models are proved to give superior results under such circumstances. Consequently, in this paper we propose using neural network models to estimate and predict the optimal length of the time quantum that will give the minimum turnaround time for various service times of the jobs in the ready queue.

Neural Network models with nonlinear components can be used in nonlinear, complex and uncertain applications. The inherently parallel nature of the networks can make them suitable for solving problems at high rates. The important result that multi-layer feed-forward networks with a single hidden layer and sufficient number of hidden units, are capable of approximating any continuous function to any degree of accuracy has been proved in the literature. Therefore, in this paper, multi layer perceptron neural network with one hidden layer will be used. The number of neurons in the input layer are determined by the number of jobs in the ready queue. Here the output of the neural network is the quantum length that results into minimum turnaround time. This architecture is shown in Figure 3. The hidden layer activation function is taken to be tanh function and the activation function of the output layer is taken to be linear function.

The design of a neural network model involves Two major phases: Training and validation. In the training phase the weight parameters of the neural network model are determined using a set of input–output patterns in the training set. The neural network model is trained such that it minimizes the mean square error between the estimated quantum value and the actual one. Scaled conjugate gradient method is employed in this work to optimize the weight parameters. To find the network structure that has the best performance on new data, different structures of neural network models are firstly trained using the training set. The performance of the various structures of the network models is then compared by evaluating an error function using an independent validation set, and the network having the smallest error with respect to the validation set is selected.

After training and validating the neural network model, it can be applied on line to predict the quantum length value that results into the minimum turnaround time.

To reemphasize, neural network model is proposed in this study to estimate the length of the quantum time that will be used in the RR scheduling algorithm. The neural network model takes the service time of the jobs in the ready queue as input and estimates the length of the time quantum that gives minimum turnaround time. As a result of the dynamic changes of the quantum, the average turnaround time for almost all the jobs should be the minimal. Contrast to conventional methods of RR scheduling algorithm which use fixed quantum length, the proposed RR scheduling algorithm is shown to give superior results and to minimize the turnaround time in almost all sets of jobs in the ready queue.

Figure 3: A multilayer feedforward neural network.

### 4 SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section neural network model is used to predict the optimal quantum length that gives minimum turnaround time. The number of jobs in the ready queue is taken to be ten. The service time of the jobs are generated randomly to have values between 1.0 and 10.0. Ten thousand samples of the ten jobs are simulated. Here, for each sample (consisting of 10 jobs) the average turnaround time is calculated for fifty different values of quantum time length starting from 0.1 and stepping up to 5.0 at a step of 0.1. Multi layer perceptron neural network with the
service time of the ten jobs as input and optimal quantum length as output is used to predict the optimal quantum length to be used in the RR scheduling algorithm.

\[ q_{\text{est}} = g(S_1, S_2, S_3, \ldots, S_{10}) \]

where \( g \) is multi layer perceptron neural network and \( q_{\text{est}} \) is the predicted quantum length from the neural network model.

Since the service time of the jobs in the ready queue ranges between 1.0 and 10.0, normalization for the input variables has been conducted. Here each input variable is normalized between 0 and 1 by dividing the service time of each job by its maximum value, which is 10 in this simulation example.

\[ S_{i,\text{nor}} = \frac{S_i}{S_{i,\text{max}}} = \frac{S_i}{10.0} \]

Normalization of the output variable is not necessary in this study since linear activation function is assumed for the output layer.

The neural network model was trained on the training set which consisted of 5000 samples of the collected data. Scaled conjugate gradient method was implemented to obtain the optimal parameters of the neural network model. The single optimal structure of the neural network model found by applying the cross validation method consisted of 31 neurons in the hidden layer. In the cross validation method the neural network model that estimates the optimal quantum length to be used in the RR scheduling algorithm has been tested on a validation data set which consisted of 2000 samples that have not been seen in the training stage. The error function between the optimal quantum length and the estimated quantum length from the neural network model, \( e = \| q - q_{\text{est}} \| \), was calculated for different model structures with different number of neurons in the hidden layer. The best optimal structure is then taken to be the model with the minimum error value in the validation stage. The validation result is shown in Figure 4.

After training the neural network model that predicts the optimal quantum value off line, and choosing the structure of the model, the quantum length network is brought on line and the quantum length is calculated for each set consisting of ten jobs in the ready queue. The optimal quantum length value and the estimated one from the neural network model is shown in Table 1. Comparing the estimated quantum length value and the optimal one, it can be seen that the neural network model was able to predict to a very good accuracy the optimal quantum length that minimizes the average turnaround time in almost most of the cases.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have demonstrated for the first time the application of neural network models to predict the optimal quantum length in the RR scheduling algorithm. A major feature of using neural network models to predict the quantum length is the dynamic change of the quantum length to be used in the RR scheduling algorithm.

The proposed method is validated using ten thousands samples consist of ten jobs in the ready queue. Simulation results proved the capability of the neural network model to predict the optimal quantum length value that yields minimum average turnaround time. Contrast to the conventional RR scheduling algorithm which uses fixed quantum length value regardless the knowledge on the service time of the jobs in the ready queue, our proposed neural network based RR scheduling algorithm dynamically changes the quantum length value based on the service time of the jobs in the ready queue. This has the benefit of minimizing the overall average turnaround time for the different set of jobs that might be presented to the CPU.

Neural network model is brought on line only after being trained and validated. This means that computational time of the cpu is kept the same. The only added time to the CPU is the computation of the optimal quantum length value from the neural network model which needs only one forward propagation of its input vector.
Table 1: Estimated and optimal quantum lengths for several sets of services times of the ten jobs in the ready queue.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job</th>
<th>Quantum length (q)</th>
<th>Quantum length (q_{est})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St1</td>
<td>1.400</td>
<td>1.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St2</td>
<td>2.200</td>
<td>2.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St3</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>3.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St4</td>
<td>4.000</td>
<td>4.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St5</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>5.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St6</td>
<td>6.000</td>
<td>6.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St7</td>
<td>7.000</td>
<td>7.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St8</td>
<td>8.000</td>
<td>8.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St9</td>
<td>9.000</td>
<td>9.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St10</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>10.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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