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Abstract: This paper describes a work performed in the framework of the HealthOnNet project purposed to define and implement an Internet-based repository of diagnostic exams and medical reports connecting several Italian hospitals. The repository, which will be used as an historical and legal archive of clinical data, offers second opinion teleconsulting features as well as advanced categorization and filtering services. The paper is focused on this latter point and describes the process and the algorithms we defined to automatically classify medical documents (with respect to the widely adopted International Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems of the World Health Organization) and to filter them on the basis of a user defined profile. Then it describes the developed prototype and some experimentation results.

1 INTRODUCTION

Healthcare is evolving in a specialised environment where the patient doesn’t select the nearest hospital but the best one suited to the suffered disease. For this reason he will write several pieces of his health curriculum on several different “books” that will so often results fragmented, misaligned and discordant.

In this context, the HealthOnNet (HoN) project’s purpose was to build an Internet-based repository connecting several Italian hospitals and able to store and index medical documents of several kinds like diagnostic exams and medical reports. This will act as a centralized dossier collecting and connecting every patient’ clinical experience.

The HoN repository represents an historical and legal archive of clinical data accessible through a Web browser by medical doctors as well as directly by patients. It is also able to foster cooperation among hospitals by allowing easy exchange of data and enabling second opinion teleconsulting.

Moreover the repository can also be exploited by researchers to collect information about pathologies and their territorial distribution as well as about applied medical treatments and their outcomes. This can be useful e.g. for evidence based medicine (Elstein, A.S., 2004) whose aim is to suggest health care practices based on a wide set of reliable data about clinical outcomes.

In order to support this latter feature we defined a process and a set of algorithms for the automatic categorization of medical documents with respect to the widely adopted International Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD) of the World Health Organization (WHO).

Moreover we defined profiling techniques to let researchers define their interests in terms of ICD categories and developed a system able to retrieve relevant documents on the repository according to the defined profile. Finally we also defined and developed matchmaking algorithms to find and put in touch researchers with similar interests.

This paper describes achievements made about these topics within HoN. Section 2 presents related literature while sections 3 and 4 deepen theoretical issues and describe defined text categorization, user profiling and matchmaking algorithms. Section 5 presents the developed prototype and shows some preliminary experimentation results. Finally section 6 adds some brief concluding remarks.
2 RELATED WORK

Internet and local networks have greatly increased the availability of medical information and reduced the cost and the time needed to access it. Examples of on-line information related to the health domain include medical reports, bibliographies, conference proceedings, clinic instructions, health organisation information, discussion forums, etc.

This represents not only an opportunity but also a problem for users with respect to the huge volume of information that is generated daily. In order to find relevant information in this context the adoption of controlled vocabularies for information indexing as well as of advanced categorization and filtering techniques is more and more needed.

With respect to the first topic, several controlled vocabularies for medicine exist. As an example, the World Health Organization (WHO) defined the International Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD) that provides codes to classify diseases and a variety of signs, symptoms, abnormal findings, complaints, social circumstances and external causes of injury or disease. The current version of ICD (WHO, 2004) is composed of more than 155,000 codes grouped in 21 main chapters.

Moreover, the U.S. National Library of Medicine developed the Medical Subject Headings (MeSH), a controlled vocabulary of terms aimed at indexing papers and books in life sciences and serving as a thesaurus to facilitate searches in the medical field (NLM, 2008).

MeSH includes more than 100,000 concepts and a hierarchy with more than 11 deepening levels. It is used to index MEDLINE, the biggest database of medical information on-line. Several systems have been developed to find in it relevant information like the Grateful Med System, a windows-based querying interface and COACH, a system that converts keywords and phrases in MeSH compatible queries.

Unfortunately these systems require a learning phase that still discourages potential users. Moreover they are not able to actively support users during the search task by trying to determine user interests and to refine searches by considering this information. In other word they are not filtering systems.

An information filtering system is able to select from an information source only those items that are relevant for a given user basing on a (explicitly defined or implicitly inferred) user profile. A user profile describes the interests of a user with respect to domain topics and may be generated by exploiting machine learning techniques e.g. by extracting keywords from a set of relevant documents and/or by collecting user (positive or negative) feedback about documents suggested by the system.

One of the few existing filtering systems in the medical domain is Kavanah (Santos, E., Nguyen, H., 2000) that is based on interface agents that learn the interests and the preferences of the users while they perform search tasks. Discovered interests and preferences are then exploited to help users retrieve further information and relevant knowledge.

The system we propose in this paper tries to merge the advantages coming from the application of a controlled vocabulary (ICD) together with those coming from a filtering system. It is able, from one side, to automatically categorize unknown medical documents on the basis of ICD and, from the other side, to filter relevant information on the basis of an explicit ICD-based user profile.

For these reasons it is different from existing search tools based on controlled vocabularies (like Grateful Med System and COACH) because it also offers profile-driven searches. It is also different from traditional filtering systems (like Kanavah) because available documents are pre-classified with respect to a controlled vocabulary. This not only empowers search facilities but also ensures a greater interoperability with external systems based on such vocabularies.

3 THE CATEGORIZATION METHODOLOGY

The categorization process we apply is based on machine learning techniques enabling the automatic building of a classifier by letting it learn distinctive features of the categories of a given domain starting from a training set of pre-classified documents (Sebastiani, F., 2002).

In other words, given a certain set of categories (those provide by ICD in our case), a training set is used to extract the distinctive features (or terms) of each category. At this point, once a new document is presented to the system, it will be able to assign it to none, one or more categories on the basis of the value of a given similarity function.

More formally, given a document domain \( D \) and a category domain \( C \), the purpose of the algorithm is to build a function \( \phi \) able to associate each pair \((d, c)\) with \( d \in D \) and \( c \in C \) a membership value of \( d \) in \( c \) included in the range \([0, 1]\).

As anticipated, our approach relies on an initial corpus \( \Omega \subset D \) of pre-classified documents. In such sense every pair \((d, c)\) with \( d \in \Omega \) so that \( \phi(d, c) = 1 \) is a positive sample for the class \( c \) while every pair \((d, c)\) so that \( \phi(d, c) = 0 \) is a negative sample for the
To classify unknown documents (so belonging to \( D - \Omega \)) it is necessary to identify relevant terms from each known document and, by considering their membership to the classes of \( C \), to understand the relevant terms representing each class. In such a way the classification of an unknown document can be done by extracting relevant terms from it and by associating it to the class represented by the most similar terms.

To extract relevant terms from a given document \( d \) means to transform it in an array of weights \( dw \) so that \( dw = (w_1, \ldots, w_p) \) where \( T \) is the set of terms appearing at least once in a document of \( \Omega \) and each \( w_i \) (so that \( 0 \leq w_i \leq 1 \)) represents how much the term \( t_i \) belonging to \( d \) represents the semantics of \( d \).

In order to calculate the weight of the \( i \)-th term \( t_i \) in a given document \( d \), we chose to use the standard \( tf-idf \) (term frequency inverse document frequency) function as defined in (Salton, G. and Buckley, C., 1988):

\[
tfidf(t_i,d) = \frac{\#(t_i,d) \cdot \log \frac{\|\Omega\|}{\#_\Omega(t_i)}}{\sqrt{\sum_{t \in T} (tfidf(t,d))^2}}
\]  

where \( \#(t_i,d) \) indicates how many times the term \( t_i \) appears in the document \( d \) while \( \#_\Omega(t_i) \) indicates the number of documents in \( \Omega \) where \( t_i \) appears at least once. In order to normalise weights in the range \([0,1]\) we chose to define each weight \( w_i \) as follows:

\[
w_i = \frac{tfidf(t_i,d)}{\sqrt{\sum_{t \in T} (tfidf(t,d))^2}}.
\]

To speed up the calculation of the arrays of weights and to improve the classifier performances, we apply the following pre-processing algorithms: tokenization to transform a document in a list of words (removing figures, symbols, tags, etc.), stop words removal (to remove not relevant terms like articles, conjunctions, pronouns, prepositions) and stemming (to reduce an inflected or derived word to its stem, base or root form) (Van Rijsbergen, C.J. et al., 1980).

Once all arrays of weights associated to training documents are available, it is necessary to calculate the degree of membership of each new document to one of the selected categories. To do that we use a Bayesian classifier (Lewis, D.D., 1998) that is performing also with small training sets (Sebastiani, F., 2002).

This kind of classifier sees the function \( \phi(d, c) \) in terms of conditional probability that a document \( d \) belongs to the category \( c \) given an associated array of weights \( dw = (w_1, \ldots, w_p) \). Assuming that all the weights are statistically independent, it is possible to estimate the function \( \phi(d, c) \) as follows:

\[
\log(\phi(d, c)) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} w_i \log \frac{p_i(1 - p'_{i})}{p(1 - p)}
\]

where \( w_i \) is the \( i \)-th weight related to the document \( d \), \( p_i = P(w_i = 1 \mid c) \) is the conditional probability that a document contains the term \( t_i \) given that it belongs to \( c \) and \( p_i' = P(w_i = 1 \mid c') \) is the conditional probability that a document contains the term \( t_i \) given that it belongs to a category different from \( c \).

By applying (3), in order to define a classifier for each category \( c \), it does suffice to estimate \( p_i \) and \( p_i' \) for each \( i \) so that \( 1 \leq i \leq 7 \) starting from training set. We give more details about that in next paragraphs.

### 3.1 The Training Phase

This phase is based on the defined methodology and includes the so called “three characters” categories of ICD (WHO, 2004) in the category set \( C \). The training set \( \Omega \) is instead composed by 5372 pre-classified medical documents. We have chosen ICD given its widespread adoption and given that a lot of pre-classified documents are already available online and can be used to train the classifier.

The training phase works as follows: for each document \( d \) of the training set, the tokenization step generates a list of \( \text{ids} \) from which the algorithm removes terms belonging to a stop-list. Remaining terms are stemmed to obtain their base form.

Then the algorithm generates the set \( T \) with all terms occurring at least once in \( \text{ids} \) for more than 3 documents of the training set (so we set \( p = 3 \)). For each document the array \( dw = (w_1, \ldots, w_p) \) is then calculated by applying (1) and (2).

After that, for each category \( c \) belonging to \( C \), the algorithm calculates the parameters \( p_{i1}, \ldots, p_{i\mid c} \) where each \( p_i \) is the ratio between the number of \( c \) members containing \( t_i \) and the number of \( c \) members. Then it calculates the parameters \( p_{i1}', \ldots, p_{i\mid c}' \) where each \( p_i' \) is the ratio between the number of members of all classes different from \( c \) containing \( t_i \) and the number of members of all classes different from \( c \).

After that the classifier is trained and ready to be used as we describe in the following paragraph.

### 3.2 The Classification Phase

After the training phase, it is possible to classify any document of the HoN repository by applying the following steps.
For each available document \( d \) in the repository, relevant terms are extracted (through tokenization, stop words removal and stemming) and the array of weights \( dw = (w_1, \ldots, w_{|d|}) \) is calculated applying (1) and (2). Then the membership function \( \phi(d, c) \) is calculated for each category using (3). Classification results are stored and the process is repeated for any new document that becomes available.

Once the \( \phi(d, c) \) is estimated for any document of the repository, users may chose to see categories or (2). Then the membership function weights stop words removal and stemming) and the array of relevant terms are extracted (through tokenization, belonging to categories of interest ordered according to the user all the documents from the repository that to it are implicitly selected. interested in. As already said all “three characters” order to let each user specify ICD categories it is considered.

### 4 MATCHMAKING AND PROFILING

The developed prototype applies explicit profiling in order to let each user specify ICD categories it is interested in. As already said all “three characters” categories are supported as well as the 21 main chapters (each grouping several categories).

A user can define his or her profile by selecting one or more categories and/or one or more chapters. If a chapter is selected then all categories belonging to it are implicitly selected.

Once the profile is defined, the system suggests to the user all the documents from the repository that belong to categories of interest ordered according to the function \( \phi \). Once a new document is added to the repository it is classified and, if relevant with respect to a user profile, suggested.

Starting from defined profiles, the system is also able to apply a matchmaking algorithm to identify and put in touch users with similar interests (so with similar profiles). Given the category domain \( C \), a profile \( p \) can be defined as a function \( p: C \rightarrow \{0, 1\} \) where \( p(c) = 1 \) if the user selects the category \( c \) and \( p(c) = 0 \) otherwise.

In order to take into account chapters, we define \( C' \) as the superset of \( C \) including all categories and chapters and define the “modified” profile function \( p': C' \rightarrow \{0, 1\} \) so that \( p'(c) = p(c) \), if \( c \in C \) and \( p'(c) = \text{mean } \{ p(c) \mid c \in C \} \) otherwise.

Given two users with two profile functions \( p \) and \( q \) we calculate their similarity \( s(p, q) \) in this way:

\[
s(p, q) = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |p'(c_i) - q'(c_i)|}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \max(p'(c_i), q'(c_i))}
\]

When the similarity degree between two users is greater then a give threshold, if both users agree, they are automatically put in touch by the system.

### 5 PROTOTYPE DEVELOPMENT AND EXPERIMENTATION

On the basis of the theoretical results described we developed and experimented a filtering prototype for medical documents in the HoN repository.

In the following paragraphs we provide details about achievements obtained in these three phases.

#### 5.1 Prototype Architecture

As depicted in figure 1, the developed prototype is made of three components: a Web application and two Web services.

- The User Interface (UI) is a Web application allowing medical doctors and administrators to access system functionalities.
- The Administration Service (AS) is a Web service providing functions to manage training and categorization phases as well as document indexing and system configuration.
- The Search Service (SS) is a Web service providing functions for document retrieval (with respect to a given user profile or to a full text search) and for matchmaking.

System functions are accessed by users through UI. Basic functions are directly handled by UI but

![Figure 1: The prototype architecture (deployment view).](image-url)
for more complex functions, UI simply forwards requests to AS and/or SS, then it composes and displays the obtained results.

Available documents are captured directly on the HoN repository. A synchronization function, able to find and classify new documents and remove records for deleted documents is periodically invoked.

### 5.2 Prototype Usage

The first step needed to use the prototype is the authentication through the UI. Each user has a role (administrator or doctor) so, after the authentication, he is redirected to the administrator or to the doctor home page, depending on the role.

Figure 2 shows the administration home page whose accessible functionalities are:

- system training (to configure and to launch the training phase of the categorization process);
- document repository management (to select and synchronize the document repository);
- account management (to add, delete or modify system users and to assign roles);
- document indexing (to enable full-text search by indexing repository documents).

Figure 2: The administration home page.

Figure 3 shows the medical doctor home page whose accessible functionalities are described in the following list.

- definition of categories of interest (they must be defined the first time the doctor accesses to the system and can be modified anytime);
- accessing to the list of suggested documents (ordered downward with respect to calculated relevance with respect to the profile);
- searching for new documents (a full featured keyword based search engine is also provided to complement filtering functions);
- opening and reading a selected or suggested document;
- matchmaking (i.e. accessing the list of system users whose profile is similar to the one of the current user).

![Figure 3: The medical doctor home page.](image)

When a doctor accesses the system for the first time, he must select his categories of interest. Once he has done that, the system is able to show in his home page a list of documents related to selected categories. Each time a new document is added to the HoN repository, it is automatically suggested to interested users. Accesses to available documents are registered and shown in the document list.

In order to take into account not only long-term interests but also short-term needs, the system also allows to make full text queries. The query manager supports Boolean (+ and -) as well as logical (AND, OR, NOT) operators as in common search engines.

### 5.3 Prototype Experimentation

To experiment the filtering system we have built a classifier for the 226 “three characters” categories of ICD and we have trained it with 5372 pre-classified documents coming from Wikipedia.

We have used documents taken from Wikipedia (that came pre-classified with respect to ICD-10) to avoid, at least in a first stage, the involvement of any domain expert. By using a simple spider following medical links on Wikipedia we were able to obtain more than 10 training documents for each category.

Once the classifier was ready, we performed the synchronization phase to classify a subset of medical documents included in the HoN repository. Then we involved a medical researcher that defined a profile and started to browse system suggested documents.
providing positive feedback on the system ability to retrieve relevant documents and on the friendliness of this first version of the user interface.

The next step is to involve experts in the training phase in order to build a significant document set to initialize the classifier. Then a formal evaluation of system performances will be made by calculating classical indicators like precision (i.e. the number of relevant documents retrieved divided by the number of documents retrieved) and recall (i.e. the number of relevant documents retrieved divided by the total number of existing relevant documents).

6 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

The purpose of this paper was to describe a filtering tool for medical information built upon a repository of diagnostic exams and medical reports collecting documents from several Italian hospitals. Filtering was aimed to support researchers in the collection of relevant data on pathologies distribution, applied medical treatments and their outcomes.

The developed filtering prototype can categorize unknown medical documents on the basis of the ICD classification and can filter relevant documents on the basis of ICD-based user profiles (nevertheless it can be configured to handle other classifications). It so merges advantages coming from the adoption of a controlled vocabulary (vocabulary-based searches, tools interoperability, etc.) with advantages coming from the application of an information filtering approach (e.g. profile-based searches).

The defined categorization process is composed of two phases: a training phase aimed at building a classifier through the adoption of machine learning techniques basing on the analysis of a set of training documents and a classification phase where the so built classifier is used to classify new documents. Moreover we defined profiling techniques to let researchers explicitly define their interests as well as matchmaking algorithms to find and put in touch researchers with similar interests. Defined process and related algorithms have been implemented and integrated within the HoN repository. Preliminary experimentation results are satisfactory.

Future work about these topics will be focused on adding implicit profiling techniques to let researchers explicitly define their interests as well as matching algorithms to find and put in touch researchers with similar interests. Defined process and related algorithms have been implemented and integrated within the HoN repository. Preliminary experimentation results are satisfactory.
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