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Abstract: VNEC (Virtual Network Experiment Controller) is an open source tool for specifying and executing network experiments in a virtual environment. The user first describes the network topology, and then provides the tasks that should be performed by the hosts, together with their execution order. Next, VNEC initializes the environment by configuring and powering on the corresponding virtual machines to match the desired network topology. Finally, commands are dispatched to the proper virtual machines in the specified order. VNEC can hence be used for many types of network experiments. This paper presents the architecture of VNEC and discusses its implementation.

1 INTRODUCTION

Virtualization technologies such as VMWare offer the necessary components to set up a virtual environment where experiments can be safely executed at a low cost. However, one has to either setup and execute the experiment manually or develop his own controller.

This paper describes VNEC (Virtual Network Experiment Controller), an open source tool\(^1\) that allows the user to easily specify and execute network experiments. The main applications driving the development of VNEC are network experiments focusing on the actions performed by the hosts. Sensitive experiments (e.g., virus propagation (Twycross and Williamson, 2003) and attack scenarios (Massicotte et al., 2005)) and data collection experiments (e.g., operating system fingerprinting (Gagnon et al., 2007)) and target behavioral analysis (Massicotte et al., 2006) are a few examples. These applications have three important requirements:

- Execute the experiment in a confined environment, to avoid infecting physical machines with viruses.
- Execute the experiment in a sanitized environment, to ensure that the outcome of an experiment is not affected by the side effects of a previous experiment.
- Allow the use of a wide variety of guest operating systems, to collect data across a wide spectrum of targets.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows: Section 2 discusses related work in the area of virtualization controllers. Section 3 briefly presents VNEC from a user point of view, i.e., the different specification steps; a more complete description can be found in (Gagnon et al., 2008). Section 4 details VNEC’s architecture, discussing how the features are implemented. Finally, a discussion and some pointers towards future work conclude the paper.

2 RELATED WORK

Some tools similar to VNEC already exist, but to our knowledge none of them are as flexible nor as general.

VIX is an API developed by VMWare to provide some control over their virtual machines (e.g., power up, file copying, command execution). Unlike VNEC, VIX is not a controller; it simply provides some tools helping one to build his own controller. More importantly, the VIX functions allowing communication with a VM only work if the guest operating system (the OS running inside the VM) is a recent version of Linux or Windows. This is a major limitation, since commands can only be passed to a small subset of VMs.

\(^1\)http://vnec.sourceforge.net
The VNUML tool (Virtual Network User Mode Linux) is a controller for the UML virtualization technology. However, since UML only supports Linux OSes, VNUML is also very limited in scope.

Network simulation tools such as NS2 (Issariyakul and Hossain, 2009) and OPNET are very popular for network experiments. However, these network simulators and VNEC are quite different in scope. OPNET’s focus is at the packet level (protocols, delays, sequencing, routing, . . . ), which makes it not well-suited for host-centered experiments (OS fingerprinting, virus propagation, . . . ). VNEC’s focus is at the host-level, which makes it a good framework of host-behavior experiments. But, VNEC does not provide control at the packet level (e.g., delays, packet-loss).

3 USING VNEC

From the user’s point of view, using VNEC consists of three steps: network specification, task workflow specification, and experiment execution (see (Gagnon et al., 2008) for more details).

3.1 Network Specification

Figure 1 depicts the network specification environment of VNEC. The network specification phase consists of three parts:

- Creating the set of components (computers, hubs, and routers) using a drag-and-drop interface.
- Specifying the network topology by connecting the components.
- Associating each computer to a virtual machine (from a set of pre-existing VMs).

3.1.1 Network Specification Verification

Once the network specification is complete, and before moving on to the task workflow specification step, VNEC performs a series of verifications to validate the network topology provided. Basically, VNEC makes sure it has sufficient resources to virtualize the given network. The rules regarding network specification are listed here; their justifications will be explained in Section 4.2 where we discuss the implementation of VNEC.

Topology Rule 1 (Host Connection). Each host has at most one connection. For simplicity, we currently assume that each host VM has a single network interface.

Topology Rule 2 (Router Connection). Each router has at most three connections.

Topology Rule 3 (Homogeneous Segments). A network segment must contain VMs from the same virtualization technology and located on the same physical machine. In Figure 1, the VMs in the right segment are VMWare and are located on the remote host 192.168.1.2, the VMs in the middle segment are VMWare as well but are located on the local host, finally, the VMs in the left segment are Virtual PC and are located on 192.168.1.2.

Topology Rule 4 (Number of Segments). There can be no more than seven network segments for a given virtualization technology on a given physical host.

3.2 Task Workflow Specification

The task workflow specification step allows the user to assign tasks to be executed by the virtual machines and to specify their execution order. The task workflow is a directed acyclic graph where each node corresponds to a task. A task is to be executed when all its parent tasks are completed. A task workflow reads from left to right; a circle represents the execution of a task by a single given VM, while a rectangle stands for the execution of a task by a group of VMs.

There are two types of tasks. Command tasks (e.g., create file, delete file, ping, open telnet connection) are executed by the VMs, while control tasks (e.g., power on, shut down, take snapshot, revert to snapshot) are performed by VNEC’s engine to modify the state of a virtual machine.

2 VMWare VMs can have at most three network interfaces.

3 VMWare Workstation offers seven virtual networks (VMNets).
4 VNEC’S ARCHITECTURE

This section provides both a general overview of VNEC’s architecture and detailed descriptions regarding the implementation of the main features.

4.1 Overview

VNEC consists of four modules:
- vnec-master
- vnec-slave
- vnec-router
- vnec-taskRunner

VNEC is deployed on a set (possibly a singleton) of physical machines. The local machine runs vnec-master and is the single control point for the user, i.e., by providing the GUI described in Section 3. The remote computers (and optionally the local one as well) run vnec-slave (see Example 1).

Example 1 (Master/Slave Interaction). The experiment shown in Figure 1 relies on two physical computers: 127.0.0.1 and 192.168.1.2. In that particular case, 127.0.0.1 is running vnec-master and both 127.0.0.1 and 192.168.1.2 are running vnec-slave.

vnec-slave is mainly responsible for the interaction (remote or not) between vnec-master and the virtual machines, controlling them through different technologies and forwarding tasks to be executed (see Example 2).

Example 2 (Slave/VM Interaction). The remote computer 192.168.1.2 in the experiment of Figure 1 has to control both VMWare and Virtual PC VMs through its instance of vnec-slave.

Each physical host running vnec-slave makes its VMs available for the experiment. Virtual machines are of one of two types: router or host. Router VMs (running the vnec-router program) provides basic routing functionalities (e.g., packet forwarding, ARP resolution, …) as well as other utilities more specific to VNEC, such as dispatching task to VMs (see Section 4.2.3). Host VMs (running the vnec-taskRunner program) are used to execute tasks.

The example below provides an overview of the interaction between the different modules.

Example 3 (VNEC Overview). If vnec-master needs VM v to execute task t (e.g., ping 10.92.1.4) it will start by establishing on which physical host v is located. Then, it will contact the vnec-slave running on that host and request it to forward the task t to v. vnec-slave will first identify which router VM is on the same segment as v and then will ask the router to dispatch task t to v. The router will contact v and request the execution of t. v will execute the ping task, possibly relying on routers to communicate with 10.92.1.4. Once v finishes the task, it notifies the router, providing the task outcome (e.g., how many ping requests were successful). The router forwards the outcome to vnec-master by going through vnec-slave.

In the above example, one could wonder why vnec-slave goes through a router to communicate with the target VM v. There are two reasons:
- For security purpose, we make sure that none of the VMs can communicate with a physical host via a network connection. This is very important to ensure that the network experiment is confined into the virtual environment (e.g., to avoid a virus from propagating into the physical network). The physical machine, i.e., vnec-slave, can only communicate with router VMs via a shared folder, see Section 4.2.3.
- To support a wide variety of OSes, we avoid communicating with host VMs through shared folders. We use this communication mechanism only with router VMs.

4.2 Implementation

Below we discuss how the main features of VNEC are implemented.

4.2.1 Multi-virtualization Technologies

We faced two challenges when implementing the multi-virtualization technology feature: VM intercommunication and control. The former is addressed by the additional abilities of our routers, see Section 4.2.3. The latter mainly requires vnec-slave to know how to perform specific control actions on a VM with respect to different virtualization technologies. The control actions required are: configure virtual network, power on, and power off.

For VMWare, the task was quite easy. Configuring the virtual network of a VM, i.e., to set its virtual network interface on a specific VMNet, simply requires modifying an entry (e.g., ethernet0.vnet = "VMnet2") into the ".vmx" text-based configuration file of that VM. Power on and power off are both directly supported through the command line. We also support take snapshot and revert to snapshot for VMWare only.

For Virtual PC, changing the network configuration is achieved by modifying the ".vmc" configurati-
on file, which is in xml format. Powering on is also supported by the command line, but powering off is not. Our current workaround is to kill the Virtual PC process, resulting in powering off all Virtual PC VMs running on a physical host simultaneously.

On top of controlling the VMs, we need two features from each virtualization technology: a shared folder and state saving/resuming capabilities. The shared folder provides a communication mechanism between vnee-slave and the routers, see Section 4.2.3. State saving/resuming is essential to make sure that the effects of an experiment are not carried over to the next one and that the VMs can always be powered on in a specific state, i.e., where the vnee-taskRunner is active and ready to receive tasks.

VMWare already provides these two features. Shared folders can be enabled on guest VMs running recent versions of Windows and Linux, through the installation of VMWare Tools. This is sufficient as we only need shared folders with routers. The snapshots features of VMWare allows us to always power on a VM at a specific state (e.g., where the vnee-taskRunner process is running) and automatically revert back to that state when the VM is powered off.

For Virtual PC, some “hacking” is again required. Shared folders are no problem with some VMs (sufficient for our routers) through the installation of Virtual Machine Additions. However, Virtual PC only provides a single saved state and since we close VMs by killing the process, they cannot automatically be brought back to their saved state on shutdown. We circumvent this problem by copying the “.vmc” (containing the vm configuration) and “.vsv” (used to store the vm state) files when the VM is powered on and restoring the copied files once the VM is powered off. Together with the “undo disk” feature, which allows changes to the VM to be written into a separate file (which is discarded in our case), we can have the same state every time we power on a VM.

4.2.2 Multi-host

Deploying a virtual network across multiple physical computers is not trivial, especially with the requirement that the virtual network be closed from the physical world (for security reasons) yet remain fully connected. Section 4.2.3 discusses how the vnee-router module ensures the connectivity of the virtual network. Here we provide more details regarding the interaction between the physical hosts, i.e., between the vnee-master and vnee-slave modules.

vnee-master and vnee-slave communicate together through Java RMI. Upon startup, vnee-master reads a configuration file to obtain the list of physical hosts that can be used for the experiment. Each physical host is assumed to be running an instance of vnee-slave. vnee-master first tests if it can communicate with every listed slave. Then, vnee-master builds the set of VMs available for the experiment by asking each slave to provide its set of VMs. When the user starts the experiment, vnee-master informs the corresponding slaves. Moreover, the master registers itself both as a TaskListener and a PacketListener with all slaves. The TaskListener interface allows a slave to update its master regarding the progress of a task (e.g., task completed, outcome available, . . . ). The PacketListener interface allows a slave to notify its master that a packet needs to be forwarded to another slave. During the experiment, the master dispatches tasks to be executed in the realm of a specific slave. Finally, once the experiment completes, the master notifies all the slaves to make sure they are ready to accept a new experiment.

The master can request a slave to forward a specific packet to a specific VM. To make sure no security breach is created when such a packet transits on the physical network, it is encrypted using a randomly generated symmetric key. As a result, it is not possible for someone (e.g., a virus designer) to generate a packet in the virtual network such that the data corresponding to that packet transiting on the physical network will actually be malicious.

4.2.3 Router

A router is a VM with three network interfaces running the vnee-router module. Three is the maximum number of network interfaces allowed for a VM in VMWare Workstation and we adopt it as our standard, hence Topology Rule 2. Routers route traffic from one network interface to another, but there is much more to them.

First, routers are responsible for forwarding tasks from the vnee-slave module running at the physical level to the vnee-taskRunner module running inside the host VMs. To perform this, a router monitors a specific folder shared with its physical host. Whenever a task needs to be dispatched, it is written in that folder (by vnee-slave). The router reads the task, sends it to the corresponding host VM, and writes back the outcome in the folder for the vnee-slave to handle. To router communicates with host VMs through a Java RMI interface implemented by all the

---

5 A new symmetric key is randomly generated for each packet and is sent to the receiving host before the encrypted packet.

6 Virtual PC allows fours network interfaces, but for simplicity we restrict all routers to three.
vnec-taskRunners.

Basic routing functionalities are not sufficient for VNEC. For instance, for security reasons there can be no direct network links between two VMs running on different physical hosts. Similarly, there can be no network links between a VMWare VM and a Virtual PC VM, even if they are on the same physical host. To circumvent this and still provide full virtual network connectivity, VNEC relies on a special feature of vnec-router called external interface. On top of being able to route a packet from a virtual network interface to another, a router VM can route a packet from a virtual network interface straight to vnec-slave, and vice-versa. Then, vnec-slave has the ability to either give the packet to a different router (to allow router communication across different virtualization technologies on the same physical computer), or to pass it to vnec-master who will forward it to the proper vnec-slave (to allow router communication across different physical computers).

4.2.3.1 Network Topology Adjustments. In order for an experiment to run properly, some modifications might be required on the initial network topology provided by the user. For instance, VNEC must be able to dispatch tasks to any host VM. Moreover, any pair of VMs must be able to communicate together. To achieve this, there must be at least one router VM per virtual network segment. As a consequence, VNEC will sometimes automatically add routers, see Example 4.

Example 4 (Automatically Adding Routers). The network provided by the user in Figure 1 does not respect the one router per virtual segment requirement; there are three virtual segments and only one router. VNEC addresses this by automatically adding new routers. In the case of Figure 1, VNEC will replace the single router by three different ones: A VMWare router on 192.168.1.2 for the right segment, a VMWare router on 127.0.0.1 for the middle segment, and a Virtual PC router on 192.168.1.2 for the left segment, see Figure 2.

The algorithm to add routers is described below. We rely on a segment-based data structure where a network is a set of segments and a segments is a set of routers and a set of VMs. Each router has a routing table7 saying which interface to use to reach a specific host. Moreover, each router usually appears in more than one segment, but at most three (see Topology Rule 2), and each router interface is associated to one segment. If router $R_1$ belongs to two incompatible segments $s_1$ and $s_2$ (i.e., segments not on the same physical machine or not using the same virtualization technology) we replace $R_1$ in $s_2$ with a new router $R_2$. Then, the routing tables of both $R_1$ and $R_2$ are adjusted, see Table 1 and Table 2. $R_1$ is adjusted as follows: every communication going to $s_2$ is now routed through an external interface (to the vnec-slave) the rest remains unchanged. $R_2$ is adjusted as follows: every communication going to $s_2$ remains unchanged, everything else is now routed towards the vnec-slave.

4.2.3.2 Routing Packets. Now that each virtual segment has its own router, VNEC can dispatch tasks to any host VM (through its router) and all VMs can communicate with each other on the network. Example 5 illustrates how packets are routed across VMs sitting on different physical hosts.

Example 5 (Packet Routing in VNEC). Based on the modified network topology of Figure 2, assume that the Linux VM wants to send an Echo request packet to 10.92.1.6 (one of the Windows VM). Linux first sends an ARP request to obtain the MAC address associated with 10.92.1.6. Since the target is not located on the same segment, Router2 will respond to

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline
destination & interface/
\hline
10.92.1.21 & Ext \\
10.92.1.22 & $s_2$
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Splitted routing table.}
\end{table}
that ARP request. Then, Linux sends the Echo request to 10.92.1.6 with Router2’s MAC address. The router receives the packet and decide, based on its routing table, that the packet has to be forwarded on its external interface. The packet is then passed on to the slave running on 127.0.0.1 (this is achieved by using a shared folder). Because the source and destination are not located on the same host, the slave then forwards the packet to its master. The master transfers the packet to the slave running on 192.168.1.2. That slave will then transmit the packet to a router on the same segment as 10.92.1.6 (again via a shared folder), here Router3. Finally, Router3 will forwards the packet to the destination VM.

4.2.4 Hub

To reflect real networks, we want the network traffic going through a specific network segment to reach all the virtual machines of that segment, i.e., hubs broadcast the traffic. This is exactly the behavior of a virtual network segment. In VMware, the VMs attached to VMNet3 will receive all the packets passing in VMNet3. A similar feature is available in Virtual PC by using loopback adapters. In VMware there are seven available VMNets by default. As a consequence, we limit the number of network segments to seven for a given physical host and a given virtualization technology, hence Topology Rule 4.

To make sure traffic is broadcasted on a segment, we verify that all the VMs on a network segment correspond to the same virtualization technology and are located on the same physical host, hence Topology Rule 3.

5 DISCUSSION & FUTURE WORK

VNEC is an open source controller for virtual networks, available at http://vnec.sourceforge.net. It allows the user to specify a network experiment (network topology and task workflow) through a graphical interface. It automatically configures and controls the underlying VMs to perform the experiment. To provide a wide selection of virtual machines, VNEC is designed to support multiple virtualization technologies. To avoid the need for expensive dedicated computers, VNEC allows the user to distribute his experiments across multiple physical computers.

The VNEC project can be extended in several ways. We consider the following for the near future:

- Design an XML-based language to save/load experiments. This could also be used as a scripting language to avoid relying solely the GUI.

- Support more virtualization technologies: we are currently studying VirtualBox and UML. Adding a new technology simply requires to program an interface between VNEC and the actual virtualization program.

- Develop a workflow analyzer to detect basic anomalies in an experiment, e.g., if a VM is supposed to execute a command task before it is powered on.
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