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Abstract: Gathering traffic congestion information from all available sources to provide real-time traffic information not only makes reliable traffic predictions for management center, but also supports travelers to help guiding their transit decision. However, the key issue is that the quality of existing multiple traffic data sources are uncertain, and how to use them for performing trusty travel time estimation is a question. In this paper, a novel algorithm is proposed to address this problem. Firstly, through analyzing large amounts of traffic data, the reliability of evidence and its relationship with road network are defined in spatio-temporal dimension. Secondly, after using an improved aggregation method based on Dempster-Shafer evidence theory, the optimized evidences are adopted to estimate each link’s average link travel time. Comparative experiments of the real test-vehicle scheduling signals and real-time system data (supported by some 15000 floating cars and 320 loop detectors) indicate that the new algorithm is proved to be both reasonable and practical. It can be applied in real-time systems to manage large amount of data.

1 INTRODUCTION

The Real-Time Traffic Information (RTTI) plays a more and more important role in modern society. Through RTTI, the travelers can obtain optimized routes and traffic information before traveling, which can make them keep away from block roads and accidents (Aomori, 1999). In other words, using RTTI to travel can make process of their trip more economic and effective, among which the actual Average Link Travel Time (ALTT) is a basic component of it. However, how to solve data stability and data accuracy of ALTT becoming the key issue of current research.

Fortunately, the information fusion technology has been developed and introduced to solve the problem. On the one hand, using inductive Loop Detectors (LDs) to collect data are the most widely used means nowadays because of the maturity of the inductance technique, and the most important advantage of LDD is their stability (Pushkar A, 1994). On the other hand, by using large amount of floating cars to obtain ALTT is considered as one of the most efficient and promising methods. Based on data from numerous floating cars, travel time at divided Road sections(links) can be calculated directly (Corrado de Fabritiis, 2008).

In this paper, Real Time Traffic Information Fusion Algorithm (RTTIFA) is proposed. Firstly, through providing appropriate dynamic weights for each piece of traffic data, the real time floating car data (FCD) and loop detector data (LDD) are optimized. Secondly, a method based on modified D-S theory in order to classify the evidence is addressed. Finally, according to a decision rule, the valid data is selected to estimate traffic state and ALTT.

2 STATISTICAL DATA ANALYSIS

Extensive deployment of loop detectors is able to provide tremendous amount of baseline data for real system, it is a kind of statistic data. For each loop detector, there several statistical results are estimated in a sampling interval (the sampling interval is 5min in practice, and amount sampling interval of 24 hours is 288), each of them is viewed as a piece of LDD. In the review of literatures, we know that stability is its key point (Petty, 1998), so $\gamma_{LD}$ is used to adapt the weight of loop detector $LD_{i}$.
It can be expressed as:

$$y_{j}^{\prime} = \frac{\text{|speed}_{j} - \text{ALTS}_{j}^{\prime\prime}}{\max\{|\text{speed}_{j}, \text{ALTS}_{j}^{\prime\prime}\}} \cdot k'' = 1, 2, \ldots K'' \quad (1)$$

Where \( \text{speed}_{j} \) describes the speed value of \( LD_{j} \) in the last sampling interval. \( \text{ALTS}_{j}^{\prime\prime} \) is the average link travel speed of link \( j \) in previous sampling interval. \( K'' \) is the sample size of \( LD_{j} \) in current sampling interval.

Using floating cars, real-time OD data can be obtained. From the point of view of urban road network, the length of link is relatively short and most of the vehicle tracks are constituted by two or more road links in the urban network, thus the calculated average velocities above should be distributed spatially to these road links. So in our method, the weight value of each track is defined (Qing-jie Kong and Liu, 2007). It not only consider travel information of track \( k' \) which cover current link, but also consider travel information of track \( k' \) on its adjacent links. That is,

$$y_{j}^{\prime} = \sum_{l_{j}=1}^{\prime} \frac{D_{j}}{l_{j}} \cdot k' = 1, 2, \ldots K' \quad (2)$$

Where \( \sum_{l_{j}=1}^{\prime} D_{j} \) describes the traveled distance of track \( k' \) on link \( l_{j} \) and its adjacent links, \( \sum_{l_{j}=1}^{\prime} l_{j} \) describes the overall length of these links. The number of tracks which covered link \( l_{j} \) is the sample size and express as \( K' \).

3 APPLICATION OF D-S EVIDENCE THEORY IN DATA FUSION

The general goal of our method is to acquire real-time and accurate ALTT of each link in road network. In this section, we utilize an improved evidence theory for data classification. Firstly, traffic status are divided into three levels: jam (speed \( \leq 20 \) km/h), slow (\( 20 \) km/h \( < \) speed \( \leq 40 \) km/h) and smooth (speed \( > 40 \) km/h). Each one is viewed as a classification and an element of the frame of discernment. That is,

$$\Theta = \{\text{jam}, \text{slow}, \text{smooth}\}$$

The power set is:

$$2^{\Theta} = \{\emptyset, \{\text{jam}\}, \{\text{slow}\}, \{\text{smooth}\}, \{\text{jam}, \text{slow}\}, \{\text{slow}, \text{smooth}\}, \{\text{jam}, \text{smooth}\}, \{\text{jam}, \text{slow}, \text{smooth}\}\}$$

Among these, \( A = \{\{\text{jam}\}, \{\text{slow}\}, \{\text{smooth}\}\}, \forall A \in A \) is singletons set. At the same time, because we are not sure which singleton the evidence belong to, so \( B = \{\{\text{jam}, \text{slow}\}, \{\text{slow}, \text{smooth}\}\}, \forall B_{B} \in B \) is defined as the set of uncertain sets; \( \{\text{jam}, \text{smooth}\}, \emptyset \)

are meaningless, \( \{\text{jam}, \text{slow}, \text{smooth}\} \) is an unknown set.

In a sampling interval, each link has been labeled by several velocities of different vehicles and loop detectors. Every track or a piece of LDD is defined as an evidence and express as \( t_{k} \). The basic probability assignment, or mass function, assigns some quantity of belief to the elements of the frame of discernment. In our algorithm, \( m(C_{z}) \) is the measure of the belief assigned by support degree of a evidence \( t_{k} \), which can be assigned as:

$$m(C_{z}) = \frac{\gamma}{N'} \forall C_{z} \in 2^{\Theta} \quad (3)$$

Where \( \gamma \) is the weight of an evidence, \( N' \) is the sample size of evidence set in the current sampling interval. The belief \( Bel(A_{f}) \) measures the degree given by a source support the belief in a specified element as the right answer. It is given by:

$$Bel(A_{f}) = \sum m(A_{f}) \forall A_{f} \in A \quad (4)$$

The plausibility \( Pl(A_{f}) \) measures how much we should believe in an element if all unknown belief is assigned to it. So we comprehensively take account of the information of all the surrounding evidences that in the edge transition area: uncertain set is assigned by the evidence which the labeled in the edge transition area.

$$Pl(A_{f}) = \sum_{C_{z} \cap A_{f} \neq \emptyset} m(C_{z}) \forall A_{f} \in A \quad (5)$$

At last, we define \( m(\Theta) \) as:

$$m(\Theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{N'} \frac{1 - \gamma_{k}}{N'} \quad (6)$$

Where \( N' \) is the sample size of evidences set.

4 ALGORITHM DESCRIPTION

In this section, there are two critical procedures: one is data cluster based on D-S theory, the other is the method for decision rule.

4.1 Evidence Classification

It is tricky that assorted evidences from different IDs generally share some common road link, while declaring disparate average velocities on it. A practical way is to optimize all these distributed velocity contributions on the road link as well as their corresponding weight factors into account integrated, then
formulate a reasonable result. In other words, the set of evidence can be optimized as:

\[ \Omega_j = \{ l_k \mid \frac{\gamma(l_k)}{\gamma(l_{k,\text{max}})} \geq G \}, k = 1, 2, \ldots, N \]  

(7)

Where \( \gamma(l_{k,\text{max}}) \) express which has the best weight value among \( \gamma(l_k) \). \( \Omega_j \) is the optimized set of link \( l_j \), \( G \) is threshold value.

The classification strategy on evidence is based on its support degree to singletons. So the classification of each evidence \( k \) is defined according to the labeled value of \( \gamma_i \). However, when the labeled value is near the dividing lines (such as 20 km/h) among the traffic condition levels, it should allocate proper support value to the uncertain propositions. In our algorithm, we set edge transition area (near the traffic state dividing lines) to solve this problem. In a simplified illustration in Figure 1, \( V_s \) is the step size, the size of transition area is \( 2\delta \), and the threshold of dividing lines \( v_d \) are valued as \( v_d^{\text{jam-free}} = V_s \) and \( v_d^{\text{free}} = 2V_s \).

To reduce uncertainty degree of evidence, the amounts and support degree of evidences to singleton \( Bel_j(A_f) \) is defined as:

\[
\begin{align*}
Bel_j(A_f) & = \sum m(A_f) + \sum_{B_f \neq A_f} m(B_f) \\
m'(B_f) & = \frac{\text{speed}_k - v_d}{\delta} m_k(B_f) \\
|\text{speed}_k - v_d| & < \delta
\end{align*}
\]

(8)

Where \( \text{speed}_k \) is the labeled speed of evidence \( k \), \( m(A_f) \) is the measure of the belief assigned by a given evidence to singleton with no doubt, \( m(B_f) \) is the measure of the belief assigned by a given evidence (the labeled speed in the edge transition area).

### 4.2 Decision Rule

In view of literatures, Ying-Ming Wang (Wang, 2005) brings forward a simple but more practical and more rational preference ranking method. We apply this algorithm to obtain the combined fusion result in the Evidential Reasoning Framework. Meanwhile, we do some modifications according to the need of our application.

The approach is summarized as follows:

\[
P_j(A_f > A_g) = \frac{\max(0, Pl(A_f) - Bel(A_f)) - \max(0, Bel(A_f) - Pl(A_g))}{[Pl(A_f) - Bel(A_f)] + [Pl(A_g) - Bel(A_g)]}
\]

(9)

Where \( \forall A_f, A_g \in A \) the degree of preference of \( A_f \) over \( A_g \) can be defined in the same way. It is obvious that \( P_j(A_f) + P_j(A_g) = 1 \) and \( P_j(A_f) = P_j(A_g) = 0.5 \) when \( A_f = A_g \). In this situation, we can make our final estimats of the traffic state on each road link in terms of which classification the evidence fall into.

### 5 Evaluation

In order to verify the model presented in this paper, we arrange some 200 test-cars to traveling along 10 scheduled routes in daytime and their every seconds GPS data to be processed as actual value. Each route tested 4 or 5 times by more than 50 test-cars.

In the experiment, we divide the scheduled route into several parts, and record the time when the vehicle travels passing the starting and the ending location of every part. We describes the error rate of the results as follows:

\[
E = \frac{|t_e - t_a|}{t_a}
\]

(10)

Where \( t_e \) escribes the travel time of some parts of the route calculated from the system, \( t_a \) describes the actual travel time that we recorded from test-vehicles.

For testing weight of evidence, 3 different methods (simple average, link-based method and CR-based method) were taken to sample selection and the field data was collected from a path of XUEYUAN road, Beijing, a part of one scheduled route which is a typical road section in urban arteries.

Figure 2: Travel time error by RTFCS results.
As a result, random sampling analysis was implemented as mentioned in following. Each blue mark ‘O’ expresses standard deviation by a floating car, and the results were shown in Figure 2 to Figure 4.

Figure 3: Travel time error by link-based results.

Figure 4: Travel time error by CR-based results.

Figure 5: The difference in traveling speed.

The accuracy of them is evaluated by averaging test-cars results. As we expected, the result of CR-based expected to produce the most accurate result, and Figure 4 confirms this by showing the lowest standard deviation for this method.

To evaluate the accuracy of our method, the following experiment compares the difference among traveling speed provided by RTTFA results, RTFCS results and test-cars results, and the results of comparison are shown in Figure 5. It is obvious that for both stability and accuracy, the performance of RTTFA is better than the results of RTFCS. In other words, utilizing optimized evidence set to estimate ALTT, can ensure the reliable of evidences and improve the accuracy of RTTI.

6 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we present a novel algorithm for acquiring link traffic information based on the merits of LD and FCD. In order to achieve this task, we established the relationship of contact evidences and road network in spatio-temporal dimension at first, and then we classify evidences by improved aggregation method based on Demoster-Shafer evidence theory. By applying a decision rule, the ALTT of each link is estimated at last. From the evaluation, the conclusion can be made that the algorithm proposed in this paper can fully take advantage of the superiorities of these two sources’ merits.
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