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Abstract: This paper presents the ScrumPL process, which combines the Software Product Line Engineering (SPLE) methodology and the agile method Scrum to develop Software Product Lines (SPL). This process uses the Requirements Engineering and Design sub-processes from both Domain and Application Engineering SPLE processes to create a reference architecture with reusable component descriptions. Those components are then added to a product backlog. Finally, the Scrum principles and lifecycle are launched to implement, test, change requirements and deliver products. A preliminary result is also presented: a software product line reference architecture and product backlog of an interactive TV navigation system.

1 INTRODUCTION

The industry, to reach more consumers, has adopted the mass customization concept to develop several and different products sharing the same components. In other words, launch similar products attending specific requirements from several market segments. The methodology used to develop software for mass customization is the software product line engineering (SPLE) (Pohl et al., 2005; Northrop and Clements, 2007), that uses component reuse concepts in an efficient and systematic way, resulting on several software products sharing a common platform, which are part of a software product line (SPL) (Pohl et al., 2005; Northrop and Clements, 2007), developed in less time, better cost and quality compared to the development of those same several software products isolatedly.

Scrum is an agile process that can be used to manage and control complex product and software development by using iterative and incremental practices (Schwaber and Beedle, 2002). It was invented to rapidly drive new products to market, and was designed for hyperproductive teams where productivity increases by 5-10 times over industry averages and many colocated teams have achieved this effect (Sutherland et. al, 2009).

Both, SPLE and Scrum, are designed to develop software products in a productive way, but SPLE has adopted the systematic reuse as its main principle, and Scrum has adopted the self-management teams and agile manifesto (Beck et. al, 2001). Nevertheless, one question rises: is it possible to combine those methods?

This paper shows the ScrumPL, a method combining both SPLE and Scrum methodologies based on their input and output needs and the Scrum lifecycle (Larman, 2004). The rest of this paper is organized as follow: Section 2 briefly describes the SPLE and its main processes, Section 3 shows the Scrum skeleton and lifecycle, Section 4 describes the ScrumPL process while Section 5 shows a SPL reference architecture defined through part of this process. Section 6, compare ScrumPL to other methods, and Section 7 shows the conclusions.

2 SOFTWARE PRODUCT LINE ENGINEERING

Software product lines are designed to provide customized products at reasonable costs, enhanced quality and reduction to time to market (Pohl et al., 2005). The costs are reduced when artefacts from the platform – which contains common artefacts and technological capabilities – are reused in several different kinds of system.

The quality enhancement comes from the platform artefacts reviewing and testing in many
products. The time to market is initially higher, as the common artefacts have to be built first; after having passed this hurdle, the time to market is considerably shortened as many artefacts can be reused for each new product (Pohl et al., 2005).

The cost and time to market are better after the 3rd product, compared to the development of each product individually (Northrop and Clements, 2007).

To develop an entire SPL two processes are used: the domain engineering and the application engineering, see Figure 1. The former realises the commonality and the variability of the product line. And the last builds the applications (individual products) of the product line by reusing artefacts from the domain engineering and exploiting the product line variability (Pohl et al., 2005).

The domain engineering process has 5 subprocesses: product management, domain requirements engineering, domain design, domain realization and domain tests. Those are designed to define, implement and test the reusable artefacts of the product line common platform.

The application engineering process has the following sub-processes: application requirements engineering, application design, application realization and application tests. Those are designed to achieve as high a reuse of the domain assets as possible, when defining and developing each application.

3 SCRUM

Scrum starts with a vision of the system to be developed (Schwaber, 2004), see Figure 2. The Product Owner formulates a plan for doing so that includes a Product Backlog, which is a list of functional and nonfunctional requirements that, when turned into functionality, will deliver this vision. The Product Backlog is prioritized so that the items most likely to generate value are top priority and is divided into proposed releases.

All work is done in Sprints, which is an iteration of 30 consecutive calendar days initiated with a Sprint planning meeting, in which the Product Owner and Team get together to collaborate about what will be done for the next Sprint. The tasks that compose this plan are placed in a Sprint Backlog.

Every day, the team gets together for a 15-minute meeting called daily meeting, where each Team member answers three questions: What have you done on this project since the last daily meeting? What do you plan on doing on this project between now and the next daily meeting? What impediments stand in the way of your meeting your commitments to this Sprint and this project? The purpose of the meeting is to synchronize the work of all Team members daily and to schedule any meetings that the Team needs to forward its progress.

At the end of the Sprint, a Sprint review meeting is held. This is a four-hour, time-boxed meeting at which the Team presents what was developed during the Sprint to the Product Owner and any other stakeholders. Scrum requires Teams to build an increment of product functionality every Sprint. This increment must be potentially shippable. This requires that the increment consists of thoroughly tested, well-structured, and well-written code, and the documented user operation of the functionality. This is the definition of a “done” increment.
3.1 The Scrum Lifecycle

The Scrum lifecycle (Larman, 2004) is composed of four phases: Planning, Staging, Development, and Release. The Planning phase purpose is to establish the vision, set expectations, and secure funding. Its output are an initial product backlog and an exploratory design and prototypes.

The Staging phase purpose is to identify more requirements and prioritize enough for the first iteration. Its outputs are a plan, exploratory design and prototypes. Together with Planning phase, Staging form the pre-game phase, also called Sprint 0.

The Development phase purpose is to implement a system ready for release in a series of Sprints. Its output is a potential shippable part of the system. This phase is also called Game phase.

The release phase purpose is to perform deployment activities. Training, documentation and marketing activities are performed during this phase. This phase is also called Postgame phase.

4 ScrumPL – AN AGILE PRODUCT LINE ENGINEERING PROCESS

ScrumPL process is intended to develop SPLs combining activities from SPLE and Scrum. It has activities from both methods.

Figure 3 presents an overview of ScrumPL process. It is composed by the Scrum lifecycle’s phases Planning, Staging, Development and Release (represented by columns) and the software product line engineering processes Domain Engineering and Application Engineering (represented by rows). Scrum lifecycle phases and the SPLE sub-processes combination to form ScrumPL will be explained in the following Subsections.

4.1 Pre-game Phase

The vision, anticipate ROI (Return on Investment), releases and milestones are given by the domain engineering product management sub-process. Those information are used by the domain requirements engineering sub-process to provide products features, added to the product backlog during the Planning Phase.

Those features are inputs to application requirements engineering sub-process to elicit requirements for a particular application and reuse those features as much as possible, during the planning phase; and are also inputs to domain design sub-process to create and maintain the reference architecture.

The reference architecture contains variation points, variants, and reusable components descriptions and interfaces. The architect, which is also the product owner, is responsible to create and maintain the reference architecture, and add each of its components to the product backlog as product backlog items. This is done during the stage phase.

The reference architecture’s reusable components, added to product backlog, are realized during the development phase, and they are the inputs to the application design sub-process, in the stage phase.
The application design sub-process produces the applications architectures, deriving it from reference architecture by selecting variants and adapting the design according to application requirements. The applications components of the architecture are added to product backlog as product backlog items.

4.2 Development and Release Phases

The development phase starts when the product backlog, containing a list of components from both reference architecture and applications architectures, is prioritized and ready for estimation. The pre-game phase is finished and the Scrum team works according to Scrum process described in Section 3, starting with Sprint planning meeting and finishing with Review meeting.

The results of the Scrum team activities are potentially shippable components (realized and tested), as well as unit and integration test cases (from domain tests and application tests) used to perform Scrum acceptance tests in this Sprint, and to be reused in future regression tests.

During the sprint, the Scrum team can provide the domain realization goals: the detailed design and implementation of reusable software assets; or provide the application realization goals: applications that can be tested and brought to the market after ensuring sufficient quality. Although Scrum states that the product owner can’t change the product backlog items being realized by Scrum teams, the architect, as product owner, can make any changes in other product backlog items.

Those changes are made due to, for instance, problem reports, new requirements, changes in interfaces, defects in interface descriptions, issues in domain and application artefacts realization, and other.

Scrum states that the team is self-managed and determines which activities will be performed to achieve Sprint goals. Due to this they don’t have the obligation to follow the domain or application realization activities.

Those changes, together with current product backlog items, are reprioritized by the product owner and are estimated and selected by Scrum teams during Sprint Planning in future Sprints. In the release phase, applications are deployed according to planned releases. Before that, system integration and tests are performed and eventual bugs are fixed.

4.3 Applying Part of the ScrumPL

The domain requirements engineering sub-process was followed to define the product line requirements, based on the state of the art on TV navigation system requirements.

Through this process, the variation points and variants where defined resulting on the variability diagram in Figure 4, and also the TV navigation goals and features (not described here due to space restrictions). A prioritization was made and documented in the product backlog (Figure 5).

The domain design sub-process was then followed to create the reference architecture and validate it, checking how requirements are reflected in the architecture.

5 TV NAVIGATION SYSTEM REFERENCE ARCHITECTURE AND PRODUCT BACKLOG

A TV Navigation System combines program recommendation, sorting and retrieval to make it easier for the viewer to select programs based on various individual viewing habits (Isobe et al., 2003), and is viewer’s guide to select services and applications, initiate interoperable applications, boot loading, and store user profiles (Peng et al., 2002).

5.1 Reference Architecture

The reference architecture is a core architecture that captures the high level design for the applications of the SPL. It includes the variation points and variants documented in the variability model realized by components (Pohl et al., 2005). Figure 4 (next page) presents the component diagram representing all navigation system components and the variability model, in which there are 3 variation points (represented by triangles): language, market segment and standard.

The language variation point has variants representing languages for the TV navigation system, depending on the countries which it will be used. Although some languages were specified in the variability model, other languages can be added. At least one of those languages is required.

The market segment (MS) variation point has variants representing low-end, mid-end and high-end market segments. The arrows pointing to the component diagram indicates the required components that implements those variants.

The low-end variant implements the this channel information component. The mid-end variant is implemented by the this channel information,
reminder, block programs and search programs components. The high-end variant is implemented by all components in TV navigation system component diagram (Figure 4). Each application product requires only one market segment.

The standard variation point has variants representing the ISDB (ABNT, 2007), DVB (ETSI, 1997) and ATSC (ATSC, 1997) DTV standards, which are implemented by the components with the same name, described in Language and Standard Component Diagram (Figure 4). Each product requires only one standard. Three examples of individual products based on this software product line of TV navigation system reference architecture are the products A, B and C, specified bellow:

Product A: this product designed for the american high-end market segment. The language is english, the standard is ATSC.

Product B: this product is designed for the european mid-end market segment. The language is english, the standard is DVB, the features are: this channel information, reminder on products/events (through manual reservation), electronic program guide, block programs, search programs.

Product C: this product designed for the brazilian low-end market segment. The language is portuguese, the standard is ISDB, the features are this channel information and block programs.

5.2 Product Backlog

The TV navigation system product backlog is in Figure 5. The Product Backlog Item column identifies the requirements name, and the Prioritization column describes the high, low and medium prioritized product backlog items.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product Backlog Item</th>
<th>Prioritization</th>
<th>Estimate (size)</th>
<th>Sprint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This channel info bar</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This channel guide</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implicit recommendation</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explicit recommendation</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timezone recommendation</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal favorites reminder</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve program</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic Program Guide</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search programs</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal video recorder</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block programs</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced DB population</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosaic EPG</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English language</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portuguese language</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese language</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French language</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish language</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese language</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISDB standard</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATSC standard</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVB standard</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5: TV Navigation System Product Backlog.

The estimate is the number of sprints to realize the product backlog item; Sprint refer to the sprint in which it will be realized. Those columns will be defined during the sprint planning meetings. All components from reference architecture were added to the product backlog as product backlog items.
6 RELATED WORK

ScrumPL is related to the Agile Product Line Engineering, that investigates commonalities and differences between agile and SPLE, and the potential costs and benefits combining them (Cooper and Franch, 2006). (Carbon et al., 2006) integrated agile practices and principles, specially XP, with the the reuse-centric application engineering process – PuLSE-I. (Noor et al., 2007) presents practical experiences of adopting agile principles with collaboration engineering in product line planning. (Hanssen and Faegri, 2007) combined the agile method EVO with SPLE in a practical case. (Ghanam and Maurer, 2008) combined Test Driven Development and Scrum with a bottom-up approach to extract reusable artefacts from existing products.

In ScrumPL process we use only Scrum with SPLE, combining artefacts from both methods as inputs and outputs of the ScrumPL process, using the Scrum lifecycle as foundation for the integration, providing the phases for requirements identification and elicitation (pre-game), platform and applications components development and applications releases.

ScrumPL makes it easier for Scrum teams to develop components and other artefacts for SPLs, as only few changes were made to Scrum: architect as “product owner”, components as “product backlog items”, which, when developed as “shippable increments”, will be reused and integrated into applications as “releases”.

7 CONCLUSIONS

The ScrumPL process is mainly based on Scrum lifecycle described by (Larman, 2004), which describes its phases, purposes and activities. Based on those purposes, the Domain Engineering and Architecture Engineering sub-processes from SPLE where applied and fit in each phase.

Main artefacts for each phase were also defined: the pre-game phase creates the reference architecture and product backlog; the development phase creates the components; and the release phase integrate the components into applications. The product backlog and reference architecture of a TV navigation system were defined following the domain requirements engineering and domain design sub-processes.

For future work, the product backlog items will be realized and tested in the development phase.
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