A ROBUST MOSAICING METHOD FOR ROBOTIC ASSISTED MINIMALLY INVASIVE SURGERY
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Abstract: Constructing a mosaicing image with broader field-of-view has become an interesting topic in image guided diagnosis and treatment. In this paper, we present a robust method for video mosaicing in order to provide more guiding information for robotic assisted minimally invasive surgery. Outliers involved in the feature dataset are removed using trifocal constraints, homographies between images are estimated with $L_\infty$-norm optimization and chained together in a practical way. Finally refinement based on bundle adjustment is applied to minimize the error between reprojection and feature measurement. The proposed method has been tested with endoscopic images from Totally Endoscopic Coronary Artery Bypass (TECAB) surgery. The results showed our method performs better than other typical methods in terms of accuracy and robustness to deformation.

1 INTRODUCTION

The past decade has witnessed significant advances on robotic assisted Minimally Invasive Surgery (MIS) evolving from early laboratory experiments to an indispensable tool for many surgeries. MIS offers great benefits to patients: the incisions and trauma are reduced and hospitalisation time is shorter. Robotic assisted techniques further enhance the manual dexterity of the surgeon and enable him to concentrate on the surgical procedure. Despite of all these advantages, MIS using an endoscope still suffers from a fundamental problem: the narrow field-of-view. As a result, the restricted vision impedes the surgeon’s ability to collect visual information from the scenes and his/her awareness of peripheral sites.

A straightforward solution to overcome the difficulty is video mosaicing, creating a 2D image with wider field-of-view by aligning and properly blending a number of partly overlapped images acquired at different positions. A lot of research work about video mosaicing has been done in both computer vision and medical imaging communities. In 1975, Milgram (Milgram, 1975) proposed the first photomosaics method by minimizing the visual impact of the introduced seam. Geometric and greyscale information was used to combine the images on a line-by-line basis and to choose a best seam point for each line. After that, this area has attracted great attention from researchers in...
to the coordinate frame of a matrix, representing the deformation. Maximum likelihood estimate was used to build the chain of homographies using all the available feature points. Most recently, Brown and Lowe (Brown and Lowe, 2007) introduced an automatic mosaicing method based on the invariance features. The features are detected and matched together between images using SIFT (Lowe, 2004). This method is robust to orientation, scale and illumination of the input images and can recognize multiple panoramas in an unordered scene without any deformable objects in it. However, medical image usually involves some deformation from organs and soft tissues, which often lead to the failure of these methods.

In medical imaging community, Seshamani et al. (Seshamani et al., 2006) presented an endoscopic mosaicing technique to display a wider field-of-view of the surgical scene by stitching together images. This method, which was evaluated using microscopic retinal and catadioptric endometrial images, can perform online image registration and provide warping models to handle tubular organ structure. Vercauteren et al. (Vercauteren et al., 2006) also proposed a similar mosaicing method but they applied statistics for Riemannian manifolds to pairwise registration. Their method is able to produce a globally consistent mapping of input frames which is also aligned to a reference plane. It also considers non-rigid deformations of soft tissue, and the irregular sampling present in fibered confocal microscopy. Recently Miranda-Luna et al. (Miranda-Luna et al., 2008) also proposed a method of mosaicing of bladder endoscopic images by mutual information-based similarity measure and stochastic gradient optimization. Besides, an undistortion method is used to preprocess the endoscopic images in order to improve the robustness of the registration. Unfortunately, a common trait shared by these methods is the requirement of large overlap to guarantee the reprojection error.

So in this paper, we propose a robust method to mosaic medical images for robotic assisted minimally invasive surgery. Good features are detected and tracked based on the optical flow and then the potential outliers are removed from the feature dataset using the trifocal tensor. Homographies between images are estimated using Second-Order Cone Programming (SOCP) under $L_1$-norm. Then they are chained together under a common and global reference system, followed by bundle adjustment refinement to minimize the total misalignment. The contributions of the proposed method are as follows: (1) Mosaicing image with a broader field-of-view can be constructed from the input images containing deformable organs and soft tissues. Thus it can be used for 2D-3D registration of the anatomy to the preoperative CT/MRI data in order to provide more information for image guided diagnosis or surgery. (2) A robust strategy based on the trifocal tensor and bundle adjustment is used to remove outliers obtained from incorrect locations and incorrect tracking and to obtain the global alignment by minimizing the reprojection error.

## 2 ROBUST ESTIMATION FOR VIDEO MOSAICING

Given a set of images $I^i$ $(i=1,\ldots,m)$, and some image point $x_i = (x_{i1}, y_{i1}, 1)^T$ detected on each frame $i$. If two images $I^i$ and $I^{i+1}$ can be related by a linear transformation of the projective plane, we have

$$x^i = H^i x^{i+1}$$

(1)

where $H$ is a $3 \times 3$ matrix, representing the 2D-2D transformation via a projective plane, also called a homography.

### 2.1 Feature Detection and Tracking

The first step to construct mosaicing image is to track image features as the camera moves. One of the well-known tracking methods is the Lucas-Kanade (LK) tracker (Tomasi and Kanade, 1992). The LK tracker minimizes the sum of squared errors between two images $I^i$ and $I^{i+1}$ by altering the warping parameters $p$ which are used to warp $I^{i+1}$ to the coordinate frame of $I^i$. For a general motion model with transformation function $W(x, p)$, the objective function is

$$\min_{p} \sum \left[ I^{i+1}(W(x; p + \Delta p)) - I^i(x) \right]^2$$

(2)
This expression is linearized by a first order Taylor expansion on 

\[ f(x, p + \Delta p) \]

\[
\min_{x} \sum_{i} f''(f(x, p)) + Vf(x) \frac{\partial W}{\partial p} - F^T(x) \]

(3)

Where \( Vf(x) \) is the image gradient vector and \( \frac{\partial W}{\partial p} \) is the Jacobian of the transformation function.

### 2.2 Outlier Removal

Usually there are some outliers in the feature dataset after the detection and tracking, and they are in gross disagreement with a specific postulated model and must be handled by robust approaches. More importantly, the \( L_{\infty} \) optimization, which will be discussed in the next section, is very vulnerable to outliers. So the outlier removal is crucial to the success of the whole mosaicing process.

Given three cameras characterized by projective matrices \( P = [I]_{3 \times 3}, P' = [A]_{3 \times 3}, P'' = [B]_{3 \times 3} \), the images of a 3D point in each view can be denoted as \( x = (x, y, 1)^T \), \( x' = (x', y', 1)^T \), \( x'' = (x'', y'', 1)^T \) in homogeneous coordinates. It can be noted that matrices \( A \) and \( B \) are 2D homograph matrices, where \( x' = Ax \) and \( x'' = Bx \), and \( V' \) and \( V'' \) are the projection of the first camera centre into the second and third images.

Then the trilinear constraints across the three views can be compactly expressed in terms of trifocal tensor, \( T^k \), which is a \( 3 \times 3 \times 3 \) matrix with 27 entries.

And the relation \( x \leftrightarrow x' \leftrightarrow x'' \) can be described as (Shashua, 1995)

\[
T^k = v'v^i b^j - v''v^i a^j, \quad i, j, k = 1, 2, 3
\]

(4)

Since every corresponding triplet \( x , x', x'' \) contributes four linearly independent equations, then seven point correspondences uniquely determine (up to scale) the tensor \( T \). In fact the trifocal tensor can be estimated from a minimum of six point correspondences since it has only 18 degrees of freedom.

However, the six-point estimation involves the solution of a cubic and a complicated parameterization (Quan, 1994), so for simplicity, we use the seven-point method to compute a possible solution and employ the RANSAC strategy to detect the outliers based on the geometric error.

\[
R = \sum_{i,j,k} R = \sum_{i,j,k} \delta(x, x') + \delta(x', x'') + \delta(x'', x) \]

(5)

This error measures the sum-of-squares of the geometric distances between the image points \( x \leftrightarrow x' \leftrightarrow x'' \) and the corrected data points \( \hat{x} \leftrightarrow \hat{x}' \leftrightarrow \hat{x}'' \), with the latter obeying the trilinear constraint Eq. (4) for the estimated tensor \( T \). Thus, given three images with overlap, we can estimate the trifocal tensor among them and use the above error measure to detect outliers accordingly.

The above method is only applicable to three images, we require a method to process an entire image sequence and remove the outliers. The simplest way is to compute the tensor among three consecutive images, \( (i, i+1, i+2) \), e.g., image triplet, \( (i, 2, 3), (2, 3, 4) \), etc., as shown in Fig.1 (a), and delete feature points if they are considered an outlier from any independent tensor estimation. Besides, we also employ additional image triplets for computation, e.g., \( (i, i+1, i+3) \), as shown in Fig.1 (b). However, the more image triplets that are used, the more feature points will be removed if a previous decision rule is applied (e.g., once an outlier, always an outlier). Our method carries out a number of independent tests (each time using a unique combination of three images) on each feature point. Feature points are only removed if they are determined to be outliers more than 50% of the times.

![Figure 1: Strategy of outlier removal based on trifocal tensor. (a) The three consecutive images \( (i, i+1, i+2) \) are used to compute the trifocal tensor. (b) More nearby images \( (i, i+1, i+3) \) are used to remove the outliers from the image sequence.](image)

### 2.3 Image Alignment

Today \( L_{\infty} \) -norm optimization has been widely used in various multiple-view geometry problems (Kahl and Hartley, 2008). One of the main advantages of \( L_{\infty} \) is that: problems formulated by \( L_{\infty} \) often possess a single, hence global, optimum.
usually leads to a simpler formulation for the same problem compared with $L_n$. Without loss of generality, we set the last element of the homography $H$, $h_{33}$, to 1 and have

$$\mathbf{x}'_i = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} & h_{13} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} & h_{23} \\ h_{31} & h_{32} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}'_i$$

So the residual of homography estimation between image $i$ and $j$ can be expressed as

$$d(x'_i, x'_j) = \frac{\mathbf{h}'_l^T \mathbf{x}'_i - x'_j, \mathbf{h}'_l^T \mathbf{x}'_i - y'_j}{\mathbf{h}'_l^T \mathbf{x}'_i - x'_j}$$

where $\mathbf{h}'_l$ represents the $l$-th row of the matrix $H$. So our aim is to solve the following optimization problem by minimizing the residual

$$\min_{\mathbf{s}} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d(x'_i, x'_j), \text{ s.t. } \lambda_i(\mathbf{s}) > 0$$

Suppose each residual has an upper bound $\gamma_i$, that is, $\left(f_{x'_i}(\mathbf{s}) + f_{y'_i}(\mathbf{s})\right) / \lambda_i(\mathbf{s}) \leq \gamma_i$. Then the formulation in (6) is equivalent to

$$\min \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \cdots + \gamma_m$$

s.t. $f_{x'_i}(\mathbf{s}) + f_{y'_i}(\mathbf{s}) \leq \gamma_i \lambda_i(\mathbf{s})^2, k = 1, \cdots, m$

$$\lambda_i(\mathbf{s}) > 0$$

Then we can use Second-Order Cone Programming (Alizadeh and Goldfarb, 2003) to solve this problem. Readers can refer to Kahl’s paper for more details (Kahl and Hartley, 2008).

Ideally, after the alignment of all consecutive images, we can chain all the images together and wrap them onto a reference plane

$$H^{-1} = \begin{cases} I & \text{if } i = r \\ H'^{i-r}H'^{-i} & \text{if } i > r \\ H'^{r-i}H'^{-i} & \text{if } i < r \end{cases}$$

Here image $r$ is the reference frame. For simplicity, it can be the middle image of the whole video sequence.

However, the misalignment error usually accumulates by concatenating homographies. This is especially evident when the camera goes back to the scene previously seen in a long image sequence. The accumulation of error may be so great that the first and last images are very poorly registered. In other words, the homographies are not consistent with alignment to a common frame. So we use a strategy to minimize the number of good homographies to link image $i$ with reference frame $r$:

1. Find image $j$, which is the furthest to image $r$ but with enough overlap. Here the overlap can be the number of feature correspondences between image $j$ and $r$

$$n_{ij} \geq \delta_{\text{overlap}}$$

2. Compute the homography between frame $k$ and $i$, and calculate the mean of the residual error

$$D_{ij} = \frac{1}{n_{ij}} \sum_{i} d(x'_i, x'_i)$$

3. If $D_{ij}$ is small enough, $D_{ij} \leq \delta_{\text{overlap}}$, this homography $H'_{ij}$ is accepted. Then we start from image $j$, $r = j$, and find the next acceptable homography $H'_{ij}$ using step (1) and (2). If $D_{ij} > \delta_{\text{overlap}}$, we select the image next to $j$

$$j = \{j+1 \text{ if } r > j \}$$

and repeat (2) and (3).

4. The process will halt until the whole homography chain is built.

Thus, alignment can take advantage of homographies linking non-consecutive frames and reduces the global registration error.

### 2.4 Refinement based on Bundle Adjustment

The bundle adjustment (BA, Triggs et al., 1999) we used is different from the ones addressed in McLauchlan’s (McLauchlan, and Jänicke, 2002) and Brown’s paper (Brown and Lowe, 2007). In their papers, BA was used to solve the rotation parameters and focal lengths of all cameras. In this paper, BA was performed to find the best homography set $\{H'_{ij}\}$, $i = 1, \cdots, m$, that minimize the misalignment error.

$$\min_{H'_{ij}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{ij}} \left\| x'_i - H'_{ij} \tilde{x}'_i \right\|^2$$

where $\tilde{x}'$ is the reprojection of all the feature points onto frame $r$. It can be easily computed using Least Square method with all the available homographies. Then Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm is used to sol-
Figure 2: The experimental result of endoscopic images from Totally Endoscopic Coronary Artery Bypass surgery. (a), (b) and (c) show the first, middle and last images of the sequence, respectively. (d) displays the mosaicing result of Brown’s Method. (e) displays the mosaicing result of the proposed method.

The \textit{da Vinci}™ robotic surgical system (Intuitive Surgical, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA, USA) was used to obtain images of the heart surface. The video endoscopic images were digitized at 25 frames per second (fps) using a frame grabber (LFG4 PCI64, Intuitive Surgical, Inc.).

3 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section, the performance of the proposed method was evaluated using endoscopic images from Totally Endoscopic Coronary Artery Bypass (TECAB) surgery and compared with Brown’s method (Brown and Lowe, 2007).

The C++ code about the generic sparse bundle adjustment is available online by courtesy of Manolis Lourakis.
Active Silicon, Uxbridge, U.K.). Although da Vinci system provides stereo vision, we only use the image sequence from left camera to perform the mosaicing in order to compare with other methods. 150 images were captured from the endoscope but we use only 30 frames (every 5 frame from the sequence) for the mosaicing. Our aim is to create a mosaicing image which includes the whole structure of the coronary artery. The main challenge is the large complicated non-rigid motion introduced by the beating heart surface, which is shown in the right bottom of Fig. 2 (b) and (c).

Fig. 2 (d) displays the mosaicing result of the proposed method. We can notice that the whole vessel structure has been built correctly. So the surgeon can realize the environment outside the current scene when he views a part of the vessel. More importantly, the mosaicing image can help him link the endoscopic video with the preoperative information from CT/MRI scan. Brown’s method was also tested using this image sequence and the mosaicing result was displayed in Fig. 2 (e). It is noticed that only part (around three quarters) of the whole vessel had been constructed and the images affected badly by the beating heart surface could not be used by Brown’s method. The possible reason is that SIFT feature descriptor could not find enough reliable features from the images with severe deformation from the internal organ or soft tissue.

4 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we proposed a robust video mosaicing method for robotic assisted minimally invasive surgery. The mosaicing image displays a much wider field-of-view of the operation scene and helps the surgeon realize the surrounding environment outside the current view. Experiments with TECAB endoscopic images and FCM images show that the proposed method performs better than other typical methods. It is robust to deformation caused by organs and soft tissues and can even deal with artefacts involved in the images.

Effort in the near future will focus on future improvement of robustness to deformation and artefacts. Our long term goal is to automatically construct mosaicing image of the surgical scene, reconstruct the internal organ surfaces and register these with the preoperative data (CT or MRI) to provide more information for image guided diagnosis and treatment.
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