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Abstract: In this paper, we describe SensorGIS, an integrated architecture for WSN applications. SensorGIS provides an integrated service-oriented architecture for collecting, archiving, analyzing, and visualizing sensor network data in a geographic information system (GIS). By using an extendible GIS framework as one of its user views, SensorGIS can contextually communicate the collected data, its trends, and distinct values of interest. In addition, it is designed in the service-oriented style and hence is extendible in terms of the analyses and visualizations. Finally, it integrates an online collaborative forum that enables annotation of the collected data with the users' observations and interpretations.

1 INTRODUCTION

We observe that for sensors, to be systematically and cost-effectively deployed in applications, it is essential to develop software architectures that treat them, their data, and their potential services in an integrated manner. For SensorGIS, we adopt a web-based architecture that relies on the representational state transfer (REST) style of services to archive, analyze, and visualize sensor-network data. At the user-interaction layer, it integrates (a) a geographic information system (GIS) for contextually communicating sensed data, (b) a visual data analysis component for exploring interesting trends and events in the collected data, and (c) a collaboration forum for enabling users to share their observations and interpretations.

The fundamental innovation of SensorGIS is twofold. First, it relies on an XML data representation and a REST-style architecture that integrates services for data management, analysis, and visualization. Second, it integrates three different components to communicate data to end users: (a) a geographic model, (b) data-specific visualizations, and (c) a collaborative tool for associating data with user interpretations and analyses.

The data-visualization tools of SensorGIS support charts and interactive tables that enable users to see an overview of observations of interest. Users can access historical data and statistics, and they can also register to receive notifications when values cross user-defined thresholds. Finally, users can record their observations and interpretations of the data in a forum so that a whole community can collaborate.

2 RELATED WORK

WSNs have applications in a variety of areas, such as environmental monitoring and healthcare. This technology also brings forth challenges in visualizing and interpreting information, which have given rise to a variety of sensor-network visualization systems.

SensorMap (Nath et al., 2007) is a real-time WSN visualization web service developed by Microsoft. Its disadvantages include its limited (or missing) support for (a) alerting users when measured values cross user-defined thresholds, (b) aggregating data retrieved from multiple sensors, (c) analyzing data within the web-based environment, and (d) facilitating the annotation of observations or trends deduced from the data.

Viewlon (Furuyama et al., 2007), unlike SensorMap, is not a web service and displays sensor relationships such as masters and sinks alongside the correlation of their sensed data. It visualizes WSNs as graphs, which makes it difficult to visualize sensors geographically, and not all WSNs form explicit and stable graphs (Gburzynski and Olesinski, 2008).

MoteView (Turon, 2005), similar to Viewlon, is not a web service, and its graphical user interface (GUI) runs on the client side. Similar to Viewlon, it...
represents sensor distribution as a graph and depends on specific sensor-network hardware.

Other tools include SNAMP (Yang et al., 2006), SpyGlass (Buschmann et al., 2005), GIS for groundwater surveillance (Lawerence et al., 2003), and SeeMote (Selavo et al., 2006). SNAMP is a desktop application without a GIS, and SpyGlass does not have an extendible database schema for data representation. The GIS for groundwater surveillance is designed to fit a particular WSN and, similar to SeeMote, visualizes the WSN as a graph.

3 THE ARCHITECTURE

SensorGIS follows four software-design principles:

1. separation of data representation from user-centric data renderings,
2. adoption of XML as the data-exchange format between data resources and the user-centric data renderings,
3. independence of database schema design from the sensor-network deployment and configuration, and
4. use of an efficient API to extract relevant data views from the data resource.

It consists of several key components. End-users access the user interface component that contains three parts: the multi-layered map, the data-analysis panel, and the collaboration forum. The second component, the data layer, resides in the background and stores the data received from the network and serves as the source for visualizations and analyses. The final AJAX component glues the above two components and uses representational state transfer (REST) and an appropriate XML schema to integrate the user interface with the data layer.

3.1 The User Interface

One of the fundamental innovations of SensorGIS is its design that integrates the collecting, archiving, analyzing, and visualizing of sensor-network data. The web-based user interface allows access to the SensorGIS services from multiple locations using different types of devices and integrates multiple synchronized views. In the following subsections, we discuss the three key parts of the SensorGIS web interface and explain their features.

3.1.1 The Multi-layered Map

SensorGIS builds on the OpenLayers JavaScript library for its multi-layered map. The base map can be a user-supplied image or a map from Google, Yahoo, or NASA. The library supports importing geographic data from GeoServer, which is a spatial database designed for geographic data storage and retrieval, and can display the data as overlays. It also supports a variety of other data sources.

The SensorGIS map shows sensor nodes as markers pinned at the node locations. If the network contains mobile nodes, the map view may periodically refresh to reflect changing locations.

SensorGIS can be used to monitor both individual sensor states and sensor group states. When the user selects a node marker, a pop-up displays the most recent state values. Users can define sensor groups by selecting (a) all sensors within a geographic area of interest or (b) individual node markers.

In addition to sensor and sensor-group queries, SensorGIS also supports a “watch” functionality to monitor nodes for extreme values. When enabled, the map shows sensors with state values outside user-defined ranges.

The SensorGIS map also allows users to manage the topology of the deployed WSN. Users can create new markers on the map for newly deployed nodes and manually change the location of current sensors.

3.1.2 The Data-analysis Panel

The top-right corner of the interface embeds the data-analysis panel (Figure 1, top-right). The content of this panel changes according to user selections made in the multi-layered map. If the user selects a single node, it shows either (a) the most recent observations of the node or (b) its historical data as a scalable graph (we use the flot JavaScript plotting library).

The data-analysis panel also supports a number of predefined queries for groups of nodes. The initial view contains the latest readings of each sensor at each node. A second view shows summary statistics for a single sensor type for a given period at each node. Finally, the third view extends the second to multiple sensor types, and furthermore, it summarizes them for all nodes in the group. To relate sensor groups with their geographic location, the map view labels the sensor group markers with numbers corresponding to table rows in the data-analysis panel.

Besides history graphs and grouping tables, users can also see any enabled watch function’s status in the panel.
3.1.3 The Collaboration Forum

The integration of a forum (phpBB) is a powerful feature of SensorGIS (Figure 1, bottom). Unlike typical forums that simply sequentially sort postings, our integration associates postings with the source sensor.

When a user observes something within the WSN data, he can add a topic to the forum. The forum entry and the selected sensor(s) are then cross-referenced in the server. Consequently, when another user examines the particular sensor(s), the software highlights the appropriate forum entry. Conversely, users reading a particular entry in the forum see the corresponding sensor(s) highlighted on the map.

A type of summary view is also available to visualize the amount of forum attention given to each sensor. After enabling this overlay, coloured markers on the map appear next to each annotated sensor, and the marker’s colour indicates the number of related forum entries.

3.2 The Data Layer

To support the described user interface, the collected sensor data is stored in (and retrieved from) a MySQL database. Figure 2 shows its entity/relationship diagram. It contains five entities:

**NODE**, with attribute `Node_ID`, lists the wireless nodes in the network.

**LOCATION**, with attributes for the longitude, latitude, altitude, and a time-stamp, associates wireless nodes with their physical locations. We include time-stamps to support mobile nodes.

**STATE** lists all of the possible states that a sensor may observe.

**OBSERVATION** contains the actual state measurements. The `Is_User` attribute indicates whether a SensorGIS user (rather than the network) recorded the observation.

**ROLE** lists the possible functions a node may take on in the network (e.g., sensor, sink, or master). At any given moment, each node is associated with one of these roles.

3.3 User-interface/Database Integration

To produce the user interface, server-side PHP scripts:

1. process HTTP POST requests from the client to extract arguments for database queries,
2. invoke relevant database queries on our MySQL database, and
3. construct an XML document containing the relevant data in response to the client-issued request.

Before sending the XML document to the client, the server validates it against the XML schema.

Two distinct styles exist for implementing web-based service-oriented applications: the ws* style and the REST style. Given the relative immaturity of the sensor-network technologies and applications, we have chosen the simpler REST style, which builds well on the set of core operations we have discussed earlier in this section.

The server contains three modules:

1. The network maintenance module reflects the WSN structure, manages all the raw data from the network, and feeds the network states with readings.
2. The network states module stores all readings received from the network maintenance module. When query requests unrelated to the forum arrive, the network states module generates query results and sends the results back in XML format.
3. Finally, the forum module is standalone and it manages the forum database and provides data for interaction between the multi-layer map and the forum, again in XML format.

According to the REST style, the data exchanged between server and client are represented in XML. Inspired by SensorML, we develop a simpler three-part XML schema to represent sensor-network data and add in it the support of history and statistics. The three parts are Operation, Sensor, and Statistics.

The Operation element defines the visualization operation to be applied to the retrieved XML document. In addition to the operation ID, a transaction ID is also included in the element, to prevent the client from performing redundant or wrong visualization operations.

The Sensor element is used to represent all the individual sensor information, including history. A Sensor tag has seven potential elements: sensorID, locationX, locationY, locationZ, typeID, typeDesc, and states. The first four elements are mandatory and correspond to the database table LOCATION. The fourth and fifth elements, typeID and typeDesc, are also required and correspond to the entity ROLE. The last element, named states, is optional and corresponds to the entities STATE and OBSERVATION. The states tag may consist of multiple child tags if it is carrying history data. According to the database query performed, child tags will be appended adaptively to the XML.

The third part of the schema is the Statistics element, and it is for sensor group data including summary statistics. It has two child tags: SensorList and Observations. SensorList records all the node IDs, and Observations has multiple child tags that represent different group statistics retrieved from the OBSERVATION table in the database.

While the Operation element is mandatory, the Sensor and Statistics elements are optional and mutually exclusive. This exclusiveness results from each XML response document only containing the result of a single query. For example, an XML document containing the current state values of a sensor does not need to include the Statistics tag. Similarly, an XML document including the statistics of a sensor does not need the Sensor tag. Moreover, if an error occurs and no sensor data can be returned, the XML document will only include the Operation tag.

4 CONCLUSIONS

We described SensorGIS, an extendible service-oriented architecture for managing and analyzing the data collected by WSNs. SensorGIS aims to improve productivity by providing a library of functions that collect, archive, visualize, and analyze WSN data. We have incorporated SensorGIS into two significantly different WSNs: the Intelligent Mousetrap and the Smart Condo, representing respectively an outdoor and an indoor WSN. In the context of the first project, we augmented traps, used by biologists to live-catch small animals for study purposes, with switches and a radio, and we visualized the network and the traps’ states within SensorGIS. Biologists can view the data in SensorGIS, either in the field or via the Internet, and then focus only on occupied traps. The interested reader can find more information about the latter project at (Boers et al., 2009). Its integration with the intelligent mousetrap and Smart Condo project provides strong support for its applicability to diverse WSNs.
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