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Abstract: Deployment is a complex process gathering activities to make applications operational after development. Today, the components approach and the distribution make deployment a very complex process. Many deployment tools exist but they are often built in an ad hoc way; i.e. specific to a technology or to an architecture and, covering partially the deployment life cycle. Hence there is an increased need for new techniques and tools to manage these systems. In this work, we focus on the deployment process describing a framework called UDeploy. UDeploy (Generic Deployment framework) is a framework based on a generic engine which permits firstly the carrying out of the planning process from meta-information related to the application and the infrastructure; secondly, the generation of specific deployment descriptors related to the application and the environment (i.e. the machines connected to a network where a software system is deployed); and finally the execution of a plan produced by means of deployment strategies. The work presented in this paper is focused on the presentation of a generic deployment architecture driven by meta-models and their transformations. In this respect, UDeploy is independent from any specific technology and, also from any specific platform characteristic.

1 INTRODUCTION

An important issue of component-based software (Szyperski et al., 2002) engineering is the deployment of components in decentralized locations, in an efficient, safe and consistent manner. The deployment life cycle encompasses all the post-development activities of an application which makes the software useful. It is an important step in the software life cycle, which for a long time has been reduced to installation.

Today, the components approach and the distribution make deployment a very complex process. Many deployment tools exist but they are often built in an ad hoc way; i.e. specific to a technology or to an architecture and, covering partially the deployment life cycle (using generally the installation scripts).

For all these reasons, we think that it is necessary to have a generic deployment framework which has to distribute correctly application based-components, however their implementation might be. Thus the challenge is to develop a generic framework encompassing a specific approach and supporting the whole deployment process. This paper presents this approach based on models and model transformations. It is organized as follow: part 2 presents a classical overview; part 3 reviews related works; our conceptual framework is described in part 4; finally in part 5, we present the perspective and conclusion of this work.

2 DEPLOYMENT SYSTEMS: AN OVERVIEW

The three main notions occurring in the constitution of a deployment system are the application, the domain and the deployment descriptor.

– The domain notion covers all machines connected to a network where a software system is deployed. This infrastructure is seen as a set of distributed and interconnected sites. Each site is associated with the meta-information of the site characteristics descrip-
tions.
– The application notion covers all the application components and the meta-information for their descriptions.
– Each application is accompanied by a deployment descriptor, specific to its implementation technology. The deployment descriptor notion establishes the software process for deploying an application or a component of application according to aimed strategies. This descriptor is in line with a defined structure. For example for an application J2EE / EJB, the descriptor has to conform to EJB-Jar.dtd specification and equally for Corba / CCM, the descriptors have to conform to the Corba Component Descriptor, the Software Package Descriptor and so on. The deployment descriptor is manually built.

3 RELATED WORKS
We identified several deployment systems that can be classified in two categories. In the first category, there are all those more classics, developed for the monolithic software systems which privilege mainly the installation activity. This was one of our concerns when we began to work on the deployment aspects as shown in our work on Orya (Merle and Belkhatir, 2004) in addition to other reference works, in the domain, such as Software Dock (Hall et al., 1999).

In the second category, there are all recent other deployment systems that have emerged for the software based-components. We identified three types of systems: 1.) those developed by the industry in an ad hoc manner and integrated into middleware environment like EJB (Dochez, 2009), CCM (OMG, 2006a) and .Net (Troelsen, 2008a, Troelsen, 2008b); 2.) those projected by the OMG (industry) (OMG, 2006b) (Edwards et al., 2004) based on more generic models and; 3.) the more formal systems projected by the academic works in current component models like Open Service Gateway Initiative (OSGI) (Alliance, 2005), Web Services (Gustavo et al., 2004), SOFA (Bures et al., 2006), Architecture Description Languages (ADL) (Clements, 1996) and UML 2.0 (OMG, 2007).

4 CONCEPTUEL FRAMEWORK

4.1 Principles
In view of these relevant elements and with regard to the deployment process, we think that a good deployment solution has to cover all of the deployment life cycle, unlike installers; has to be independent from any technology, unlike deployment in middleware; and, independent from any components-based philosophical approach. Such solution should also offer an engine of distributed deployment and supply a language specification of deployment strategies.

4.2 Architecture
Fig. 1 represents the deployment process of components-based software which is constituted by several activities in correlation (Dibo and Belkhatir, 2009). Thus, deploying a components-based software consist in distributing components on specific places and in managing the constraints of placement, dependence and configuration. Once deployed, a software system is available for use. Analysis of a deployment system shows self-employment activities and technologies that could be factorized. In this context, we suggest a deployment architecture based on the model-driven architecture (MDA) approach (OMG, 2005), centralized with the use of model and their transformation.

Analysis of a deployment system shows self-employment activities and technologies that could be factorized. In this context, we propose a deployment architecture based on the model-driven architecture (MDA) approach (OMG, 2005) centralized with the use of models and their transformation.

MDA approach (OMG, 2005) was suggested by OMG to answer the issues caused by the manifold of computer systems, languages and technologies. The main idea of the MDA approach is the partition of technical concerns and business concerns. Therefore, the approach puts forward the following two models:

– PIM (Platform Independent Model), it describes the system, but does not show details of the use of its platform.

– PSM (Platform specific Model), is a similar, but dependent model; it also specifies how a system makes use of the chosen platform.

The conversion PIM to PSM or PSM to PIM is
operated by models transformations. A model transformation is defined by certain rules. These rules can be described by using a transformation tool such as Query View Transformation (QVT) or, simply by implementing one’s own transformation rules.

At deployment level, if we apply the MDA approach, we identify clearly three different metamodels: the application metamodel, the domain metamodel and the deployment plan which are common to most approaches studied. The quality of metamodels provided in our framework entry determines deployment success, safety (Parrish et al., 2001) and automation. A success property implies that the installed application works properly. A safety property implies that existing applications continue to work after the installation is applied. The automation consists in making a deployment with zero (or no) administrator.

The strategy modeling, the application modeling, the domain modeling, the creation of deployment, the personalization and the execution of the deployment plan are described respectively in section 4.2.3, 4.2.1, 4.2.2, 4.2.4, 4.2.5 and 4.2.6.

4.2.1 Application Modelling

Each application to be deployed is provided with a descriptor described in a specific formalism. This descriptor is called the specific application descriptor. The specific application descriptor can be more or less complete. Some specific application descriptors describe basic information such as the various components which compose an application and, some others describe more elaborated information such as the constraints in resources of the components. The formalisms of specific application descriptor are numerous; we recommend an architecture description language (ADL) to describe the software architectures. Our ADL allows standardizing the application description and, also allows the support of components approaches which do not have strong semantics of software architecture description. An application descriptor will be an XML file that conforms to our ADL and containing the following information:

– the application producer,
– the list of the components which constitute the application (immediate deployment) or the list of some components which constitute the application (progressive deployment),
– the compatibility between the various implementations of components,
Figure 2: Application Metamodel.

– the description of each implementation (component standard EJB, CCM, .NET, Fractal, Sofa, Darwin, Kaola, component type),
– the description of the implementation code (archive name, localization in repository),
– the programming language of the implementation (Java, C++),
– the human language (de, en, fr, es, pt, it),
– the strong dependencies or the implementations assertions which cannot be resolved during the deployment process; they express themselves by imposing values of attribute for the compiler, the OS, the processor, the runtime and the middleware,
– the low dependencies which can be resolved during the deployment process; they express how to install the compiler, the runtime, the middleware and the files (library and executable) indispensable for the execution of the implementation,
– the localization of configuration files.

4.2.2 Domain Modelling

The deployment tool owes to know the available resources in the domain. The domain represents all the interconnected sites given to the administrator for the deployment. The domain can be a domestic network or a grid computer. The resources available on the domain can be known by using several tools developed within the grid computing infrastructures; Sun Grid Engine (Engine, 2009), Globus (Globus, 2009) and Condor (Condor, 2009) are some examples. These infrastructures allow the discovery of the domain resources – or the available and unavailable sites or nodes. Our domain description model is based on an architecture description language. The specific domain description will be an XML file, conform to our ADL. The information on sites and their available resources are collected by questioning the Sun Grid Engine tool which is previously installed on the domain. The domain description is updated every time an event takes place on the domain. The descriptor will contain the following information:
– the domain name,
– the list of sites which compose the domain,
– the list of the available sites of the domain,
– the description of the software resources for each site – i.e. the compiler, the OS, the processor,
– the runtime, the middleware and the files (library and executable),
– the description of the physical resources – i.e. the number of processors, cache, clock speed, bus speed, number of cores and memory,
– the list of components installed on each site during previous deployment activities.
– the description of the network links (interconnect) between sites,
– the description of the network link (interconnect) performance (bandwidth, latency).
– the description of the Bridge. A Bridge (OMG, 2006b) exists between interconnects to describe an indirect communication path between nodes. If a connection is to be deployed between components that are instantiated on nodes that are not directly connected, therefore requiring bridging, the connection's requirements must be satisfied by the resources of each interconnect and bridge in between.

4.2.3 Strategy Modelling

The deployment strategies guide the creation of the deployment plan. The deployment strategies allow expressing the actions to be led to deploy a component by assuring success and safety properties.

4.2.4 Computing Plan (Creation of Deployment Plan)

The deployment plan for an application A consists of components C1 to Ci where i>= 1 and for a domain D consisting of Sites S1 ti Sj where j>= 1 is all valid placements (Ci, Sj). It is calculated from a planner engine. This engine operates on a static process which allows visualizing a state of the system and the information remains motionless during the plan computing or following a dynamic process which allows visualizing the forecasts and to supervise their realization; the information used is variable during the computing plan. The planner provides a graphical interface that is only at the PIM (platform independent model) level. Thus, it performs the calculations of inter-component dependencies and verifies software and hardware needs. Once the calculation ends, i.e. all constraints are satisfied, the planner generates a deployment plan independent of the hardware architecture and the technology application to be deployed. The deployment plan contains all data and all the strategies needed to perform the deployment properly.

4.2.5 Personalization

The deployment descriptor is an instantiation of the deployment plan for a specific platform. It is generally an XML file. At PIM level, we can manipulate the concepts (component, site, resource, constraint, dependency, and placement) and create the instances. The persistence is processed under Java for practical reasons. When the Java classes were instanced, we use this data to generate the deployment descriptor. However, the deployment descriptor generated is conformed to specific formalism. To assure the correspondence, we use JDOM for the transcription of Java object in XML.

The deployment descriptor is not executed by our framework UDeploy but by the target middleware (Sofa runtime for SOFA profile and StarCCM or OpenCCM for CCM profile).

4.2.6 Deployment Plan Execution

The components models as Fractal, EJB and COM+ do not offer a deployment descriptor which can be executed afterward. Therefore, the calculus of the deployment plan for this component model will be executed by UDeploy_Executor. The execution of the plan corresponds to: the starting up of servers, the load of components in servers and the establishment of the connections.
5 PERSPECTIVE AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we presented a generic framework for deployment of component-based software applications. The framework is composed of: the application metamodel, the domain metamodel and the deployment plan allowing to model, respectively three main components of a deployment system (the application, the domain and the deployment descriptor). The framework is illustrate by introducing a tool called Udeploy which ensures tree main tasks: (i) it manages the planning process from meta-information related to the application and the infrastructure, (ii) it generates specific deployment descriptors related to the application and the environment (i.e. the machines connected to a network where a software system is deployed), and (iii) it executes a deployment plan.

In recent years, there have been many development projects by academic works focusing on a new generation of systems. These approaches enhance technology transition. They have shown the potential of using a model-driven approach such as MDA. The defined models are based on expressive and simple abstractions, so the application, the location, the deployment process and its orchestration can be built on top of that common foundation. We hope that the deployment framework we present is a valuable contribution to this new generation of systems.
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