Keywords: SLA Management, Internet of Services.

Abstract: Business services are a valuable asset to be traded via internet service marketplaces. While they are offered via the internet, their execution often involves manual steps. Provisioning of services is regulated by service level agreements (SLA). To support the management of SLAs, a suitable infrastructure is needed. In this paper, we present the SLA management approach and infrastructure developed and implemented within the TEXO project. It is based on our SLA lifecycle, which supports the creation, (re)negotiation, and monitoring of SLAs. We had two requirements which make the approach suitable for trading business services. It should enable the specification of key business services aspects instead of being limited to quality of service (QoS) aspects. Furthermore, the SLA management infrastructure should enable SLA handling for service providers and consumers without imposing too many infrastructure requirements on them. Our work is illustrated based on a logistics service example.

1 INTRODUCTION

In the Internet of Services (IoS) services are seen as tradable goods which are offered and sold via internet service marketplaces. Within the TEXO project (Pressebuero, 2008) the foundations for making business services tradable on service marketplaces are established. A methodology and toolset for service engineering as well as a platform for service offering and provisioning are being developed. Business services are any kind of business activity offered by a service provider to create value for a consumer.

The execution and consumption of services is regulated by service level agreements (SLA) which describe the service functionality, its quality of service (QoS) attributes, pricing, and legal information. At runtime the service level objectives (SLO), the single measurable elements of a SLA, are monitored. Monitoring of negotiated SLAs is important to ensure efficient provisioning of the service and to build up trust between the stakeholders, i.e. the providers of atomic services, the providers of composite services, and the service consumers. The support for SLA management is a key issue. SLA management consists of different tasks, such as SLA creation, negotiation and monitoring, which are part of the SLA lifecycle. Our SLA management infrastructure was developed with a focus on trading business services on internet service marketplaces. This leads to two important requirements. First of all, it was important to ensure sufficient expressiveness of SLAs to cover the most important attributes of business services which are relevant for the trading of services. This is realized by applying the Universal Service Description Language (USDL) (Cardoso et al., 2009) for describing business services to enrich SLAs. The second requirement was to provide good support for SLA management of service providers and consumers. A common infrastructure for SLA management was needed which enables SLA negotiation as a base for collaboration between service providers and consumers without imposing too many requirements on them. Individual solutions would easily lead to integration problems and thus hinder business interaction. In order to avoid that, the SLA negotiation and monitoring functionality are supported via the marketplace. Furthermore, SLA handling was simplified by integrating SLA template creation with the service engineering approach.

In this paper, we present the SLA lifecycle which is the base for our infrastructure (section 2). Based on that, we present the different SLA management components of our approach, describe their integration.
2 A SLA LIFECYCLE FOR THE INTERNET OF SERVICES

The management of service level agreements in the TEXO project follows the lifecycle shown in Fig. 1. It organizes important SLA management tasks into a process consisting of five different phases. It provides a structured view on SLA management and the relationship of the different SLA management tasks among each other. The lifecycle is based on the work presented in (Bianco et al., 2008) and adopted to fit our needs. A first change was the integration of support for SLA renegotiation. For that reason the SLA Negotiation phase was extended to allow for SLA renegotiation in the case that the SLA needs to be adopted.

A second adaptation was the integration of a loop from the preparation phase to the SLA (Re-)Negotiation phase to enable the adaptation of a SLA. For example, this enables a composite service provider to react to problems during the execution of a composition. If problems occur with one service the SLAs with other services can still be adapted if needed. This is only possible for limited SLA aspects, but it is nevertheless an important aspect of SLA management for business services. An example use case is the information of a transport provider that goods, which he will pickup for further transport, are only available at a later point in time, because the truck of the preceding service provider broke down. The renegotiation of a contract enables early adaptation to problems. SLA renegotiation is only successful if both involved parties agree.

Finally, the assessment phase presented in (Bianco et al., 2008), which enables the long-term evaluation of SLA fulfillment as well as strategic considerations was removed from the SLA lifecycle but was instead integrated into the TEXO service lifecycle.

The following phases are part of our SLA lifecycle:

1. SLA Template Development: The SLA template forms the base for SLA negotiation. It is created as part of the service engineering process and made available for SLA negotiation during the deployment of a service.

2. SLA (Re-)Negotiation: This phase supports the initial negotiation of a SLA based on a SLA template as well as the adaptation of an existing SLA. During this phase different QoS parameters as well as pricing and legal information are negotiated between the service provider and the consumer.

3. Preparation: During the preparation phase the information regarding the newly negotiated SLA or the update through renegotiation is propagated to relevant components (e.g. monitoring components). They will then retrieve the new SLA and prepare the service execution and monitoring.

4. Execution and Monitoring: The execution of a service is triggered once a consumer with a valid SLA requests the service. The monitoring of the service execution and the validation of the SLA and its contained service level objectives occurs at the same time. Once information regarding SLO violations is available, further actions can be initiated. An example is the adaptation of the service (e.g. by renegotiating the SLAs for services of the underlying process).

5. Termination and Decommissioning: SLAs are valid for a predefined period of time (e.g. single service call, one month). Once this period is over the SLA cannot be used as base for service execution any more. If the provider of a service decides to discontinue the service offering under the current terms, the SLA template for the service is removed, and no new contracts are negotiated based on it.

3 THE SLA MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE

The management of SLAs is handled by different components which are distributed over the service infrastructure for engineering, executing, and trading
services. We will first outline the overall service infrastructure. Following that we describe the different SLA management components and their functionality in more detail.

3.1 Integrating SLA Management into Service Engineering and Execution

Within the TEXO project the infrastructure for engineering, trading, and executing services (see Fig. 2) is being developed by the partners of the TEXO project. The ISE workbench is comprised of a collection of service engineering tools that enable the creation and description of services at design time. The Tradable Service Runtime (TSR) supports the execution and monitoring of services. Finally, the Service Management Platform (SMP) provides the service marketplace infrastructure for offering and finding services, negotiating contracts, and supporting billing. The components for SLA creation, negotiation, and monitoring are integrated into the different parts of the infrastructure.

3.1.1 ISE Workbench

The ISE workbench implements a model-based service engineering methodology developed as part of the TEXO project (Cardoso et al., 2008). It supports the modelling of services and service compositions as well as the description of services based on USDL. The USDL language enables the description of business aspects (e.g. pricing and legal issues), operational aspects (the service functionality), and technical aspects (e.g. protocols used for service invocation and security aspects) of services (Cardoso et al., 2009). The service description provides a base for searching and finding services. It also serves as a building block for formalizing SLAs between service providers and consumers. The SLA Template Generation component is responsible for the generation of a SLA template based on a service description. This happens as part of the service engineering process.

Service compositions are created from services which are offered via the service marketplace or which are available in a local service repository of the composite service creator. If services from the marketplace are integrated into a composition, service level agreements need to be negotiated between the composite service creator and the providers of the single services. In order to support this, a wizard for SLA negotiation was implemented and integrated into the workbench.

3.1.2 Tradable Service Runtime

The TSR provides the basic functionality that enables service execution, monitoring and process adaptation. Each service provider uses the functionality of a TSR to support service execution. In a typical scenario there are multiple distributed TSRs for the different service providers which are interacting with the central service marketplace. The communication between the marketplace and the different TSRs is realized via a message-oriented middleware which supports the exchange of information regarding deployed services, newly negotiated SLAs, and monitoring information. The communication between the different components of the TSR (e.g. to exchange monitoring information) is also realized via a message-oriented middleware. In order to support the monitoring of negotiated SLAs the SLO Monitoring component was integrated into the TSR.

3.1.3 Service Management Platform

The central SMP represents the service marketplace, which provides functionality for offering and searching services, SLA negotiation and monitoring as well as billing and pricing functionality. Thus, it provides important functionality which supports the work of the different service providers and consumers and enables the trading of services. One important component of the SMP is the SLA Manager, which is the central component of the SLA management infrastructure. It provides SLA (re-)negotiation and monitoring support for the marketplace and is integrated with all other SLA management components.

![Figure 2: SLA Manager in context.](image-url)
3.2 The SLA Template Generation Component

Service level agreements generally contain information such as a description of the service to be provided, the price of the service, and a number of guarantees. Much of this information is also available within the service description. Based on this observation we decided to apply the service description as a basic building block for the formalization of service level agreements.

The negotiation of SLAs, which we implemented based on the WS-Agreement specification (Andrieux et al., 2007), requires an SLA template as its starting point. The template contains the relevant information about a service which is needed for the negotiation process. In our work the SLA template is generated from the service description. The approach consists of two parts. On the one hand we utilize service description elements to formalize parts of the SLA template. USDL elements describing service provider information, functionality, legal terms, and pricing information are included into the SLA template. On the other hand we map information regarding measurable attributes to the description of SLOs. This integration of the service description and the SLA template development process helps to automatize parts of the SLA lifecycle. The final agreement document contains language constructs which are specific to the agreement notation (i.e. WS-Agreement) as well as the service description language (i.e. USDL). The generation of SLA templates was implemented as a plug-in into our Eclipse based ISE workbench. The plug-in contains a model-to-text transformation which was implemented using openArchitectureWare (openArchitectureWare.org, ). It takes a USDL service description as input and generates a WS-Agreement SLA template. The generated SLA template is then deployed together with the newly created service. The approach is similar to the work presented in (Reichert, 2008). But while their approach is focused on technical services and their QoS parameters we put additional focus on the business aspects of SLAs by describing pricing models and legal aspects which are important for the trading of services.

3.3 The SLA Manager

The SLA Manager component supports the handling of service level agreements at the service marketplace. This includes the deployment of new SLA templates from the ISE workbench, the negotiation of SLAs between service consumers and providers, the monitoring of negotiated contracts during service provisioning, and the renegotiation of contracts by service consumers and providers during the lifetime of a SLA. This functionality is offered via web service interfaces.

3.3.1 SLA Manager Architecture and Context

In Fig. 2 the main components of the SLA Manager are presented. The SLA Deployment component supports the deployment of new SLA templates during the service deployment process as well as their removal when a service should not be offered any more. The templates are received from the Deployment component, which manages the process of deploying a new service and registering it at the marketplace. The received SLA template is stored in the Template Repository where it is available for negotiation. The SLA Negotiation component supports the negotiation process which is triggered via a web interface by an end user or from within the ISE workbench by a service engineer. Following the successful negotiation or renegotiation of a SLA, an event is sent to the message-oriented middleware informing the monitoring components about it. The SLA Monitoring component receives events about detected SLO violations from the service runtime. This information is stored and made available for the billing component. Furthermore, the SLA Manager makes contract information (e.g. consumer information) available to the billing component.

3.3.2 SLA Template Deployment

The SLA Manager provides interfaces for the deployment, update and removal of SLA templates. The deployment of SLA templates occurs as part of the service deployment process. SLA templates, which form the base for SLA negotiation, are created as part of the service engineering process. When a SLA template is deployed, it is stored in a template repository from where it is available for the SLA negotiation.

During the lifetime of a service the SLA template of the service can be updated. This enables to adapt to consumer requirements, e.g. when a service cannot be sold due to its current price model. It also enables the provider to change the contracting terms for the service when the capabilities for service provisioning change. The removal of templates is necessary when a service will not be offered any more.

3.3.3 SLA Negotiation

The SLA Manager supports the negotiation of service level agreements based on the WS-Agreement specification. It acts on behalf of the service provider.
It provides two web service interfaces supporting the negotiation process. A consumer can request a SLA template for a service and refine it according to his needs, thus creating an agreement offer. He needs to enter information about himself (i.e. consumer information), can make adjustments to the provided terms (e.g. select a concrete value for service reliability), and select a price model (e.g. pay-per-use, flatrate) according to the available offer and his needs. Once all refinements are made the agreement offer is submitted to the SLA Manager as a request for creating an agreement. Each agreement offer is evaluated by the SLA Manager to check if all terms are valid and acceptable (e.g. the required reliability must be within the bounds specified in the SLA template). If the offer is accepted, it is stored as SLA in the SLA Repository and the consumer is informed. Furthermore, an event is sent to the message-oriented middleware informing interested components of the service provider about the new SLA. They are then able to request the new agreement and use its information for service execution and monitoring.

A further functionality provided by the SLA Negotiation component is the renegotiation of SLAs. It allows to adapt single SLOs of a SLA if the service provider and the consumer agree. Renegotiation is only possible for SLOs which were marked as negotiable during the SLA negotiation procedure.

### 3.3.4 SLA Monitoring

Monitoring information regarding the service execution is collected during runtime. The evaluation of the single measurements is not realized by the SLA Manager itself but instead by the SLO Monitoring component which is a part of each TSR. The handling of all monitoring events by the SLA Manager would create a bottleneck. The SLA Manager receives information regarding detected SLO violations. This information is stored and evaluated when other components or a service client request the status of an SLA.

SLA status information is very important for the process of billing a service consumer for the usage of a service. The billing component uses the status information to determine the final price to be charged to the consumer for using the service. If the negotiated SLOs were violated, the consumer may receive a discount.

### 3.4 SLO Monitoring Component

The SLO Monitoring component is responsible for evaluating monitoring data at a TSR. It requests the negotiated SLAs from the SLA Manager upon receiving a notification that a new SLA has been negotiated. The monitoring information is made available by monitoring sensors via the message-oriented middleware for better scalability. When the SLA Monitoring component receives monitoring information, it evaluates it based on information in the negotiated SLA. As a first step the monitoring data is analysed to find out under which SLA the service was executed. Based on the type of measurement data received, it retrieves the SLO information from the SLA and analyses this information. If a SLO violation is discovered an event is sent to the message-oriented middleware in order to inform other components about the occurrence of the problem.

### 4 LOGISTICS EXAMPLE

In this section we want to illustrate how the SLA management components are applied in an example of a logistics service for transporting goods within a city. As a first step, the service provider models its service using the ISE Workbench. The outcome of this, a service description based on USDL, is used to generate a SLA template. Fig. 3 shows three extracts of a USDL description: the service classification, pricing information, and service level information. USDL blocks, which describe the service and are not measurable service level attributes, are integrated into the service description terms section of the SLA template as USDL markup. Service level information is used to generate the service level and guarantee terms sections. For each service level attribute modelled in the USDL service description a variable and a service level objective are created. The USDL service level description may be specified as a concrete value (e.g. deliveryAddress=Dresden) to set certain constraints (i.e. goods can only be delivered to Dresden) or as a place holder (e.g. deliveryTime) for the negotiation phase. Please note that the USDL and SLA template code of this example are simplified.

As a next step the service and the SLA template are deployed and made available at the marketplace. Interested consumers can then negotiate an SLA based on the SLA template. The consumer requests the SLA template for a service from the SLA Manager, adjusts the service level objective values, selects a price model from the list of available ones (e.g. flatrate or pay-per-use), and returns the document in form of an SLA offer to the SLA Manager. The offer is then evaluated, i.e. it is checked if the values specified in the offer are in accordance with the original template. For example, the service level objective values must be within the range specified in the SLA template. In our example a user specifies
SLA MANAGEMENT FOR THE INTERNET OF SERVICES

Figure 3: SLA template for logistic service.

the delivery time and address for the goods transport. Upon successful negotiation the SLA Manager sends an event which triggers the SLO Monitoring component to request the new agreement. After the negotiation both parties still have the chance to renegotiate the delivery time and address since both SLOs are marked as “renegotiable”.

During the execution of the service the delivery time and location are monitored, e.g. via RFID events when the goods arrive at the destination. Our implementation does not make assumptions where the monitoring data comes from (RFID reader or software sensor). These events are received and evaluated by the SLO Monitoring component. To do so, it compares the event data with the negotiated SLO. In the case that a violation is detected, this information is forwarded to the SLA Manager where it is available for the billing process. In addition, the information about violations is made available for other runtime components such as the adaptation coordinator which are responsible for handling violations (e.g. by adapting the business process or informing responsible personnel).

5 RELATED WORK

There are a number of projects that have dealt with the management of SLAs.

Within the Advanced Services Grid (ASG) project a framework for service monitoring was created. Service level agreements regulate technical parameters on different software stack levels including the operating system, middleware, or the application itself. Attributes considered for monitoring include technical aspects such as response time, availability, and database connectivity (Flehmig et al., 2006).

In (Ameller and Franch, 2008) the SALMon architecture for monitoring SLAs is presented. Monitors measure different attributes such as availability and response time. This information is stored in a data base and analysed for determining SLA violations. In the case of a violation the Decision Maker component is informed. It is responsible for choosing a suitable strategy for reacting to the SLA violation.

The GRIA SLA Management Service (Boniface et al., 2007) was developed to provide functionality for SLA negotiation, monitoring, and enforcement at runtime. It supports the handling of SLAs at the technical as well as at the business level. Technical SLOs cover CPU time and disc space which are needed to provide a service to the consumer. Service consumers are rather interested in business level aspects which describe their actual tasks. Business level SLOs cover for example the number of rendered video frames. The GRIA system supports the mapping of business level SLOs to technical SLOs which can then be monitored by the system. It also enables the service provider to determine thresholds for the early detection of upcoming SLA violations and management actions for handling these problems.

Most closely related to our work are the frame-
works Cremona (Ludwig et al., 2004) and WSAG4J (Waeldrich, 2008). Both provide functionality for the negotiation and monitoring of SLAs based on the WS-Agreement specification. The provided interfaces can be extended by domain specific implementations.

The focus of the ASG and SalMon approaches was on the handling of technical services and their QoS parameters. In contrast, our approach handles a variety of service attributes such as pricing, payment information, and legal aspects which is important for business services. The GRIA system supports the specification of business level SLOs. The focus is on the application domain of the user but not on SLA aspects which are specific to the trading of services.

While the Cremona framework is not available, we analysed in how far we can base our implementation of the SLA Manager component on WSAG4J. While this would have been possible for the negotiation and monitoring functionality, the integration with service engineering, i.e. deployment and undeployment of SLA templates would have required the adaptation of core parts of the WSAG4J functionality. None of the approaches for handling SLAs known to us supports the comprehensive business service properties which are necessary for trading business services. This is achieved in our approach by integrating USDL into SLAs. Our approach is also different from other approaches in that it supports much of the work of service providers and consumers. It offers core SLA management functionality via the service marketplace. In addition to that, the integration of the SLA management with the service engineering methodology and toolset is achieved by supporting the creation of SLA templates from service descriptions.

6 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we presented the SLA lifecycle and management infrastructure developed within the TEXO project. We also presented the SLA management components and described how they are integrated into the different parts of the service engineering, provisioning, and marketplace infrastructure. By integrating important parts of the SLA management infrastructure into the marketplace, the infrastructure requirements for service providers and consumers, who want to participate in the marketplace, are minimized. If service providers utilize the service engineering and runtime tools all needed functionality is provided to them. An important requirement for the trading of services was that SLAs could express relevant attributes from the business domain. To achieve that we based our implementation on USDL, an expressive service description language, which was designed to meet the specific requirements for describing business services.

Further work is necessary to evaluate our approach in an end-to-end logistics scenario. We plan to use a supply chain simulator to simulate the execution of a logistics process. Simulated monitoring data can be used to evaluate the SLAs.

Furthermore, we are currently extending our work with regard to the efficient SLA management in service compositions where dependencies between different services in a composition need to be considered when SLAs are renegotiated.
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